

**UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available.
Please [let us know](#) how this has helped you. Thanks!**

Title	Accuracy of off the shelf activity trackers in ambulatory settings in young and old adults:95
Author(s)	Barton, John; Timmons, Suzanne; Tedesco, Salvatore; Sica, Marco; Crowe, Colum; O'Flynn, Brendan
Publication date	2019-09-16
Original citation	Barton, J., Timmons, S., Tedesco, S., Sica, M., Crowe, C. and O'Flynn, B. (2019) 'Accuracy of Off the Shelf Activity Trackers in Ambulatory Settings in Young and Old Adults: 95', Age and Ageing, 48(Supplement_3), pp. iii1-iii16. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afz102.20
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	https://academic.oup.com/ageing/article/48/Supplement_3/iii1/5570252 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afz102.20 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.
Rights	© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Geriatrics Society. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/10392

Downloaded on 2021-09-27T00:19:10Z



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Oral presentations

95 ACCURACY OF OFF THE SHELF ACTIVITY TRACKERS IN AMBULATORY SETTINGS IN YOUNG AND OLD ADULTS

John Barton¹, Suzanne Timmons², Salvatore Tedesco¹, Marco Sica¹, Colum Crowe¹,
Brendan O'Flynn¹

¹ Tyndall National Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

² Centre for Gerontology and Rehabilitation, School of Medicine, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

Background: Wrist-worn activity trackers have experienced a tremendous growth lately. Robust studies of the comparative accuracy of currently available, mainstream trackers, in young adults versus older adults are still scarce in literature. This study explores the performance of ten trackers estimating steps, travelled distance, and heart-rate measurements against gold-standards in two cohorts of young and old adults.

Methods: Overall, 38 subjects completed a structured protocol involving walking tasks, simulated household activities, and sedentary activities, including less standardised activities, such as dusting, vacuuming, or playing cards, in order to simulate real-life scenarios.

Both wrist-mounted and chest/waist-mounted devices were considered. Gold-standards included treadmill, waist-mounted pedometer, ECG-based chest strap, direct observation or video recording according to the activity and parameter.

Results: Every tracker shows a decreasing accuracy with slower walking speed, which resulted in a significant step under-counting. Large mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) was displayed by every monitor at slower walking speeds.

During household activities, the MAPE in young adults climbing up/down-stairs ranged from 3.91-11.41% and 4.34-11.92% (dominant and non-dominant arm), respectively. However, for the same activities older adults displayed a larger MAPE, at 8.38-19.3% and 10.06-19.01%, respectively. Chest-worn or waist-worn devices had more uniform performance. However, unstructured activities (dusting, vacuuming, playing cards), and accuracy in people using a walking aid represent a challenge for all consumer-level trackers as evidenced by large MAPE. Poor performance in travelled distance estimation was also evident during walking at low speeds and household activities for both cohorts.

Conclusion: This study shows a number of limitations to current, mainstream consumer-level wrist-based activity trackers, requiring caution if adopted in healthcare, whether clinical or research. This study demonstrates the particular deficits in commercial devices for use in an aging population, and provides some indications on how to best measure these health parameters in this population.