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X-Band Quasi-Elliptic Non-Reciprocal Bandpass Filters (NBPFs)

Andrea Ashley, Graduate Student Member, IEEE, and Dimitra Psychogiou, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—This article reports on the design and practical development of RF co-designed MMIC components that exhibit the function of a bandpass filter and an RF isolator. They are based on cascaded non-reciprocal frequency-selective stages (NFSs), one-pole/two-transmission zero (TZ) multi-resonant stages, and impedance inverters. The combination of these components results in a two-port network that exhibits a non-reciprocal quasi-elliptic bandpass filter (NBPF) response in the forward direction and full RF signal cancellation in the reverse one. The operating principles of the NBPF are presented through various circuit-based design examples of low- and high-order configurations. For proof-of-concept demonstration purposes, NBPF prototypes were designed on an MMIC GaAs process and were experimentally validated at X-band. They include a two-pole/two-TZ NBPF and a three-pole/four-TZ NBPF.

Index Terms—Bandpass filter (BPF), integrated circuit, isolator, miniaturization, MMIC filter, non-reciprocal filter, RF co-design.

I. INTRODUCTION

NON-RECIROCAL microwave components such as isolators and circulators are important elements of a wide range of communication, radar, and instrumentation systems. They are used to protect high-power RF sources from unwanted reflections (e.g., in RF power-handling test sets) [1] or to separate the transmitted and received RF signals in applications where the same frequency is used for the transmit and receive operation (e.g., in full-duplex transceivers) [2]. Despite their importance in emerging RF systems (e.g., in 5G front-ends), the difficulty to integrate ferrite-based circulators/isolators with IC-based platforms has hindered their practical development [3]–[6]. Similar trends are observed with other RF front-end components such as the transmit and receive bandpass filters (BPFs) [7]–[11] that are either made from large off-chip low-loss elements or suffer from high in-band insertion loss (IL) when integrated in IC platforms [12], [13].

To overcome the RF front-end size constraints imposed by the off-chip components, miniaturization techniques are being explored at the component and at the integration level. These include miniaturization through capacitive loading [14]–[18], through integration in high-permittivity substrates [19]–[22], or using lumped-element (LE) configurations [5], [23]–[26]. Transistor-based isolators [27]–[31] exploiting the inherent unilateral behavior of transistors have also been explored. They include: cascaded low noise amplifiers and attenuators [27], transistor-based stages in common base or common collector configurations [28], and the combination of couplers with non-reciprocal components [30]. These topologies exhibit small size when compared to conventional ferrite-based isolators; however, they tend to provide moderate levels of isolation (20–37 dB) and moderate loss (1.5–2.5) despite using gain producing elements (i.e., transistors). Furthermore, they are limited to frequencies lower than 6 GHz.

In yet another approach, RF front-end miniaturization is achieved through the co-design of BPFs with other RF front-end components such as power dividers [32] and amplifiers [33], [34]. More recently, RF co-designed components that simultaneously exhibit the function of an isolator and a BPF have been developed. In this manner, either the isolator or the BPF can be removed from the RF front-end chain, allowing to reduce its size and loss as shown in Fig. 1. Notable demonstrations in this area include non-reciprocal bandpass filters (NBPFs) using spatio-temporal modulated (STM) resonators [35]–[41] and transistor-based resonators [42]. STM-based NBPFs achieve non-reciprocity by modulating the frequency of their resonators through varactors and MEMS tuners [35]–[38], [40], [41]. However, these configurations exhibit high IL (3.7–5.5 dB in [35]–[37] and [39]), spurious modes close to the passband [36], and are limited to low frequencies of operation <1 GHz. The NBPFs in [42] are based on non-reciprocal resonators shaped by transistors and microstrip transmission lines. Despite being validated in S-band, their size is fairly large due to being materialized by a hybrid PCB integration scheme.

Taking into consideration the aforementioned limitations, this article discusses the design and practical development of a new class of fully-integrated NBPFs that aim to miniaturize the RF front-end size. This is achieved by: 1) combining the functions of two RF components within the volume of a single

RF device; 2) MMIC integration; and 3) by allowing to relax the gain requirements in the PA stage through enhanced power transmission. The proposed NBPF concept is developed on a GaAs MMIC platform and is demonstrated experimentally at X-band. It is based on the cascade of non-reciprocal frequency-selective (NFS) gain stages and multiple multi-resonant stages through impedance inverters. The proposed GaAs MMIC NBPF enables quasi-elliptic bandpass-type transmission. The proposed NBPF concept is developed on a GaAs MMIC platform and is demonstrated experimentally at X-band. It is based on the cascade of non-reciprocal frequency-selective (NFS) gain stages and multiple multi-resonant stages through impedance inverters. The proposed GaAs MMIC NBPF enables quasi-elliptic bandpass-type transmission.

**II. DESIGN METHODOLOGY**

This section discusses the RF design and operating principles of the quasi-elliptic NBPF concept. It starts by introducing the basic functions of the proposed two-port NFS and continues with its use in high-order NBPFs with quasi-elliptic enhanced power transmission response in the forward direction and high isolation in the reverse one. In particular, the practical implementation of the NBPF concept in an MMIC GaAs process is discussed through the design and experimental testing of two prototypes at X-band. They include: a two-resonator/two-transmission zero (TZ) NBPF and a three-resonator/four-TZ NBPF. Lastly, the main contributions of this article are summarized in Section IV.

### A. Non-Reciprocal Frequency-Selective Stage (NFS)

The details of the two-port NFS are illustrated in Fig. 2 in terms of its ideal block diagram and circuit-simulated power transmission ($|S_{21}|$, $|S_{12}|$) and reflection responses ($|S_{11}|$, $|S_{22}|$). It comprises a directional coupler (shaped by two pairs of transmission lines (TLs) with characteristic impedances $Z_A$ and $Z_B$ at the design frequency $f_{cen}$) and a non-reciprocal RF signal path that includes a non-reciprocal element (NRE) and additional phase control elements (i.e., TLs with characteristic impedance $Z_C$ and electrical length $\theta_C$). For the case of an ideal NRE, all TLs are 90°-long at $f_{cen}$.

![Fig. 1. High-power RF-front-end transmitter chain. (a) Conventional approach in which a BPF and an RF isolator are added after the power amplifier (PA) to cancel spurs and reflections. (b) Proposed approach leading to improved size and performance RF front-end through the devised RF co-designed NBPF that combines the function of a BPF and an RF isolator into a single device (boxed in blue). The NBPF can be designed with enhanced power transmission response, thus relaxing the gain requirements of the PA stage.](image)

![Fig. 2. NFS with enhanced power transmission response in the forward direction ($|S_{21}|$) and complete RF signal cancellation in the reverse one ($|S_{12}|$). (a) Block diagram and symbol, white and grey rectangles represent the NFS, as demonstrated in Fig. 3(b). The resulting two-port network leads to an ideal power transmission ($|S_{21}|$), isolation ($|S_{12}|$), and reflection ($|S_{11}|$, $|S_{22}|$) responses of the NFS (solid lines) and its corresponding NRE (dashed lines). The element values for this example are: $Z_A = 11.9 \, \Omega$, $Z_B = 71.1 \, \Omega$, $Z_C = 12.1 \, \Omega$, NRE S-parameters: $S_{11} = 0$, $S_{12} = 0.17$, $S_{21} = 1.5$, and $S_{22} = 0$.](image)

The non-reciprocal RF signal path is connected between the through- and coupled-ports of the coupler allowing for higher levels of gain and theoretically-infinite isolation to be obtained at $f_{cen}$ when compared to the single NRE, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The resulting two-port network leads to an NFS with a single-pole bandpass-type transfer function in the forward direction ($|S_{21}|$) and a bandstop-type single-TZ transfer function in the reverse direction ($|S_{12}|$).

To better illustrate the operating principles of the NFS, various design examples are shown in Fig. 3 for the case of an ideal NRE with finite gain and isolation characteristics ($S_{11} = 0$, $S_{12} = 0.17$, $S_{21} = 1.5$, and $S_{22} = 0$). In particular, when $Z_A$, $Z_B$, and $Z_C$ are defined by (1) ($k$ is the coupling factor of a conventional coupler and $Z_0$ is the reference impedance $|\text{ref}|$), it can be seen that $k$ primarily affects the isolation levels, as shown in Fig. 3(a), and $Z_0$ affects the BW of the NFS, as demonstrated in Fig. 3(b). It should be noted that the optimum $k$ value (i.e., for maximum isolation at $f_{cen}$) depends on the isolation levels of the NRE

$$Z_A = Z_0\sqrt{1-k}; \quad Z_B = Z_0\sqrt{\frac{1-k}{k}}; \quad Z_C = Z_0. \quad (1)$$

### B. Non-Reciprocal Bandpass Filters (NBPFs)

To increase the order of the two-port network and facilitate NBPFs with highly-selective power transmission response
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Fig. 3. RF power transmission ($|S_{21}|$), isolation ($|S_{12}|$), and reflection ($|S_{11}|$) response of the NFS as a function of (a) $k$ when $Z_0 = 12.1\ \Omega$ and (b) $Z_0$ when $k = 0.028$. The NRE S-parameters are: $S_{11} = 0$, $S_{12} = 0.17$, $S_{21} = 1.5$, and $S_{22} = 0$.

Fig. 4. (a) Block diagram of a two-resonator NBPF that comprises one NFS and one passive resonator. (b) Ideal linear circuit-simulated power transmission ($|S_{21}|$), isolation ($|S_{12}|$), and reflection ($|S_{11}|$, $|S_{22}|$) responses for varying BW. BW control when ($C_R$) is altered while $L_R$ is selected to resonate with $C_R$ (left) and BW control when $Z_{INV}$ is altered (right). In all examples, the NRE S-parameters are as follows: $S_{11} = 0$, $S_{12} = 0.17$, $S_{21} = 1.5$, and $S_{22} = 0$.

in the forward direction and high isolation in the reverse one, architectures of cascaded NFSs and alternative types of reciprocal resonant elements can be considered, as shown in Figs. 4–9. In particular, Fig. 4 demonstrates a NBPF that comprises one passive resonator ($C_R$, $L_R$) and one NFS allowing to increase selectivity while maintaining the same levels of gain and isolation as in the NFS in Fig. 2. The passband BW in the forward direction can be controlled by either altering the capacitance $C_R$ of the passive resonator ($L_R$ needs to resonate with $C_R$) or by altering the impedance of $Z_{INV}$ (inverter implemented with a 90°-long TL at $f_{cen}$) as shown in Fig. 4(b).

In Fig. 5(a) and (b), an NBPF configuration shaped by two identical NFSs is considered. In this case, the passband selectivity is the same as the one obtained in the example case in Fig. 4; however, the gain and isolation are essentially doubled due to the use of an additional NFS. In this architecture, the passband BW can be controlled by altering the impedance of $Z_{INV}$ as shown in the examples in Fig. 5(b). Furthermore, when two dissimilar NFSs are considered, the TZs in the reverse direction can be split as shown in the example in Fig. 5(c).

In yet another configuration, the selectivity in the forward direction can be increased by cascading the NFS with a reciprocal multi-resonant stage as shown in Fig. 6. The multi-resonant stage is made from two open-ended stubs that result in one pole and two TZs. The stubs are 90°-long at the frequency of their corresponding TZ ($f_{TZ1}$, $f_{TZ2}$) and create a pole at the average frequency of $f_{TZ1}$, $f_{TZ2}$ that is equal to $f_{cen}$. In this manner, a two-pole/two-TZ quasi-elliptic bandpass-type power transmission response can be obtained in the forward direction. Furthermore, as also shown in Fig. 6(b), the BW of frequencies at which the reverse transmission $|S_{12}|$ is lower than 20 dB is wider than in the NFS case in Fig. 2 due to the presence of three distinct TZs. Examples showing BW control in the forward direction are also included in Fig. 6(c) and (d). They are obtained using the impedance element values in Table I. As shown, the passband BW can be controlled by altering $Z_{INV}$ and $Z_{INV1}$ [Fig. 6(c)] or the location of the TZs [Fig. 6(d)]. While all of
the previous examples show a single reflection zero, in fact multiple reflection poles are present at the center frequency (two in this case). The reflection poles can be split by altering the impedance of the impedance inverter \( Z_{\text{INV}} \) as shown in Fig. 7.

To further increase the passband selectivity and the out-of-band rejection in the forward direction, an additional multi-resonant stage can be added at the output of the NBPF to create a three-resonator/four-TZ configuration as shown in Fig. 8(a). In this manner, a three-pole/four-TZ power transmission response can be obtained in the forward direction and a five-TZ response in the reverse one. The multi-resonant stages can be designed to create TZs at identical or at different frequencies with the purpose of increasing the out-of-band

**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element Values of the Example Responses in Fig. 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_{\text{INV}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_{\text{INV1}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_{\text{TZ1}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_{\text{TZ2}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. S-parameters for the NBPF in Fig. 6(a) with separated reflection poles in the passband. The impedances for the inverters and multi-resonant cell are as follows: \( Z_{\text{INV}} = 50 \, \Omega \), \( Z_{\text{INV1}} = 40 \, \Omega \), \( Z_{\text{TZ1}} = 30 \, \Omega \), and \( Z_{\text{TZ2}} = 63 \, \Omega \).
isolation BW in the forward direction as shown in the example cases in Fig. 8(b). The impedance inverter values for these examples are provided in Table II. Fig. 9 demonstrates a comparison of the S-parameter response of the two-resonator/two-TZ and the three-resonator/four-TZ NBPFs. As it can be seen, by adding the multi-resonant stage at the output, the frequency selectivity and the out-of-band isolation in the forward direction are increased, demonstrating the selectivity-enhancement advantages of the proposed approach.

Although a finite number of NBPF examples are discussed in this section, the proposed approach can be readily scaled to the realization of transfer functions with even higher order and gain or wider out-of-band rejection BW in the forward direction or higher isolation in the reverse direction by appropriately selecting the number of the NFSs, passive resonators, and multi-resonant stages.

C. NRE Practical Realization Aspects

While an ideal NRE has been considered in all previous example cases, realistic NRE implementations may exhibit a phase shift (as shown in the actual MMIC NRE design in Section III) which needs to be considered in the NFS design. This is illustrated in Fig. 10, in which the S-parameter response of an NFS comprising an NRE with and without phase shift are compared. As shown, a finite phase shift in the NRE results in finite isolation at \( f_{cen} \). In order for the maximum gain and maximum isolation to occur at the same operating frequency, the electrical length of \( Z_A (\theta_A) \), \( Z_B (\theta_B) \), and \( Z_C (\theta_C) \) need to be adjusted. In this example, a non-ideal NRE with +45° in the forward direction and −29° in the reverse direction is considered. As it can be seen, the location of the maximum isolation point is shifted lower in frequency. To compensate for this frequency shift, the electrical lengths of the TLs were selected as follows: \( \theta_A = 100^\circ \), \( \theta_B = 100^\circ \), and \( \theta_C = 82^\circ \). This adjustment realigns the maximum gain and isolation frequencies to occur at \( f_{cen} \); however, the BW, gain, and match levels slightly differ than the response of the ideal NRE.

III. Experimental Validation

To evaluate the validity of the NBPF concept, two prototypes were designed, manufactured, and measured at X-band using the WIN Semiconductor PIH1-10 MMIC GaAs process, circuit, and full-wave electromagnetic (EM) analysis in AWR, Cadence. They include: 1) a two-pole/two-TZ NBPF with \( f_{cen} = 9.0 \) GHz and FBW = 7.5% based on the block diagram in Fig. 6 and 2) a three-pole/four-TZ NBPF with \( f_{cen} = 9.0 \) GHz and FBW = 8.1% based on the block diagram in Fig. 8.

A. MMIC NRE/NFS Design

The NRE was designed in the PIH1-10 MMIC GaAs process of WIN Semiconductors; which consists of three metal layers (metal 1, metal 2, and ground), as shown in Fig. 11. It was designed with the purpose of providing gain (two-finger pHEMT transistor biased at \( V_{DD} = 2.5 \) V, and \( V_G = 0.65 \) V) at the operating frequency while maintaining stability by adding the gate and drain matching networks in Fig. 11(b). The S-parameters of the NRE are provided in Fig. 11(c) in terms of magnitude and phase. As shown, it exhibits a phase offset in both the forward and reverse directions which is compensated in the NFS by altering the phase of TLs \( (\theta_A, \theta_B, \text{and } \theta_C) \) as discussed in Section II-C. The TL characteristics were selected as follows: \( Z_A = 23.5 \) Ω, \( \theta_A = 80^\circ \), \( Z_B = 140 \) Ω, \( \theta_B = 80^\circ \), and \( Z_C = 27 \) Ω, \( \theta_C = 72^\circ \) \((k = 0.028, Z_0 = 23.8 \) Ω) to create the overall NFS response in Fig. 12. As it can be seen, the depth of the isolation zero is not infinite. This is due to the manufacturing limitations of the process. In particular, to achieve a high isolation at the center frequency, \( k \) would need to be 0.0072 and \( Z_0 = 23.8 \) Ω. Using (1), this would result in the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Z_A )</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_B )</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_C )</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_D )</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{cen} )</td>
<td>7 GHz</td>
<td>7 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{cen} )</td>
<td>13 GHz</td>
<td>13 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{cen} )</td>
<td>7 GHz</td>
<td>5 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{cen} )</td>
<td>13 GHz</td>
<td>15 GHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Comparison of the S-parameters of the NBPFs in Fig. 6 (dotted lines) and Fig. 8 (solid and dashed lines).

Fig. 10. Comparison of the S-parameter responses of an NFS with an ideal NRE (dotted trace), an NFS with a non-ideal NRE (dashed trace), and an NFS with a non-ideal NRE with the phase of \( Z_A, Z_B, \) and \( Z_C \) altered to compensate for the phase of the NRE (solid trace). The S-parameters for the non-ideal NRE are as follows: \( S_{11} = 0 \), \( S_{12} = 0.15 - j0.08 \), \( S_{21} = 1.1 + j1.1 \), and \( S_{22} = 0 \).
Fig. 11. (a) Layout of the NFS. (b) Circuit schematic of the NRE. Its element values are as follows: 
\[ C_{BL} = 3.2 \, \text{pF}, \quad L_B = 13 \, \text{nH}, \quad C_B = 2.1 \, \text{pF}, \quad R_B = 10.1 \, \Omega, \quad R_{GS} = 21.6 \, \Omega, \quad R_{GP} = 45.1 \, \Omega, \quad L_G = 0.48 \, \text{nH}, \quad L_D = 0.79 \, \text{nH}, \quad R_{DS} = 10.1 \, \Omega, \quad R_{DP} = 45.5 \, \Omega, \quad V_G = 0.65 \, \text{V}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_B = 2.5 \, \text{V}. \] (c) S-parameters of the NRE in (b) in terms of magnitude (left) and phase (right).

impedances: \[ Z_A = 23.7 \, \Omega, \quad Z_B = 280 \, \Omega, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_C = 23.8 \, \Omega. \] In this case, \( Z_B \) is too large to manufacture, therefore the \( k \) had to be altered, resulting in finite isolation at the center frequency when compared to the ideal scenarios in Section II. Furthermore, since \( Z_C \) was implemented using a microstrip TL, its characteristic impedance was also limited by the manufacturing process.

B. Two-Pole/Two-TZ NBPF

To validate the quasi-elliptic NBPF concept in Fig. 6, a two-resonator/two-TZ NBPF was designed for \( f_{\text{cen}} = 8.8 \, \text{GHz}, \) \( \text{FBW} = 11.3\% \) and two TZs at 7.75 and 9.9 GHz. The photograph of the GaAs MMIC manufactured prototype is shown in Fig. 13(a) alongside its different counterparts. The NBPF was designed using the NFS in Fig. 11, where for size compactness, \( Z_A \) and \( Z_B \) were implemented on metal 1 through their low-pass LE \( \pi \)-type circuit equivalent. The \( Z_C \) Tls were materialized on metal 1 through a hybrid implementation approach in which a 30°-long part of the TL was implemented by a microstrip TL and the rest by a LE artificial TL. Since the RF input and output ports were placed on the edge of the chip, they were implemented on metal 2 layer to prevent overlap with the rest of the components on metal 1. The multi-resonant stage (circled in green) was made by two capacitively-loaded microstrip Tls and the inverters \( Z_{\text{INV}} \), which were approximately equivalent to 15Ω (circled in red). They were implemented by their LE TL equivalents for size compactness. In particular, the external coupling was materialized by its high-pass first-order \( \pi \)-type LE circuit equivalent whereas the inter-resonator coupling was materialized by its low-pass first-order \( \pi \)-type circuit equivalent.

The RF measured performance of the two-resonator/two-TZ NBPF is depicted in Fig. 13(b) and (c) and is summarized as follows: \( f_{\text{cen}} = 9.0 \, \text{GHz}, \) \( \text{FBW} = 7.5\% \), maximum in-band gain (\( |S_{21}| \)) = 4.2 dB, and maximum in-band IS = 31.3 dB. The simulated S-parameters are also shown at the same figure. As it can be seen in Fig. 13(b), the EM-simulated and RF-measured response are in a fair agreement. The small differences that are observed in the passband FBW and gain (1.7-dB gain difference and 3.8% FBW difference) are attributed to process manufacturing variations that led to the TZs in the measured response to move closer to the passband, thus reducing the FBW and gain. As it can be seen in Fig. 13(c), if the capacitance of the stubs in the multi-resonant stage are altered so that the TZs in the EM simulation line up with the ones in the RF measurements, then a very good agreement can be obtained, thus validating the proposed NBPF concept.

C. Three-Pole/Four-TZ NRF

To further increase the out-of-band isolation, a three-resonator/four-TZ NBPF was designed, manufactured and measured using as a basis the block diagram in Fig. 8. The photograph of the manufactured prototype is shown in Fig. 14(a). In this design, the multi-resonant stages were
Fig. 13. (a) Photograph of the manufactured two-resonator/two-TZ prototype based on the block diagram in Fig. 6(a). The NRE is circled in yellow, the coupler is circled in white, $Z_C$ is circled in black, the multi-resonant stage 1 is circled in green, and the inverters $Z_{INV}$ are circled in red. (b) RF-measured and EM-simulated S-parameters for the two-resonator/two-TZ NBPF prototype in (a). (c) RF-measured and fitted EM-simulated S-parameters for the two-resonator/two-TZ NBPF prototype in (a).

Designed with capacitively-loaded TLs to have TZs at 6.9 and 11.2 GHz (circled in yellow) and 8.1 and 9.8 GHz (circled in green). Similar to the design in Fig. 13(a), the inverters were designed using their LE TL equivalents for size compactness; where $Z_{INV}$ (circled in red) was equivalent to 15 Ω. It is worth noting that $Z_{INV1}$ (which is the coupling between the NFS and multi-resonant stage 2), differed from $Z_{INV}$ order to compensate for the additional line length (circled in black) that was required to prevent overlap between the inverter and NFS, as shown in Fig. 14(a). In particular, $Z_{INV1}$ (circled in white) was approximately equivalent to 40 Ω since it could not directly be connected to the NFS. The overall NBPF was designed to operate at 9.0 GHz with FBW of 8.0%. The RF performance is shown in Fig. 14(b) and is summarized as follows: $f_{cen} = 9.0$ GHz, FBW = 8.1%, maximum gain ($|S_{21}|$) = 2.8 dB, and maximum IS = 28.8 dB. The fitted EM-simulated response is also provided in the same figure which as shown agrees well with the RF measured one.

D. Comparison With State-of-the-Art (SOA)

A comparison of the proposed MMIC NBPFs with other SOA NBPFs and IC-based isolators is provided Table III. As it can be seen, the proposed concept is the only GaAs MMIC component that facilitates the realization of two RF functions (i.e., a quasi-elliptic BPF and an RF isolator) within the volume of a single front-end element. Furthermore, it exhibits higher operational frequency and higher gain than SOA NBPFs and isolators and it is the only transistor-based component that allows the realization of TZs in its out-of-band response. In addition, when compared to the NBPFs [35], [36], [39]–[42], the proposed topology results in the smallest physical footprint. As shown in Table III, the IC-based components in [27]–[30] only exhibit the function of an RF isolator and show loss in their passband. While the isolators in [27]–[30] provide smaller size than the proposed concept, they would need additional BPFs to be cascaded in series to create the same filtering response. This addition would in turn increase...
the overall size and IL of the RF front-end. The STM-based NBPFs in [35] and [36] and [39]–[41] are limited to frequencies up to 1.2 GHz, show high levels of loss (i.e., NBPFs in [35] and [36] and [39]–[41] are limited to frequencies up to 1.2 GHz, show high levels of loss (i.e., NBPFs in [35] and [36] and [39]–[41]) and require complex biasing methods (e.g., spatio-temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]). The NBPF in [41] has TZs in the out-of-band response; however, it demonstrates high levels of IL (3.9 dB) and moderate IS (23.2 dB). While the co-designed NBPF in [42] exhibits higher IS and its temporal modulation in [35]–[39]).

IV. CONCLUSION

This article presented the operational principles and practical implementation aspects of a new class of MMIC RF components that exhibit the function of a BPF and an RF isolator. The proposed NBPFs are based on cascaded NFSs, multi-resonant stages and impedance inverters. The operational principles of the NBPF concept and its extension to high order transfer functions were presented through circuit-based analysis. For proof-of-concept validation purposes, two prototypes were designed and measured at X-band using the WIN Semiconductor GaAs process. They include: (a) two-resonator/two-TZ NBPF and (b) a three-resonator/two-TZ NRF. To the best of the authors’ knowledge this is the first demonstration of a fully integrated NBPF with enhanced power transmission in the forward direction, high isolation in the reverse direction, and increased out-of-band isolation through the presence of TZs.
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