

Title	Determining finite strain: how far have we progressed?
Authors	McCarthy, Dave;Meere, Patrick A.;Mulchrone, Kieran
Publication date	2020-01
Original Citation	McCarthy, D., Meere, P. and Mulchrone, K. (2020) 'Determining finite strain: how far have we progressed?', in Bond, C. E. and Lebit, H. D. (eds.) Folding and Fracturing of Rocks: 50 Years of Research since the Seminal Text Book of J. G. Ramsay. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 487, pp. 171-187. doi: 10.1144/SP487-2018-62
Type of publication	Book chapter
Link to publisher's version	https://doi.org/10.1144/SP487-2018-62 - 10.1144/SP487-2018-62
Rights	© 2020, Geological Society of London.
Download date	2025-01-14 12:51:30
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/12576



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

1 Determining finite strain: how far have we progressed?

2 Dave McCarthy¹, Patrick Meere² and Kieran Mulchrone³

3 1 British Geological Survey, The Lyell Centre, Research Avenue South, Edinburgh, EH14 4AP, UK

4 2 School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University College, Cork, Ireland

5 3 Department of Applied Mathematics, University College, Cork, Ireland

6 Abstract

7 One of the main aims in the field of structural geology is the identification and quantification of 8 deformation or strain. This pursuit has occupied geologists since the 1800's, but has evolved 9 dramatically since those early studies. The quantification of strain in sedimentary lithologies was initially restricted to lithologies of known initial shape, such as fossils or reduction spots. In 1967, 10 11 Ramsay presented a series of methods and calculations, which allowed populations of clasts to be 12 used as strain markers. These methods acted as a foundation for modern strain analysis, and have 13 influenced thousands of studies. This review highlights the significance of Ramsay's contribution to 14 modern strain analysis. We outline the advances in the field over the 50 years since publication of the 15 'Folding and Fracturing of Rocks', review the existing limitations of strain analysis methods and look 16 to future developments.

17

18 'The analysis of the variation in amount of finite strain in a deformed zone is of the utmost importance
19 in helping to understand the structural geometry and hence the structural history of the rocks.'

20

John Ramsay, 1967

The field of structural geology is primarily concerned with understanding the deformation of crustal rocks. This deformation or strain is caused when external forces or stresses act on a rock mass, causing a change in its shape or size (Ramsay, 1967). The concept of quantifying strain in rocks has been prevalent since the 1800's, and has evolved dramatically since those early studies. Various methods have been used to identify and quantify strain, the earliest of which relied on objects of a known initial shape. This approach was first taken by Phillips (1843) and Sharpe (1847) who used deformed fossils,
with Sharpe (1847) noting that the most deformed fossils were present in the areas with the most
intense cleavage. This led to Sorby's seminal interpretations of cleavage development (Sorby, 1849)
and correlation of cleavage to areas with high strain (Sorby, 1856). Haughton (1856) provided the first
mathematical description of length changes in fossils due to strain in naturally deformed rocks,
furthermore, he applied the concept of the strain ellipsoid to rock deformation, which established a
framework for strain to be quantified and compared.

33 It was not until the quantitative studies on distorted ooids by Cloos (1947) that truly numerical and 34 methodological strategies were fully applied to strain analysis. By the early 1960's, strain analysis 35 methods were still largely dependent on the presence of strain markers of known initial shape, such 36 as fossils, ooids or reduction spots (Breddin, 1954, 1957; DeSitter, 1964). In 1967, John Ramsay 37 presented a suite of precise and mathematical procedures that allowed for the accurate 38 determination of finite strain in deformed rocks. These methods, though significantly modified, have 39 stood the test of time and are regularly employed. Of the many publications citing Ramsay's Folding 40 and Fracturing, a significant number, >1000, have focussed on strain analysis (Lisle, this issue). It is clear that these techniques are still applied to both field studies and mathematical models of rock 41 42 deformation.

43 This review starts by highlighting the importance of Ramsay's initial contribution, then we outline the 44 significant advances in the techniques of strain analysis made over the last 50 years. This is followed 45 with a brief discussion on applications of strain analysis and how these techniques have advanced our 46 understanding of natural rock deformation. There is clearly a huge body of research involving strain 47 analysis and it is not possible to reference every application here, but we have highlighted some key 48 developments. We then follow this by providing a discussion of some of the key unresolved problems 49 in the field. We conclude with some ideas for future directions and hope that this will act as a 50 springboard for those investigating strain in rocks for the first time.

51 Strain Analysis Techniques proposed by Ramsay

52 The significance of Ramsay's contribution was that he set out in a systematic and mathematical 53 manner techniques for determining strain from objects of known initial shape, and he established 54 methods which allowed populations of objects, such as sedimentary clasts, of non-spherical and 55 fluctuating initial shape, to be used as strain markers. These methods depend on clast orientation, repacking and intraclast deformation of clasts due to deformation. This was a key development in 56 57 strain analysis, as it allowed estimates to be made from lithologies that did not have obvious or 58 established strain markers (Fig. 1). The methods developed by Ramsay (1967) are briefly outlined 59 below:

60 Method 1

The first method that Ramsay outlined built on existing techniques at the time, and involved direct measurement of the principal axes of elliptical strain markers and the orientation of their long axes (Ramsay, 1967, p 193). These axes are then plotted against each other (Fig. 2a) and the slope of the best-fit line that also passes through the origin provides an estimate of the strain ratio (Fig. 2b). Ramsay noted it was difficult to accurately identify ellipse lengths in high deformation regimes, and that it was difficult to identify the maximum stretching direction in low strain regimes.

67 *Method 2*

The second method (Ramsay, 1967, p 193-194), does not rely on direct measurement of ellipse axes, and accounts for difficulties in methods of identifying the length of maximum ellipse axes. The centre of each ellipse is identified and the lengths of chords from the centre to the edge of each ellipse along three arbitrarily directions are measured. The sum of the chord lengths for the three defined directions for a population of objects is calculated (Fig. 2c). If the objects were initially circular, the ratios of elongation can be calculated for each direction.

74

Method 3

75

This method, commonly referred to as the nearest-neighbour or centre-to-centre method, (Ramsay, 76 77 1967, p 195-196) was developed to tackle cases where pressure solution was suspected to have 78 occurred, and is applicable to rocks with particles equally or unequally distributed throughout the rock 79 mass. In cases where pressure solution is a significant deformation mechanism, the elliptical shape or 80 preferred orientation of markers is not reliable. This method is particularly useful for identifying cases 81 where non-passive deformation is thought to have occurred (i.e. that the clasts are not deforming 82 homogeneously with the matrix). The basic premise involves measuring the distance between object 83 centres, and assuming that in the unstrained state these distances should be isotropic (Fig. 3a). During 84 deformation the distance between centres should become shorter parallel to the maximum 85 compression axis (Fig. 3b & c).

86 Method 4

The fourth method (Ramsay, 1967, p 197-199) utilised the measurements of distorted angles of radial and tangential lines in elliptical sections, such as those in spherulites. Whilst an elegant method of calculating strain, this method has had limited use due to the specific nature of the strain markers required.

91 R_f/Ø Method

In addition to the four methods above, Ramsay (1967, p 204-211) also outlined a method for specifically dealing with markers of initial elliptical, the $R_f/Ø$ method (Fig. 4), where R_f is the deformed axial ratio of the marker ellipsoid, while Ø is the orientation of the long axis. This is slightly more complex than using initially circular objects, in that when an ellipse is deformed under homogeneous conditions, the resulting shape is another ellipse. The axial ratio (R_f) and orientation of the deformed ellipse is a result of the combination of the initial aspect ratio (R_f) and orientation (θ), and the strain ellipse, all of which are unknowns. When a population of deformed ellipses are considered, variations
in their Ø values can be related to eccentricity in their orientations prior to deformation.

100 Measuring strain after Ramsay

Essentially Ramsay (1967) developed methods whereby strain estimates could be made using parameters derived from the following: strain marker orientation, strain marker shape, position of strain marker centres, distance between centres and the angle between centres. The two main types of methods that prevailed were the $R_f/Ø$ method and the centre-to-centre. The $R_f/Ø$ method (Fig. 4) determines finite strain from randomly oriented populations of deformed elliptical objects, while the centre-to-centre method (Fig. 3) uses the distance between centres of adjacent objects, and assumes the objects were uniformally distributed prior to deformation.

108 Subsequent to the initial R_f/ϕ method, alternative methods based on marker shape and orientation 109 were developed (Dunnet, 1969; Elliott, 1970; Dunnet and Siddans, 1971; Matthews et al., 1974; 110 Borradaile, 1976; Shimamoto and Ikeda, 1976; Lisle, 1977a, 1977b, 1985; Robin, 1977; Peach and Lisle, 111 1979; Siddans, 1980; Yu and Zheng, 1984; Mulchrone and Meere, 2001; Mulchrone et al., 2003). 112 Dunnet (1969) developed an R_f/ϕ diagram method, while Elliott (1970) applied a similar graphical 113 approach, the shape factor grid. Dunnet and Siddans (1971) took non-random initial orientations into 114 consideration for the R_f/ϕ diagram method. A significant drawback of these methods is that they are 115 subjective.

An algebraic method that accommodated statistical analysis of any errors produced was introduced by Matthews et al. (1974). The drawback of this method was that the orientation of the principal strain axis needed to be calculated independently prior to using the method. Similarly, Robin (1977) derived a method that allowed analysis of strain markers of any shape but required prior independent knowledge of the principal strain axes. Advances in the $R_f/Ø$ method are discussed in further detail by Lisle (1994). In order to address the issues outlined above with calculating strain from distributions of elliptical objects, Shimamoto and Ikeda (1976) developed an objective non-graphical, reproducible approach to strain analysis. This approach averaged the parameters of all of the marker ellipses to generate one marker ellipse, or if the initial distribution was isotropic, a marker circle. The Mean Radial Length (MRL) method of Mulchrone et al. (2003) took a similar approach, whereby the average shape of a population of isotropic ellipses or non-deformed sedimentary clasts equates to a circle. As this population becomes deformed by either shape change or rotation, this circle becomes an ellipse and can be directly related to the strain ellipse in the same manner that any circular marker can after deformation.

130 The centre-to-centre family of techniques are based on using object-to-object separation and assume 131 that the distribution of marker objects are isotropic and that after deformation the distance between 132 any marker centre and all other clast centres has been modified. The relative change in clast centres 133 distances can be related to the direction and magnitude of the finite strain ellipse (Ramsay, 1967). Compared to the Rf/Ø method, the centre-to-centre method involved relatively complicated 134 calculations and was particularly labour intensive. As a result, it initially received significantly less 135 136 attention than the Rf/ϕ method. This changed when a relatively simple graphical approach was 137 developed by Fry (1979; Hanna and Fry, 1979), which used all object-object separations. This was 138 subsequently further improved as the Normalised Fry Method (Erslev, 1988) and the enhanced 139 Normalised Fry Method (Erslev and Ge, 1990). McNaught (1994) further extended these methods by 140 facilitating the use of non-elliptical markers by determining best-fit ellipses for these irregular shaped 141 objects. One of the drawbacks of the centre-to-centre techniques is that they do not account for 142 volume loss, which can be considerable when pressure solution is a dominant deformation mechanism 143 (Onasch, 1986; Dunne et al., 1990). Furthermore, if pressure solution is significant, there are 144 difficulties in identifying the pre-strain centres of clasts and if significant heterogeneous deformation 145 is present at the clast scale than this can lead to further underestimates of strain.

The Fry methods have been regularly incorporated into automated analysis tools (Ailleres et al., 1995;
Launeau and Robin, 1996; Launeau et al., 2010). Despite popularity and ease of use, these methods

are subjective, with interpreter bias being introduced at both the identification of clast centres, and the definition of the central ellipse on the Fry plot. Mulchrone (2003) used Delaunay triangulation to characterise nearest neighbour separations, and defined object centres using the centroid of the bestfit ellipse. This resulted in a more objective and automated process for identifying object centres and creating the tie-lines between nearest neighbours.

153 Calculating the strain ellipsoid

154 Most strain analysis techniques focus on quantifying strain in a 2D plane. In order to quantify strain in 155 3D, a strain ellipsoid needs to be defined. Typically, the strain ellipsoid is defined from strain ellipses 156 on several planar surfaces with differing orientations. Similar to calculating the strain ellipse, 157 calculating the strain ellipsoid is not a trivial process, and numerous attempts have been made at 158 determining the most accurate best-fit ellipsoid. Ramsay (1967; p. 142-147) derived a series of 159 equations to solve for the best-fit ellipsoid from three mutually perpendicular planes. Numerical 160 algorithms were subsequently developed for three orthogonal sections (Shimamoto and Ikeda, 1976; 161 Oertel, 1978). This was followed by methods, which allowed for non-orthogonal sections (Milton, 162 1980; Gendzwill and Stauffer, 1981; Shao and Wang, 1984; De Paor; 1990). Owens (1984) in particular 163 described an iterative method for the calculation of the best-fit strain ellipsoid from any number of 164 non-perpendicular sections using a least squares approach, as well as applying a scale factor. Robin 165 introduced an approach utilising a series of linear equations (Robin, 2002; Launeau and Robin, 2005). 166 Shan (2008) built on the Robin method, and included added flexibility, whereby stretching lineation 167 data could be included. The important distinction of the Robin and Shan methods from the previous 168 methods was that they were non-iterative but separated the parameters to be calculated from the 169 initial data. Vollmer (2017) has provided a more detailed comparison of the Robin and Shan methods, 170 as well as applying bootstrap statistics to the results. Mookerjee and Nickleach (2011) presented a 171 suite of methods in Mathematica, which attempts to minimise the errors between the best-fit ellipsoid 172 and any of the measured planes used as input data.

173 Graphical representation of the strain ellipsoid

174 The geometries of strain ellipsoids can be represented in 2D space using a Flinn Plot (Flinn, 1956, 1962, 175 1965). This type of plot was first used to compare the elliptical properties of clast populations in 176 conglomerates (Zingg, 1935). The ratio of the maximum to intermediate ellipsoid axes (R X/Y) is 177 plotted as ordinate and the ratio of the minimum to intermediate axes (R Y/Z) is plotted as abscissa 178 on these graphs. The Flinn Plot was subsequently modified by Ramsay (1967) to include a logarithmic 179 scale (Fig. 5; discussed further in Hobbs et al., 1976; Ramsay and Huber, 1983). The benefit of the 180 logarithmic Flinn Plot is that it provides a more even distribution of points with increase in deformation 181 (Ramsay and Huber, 1983), whilst in the original Flinn Plot low strains are clustered near the origin, 182 making it difficult to interpret data.

183 The symmetry of the strain ellipsoid can be described by the ratio K ((X/Y)/(Y/Z)). If K>1 then the 184 ellipsoid is considered to have a prolate or axial symmetric constriction and has one long axis and two 185 shorter axes. If K<1 the ellipsoid is considered to be oblate or axially symmetrically flattened and has 186 two long axes and one shorter axis. Between these two fields of flattening and constriction is the field 187 of plane strain (K=1) and which only occurs when strain is acting in the XZ plane. K represents the slope 188 of a line from the data point to the origin at (1,1), so that K=a-1/b-1 with a=x/y and b=y/z. K on the 189 diagram can define a series of domains, so that when K=0 the finite strain ellipsoid is uniaxial oblate 190 and has been flattened perpendicular to Z. As K tends towards 1 the ellipsoid moves away from being 191 purely uniaxial, but remains in the oblate and flattened domain. For K values greater than 1 the 192 ellipsoid lies in the prolate or constrictive domain, and for $K=\infty$ the ellipsoid is purely uniaxial prolate 193 and stretched along the X axis (Park, 1997). The degree of how far removed the ellipsoid is from 194 spherical (ellipsoid eccentricity) is calculated as V((X/Y)2 + (Y/Z)2).

A less-popular alternative to the Flinn Plot, the Nadai-Hsu Plot (Fig. 5; Nadai, 1950; Hsu, 1966) was first applied to geological strain analysis by Hossack (1968). This type of plot presents strain in a polar area, and is argued to provide a less distorted representation of the deviatoric strains (Hobbs et al., 1976; Brandon, 1995; Mookerjee and Peek, 2014). Another advantage of this type of polar plot is that
ellipsoids with low strain ratios plot closer together regardless of the ellipsoid shape. Ramsay and
Huber (1983) criticised the Nadai-Hsu plots, irrotational strain is assumed, while most natural
deformation involves progressive non-coaxial rotational strains.

202 The fundamental difference is that the Nadai-Hsu Plots use the amount of strain (εs) and Lode's Ratio 203 (v), to define the ellipsoid shape (Lode, 1926). The amount of strain, is related to the octahedral shear, Yo, and is defined by: $\varepsilon s = (\sqrt{3}/2)$ Yo, where Yo = $(2/3) [(\varepsilon 1 - \varepsilon 2)^2 + (\varepsilon 2 - \varepsilon 3)^2 + (\varepsilon 3 - \varepsilon 1)^2]$ ^{1/2} and $\varepsilon 1$, 204 205 ϵ^2 and ϵ^3 represent the strain axes. The Lode Ratio is defined as v = ($2\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^1 - \epsilon^3$) / ($\epsilon^1 - \epsilon^3$) and 206 ranges from -1 to 1. Lode ratios of -1 define a prolate ellipsoid, while 1 and 0, define an oblate and 207 plane strain ellipsoid respectively. Whereas the Flinn Plot solely relies on the aspect ratios of the strain 208 ellipsoid (as discussed above). For a more in depth discussion of the merits of each method readers 209 are referred to Mookerjee and Peek (2014) and Vollmer (2017).

210 Automation

Possibly one of the biggest drawbacks to most strain analysis studies is the high labour intensity required for both the identification of object boundaries, and the accurate identification of their centres for enough objects to create a statistically robust sample set. Since the late seventies, many attempts have been made at automating strain or fabric analysis to address this (e.g., Peach and Lisle, 1979). Initially, the limiting steps in the automation of these strain analysis techniques was the recognition and fitting of best-fit ellipses to geological strain markers, such as sedimentary clasts (Fig. 6a & b).

The efficient and accurate automatic segmentation of thin section images is still a developing field and has received a lot of recent attention with numerous attempts at automated extraction using image processing or GIS-based techniques (e.g., Goodchild and Fueten, 1998; Heilbronner, 2000; van den Berg et al., 2002; Perring et al., 2004; Barraud, 2006; Choudhury et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; Tarquini and Favalli, 2010; DeVasto et al., 2012; Gorsevski et al., 2012; Heilbronner and Barrett 2013; 223 Mingireanov Filho et al., 2013; Jungmann et al., 2014; Asmussen et al., 2015). Although these methods 224 produce rapid grain boundary maps, they are typically inaccurate or achieve different results 225 depending on the nature of the image. This is highlighted by the regular use of quartz clasts as strain 226 markers, whereby the automatic identification of their boundaries is complicated by undulose 227 extinction, deformation bands, diffuse boundaries and colour similarities between neighbouring 228 grains. Despite these advances, most methods follow the approach of Mukul (1998), whereby grains 229 used as strain markers are manually traced, and then analysed using image analysis software.

230 A number of methods for automated image analysis have been successfully utilised in the past for 231 geological strain analysis (Ailleres et al., 1995; Erslev and Ge, 1990; Masuda et al., 1991; McNaught, 232 1994; Heilbronner and Barrett, 2013). Panozzo (1984) utilised digitised sets of points representing 233 linear or elliptical objects in her projection method. Mulchrone et al. (2005) developed a parameter 234 extraction program (SAPE) that rapidly extracts the required data by using a simple region-growing 235 algorithm to identify regions of interest. Vollmer developed a similar method, Ellipsefit (Vollmer, 2010, 236 2011, 2017). Many of these techniques are discussed in Heilbronner and Barrett (2013), who have 237 provided a superb overview of image analysis techniques for geological material and it is 238 recommended as a starting point for readers interested in this field.

Once grain boundaries have been identified and ellipses are fitted to clasts, the parameters required for a range of strain analysis techniques such as the aspect ratio, orientation, and the centroid of the object can now be easily extracted. For the Rf/Ø method the difficulties in calculating a strain estimate cease once ellipses have been fitted to strain markers; for the centre-to-centre methods the difficulties continue.

The accuracy of centre-to-centre strain estimates can be further hampered by the ability to clearly define the vacancy field or central void of the Fry Plot (Fig. 6c), which in a strained sample should represent the strain ellipse (Crespi, 1986; Waldron and Wallace, 2007). A variety of techniques have been applied in order to accurately and objectively define this void (Erslev and Ge, 1990; McNaught, 248 1994; Waldron and Wallace, 2007; Lisle, 2010; Shan and Xiao, 2011; Reddy and Srivastava, 2012;
249 Mulchrone, 2013). Similar problems exist for defining the curve of the polar plot (Mulchrone, 2013).

250 In order to reduce the time and labour intensity required, Mulchrone et al. (2013) integrated image 251 analysis, ellipse fitting and parameter extraction, and strain analysis routines for MRL and DTNNM in 252 one workflow. They also included a method for bootstrapping the results in order to produce 253 uncertainty estimates (Fig. 6 e&f). Kumar et al. (2014) carried out a detailed comparison analyses on 254 these methods, and found that the Delaunay Triangulation Method of Mulchrone (2013) and the 255 Continuous Function Method of Waldron and Wallace (2007) were the most accurate. Additionally 256 they concluded that the Delaunay Triangulation Method and the image analysis technique of Reddy 257 and Srivastava (2012) were the most time efficient.

258 The other method that has stood out is the SURFOR method, first presented by Panozzo (1984, 1987), 259 and discussed in detail in Heilbronner and Barrett (2013). The SURFOR method takes a slightly 260 different approach to fabric or strain analysis compared to the Ramsay family of methods. Rather than 261 focussing on the object orientation or the spatial relationship between objects, the SURFOR method quantifies the fabric based on the shape, size and orientation of 'surfaces' (Heilbronner and Barrett, 262 263 2013). The 'surfaces' can be any linear element, such as fractures or grain boundaries. One particular 264 advantage of the SURFOR method over the original Ramsay Rf/Ø method is that it accounts for marker 265 size, with smaller objects having less of an impact on the final strain/anisotropy estimate. A similar 266 approach is taken by Launeau et al. (1990, 1996, 2010), whereby linear filters are used to count 267 intercepts along any arbitrary direction of a digital image. The intercepts technique has shown to be 268 comparable to the MRL and the DTNNM methods in moderate strain regimes, although in low strain 269 regimes there appears to be a discrepancy between the methods (McCarthy et al., 2015). These 270 discrepancies are due to uncertainties in strain estimates in low strain regimes.

271

272 Application of strain analysis and advances in strain theory

This contribution has focussed on the significant advances made in geological strain analysis, as outlined above, which have provided a number of valuable insights into geological deformation. Unfortunately, natural deformation is rarely simple or restricted to 2D planes, yet this approach have aided with understanding of complex deformations.

277 Strain analysis and resulting knowledge of the finite strain state of a point in a rock mass has played a 278 fundamental part in the understanding of the development of tectonic fabrics and foliations (Ramsay and Wood, 1973, Tullis and Wood, 1975). However, nature tends to reveal more by capturing change 279 280 in strain through time and space, (e.g. porphyroclasts and related structures, layers which are 281 shortened and then stretched, and regions of intense shear where the continuum from low to high 282 strain can be spatially traced). Often the spatial changes can be interpreted as reflecting the temporal 283 deformation history. Ramsay (1967) applied the concept of infinitesimal strain together with that of 284 finite strain to understand deformation history, with the difference between what happens in a short 285 time step compared to that over long time periods helped develop the ideas of progressive strain. This infinitesimal approach was followed by the seminal work of Means et al. (1980) who considered the 286 287 velocity gradient tensor to conceptualise progressive deformation, and used vorticity to quantify 288 rotational deformation. A detailed discussion of vorticity is beyond the scope of this contribution, and 289 interested readers should consult the work of Fossen and Tikoff (Fossen and Tikoff, 1993; Tikoff and 290 Fossen, 1993; Tikoff and Fossen, 1995; Tikoff and Fossen, 1999; Passchier and Trouw, 2005).

291 Many of the 2D finite strain methods discussed in previous sections have a natural extension to 3D, 292 but there is still a dearth of 3D strain studies. Methods based on shape (e.g. Shimamoto and Ikeda, 293 1976; Mulchrone et al., 2003) and inter-object relationships (e.g. Fry, 1979; Mulchrone, 2013) can be 294 readily developed into 3D methods. However, in many cases the primary difficulty rests with acquiring 295 suitable data in 3D in order to apply the methods. Recent technological advances have seen the 296 application of tomography to the acquisition of high quality images of 3D markers in rocks (Louis et al, 2006; Adam et al, 2013; Robin and Charles, 2015). This is certain to be an area of future development
and will inform finite strain studies and their interpretation in the context of 3D deformation history
(Tikoff and Fossen, 1999).

Important comparisons have been made between clast-based strain analyses and other methods of quantifying deformation. A number of studies in the seventies and eighties highlighted a close relationship between finite strain estimates and quartz crystallographic fabrics (Marjoribanks, 1976; Miller and Christie, 1981; Lisle, 1985; Law, 1986). Rapid developments in techniques such as Electron Back Scatter Diffraction (EBSD) have largely confirmed this relationship, but also provided insights into deformation at subgrain scales one of the most prominent methods for the determination of preferred orientation of minerals in thin sections (Passchier and Trouw, 2005; Prior et al., 2009).

307 Similar advances in rock deformation studies have been made in the field of Anisotropy of Magnetic 308 Susceptibility (AMS), with Graham (1954) first suggesting that magnetic fabrics could be a valuable 309 tool in petrofabric analysis and establishing a link between layer parallel shortening and AMS. Since 310 this pioneering study there has been a huge volume of work confirming the ability of AMS to 311 determine the orientation-distribution of all minerals and all subfabrics in a specimen, with 312 comprehensive reviews provided by Borradaile and Henry (1997) and Borradaile and Jackson (2010). 313 In direct comparisons of AMS to strain analysis techniques, AMS has been shown to be a highly 314 sensitive and rapid method for quantifying tectonic fabrics (Burmeister et al., 2009; Weil and Yonkee, 315 2009; McCarthy et al., 2015).

Other significant contributions of strain analysis includes providing accurate information for structural restorations. Compaction and stratigraphic thickening due to deformation can be estimated and incorporated in the construction of balanced cross sections (Woodward et al., 1986; Protzman and Mitra, 1990; Mitra, 1994). Despite Layer Parallel Shortening (LPS) or internal deformation (compaction, collapse of pore space, dissolution or cleavage formation) being shown to accommodate significant shortening in balanced cross sections from carbonate duplexes (27%; Cooper et al., 1983), gravity driven thrust systems (18-25%; Butler and Paton, 2010), and analogue models (15-30%; Koyi
et al., 2004; Burberry, 2015; Lathrop and Burberry, 2017), strain analysis techniques are rarely applied
to balancing cross sections.

325 Unresolved issues in strain analysis

Hobbs and Talbot (1966) highlighted a few of the limitations of strain analysis a year before Ramsay published his seminal text, and the majority of these seem to prevail today: the initial shapes of many strain markers cannot be measured accurately enough to yield highly accurate estimates; and homogeneous strain is typically assumed. Although these assumptions still prevail, they have largely been accepted to be unresolved. In addition to this, a number of factors add further uncertainty to any strain estimate including: strength and influence of the primary or pre-strain fabric; effects of nonpassive strain; and the effects of volume-change, these are discussed below.

333 Primary fabrics

The largest problem for strain analysis methods is the uncertainty regarding the strength and orientation of an initial primary fabric. Most strain analysis methods, particularly the R_f/Ø family of techniques, rely on the assumption that the strain markers have a random initial orientation. Certainly in the case of sedimentary rocks this is rarely true, as most sediments develop a preferred orientation either due to depositional processes or diagenesis (Elliott, 1970; Dunnet and Siddans, 1971; Boulter, 1976; Seymour and Boulter, 1979; De Paor, 1980; Holst, 1982; Paterson and Yu, 1994; Maffione and Morris, 2017).

In a study of undeformed lithologies Holst (1982) found that sections not parallel with bedding had a preferred orientation of clasts along the trace of the bedding plane, while sections parallel to bedding typically had no preferred orientation of clasts. Even if an isotropic or random depositional fabric existed, a preferred orientation typically develops during diagenesis and compaction through active or partly rigid body rotation (Borradaile, 1987). Several efforts have been made to remove the effects 346 of primary fabrics on strain estimates (Elliott, 1970; Dunnet and Siddans, 1971; Matthews et al., 1974; 347 Shimamoto and Ikeda, 1976; Lisle, 1977a; Seymour and Boulter, 1979; Holst, 1982; Wheeler, 1986; 348 DePaor, 1988). Unfortunately, most of these methods utilise one or more of the above assumptions 349 and/or assume the existence of independent information concerning the strain ellipsoid. Some of 350 these assumptions regarding the primary fabrics of sedimentary rocks were highlighted by Patterson 351 and Yu (1994) and include the following: individual grains are spherical prior to straining; orientations 352 and shapes of grain populations define spherical, pre-strain fabric ellipsoids (i.e. grains have an initial 353 uniform distribution); pre-strain fabric ellipsoids are symmetric around bedding; and initial fabrics are 354 recognisable even after straining.

355 Failing to account for any of these factors can lead to considerable errors in strain estimates, 356 particularly in domains with relatively low strains (R <1.5). To account for these errors Patterson and 357 Yu (1994) suggested that a correction should be applied by multiplying the estimated strain ellipsoid 358 by an average pre-strain ellipsoid. Unfortunately, information regarding the magnitude and 359 orientation of the pre-strain ellipsoid is rarely available. Paterson and Yu (1994) compiled XYZ averages 360 for a range of rock types, but this is a limited data set and should be expanded. Regarding the 361 orientation, the estimated strain ellipsoid can be multiplied by the reciprocal pre-strain ellipsoid 362 multiple times in numerous orientations to create an error bracket. Ramsay (1967) showed that all 363 possible combinations of two ellipsoids result in an approximate triangular region on a Flinn plot. 364 Following the methodology of Paterson and Yu (1994), this triangular region is then representative of 365 the error bars of the strain estimate.

366

367 Non-passive deformation

A key assumption of most current strain analysis techniques is that strain is homogenous and that markers behave in a wholly passive manner in relation to their host material. This breaks down in most natural materials especially when sedimentary clasts are used for strain analysis. 371 Clearly, the most ideal strain markers are those that were originally spherical, which were then 372 deformed passively with no competency contrast between the marker and the host rock. If this holds 373 true then the final shape of the marker will reflect that of the finite strain ellipsoid (Ramsay, 1967). 374 The fundamental assumption of most strain analysis methods is that there is no competency or 375 ductility contrast between the markers and their matrix/host rock, so that the marker and surrounding 376 rock matrix responded to deformation identically. Unfortunately, clasts and their surrounding matrix 377 rarely deform in a passive manner, due to competency or ductility contrasts between the marker and 378 the host rock. This competency contrast is inherently linked to the viscosity contrast between different 379 clast types and the matrix (Ramsay, 1967; Gay, 1968a,b, 1969; Lisle, 1985b; Freeman, 1987; Freeman 380 and Lisle, 1987; Treagus, 2002; Mulchrone and Walsh, 2006; Czeck et al., 2009). Gay (1968a) pointed 381 out that clasts with a low viscosity deform faster than the bulk rock strain ellipse, while clasts with 382 high viscosities resisted deformation and deformed slower than the bulk rock strain ellipse. Gay 383 (1968a) also noted that the viscosity ratio between a clast and the matrix is dependent on the relative 384 proportion of clasts and matrix. Freeman and Lisle (1987) confirmed that the errors in strain estimates 385 are higher when the clasts represent a small fraction of the bulk rock. This is driven by the high ductility 386 contrast, whereby the majority of strain is accommodated by the weaker matrix. As the clast-to-matrix 387 ratio increases the ductility contrast reduces, potentially caused by the reduced ability of the matrix 388 to flow due to the increase in clast on clast interaction. This separation of strain behaviour between 389 the matrix and clasts is typically termed strain partitioning. This type of behaviour is largely controlled 390 by object concentration and the degree of packing and clast interaction, due to the effect these have 391 on the viscosity contrasts (Gay, 1968a; Lisle et al., 1983; Mandal et al., 2003; Vitale and Mazzoli, 2005). 392 Generally, lithologies with higher object concentrations display reduced effects of strain partitioning, 393 leading to more accurate strain estimates (Mandal et al., 2003; Vitale and Mazzoli, 2005).

While reviewing problems arising from these competency contrasts, Treagus and Treagus (2002) concluded that conglomerates as a whole deformed at an approximately constant viscosity in a linearly viscous manner, but also found that Rf/Ø style methods characterised clast strain whereas the centre-to-centre methods were more effective at characterising bulk rock strain. This is in part due to two factors: clasts typically only represent 50-70% of the bulk rock (Leeder, 1982); and the Rf/Ø methods only consider clast shape and orientation, while centre-to-centre techniques account for distances between the clasts.

401 This non-passive deformation can be accounted for by utilising centre-to-centre methods, which 402 include spatial information in the estimates of strain, and provide bulk-rock strain estimates that are 403 closer to true strain values. This has been illustrated in a range of natural settings (Meere et al., 2008, 404 Soares and Dias, 2015). Meere et al. (2008) attributed non-passive deformation to the presence of a 405 relatively incompetent clay-rich matrix, which effectively cushioned clasts from internal deformation. 406 This type of behaviour allows for high degrees of competent clast long-axis alignment achieved by a 407 combination of rigid body rotation, layer boundary slip and particle-particle interactions, with 408 minimal evidence of penetrative deformation, despite evidence from traditional strain markers such 409 as reduction spots and deformed burrows (Meere et al., 2008). In these situations, using the Rf/ϕ 410 methods leads to a significant underestimate of strain. More recently, Meere et al. (2016) highlighted 411 the importance of identifying passive clast behaviour and the potential for deformation prior to 412 lithification in understanding the deformation history of a region.

413

414 Volume change

415 Most studies applying the strain analysis techniques discussed, do not account for any potential 416 volume change of the markers. Although Ramsay (1967) had already presented a modified Flinn 417 diagram, which was capable of including some aspect of volume loss, this aspect of strain analysis is 418 typically ignored. Clearly natural deformation rarely occurs in a closed system, and many attempts 419 have been made at estimating volume reduction during deformation, as opposed to diagenetic 420 volume loss. For example, there has been considerable debate regarding the amount of volume loss 421 in slate belts. Sorby (1856) initially suggested that a 50% volume reduction could occur in slates, but 422 settled on ~11% (1908). Wright and Platt (1982) suggested a volume loss of 50% in the Martinsburg 423 Shale of West Virginia. Similar volume reductions were suggested in the Taconic Slate Belt (Goldstein 424 et al., 1995, 1998). Onasch (1994) suggested a range of volume loss of 14-35% in deformed quartz 425 arenites. Similarly, Markley and Wojtal (1996) suggested 10-15% volume loss in an Appalachian mixed 426 siliciclastic sequence. Mosher (1987) analysed the variation in sizes of cobbles in the Purgatory 427 Conglomerate, Rhode Island, and suggested that there could be a volume loss of 23-55% of the original 428 cobble volumes in the areas of most intense deformation.

Despite these reports of significant volume reduction, these large volumes are rarely confirmed by geochemical analyses (Wintsch et al., 1991; Erslev and Ward, 1994; Tan et al., 1995). Similarly, Ramsay and Wood (1973) considered that a 10-20% volume reduction could occur based on density differences between lithified mudstones and slates, and argued that greater volume losses were likely to only occur in the deformation of incompletely consolidated sediments. As discussed earlier shortening values of this magnitude have been identified by in a range of settings (e.g., Cooper et al., 1983; Butler and Paton, 2010; Lathrop & Burberry, 2017).

While volume change has been mathematically incorporated into strain analysis (Gratier, 1983;
Onasch and Davis, 1988; Baird and Hudleston, 2007), most rocks lack the necessary strain markers for
this type of analysis. Some success has been made using isocon diagrams (Grant, 1986), but these are

typically restricted to discrete shear zones (Srivastava et al., 1995; Bhattacharyya and Hudleston,
2001; Baird and Hudleston, 2007). Other successes in identifying volume loss has come from gravity
driven fold and thrust belts, where the amount of extension high on the slope can be compared to the
amount of compression towards the toe of the slope (Butler and Paton, 2010).

443 Conclusions

444 Determining finite strain has seen significant developments since the seminal contribution of John 445 Ramsay. Through advances in imaging and software, it is easier than ever before to collect large data 446 sets and apply multiple strain analysis techniques rapidly, and there are a number of methods which 447 can incorporate statistical handling of the results. Strain analysis is regularly incorporated into 448 structural studies employing anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility, electron backscatter diffraction, x-449 ray tomography, microstructural analysis etc., which have not only led to advances in our knowedge 450 of rock deformation processes, but also regional scale understanding. It should be obvious that 451 detailed strain analysis studies are required to understand the spatial variations of strain in deformed 452 terranes, but also the significance of those variations.

453 Of the many advances outlined here, most of them have been driven by developments in computing, 454 automation and statistical methods, whilst the basis for these strain analysis techniques have by in 455 large remained the same, which is a testament to the initial contribution of Ramsay. We anticipate 456 that the next significant advances in this field will again be largely technologically driven. In particular, 457 3D imaging of strain markers and 3D strain analysis should become more widespread, and perhaps 458 developed into 4D. Although, there have been advances in applying micro-tomography to geological 459 materials, these techniques are yet to be applied to strain analysis. There is also scope for advances 460 to be made in the extraction of high quality data from images with minimum human intervention e.g., 461 grain boundary identification, and machine learning techniques could be applied to this. The natural optical heterogeneity of geological materials, even in single mineral phases such as quartz due to 462 463 impurities, inclusions and microstructural features, will always makes the automation of grain

identification challenging. Increasingly the use of non-destructive chemical mapping techniques, for
example using electron microscope energy-dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (QEMSCAN) or Raman
spectroscopy, produces outputs that allow the user to filter this heterogeneity thereby making the
process of grain boundary identification more manageable. This, coupled with new machine learning
techniques, will likely develop into a fully automated process for data acquisition, with strain analysis
studies becoming fully automated and significantly more efficient.

470 Regardless of any future developments, it should be clear that the strain analysis techniques of

471 Ramsay and their modernised equivalents should have a place in every structural geologist's toolbox.

472 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Frederick Vollmer and Richard Lisle who both contributed critical and invaluable
reviews that enhanced the text. Clare Bond is thanked for patient and helpful editorial assistance.
Rachael Ellen is thanked for discussions that improved an earlier version of the manuscript. This paper

is published with the permission of the Executive Director of the British Geological Survey (UKRI).

477 References

- Adam, J., Klinkmuiller, M., Schreurs, G., Wienke, B. 2013. Quantitative 3D strain analysis in analogue
 experiments simulating tectonic deformation: Integration of X-ray computed tomography and digital
 volume correlation techniques. Journal of Structural Geology, 55, 127-149.
- Ailleres, L., Champenois, M., Macaudiere, J., Bertrand, J., 1995. Use of image analysis in the
 measurement of finite strain by the normalized Fry method: geological implications for the 'Zone
 Houillere' (Brianconnais zone, French Alps). Mineralogical Magazine 59, 179–187
- Asmussen, P., Conrad, O., Günther, A., Kirsch, M. and Riller, U., 2015. Semi-automatic segmentation
 of petrographic thin section images using a "seeded-region growing algorithm" with an application to
 characterize weathered subarkose sandstone. Computers & Geosciences, 83, pp.89-99.
- Baird, G.B. and Hudleston, P.J., 2007. Modeling the influence of tectonic extrusion and volume loss on
 the geometry, displacement, vorticity, and strain compatibility of ductile shear zones. Journal of
 Structural Geology, 29(10), pp.1665-1678.
- Barraud, J., 2006. The use of watershed segmentation and GIS software for textural analysis of thin
 sections. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 154(1-2), pp.17-33.
- 492 Bhattacharyya, P. and Hudleston, P., 2001. Strain in ductile shear zones in the Caledonides of northern
- 493 Sweden: a three-dimensional puzzle. Journal of Structural Geology, 23(10), pp.1549-1565.

- Borradaile, G.J., 1976. A strain study of a granite–granite gneiss transition and accompanying
 schistosity formation in the Betic orogenic zone, SE. Spain. Journal of the Geological Society, 132(4),
 pp.417-428.
- 497 Borradaile, G., 1987. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility: rock composition versus strain.
 498 Tectonophysics, 138(2-4), pp.327-329.
- Borradaile, G.J. and Henry, B., 1997. Tectonic applications of magnetic susceptibility and its anisotropy.
 Earth-Science Reviews, 42(1-2), pp.49-93.
- 501 Borradaile, G.J. and Jackson, M., 2004. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS): magnetic
- 502 petrofabrics of deformed rocks. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 238(1), pp.299-360.
- Boulter, C.A., 1976. Sedimentary fabrics and their relation to strain-analysis methods. Geology, 4(3),
 pp.141-146.
- Brandon, M.T., 1995. Analysis of geologic strain data in strain-magnitude space. Journal of Structural
 Geology, 17(10), pp.1375-1385.
- 507 Breddin, H., 1954. Die tektonische Deformation der Fossilien im Rheinischen Schiefergebirge.
 508 Zeitschrift der Deutschen Geologischen Gesellschaft, pp.227-305.
- Breddin, H., 1957. Tektonische Fossil-und Gesteinsdeformation im Gebiet von St. Goarshausen
 (Rheinisches Schiefergebirge): Decheniana, 110, pp.289-350.
- 511 Burberry, C.M., 2015. Spatial and temporal variation in penetrative strain during compression: Insights
- 512 from analog models. Lithosphere, 7(6), pp.611-624.
- 513 Burmeister, K.C., Harrison, M.J., Marshak, S., Ferré, E.C., Bannister, R.A. and Kodama, K.P., 2009.
- 514 Comparison of Fry strain ellipse and AMS ellipsoid trends to tectonic fabric trends in very low-strain
- sandstone of the Appalachian fold–thrust belt. Journal of Structural Geology, 31(9), pp.1028-1038.

- 516 Butler, R.W.H. and Paton, D.A., 2010. Evaluating lateral compaction in deepwater fold and thrust belts:
- 517 How much are we missing from "nature's sandbox". GSA Today, 20(3), pp.4-10.
- 518 Cooper, M.A., Garton, M.R. and Hossack, J.R., 1983. The origin of the Basse Normandie duplex,
 519 Boulonnais, France. Journal of Structural Geology, 5(2), pp.139-152.
- 520 Cloos, E., 1947. Oölite deformation in the South Mountain fold, Maryland. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 58,
 521 843–918.
- 522 Choudhury, K.R., Meere, P.A. and Mulchrone, K.F., 2006. Automated grain boundary detection by 523 CASRG. Journal of Structural Geology, 28(3), pp.363-375.
- 524 Crespi, J.M., 1986. Some guidelines for the practical application of Fry's method of strain analysis.
- 525 Journal of Structural Geology, 8(7), pp.799-808.
- 526 Czeck, D.M., Fissler, D.A., Horsman, E. and Tikoff, B., 2009. Strain analysis and rheology contrasts in
- 527 polymictic conglomerates: an example from the Seine metaconglomerates, Superior Province,

528 Canada. Journal of Structural Geology, 31(11), pp.1365-1376.

- 529 De Paor, D.G., 1980. Some limitations of the Rf/φ technique of strain analysis. Tectonophysics, 64(1530 2), pp.T29-T31.
- De Paor, D.G., 1988. Rf/øf strain analysis using an orientation net. Journal of Structural Geology, 10(4),
 pp.323-333.
- 533 De Paor, D.G., 1990. Determination of the strain ellipsoid from sectional data. Journal of Structural
 534 Geology, 12(1), pp.131-137.
- 535 DeSitter, L., 1964. Structural Geology. Mc Graw-Hill.
- DeVasto, Michael A., Dyanna M. Czeck, and Prajukti Bhattacharyya. "Using image analysis and ArcGIS[®]
 to improve automatic grain boundary detection and quantify geological images." Computers &
 geosciences 49 (2012): 38-45.

- 539 Dunne, W.M., Onasch, C.M. and Williams, R.T., 1990. The problem of strain-marker centers and the 540 Fry method. Journal of Structural Geology, 12(7), pp.933-938.
- 541 Dunnet, D., 1969. A technique of finite strain analysis using elliptical particles. Tectonophysics 7, 117–
 542 136.
- 543 Dunnet, D., Siddans, A., 1971. Non-random sedimentary fabrics and their modification by strain.
 544 Tectonophysics 12, 307–325.
- Elliott, D., 1970. Determination of finite strain and initial shape from deformed elliptical objects.
 Geological Society of America Bulletin 81, 2221–2236.
- 547 Erslev, E., 1988. Normalized center-to-center strain analysis of packed aggregates. Journal of
 548 Structural Geology 10, 201–209.
- 549 Erslev, E., Ge, H., 1990. Least-squares center-to-center and mean object ellipse fabric analysis. Journal
 550 of Structural Geology 12, 1047–1059.
- Erslev, E. A., & Ward, D. J. (1994). Non-volatile element and volume flux in coalesced slaty cleavage.
 Journal of Structural Geology, 16(4), 531-553.
- 553 Flinn, D., 1956. On the deformation of the Funzie conglomerate, Fetlar, Shetland. J. Geol. 480–505.
- 554 Flinn, D., 1962a. On folding during three-dimensional progressive deformation. Q. J. Geol. Soc. 118.
- 555 Flinn, D., 1965. On the Symmetry Principle and the Deformation Ellipsoid. Geol. Mag. 102, 36–45.
- 556 Fossen, H., Tikoff, B. 1993. The deformation matrix for simultaneous simple shearing, pure shearing
- and volume change, and its applications to transpression-transtension tectonics. Journal of Structural
- 558 Geology, 15, 413-422.
- 559 Freeman, B., 1987. The behaviour of deformable ellipsoidal particles in three-dimensional slow flows:
- 560 implications for geological strain analysis. Tectonophysics, 132(4), pp.297-309.

Freeman, B. and Lisle, R.J., 1987. The relationship between tectonic strain and the three-dimensional
shape fabrics of pebbles in deformed conglomerates. Journal of the Geological Society, 144(4), pp.635639.

Fry, N., 1979. Random point distributions and strain measurement in rocks. Tectonophysics 60, 89–
105.

Gay, N.C. 1968a Pure shear and simple shear deformation of inhomogenous viscous fluids. 1. Theory.
Tectonophysics 5, p 211-234.

Gay, N.C. 1968b Pure shear and simple shear deformation of inhomogenous viscous fluids. 2. The
determination of total finite strain in a rock from objects such as deformed pebbles. Tectonophysics
5, p 295-302.

571 Gay, N. C. 1969 The analysis of strain in the Barberton Mountain Land, Eastern Transvaal, using 572 deformed pebbles. Journal of Geology 77, p 377-396.

Gendzwill, D.J. and Stauffer, M.R., 1981. Analysis of triaxial ellipsoids: Their shapes, plane sections,
and plane projections. Journal of the International Association for Mathematical Geology, 13(2),
pp.135-152.

576 Goldstein, A., Pickens, J., Klepeis, K. and Linn, F., 1995. Finite strain heterogeneity and volume loss in

slates of the Taconic Allochthon, Vermont, USA. Journal of Structural Geology, 17(9), pp.1207-1216.

578 Goldstein, A., Knight, J. and Kimball, K., 1998. Deformed graptolites, finite strain and volume loss

579 during cleavage formation in rocks of the taconic slate belt, New York and Vermont, USA. Journal of

580 structural geology, 20(12), pp.1769-1782.

581 Goodchild, J.S. and Fueten, F., 1998. Edge detection in petrographic images using the rotating polarizer
582 stage. Computers & Geosciences, 24(8), pp.745-751.

- 583 Gorsevski, P.V., Onasch, C.M., Farver, J.R. and Ye, X., 2012. Detecting grain boundaries in deformed 584 rocks using a cellular automata approach. Computers & Geosciences, 42, pp.136-142.
- 585 Grant, J.A., 1986. The isocon diagram; a simple solution to Gresens' equation for metasomatic 586 alteration. Economic geology, 81(8), pp.1976-1982.
- 587 Gratier, J.P., 1983. Estimation of volume changes by comparative chemical analyses in
- 588 heterogeneously deformed rocks (folds with mass transfer). In Strain Patterns in Rocks (pp. 329-339).
- 589 Hanna, S., Fry, N., 1979. A comparison of methods of strain determination in rocks from southwest
- 590 Dyfed (Pembrokeshire) and adjacent areas. Journal of Structural Geology 1, 155–162.
- Haughton, S., 1856. LII. On slaty cleavage, and the distortion of fossils. London, Edinburgh, Dublin
 Philos. Mag. J. Sci. 12, 409–421.
- Heilbronner, R., 2000. Automatic grain boundary detection and grain size analysis using polarization
 micrographs or orientation images. Journal of Structural Geology 22, 969–981.
- Heilbronner, R. and Barrett, S., 2013. Image analysis in earth sciences: microstructures and textures
 of earth materials (Vol. 129). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Hobbs, B.E. and Talbot, J.L., 1966. The analysis of strain in deformed rocks. The Journal of Geology,
 74(4), pp.500-513.
- Hobbs, B.E., Means, W.D. and Williams, P.F., 1976. An outline of structural geology. Wiley.
- 600 Holst, T.B., 1982. The role of initial fabric on strain determination from deformed ellipsoidal objects.
- 601 Tectonophysics, 82(3-4), pp.329
- Hossack, J.R., 1968. Pebble deformation and thrusting in the Bygdin area (southern Norway).
 Tectonophysics, 5(4), pp.315-339.-350.
- Hsu, T.C., 1966. The characteristics of coaxial and non-coaxial strain paths. Journal of Strain Analysis,
 1(3), pp.216-222.

- Jungmann, M., Pape, H., Wißkirchen, P., Clauser, C. and Berlage, T., 2014. Segmentation of thin section
 images for grain size analysis using region competition and edge-weighted region merging. Computers
- 608 & Geosciences, 72, pp.33-48.
- Koyi, H.A., Sans, M., Teixell, A., Cotton, J. and Zeyen, H., 2004. The significance of penetrative strain in
- 610 the restoration of shortened layers—Insights from sand models and the Spanish Pyrenees. In K. R.
- 611 McClay, ed., Thrust tectonics and hydrocarbon systems: AAPG Memoir 82, p. 1–16.
- Kumar, R., Srivastava, D.C. and Ojha, A.K., 2014. A comparison of the methods for objective strain
 estimation from the Fry plots. Journal of Structural Geology, 63, pp.76-90.
- Lathrop, B.A. and Burberry, C.M., 2017. Accommodation of penetrative strain during deformation
- above a ductile décollement. Lithosphere, 9(1), pp.46-57.
- Launeau, P., Bouchez, J.L. and Benn, K., 1990. Shape preferred orientation of object populations:
 automatic analysis of digitized images. Tectonophysics, 180(2-4), pp.201-211.
- Launeau, P.A., and Robin, P.Y., 1996. Fabric analysis using the intercept method. Tectonophysics,
 267(1-4), pp.91-119.
- 620 Launeau, P. and Robin, P.Y.F., 2005. Determination of fabric and strain ellipsoids from measured
- sectional ellipses—Implementation and applications. Journal of Structural Geology, 27(12), pp.22232233.
- Launeau, P., Archanjo, C.J., Picard, D., Arbaret, L. and Robin, P.Y., 2010. Two-and three-dimensional
- 624 shape fabric analysis by the intercept method in grey levels. Tectonophysics, 492(1-4), pp.230-239.
- Law, R.D., 1986. Relationships between strain and quartz crystallographic fabrics in the Roche Maurice
- 626 quartzites of Plougastel, western Brittany. Journal of Structural Geology, 8(5), pp.493-515.
- 627 Leeder, M.R., 1982. Sedimentology: process and product. Springer.

- Li, Y., Onasch, C.M. and Guo, Y., 2008. GIS-based detection of grain boundaries. Journal of Structural
 Geology, 30(4), pp.431-443.
- Lisle, R., 1977a. Estimation of the tectonic strain ratio from the mean shape of deformed elliptical
 objects. Geologie en Mijnbouw 56, 140–144.
- Lisle, R., 1977b. Clastic grain shape and orientation in relation to cleavage from the Aberystwyth Grits,
- 633 Wales. Tectonophysics 39, 381–395.
- Lisle, R.J., Rondeel, H.E., Doorn, D., Brugge, J. and Van de Gaag, P., 1983. Estimation of viscosity
 contrast and finite strain from deformed elliptical inclusions. Journal of Structural Geology, 5(6),
 pp.603-609.
- 637 Lisle, R., 1985. Geological Strain Analysis: A Manual for the Rf/φ Method. Pergamon Press.
- Lisle, R.J., 1985b. The effect of composition and strain on quartz-fabric intensity in pebbles from a
 deformed conglomerate. Geologische Rundschau, 74(3), pp.657-663.
- Lisle, R., 1994. Palaeostrain Analysis. In P.L. Hancock (Ed.), Continental Deformation, Pergamon Press,
 pp. 28-42.
- Lisle, R.J., 2010. Strain analysis from point fabric patterns: An objective variant of the Fry method.
 Journal of Structural Geology, 32(7), pp.975-981.
- Louis, L., Wong, T., Buad, P. Tembe, S. 2006. Imaging strain localization by X-ray computed
 tomography: discrete compaction bands in Diemelstadt sandstone. Journal of Structural Geology, 28,
 762-775.
- Maffione, M. and Morris, A., 2017. The onset of fabric development in deep marine sediments. Earth
 and Planetary Science Letters, 474, pp.32-39.

- Mandal, N., Samanta, S.K., Bhattacharyya, G. and Chakraborty, C., 2003. Deformation of ductile
 inclusions in a multiple inclusion system in pure shear. Journal of Structural Geology, 25(9), pp.13591370.
- Marjoribanks, R.W., 1976. The relation between microfabric and strain in a progressively deformed
 quartzite sequence from central Australia. Tectonophysics, 32(3-4), pp.269-293.
- 654 Markley, M. and Wojtal, S., 1996. Mesoscopic structure, strain, and volume loss in folded cover strata,
- Valley and Ridge Province, Maryland. American Journal of Science, 296(1), pp.23-57.
- 656 Masuda, T., Koike, T., Yuko, T. and Morikawa, T., 1991. Discontinuous grain growth of quartz in
- 657 metacherts: the influence of mica on a microstructural transition. Journal of Metamorphic Geology,658 9(4), pp.389-402.
- Matthews, P.E., Bond, R.A.B. and Van Den Berg, J.J., 1974. An algebraic method of strain analysis using
 elliptical markers. Tectonophysics, 24(1-2), pp.31-67.
- McCarthy, D.J., Meere, P.A. and Petronis, M.S., 2015. A comparison of the effectiveness of clast based
 finite strain analysis techniques to AMS in sandstones from the Sevier Thrust Belt, Wyoming.
 Tectonophysics, 639, pp.68-81.
- McNaught, M, 1994. Modifying the normalized Fry method for aggregates of non-elliptical grains.
 Journal of Structural Geology, 16(4), pp.493-503.
- Means, W.D., Hobbs, B.E., Lister, G.S., Williams, P.F. 1980. Vorticity and non-coxiality in progressive
 deformation. Journal of Structural Geology, 2, 371-378.
- Meere, P.A., Mulchrone, K.F., Sears, J.W. and Bradway, M.D., 2008. The effect of non-passive clast behaviour in the estimation of finite strain in sedimentary rocks. Journal of Structural Geology, 30(10),
- 670 pp.1264-1271.

- Meere, P.A., Mulchrone, K.F., McCarthy, D.J., Timmerman, M.J. and Dewey, J.F., 2016. Prelithification
 and synlithification tectonic foliation development in a clastic sedimentary sequence. Geology, 44(4),
 pp.291-294.
- 674 Miller, D.M. and Christie, J.M., 1981. Comparison of quartz microfabric with strain in recrystallized
 675 quartzite. Journal of Structural Geology, 3(2), pp.129-141.
- 676 Milton, N.J., 1980. Determination of the strain ellipsoid from measurements on any three sections.
 677 Tectonophysics, 64(1-2), pp.T19-T27.
- 678 Mingireanov Filho, I., Spina, T.V., Falcão, A.X. and Vidal, A.C., 2013. Segmentation of sandstone thin
- 679 section images with separation of touching grains using optimum path forest operators. Computers &
- 680 Geosciences, 57, pp.146-157.
- 681 Mitra, G., 1994. Strain variation in thrust sheets across the Sevier fold-and-thrust belt (Idaho-Utah-682 Wyoming): Implications for section restoration and wedge taper evolution. Journal of Structural 683 Geology, 16(4), pp.585-602.
- Mookerjee, M., Nickleach, S., 2011. Three-dimensional strain analysis using Mathematica. J. Struct.
 Geol. 33, 1467–1476.
- Mookerjee, M. and Peek, S., 2014. Evaluating the effectiveness of Flinn's k-value versus Lode's ratio.
 Journal of Structural Geology, 68, pp.33-43.
- Mosher, S., 1987. Pressure-solution deformation of the Purgatory Conglomerate, Rhode Island (USA):
 quantification of volume change, real strains and sedimentary shape factor. Journal of structural
 geology, 9(2), pp.221-232.
- Mukul, M., 1998. A spatial statistics approach to the quantification of finite strain variation in
 penetratively deformed thrust sheets: an example from the Sheeprock Thrust Sheet, Sevier. Journal
 of Structural Geology20, 371–384.

- Mulchrone, K.F. and Meere, P.A., 2001. A Windows program for the analysis of tectonic strain using
 deformed elliptical markers. Computers & geosciences, 27(10), pp.1251-1255.
- 696 Mulchrone, K.F., 2003. Application of Delaunay triangulation to the nearest neighbour method of 697 strain analysis. Journal of Structural Geology, 25(5), pp.689-702.
- 698 Mulchrone, K.F., O'Sullivan, F. and Meere, P.A., 2003. Finite strain estimation using the mean radial
- 699 length of elliptical objects with bootstrap confidence intervals. Journal of Structural Geology, 25(4),
- 700 pp.529-539.
- 701 Mulchrone, K.F., Meere, P.A. and Choudhury, K.R., 2005. SAPE: a program for semi-automatic
- parameter extraction for strain analysis. Journal of structural geology, 27(11), pp.2084-2098.
- 703 Mulchrone, K.F. and Walsh, K., 2006. The motion of a non-rigid ellipse in a general 2D deformation.
- Journal of Structural Geology, 28(3), pp.392-407.
- 705 Mulchrone, K.F., 2013. Fitting the void: Data boundaries, point distributions and strain analysis.
- Journal of Structural Geology, 46, pp.22-33.
- 707 Mulchrone, K.F., McCarthy, D.J. and Meere, P.A., 2013. Mathematica code for image analysis, semi-
- automatic parameter extraction and strain analysis. Computers & Geosciences, 61, pp.64-70.
- Nádai, A., 1950. Theory of flow and fracture of solids, v. 2.
- Oertel, G., 1978. Strain determination from the measurement of pebble shapes. Tectonophysics,
 50(1), pp.T1-T7.
- Onasch, C.M., 1986. Ability of the Fry method to characterize pressure-solution deformation.
 Tectonophysics, 122(1-2), pp.187-193.
- 714 Onasch, C.M., 1994. Assessing brittle volume-gain and pressure solution volume-loss processes in
- 715 quartz arenite. Journal of Structural Geology, 16(4), pp.519-530.

- Onasch, C.M. and Davis, T.L., 1988. Strain determination using cathodoluminescence of calcite
 overgrowths. Journal of structural geology, 10(3), pp.301-303.
- Owens, W.H., 1984. The calculation of a best-fit ellipsoid from elliptical sections on arbitrarily
 orientated planes. J. Struct. Geol. 6, 571–578.
- Panozzo, R., 1984. Two-dimensional strain from the orientation of lines in a plane. Journal of Structural
 Geology 6, 215–221.
- Panozzo, R., 1987. Two-dimensional strain determination by the inverse SURFOR wheel. Journal of
 Structural Geology, 9(1), pp.115-119.
- 724 Park, R.G., 1997. Foundation of structural geology. Routledge.
- 725 Passchier, C.W. and Trouw, R.A., 2005. Microtectonics (Vol. 1). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Paterson, S., Yu, H., 1994. Primary fabric ellipsoids in sandstones: implications for depositional
 processes and strain analysis. J. Struct. Geol. 16, 505–517.
- 728 Peach, C., and Lisle, R., 1979. A Fortran IV program for the analysis of tectonic strain using deformed
- 729 elliptical markers. Computers & Geosciences 5, 325–334.
- 730 Perring, C.S., Barnes, S.J., Verrall, M. and Hill, R.E.T., 2004. Using automated digital image analysis to
- provide quantitative petrographic data on olivine–phyric basalts. Computers & Geosciences, 30(2),
 pp.183-195.
- Phillips, J., 1843. On certain movements in the parts of stratified rocks. Adv. Sci, pp.60-61.
- Prior, D.J., Mariani, E. and Wheeler, J., 2009. EBSD in the earth sciences: applications, common
 practice, and challenges. In Electron backscatter diffraction in materials science (pp. 345-360).
 Springer, Boston, MA.
- Protzman, G.M. and Mitra, G., 1990. Strain fabric associated with the Meade thrust sheet: implications
 for cross-section balancing. Journal of Structural Geology, 12(4), pp.403-417.

- 739 Ramsay, J.G., 1967. Folding and fracturing of rocks. New York, MacGraw-Hill, 568p
- Ramsay, J.G. and Wood, D.S., 1973. The geometric effects of volume change during deformation
 processes. Tectonophysics, 16(3-4), pp.263-277.
- Ramsay, J.G., and Huber, M., 1983. The techniques of modern structural geology. Strain Analysis,
 London: Academic Press.
- Reddy, B.S.R. and Srivastava, D.C., 2012. Rapid extraction of central vacancy by image-analysis of Fry
 plots. Journal of Structural Geology, 40, pp.44-53.
- 746 Robin, P., 1977. Determination of geologic strain using randomly oriented strain markers of any shape.
- 747 Tectonophysics 42, T7–T16.
- Robin, P.-Y.F., 2002. Determination of fabric and strain ellipsoids from measured sectional ellipses —
 theory. J. Struct. Geol. 24, 531–544.
- 750 Robin P.F., Charles, C.R.J. 2015. Quantifying the three-dimensional shapes of spheroidal objects in
- rocks imaged by tomography. Journal of Structural Geology, 77, 1-10.
- 752 Seymour, D.B. and Boulter, C.A., 1979. Tests of computerised strain analysis methods by the analysis
- of simulated deformation of natural unstrained sedimentary fabrics. Tectonophysics, 58(3-4), pp.221235.
- Shan, Y., 2008. An analytical approach for determining strain ellipsoids from measurements on planar
- surfaces. Journal of Structural Geology, 30(4), pp.539-546
- Shan, Y. and Xiao, W., 2011. A statistical examination of the Fry method of strain analysis. Journal of
 Structural Geology, 33(5), pp.1000-1009.
- 759 Shao, J. and Wang, C., 1984. Determination of strain ellipsoid according to two-dimensional data on
- three or more intersection planes. Journal of the International Association for Mathematical Geology,

761 16(8), pp.823-833.

- 762 Sharpe, D., 1847. On slaty cleavage. Q. J. Geol. Soc. 3, 74–105.
- Shimamoto, T., Ikeda, Y., 1976. A simple algebraic method for strain estimation from deformed
 ellipsoidal objects. 1. Basic theory. Tectonophysics 36, 315–337.
- Siddans, A.W.B., 1980. Analysis of three-dimensional, homogeneous, finite strain using ellipsoidal
 objects. Tectonophysics, 64(1-2), pp.1-16.
- 767 Soares, A. and Dias, R., 2015. Fry and Rf/ ϕ strain methods constraints and fold transection 768 mechanisms in the NW Iberian Variscides. Journal of Structural Geology, 79, pp.19-30.
- Sorby, H., 1849. On the origin of slaty cleavage. Proc. Geol. Polytech. Soc. West Rid. Yorksh. 3, 300–
 312.
- Sorby, H., 1856. XVIII. On the theory of the origin of slaty cleavage. London, Edinburgh, Dublin Philos.
 Mag. J. Sci. 12, 127–129.
- Sorby, H.C., 1908. On the application of quantitative methods to the study of the structure and history
- of rocks. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society, 64(1-4), pp.171-233.
- 775 Srivastava, H.B., Hudleston, P. and Earley III, D., 1995. Strain and possible volume loss in a high-grade
- ductile shear zone. Journal of Structural Geology, 17(9), pp.1217-1231.
- Tan, B.K., Gray, D.R. and Stewart, I., 1995. Volume change accompanying cleavage development in
 graptolitic shales from Gisborne, Victoria, Australia. Journal of Structural Geology, 17(10), pp.13871394.
- 780 Tarquini, S. and Favalli, M., 2010. A microscopic information system (MIS) for petrographic analysis.
- 781 Computers & Geosciences, 36(5), pp.665-674.
- 782 Tikoff, B, Fossen, H. 1993. Simultaneous pure and simple shear: the unifying deformation matrix.

783 Tectonophysics, 217, 267-283.

Tikoff, B, Fossen, H. 1995. The limitations of three dimensional kinematic vorticity analysis. Journal of
Structural Geology, 17, 1771-1784.

786 Tikoff, B, Fossen, H. 1999. Three dimensional reference deformations and strain facies. Journal of

787 Structural Geology, 21, 1497-1512.Todd, S.P., 2000, Taking the roof off a suture zone: basin setting

and provenance of conglomerates in the ORSDingle Basin of SW Ireland: Geological Society, London,

- 789 Special Publications, v. 180, no. 1, p. 185–222.
- Treagus, S.H., 2002. Modelling the bulk viscosity of two-phase mixtures in terms of clast shape. Journal
 of Structural Geology, 24(1), pp.57-76.
- Treagus, S.H., and Treagus, J.E., 2002. Studies of strain and rheology of conglomerates. J. Struct. Geol.
 24, 1541–1567.
- Van den Berg, E.H., Meesters, A.G.C.A., Kenter, J.A.M. and Schlager, W., 2002. Automated separation
 of touching grains in digital images of thin sections. Computers & Geosciences, 28(2), pp.179-190.
- 796 Vitale, S. and Mazzoli, S., 2005. Influence of object concentration on finite strain and effective viscosity
- contrast: insights from naturally deformed packstones. Journal of Structural Geology, 27(12), pp.2135-
- 798 2149.
- Vollmer, F. W., 2010. A comparison of ellipse-fitting techniques for two and three-dimensional strain
 analysis, and their implementation in an integrated computer program designed for field-based
 studies. Abstract T21B-2166, Fall Meeting, American Geophysical Union, San Francisco, California.
- 802 Vollmer, F. W., 2011. Best-fit strain from multiple angles of shear and implementation in a computer
- program for geological strain analysis. Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 43.
- Vollmer, F. W., 2017. EllipseFit: Strain and Fabric Analysis Software User Manual Version 3.4.0
- 805 [computer software user manual]. Retrieved from http://www.frederickvollmer.com/ellipsefit/.

- Waldron, J.W. and Wallace, K.D., 2007. Objective fitting of ellipses in the centre-to-centre (Fry)
 method of strain analysis. Journal of Structural Geology, 29(9), pp.1430-1444.
- 808 Weil, A.B. and Yonkee, A., 2009. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility in weakly deformed red beds
- 809 from the Wyoming salient, Sevier thrust belt: Relations to layer-parallel shortening and orogenic
- 810 curvature. Lithosphere, 1(4), pp.235-256.
- Wheeler, J., 1986. Strain analysis in rocks with pretectonic fabrics. Journal of structural geology, 8(8),
 pp.887-896.
- 813 Wintsch, R.P., Kvale, C.M. and Kisch, H.J., 1991. Open-system, constant-volume development of slaty
- 814 cleavage, and strain-induced replacement reactions in the Martinsburg Formation, Lehigh Gap,
- Pennsylvania. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 103(7), pp.916-927.
- Woodward, N.B., Gray, D.R. and Spears, D.B., 1986. Including strain data in balanced cross-sections.
 Journal of Structural Geology, 8(3-4), pp.313-324.
- Wright, T.O. and Platt, L.B., 1982. Pressure dissolution and cleavage in the Martinsburg Shale.
 American Journal of Science, 282(2), pp.122-135.
- Yu, H., Zheng, Y., 1984. A statistical analysis applied to the Rf/φ method. Tectonophysics 110, 151–
 155.
- Zingg, T., 1935. Beitrag zur schotteranalyse (Doctoral dissertation, ETH Zurich).
- 823

824

825 Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Identifying strain in rocks. A. A highly idealised rock outcrop with three exposed mutually perpendicular surfaces, with appropriate strain markers on each surface. The strain ellipsoid illustrates the relationship between the tectonic stretching axes XYZ and sigma 1, 2 & 3. B. A real outcrop from the Dingle Peninsula, which presents a more challenging problem for identifying and quantifying strain.

Fig. 2 A. Measuring the long (M) and short (m) axes of elliptical strain markers. B. Plot of the long and short axes from A. The slope of a best-fit line that passes through the points and the origin provides an estimate of the strain ratio. C. Measuring chords along three defined directions for a population of ellipses.

835 Fig. 3 Nearest-neighbour and or centre-to-centre methodology. A. Centre to centre techniques are 836 based on the assumption that the tie-lines between nearest neighbours have a uniformly random 837 distribution in the unstrained state. The lengths, d, and orientations, α , of tie lines joining object 838 centres are marked. The Polar plot of the unstrained state is illustrated below, showing d vs α . 839 Interestingly, this unstrained sample has a weak preferred distribution, in that the clasts are closer 840 together in the vertical direction than the horizontal direction. B. Initial strained state, the distances 841 between clasts become shorter in the tectonic shortening direction. The polar plot indicates higher 842 strain estimates. The apex of the curve shows the orientation of the longest direction and the nadir 843 shows the orientation of the shortest direction. C. The final strain state with pressure solution and a 844 higher strain estimate.

Fig. 4 The Rf/Ø method. A. After fitting ellipses to strain markers, the ratio of the long axis to short axis is calculated and the orientation relative to a reference angle is recorded. B. These ratios are then plotted against the orientation of the long axis. This limited data set suggests that preferred orientation is between 45 degrees and 75 degrees. Clearly more data is required to more accurately estimate strain. Fig. 5. Flinn and Nadai-Hsu plots. A. Flinn plots represent all possible ellipsoid geometries in a 2D space.
The standard convention is to use a logarithmic plot, where the ratio of the maximum to intermediate
ellipsoid axes (Ln X/Y) is plotted as ordinate and the ratio of the minimum to intermediate axes (Ln
Y/Z) is plotted as abscissa. Prolate spheroids plot along the vertical axis and oblate spheroids plot along
the horizontal. As these ellipsoids become less spherical, they plot further away from the origin. B.
Nadai-Hsu plots show similar information to the Flinn Plots, but have an advantage that less deformed
ellipsoids plot closer together regardless of shape.

Fig. 6. Typical strain analysis methodology. A. Selection of a suitable oriented thin section. B. Fitting ellipses to the clasts shown in A. C. Fitting the central void of the Fry Plot. D. The same data is presented in a polar plot. E. Strain estimate from the DTNNM method represented by the black star. The shaded ellipses represent the Bootstrapped confidence intervals. F. Strain estimate from the MRL method represented by the black star. The shaded ellipses represent the Bootstrapped confidence intervals. Note the underestimate compared to the DTNNM method.















