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Kinematic analysis of a single-loop reconfigurable 7R mechanism with multiple operation modes
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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a novel 1-DOF (degree-of-freedom) single-loop reconfigurable 7R mechanism with multiple operation modes (SLR7RMMOM), composed of seven revolute (R) joints, via adding a revolute joint to the overconstrained Sarrus linkage. The SLR7RMMOM can switch from one operation mode to another without disconnection and reassembly, and is a non-overconstrained mechanism. The algorithm for the inverse kinematics of the serial 6R mechanism using kinematic mapping is adopted to deal with the kinematic analysis of the SLR7RMMOM. Firstly, a numerical method is applied and an example is given to show that there are 13 sets of solutions for the SLR7RMMOM corresponding to each input angle. Among these solutions, nine sets are real solutions, which are verified using both the CAD model and the prototype of the mechanism. Then an algebraic approach is also used to analyze the mechanism and the same results are obtained as the numerical one. It is shown from both the numerical and algebraic approaches that the SLR7RMMOM has three operation modes: translational mode and two 1-DOF planar modes. The transitional configurations among the three modes are also identified.
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1. Introduction

Reconfigurable mechanisms (RMs) have received increasing attention from researchers around the world, which can generate different operation modes to fulfill variable tasks based on a sole mechanism. Different approaches have been proposed to design RMs generating multiple motion patterns. Several classes of RPMs have been developed such as modular reconfigurable mechanisms12, metamorphic mechanisms4, kinematropic mechanisms3, variable actuated mechanisms5, and reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes6–8.

This paper focuses on the reconfigurable mechanism with multiple operation modes89 since this class of RMs can be reconfigured without disassembly and without increasing the number of actuators. One design approach has been proposed in [6–8] for the synthesis of reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes, including single-loop reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes6,7 and multiple-loop reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes8. An intuitive approach9 was proposed to construct a single-loop reconfigurable mechanism with multiple operation modes by combining two overconstrained mechanisms. Using this approach, Huang et al10 proposed a spatial 7-link mechanism by combining a Bennett linkage and a RPRP linkage (R: revolute joint; P: prismatic joint) and revealed that the mechanism has three operation modes: the 5R2P, Bennett and RPRP modes. Another design approach for constructing single-loop reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes is to insert one or more joints into an overconstrained mechanism12. In this paper, we will propose a new 7R mechanism by inserting one R joint into the overconstrained Sarrus linkage. This mechanism has at least two operation modes: the Sarrus linkage motion mode (translational mode) and one planar mode. One apparent merit of the new 7R mechanism, compared to the original Sarrus linkage or other conventional single-mode 7R mechanisms is that it has multiple operation modes.

Meanwhile, several analysis approaches have been developed to deal with the kinematics and singularity analysis of serial and parallel mechanisms, such as differential algorithm10, screw theory algorithm11 and kinematic mapping algorithm13. Husty and Pfurner have made a significant contribution to the kinematic mapping algorithm to the kinematic analysis of mechanisms12–16. It has been shown that kinematic mapping algorithm is very efficient for both direct (forward) and inverse kinematic analysis of mechanisms.

The kinematic analysis of the single-loop reconfigurable 7R mechanism with multiple operation modes (SLR7RMMOM) proposed in this paper is to be analyzed using the effective algorithm for the inverse kinematics of a general serial 6R manipulator. The operational modes and transitional configurations will be identified. The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM. In Section 3, the kinematic analysis for the mechanism is undertaken within three steps mainly using the kinematic mapping method, and the solutions for a given input angle are verified using both the CAD model and the prototype. Based upon the results from Section 3, a series of input angles are given and the operation modes and transitional configurations are obtained in Section 4. In Section 5, the algebraic approach is used to analyze the SLR7RMMOM again. Finally, conclusions are drawn.

†Corresponding author. Email: X.Kong@hw.ac.uk.
2. Description of a 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM

It is well known that the Sarrus linkage (Fig. 1(a)), which is composed of two groups of three R joints with parallel joint axes (rotational axes), is used to control the 1-DOF translation of the moving platform along a straight line with respect to the base. Since the Sarrus linkage is an overconstrained mechanism, we can insert one additional R joint between the two joints of a link to obtain a new 1-DOF single-loop 7R mechanism (Fig. 1(b))[17]. The advantages of adding one R joint to the Sarrus linkage are as follows. (a) It allows one to obtain a non-overconstrained mechanism from an over-constrained mechanism; (b) The Sarrus linkage has only one operation mode to complete one kind of task, but the new single-loop 7R mechanism has at least two operation modes with the possibility to fulfil different kinds of tasks on a sole mechanism; (c) The new single-loop 7R mechanism can switch from one mode to another without disassembly and without adding other actuator onto the mechanism. In the translational operation mode (Sarrus mode), it works as the Sarrus linkage in which the moving platform translates along a straight line (Fig. 1(b)). In the 1-DOF planar operation mode, the moving platform undergoes a 1-DOF general planar motion (Fig. 1(c)). Therefore, the above 7R mechanism is an SLR7RMMOM, which can switch from one operation mode to another one without causing any disconnection by using a break in a transition configuration.

In this SLR7RMMOM, link 7 is the base, and link 4 is specified as the moving platform. Links 4 and 7 are identical and the link lengths and the axes of the R joints satisfy the following conditions:

\[
\mathbf{R}_i//\mathbf{R}_{i+2}\mathbf{R}_{i+3}
\]

\[
\mathbf{R}_i//\mathbf{R}_{i+1}\mathbf{R}_{i+2}
\]

\[
a_1+a_3=a_5+a_7
\]

where \(\mathbf{R}_i(i=1,2,\ldots,7)\) is the unit vector along the axis of joint \(R_i\), and \(a_i\) is the link length as indicated in Fig. 1(c).

![Diagram of Sarrus linkage and SLR7RMMOM](image)

(a) Sarrus linkage
(b) SLR7RMMOM in translation mode (equivalent to Sarrus linkage)
(c) SLR7RMMOM in 1-DOF planar mode

Fig. 1. Construction of the SLR7RMMOM

Whether the SLR7RMMOM has additional operational modes except the two operation modes already known is unclear from only the construction of the mechanism. In the next section, we will discuss the kinematic analysis of the SLR7RMMOM in order to identify all of its operation modes as well as transitional configurations that the mechanism can switch from one operation mode to another.

3. Kinematic Analysis and Numerical Example

Using the approach to the inverse kinematics for the general 6R mechanism, one can perform the kinematic analysis of the SLR7RMMOM. Then all the operation modes and transition configurations of the mechanism can be identified.

3.1. D-H Parameters for the mechanism

In order to define the transformation relations between the links, a coordinate frame \(\Sigma_i\) is attached to link \(i\) as follows: the \(z_i\)-axis coincides with the axis of joint \(R_i\), the \(x_i\)-axis aligns with the common perpendicular to the \(z_i\) and \(x_i\)-axes, and the \(y_i\)-axis is defined by the right-hand rule. With this notation one could write the transformation matrix \((T_i)\) from \(\Sigma_i\) to \(\Sigma_{i+1}\) as:

\[
T_i = \mathbf{M}_{i}G_i = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos(\theta_i) & -\sin(\theta_i) & 0 \\
0 & \sin(\theta_i) & \cos(\theta_i) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cos(\alpha_i) & -\sin(\alpha_i) \\
0 & \sin(\alpha_i) & \cos(\alpha_i) & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4)
where $\theta_i$ and $d_i$ are the revolute angle and distance between the two $x$-axes of links $i$ and $i+1$, respectively, and $\alpha_i$ and $a_i$ are the twist angle and distance between the two $z$-axes of links $i$ and $i+1$, respectively (Fig. 2).

The SLR7RMMOM can be regarded as a 6R serial mechanism (Fig. 3(a)) with link 6 as the end-effector (EE), the coordinate frame on which is set as follows. Its $z$-axis ($z_{EE}$) coincides with the axis of joint $R_7$ and its $x$-axis aligns with the common perpendicular to the $z_6$-axis and the $z_{EE}$-axis. The angle between the $x_{EE}$-axis and the vertical line ($\theta$) is defined as the input angle of the SLR7RMMOM (Fig. 3(b)). The D-H parameters of the 6R mechanism are shown in Table 1, which should satisfy the conditions given in Section 2.

![Fig. 2. D-H parameters (Σ is the coordinate frame system)](image)

**Table 1.** D-H parameters for the SLR7RMMOM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$a_i$</th>
<th>$d_i$</th>
<th>$\alpha_i$</th>
<th>$\theta_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>$\theta_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-90°$</td>
<td>$\theta_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>$\theta_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>$-120°$</td>
<td>$\theta_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>$\theta_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>$\theta_6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 3. Coordinate frame system for the SLR7RMMOM](image)

In addition, the angle between the axes of joints $R_1$ and $R_7$ is $60°$. $\theta$ is specified as $-45°$ and $a_7$ is 1.47 (note: throughout this paper, all rotational angles are defined to be positive if the rotation is a clockwise direction about the $z$-axis). Therefore, the pose of end-effector $\Sigma_{EE}$ with respect to $\Sigma_1$ ($A$) can be obtained (Fig. 3(b)). First, the frame $\Sigma_1$ rotates $60°$ about the $x$-axis ($R_1$),
then it translates 1.47 units along the z-axis \((P_2)\) and rotates another 60° about its x axis \((R_3)\), finally we get the frame \(\Sigma_{4B}\) after rotating \(-45°\) about the z-axis \((R_4)\):

\[
A = R_3 \cdot R_4 \cdot A_2
\]

that is:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.7071067810 & 0.7071067810 & 0 \\
-1.273057344 & 0.3535533905 & -0.3535533905 & -0.8660254040 \\
-0.7350000000 & -0.6123724358 & 0.6123724358 & -0.5000000000
\end{bmatrix}
\]

3.2. Solutions for the kinematic analysis

The algorithm for the inverse kinematics analysis of a general 6R serial manipulator presented in \cite{11-13} mainly used kinematic mapping method. Using this method, a Euclidean displacement can be mapped into a point on a study quadric \((S^4)\) in a seven dimensional space, the so called kinematic mapping space \(P^7\), where the point is displayed by eight study parameters. In the kinematic mapping space, the constraint manifold of a 2R-chain is the intersection of a 3-space with the \(S^4\), and the constraint manifold of a 3R chain is the intersection of a set of 3-spaces with the \(S^4\), where the set of 3-spaces is called Segre Manifold \((SM)\)\cite{13}. The \(SM\) of a 3R-chain can be represented by a set of four bilinear equations in the eight homogenous study parameters, which is denoted by \(z_0, z_1, …, z_7\), and one additional parameter corresponding to the tangent half of one joint angle out of the three joint angles. That means that there are three \(SMs\) \((SM_i, i=1, 2, 3)\) which are presented by three sets of four equations for a 3R-chain.

The 6R serial mechanism associated with the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM is further decomposed into two 3R chains, the left 3R \((1-2-3)\) with end effector frame \(\Sigma_1\) and the right 3R \((6-5-4)\) with end effector frame \(\Sigma_6\) (Fig. 4). The pose of the frame \(\Sigma_L\) with respect to \(\Sigma_1\) \((T_L)\) and the pose of the frame \(\Sigma_R\) with respect to \(\Sigma_1\) \((T_R)\) can be obtained based on Eqs. (4) and (5):

\[
T_L = M_1^2 G_2^2 G_3^2 G_4
\]

\[
T_R = AG_6^{-1}M_4^{-1}G_5^{-1}M_3^{-1}G_4^{-1}M_4^{-1}
\]

In the mechanism, the frames \(\Sigma_L\) and \(\Sigma_R\) have to coincide, which means there is intersection among \(SM_L\), \(SM_R\) and \(S^{4}\). The equations for the \(SMs\) can be derived from Eq. (6). Three sets of four equations can be obtained for the left or the right 3R chain, and each depends on one out of three joint angles\cite{14}. One needs to select one of the three sets of four equations for the left 3R-chain and one of the three sets of four equations for the right 3R-chain according to different situations\cite{14} before doing further calculation.

![Fig. 4. Decomposing the 6R serial mechanism into two 3R chains](image)

In some cases, not all the three \(SMs\) can be selected\cite{14}. If one selects one \(SM\) depending on one R joint with the joint axes of the remaining two parallel or intersected, in which case the \(SM\) lies on the \(S^{4}\), then the intersection of the \(SM\) with the \(S^{4}\) fails. Therefore, we select \(SM_3\), which refers to four equations in \(v_3\) (tangent half of \(\theta_3\)), for the left 3R-chain since the axes of joints \(R_1\) and \(R_3\) are parallel in the translational mode. For the right 3R-chain, we select \(SM_5\) with four equations in \(\vec{v}_5\) (minus tangent half of \(\theta_5\)), because the axes of joints \(R_4\) and \(R_5\) intersect and the axes of joints \(R_3\) and \(R_6\) are parallel. Thus eight equations for the 6R serial mechanism are obtained as follows:

\[
h_{1v_3}: 30.4z_0 + 24.0z_1 + 6.4z_2v_3 - 8.0z_4 + 8.0z_5 - 8.0z_6v_3 - 8.0z_7v_3 = 0
\]

\[
h_{2v_3}: 30.4z_0 - 24.0z_1 - 6.4z_2v_3 + 8.0z_4 + 8.0z_5 - 8.0z_6v_3 + 8.0z_7v_3 = 0
\]

4
Including the equation for the $S_i^2$ shown in Eq. (15), we obtain nine bilinear equations in ten unknowns (Eqs. (7)-(15)). Because $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_7$ are homogeneous, one of them can be normalize to 1. Solving seven of the nine equations to get the eight study parameters for $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_7$ in $\bar{v}_3$ and $\bar{v}_5$, and substituting the solutions into the remaining two equations, we obtain two equations in $v_3$ and $\bar{v}_5$ named $E_1$ and $E_2$ as

$$E_1: v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^4 + 3.640783761v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 - 3.788653411v_3^3 \bar{v}_5^2 - 7.000053350v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^2 + 10.71593007v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^2 + 41.87086614v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 - 3.640783761v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 - 19.64341956v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 - 3.788653411v_3^3 \bar{v}_5^2 - 0.5952224873v_3^4 - 10.71593007v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^2 - 79.84271214v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 + 10.71593007v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^2 + 11.40208546v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 + 3.788653411v_3^3 \bar{v}_5^2 - 19.64341956v_3^2 - 23.28420332v_3^2 + 53.83503480v_3^2 - 10.71593007v_3^5 - 131.7802740v_3^2 + 3.788653411v_3 - 23.28420332v_3 + 24.96144960 = 0$$

$$E_2: v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^6 - 3.975059020v_3^6 \bar{v}_5^4 - 9.197459999v_3^7 \bar{v}_5^2 + 4.782767392v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^4 + 10.89653630v_3^7 - 7.905713714v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^4 + 2.187051780v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^4 + 10.76914366v_3^7 \bar{v}_5^4 + 11.58607438v_3^6 \bar{v}_5^4 + 1.75650000v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^4 + 0.177589299v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^4 - 6.71485639v_3^3 \bar{v}_5^4 + 19.63855979v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^4 + 3.59959703v_3^1 \bar{v}_5^4 + 5.54160022v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^4 + 0.130907449v_3^1 \bar{v}_5^4 - 10.68103759v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^4 + 29.42980884v_3^3 \bar{v}_5^4 + 88.33890940v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^4 + 14.52156887v_3^6 \bar{v}_5^4 - 11.1992107v_3^7 \bar{v}_5^4 + 0.062637201v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^4 + 8.366957525v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^4 + 1.744100557v_3^6 \bar{v}_5^4 - 11.42375341v_3^7 \bar{v}_5^4 + 47.03555747v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^4 + 27.82871185v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 + 23.16294757v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 - 1.555454321v_3^2 - 14.97543807v_3^2 - 65.86121591v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^4 + 22.54006617v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^2 + 85.76873039v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^4 - 19.01000389v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^2 - 19.28048017v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^2 + 4.289038591v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 - 39.78294461v_3^3 \bar{v}_5^2 + 55.12245682v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^2 - 45.28225200v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^2 + 12.06665630v_3^6 \bar{v}_5^2 + 42.32484385v_3^7 \bar{v}_5^2 + 8.175738296v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^2 - 4.39916490v_3^9 - 61.91885268v_3^10 + 206.545736v_3^11 - 60.74108132v_3^2 \bar{v}_5^2 + 8.15397362v_3^3 \bar{v}_5^2 + 17.97043737v_3^4 \bar{v}_5^2 + 7.84143759v_3^5 \bar{v}_5^2 - 127.7979408v_3^6 \bar{v}_5^2 - 166.34825200v_3^7 \bar{v}_5^2 - 40.57715498v_3^8 \bar{v}_5^2 - 59.39221935v_3^9 \bar{v}_5^2 - 2.48863729v_3^10 - 46.57694731v_3^11 \bar{v}_5^2 - 151.3651980v_3^2 - 95.64118760v_3^3 - 8.36275417v_3^4 - 79.64803864v_3^5 - 109.6592839v_3^6 + 0.3550732836v_3 + 0.2355598536v_3 + 4.48149237 = 0$$

Using the “resultant” command in Maple to eliminate $\bar{v}_5$ from Eqs. (16) and (17), one polynomial equation of degree 56 in $v_3$ named $E$ can be derived as follows:

$$E: (v_3^2 + 1)(3.03336327v_3^4 - 12.05533640v_3^2 + 10.67784416)(1.87522003v_3^4 - 64.00268390v_3^2 - 387.956900)(5.157957061 + 10v_3 + 1.823061353 + 10^2v_3^2 + 10v_3 + 1.823061353 + 10^2v_3^2 - 7.9721328800 + 10^2v_3 + 1.634647033 + 10^2v_3 + 5.885540460 + 10^2v_3^2 - 3.1509694510 + 10^2v_3^2 - 2.671416502 + 10^2v_3^2 - 2.874236074 + 10^2v_3^2 + 1.07631854 + 10^2v_3^2 + 1.89314979 + 10^2v_3^2 + 1.42225962 + 10^2v_3^2 + 6.60154501 + 10^2v_3 + 2.698070325 = 0$$
The solutions to \((v_3^2 + 1)^6 = 0\) are \(v_3 = \pm i\) (\(i\) is the unit imaginary number). The corresponding points in \(P^3\) lie on the exceptional generator, which have to be cut out of the \(S_6^e\). The solutions of polynomial of 10 degrees squared are points with coordinate (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), which do not lie on the \(S_6^e\) and the solutions of polynomials of degree 4 are points on the exceptional 3-space of the \(S_6^e\). Then the polynomial of degree 16 gives the following 16 solutions:

\[
v_3 = (0.08366283786, 0.3610109062, 1.000000000, 6.521970015, 59.40599134, 4.132441204 \times 10^6, 5.08172527 \times 10^9, 0.4234204659 + 2.169839731 i, -0.07511185210 + 1.019253419 i, -6.650579562 \times 10^9 + 3.156689159 \times 10^9 i, -0.3581658035, -1.0000000001, -1.507896627, -6.650597562 \times 10^9 - 3.156689159 \times 10^9 i, -0.07511185210 - 1.019253419 i, 0.4234204659 - 2.169839731 i)
\]

Then the solutions for \(v_3\) (Eq. (19)) are substituted back to \(E1\) and \(E2\), the common solutions for \(v_3\) with their corresponding \(v_3\) are the solutions as desired. Please note only 12 sets of solutions could be easily obtained where the remaining four solutions for \(v_3\) tend to be infinite, such as \(5.08172527 \times 10^9\), i.e. \(\theta_1\) approaches to be 180°. The situation that \(\theta_1 = 180°\) does exist when the joints on the platform and the base coincide. It is a special configuration for the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM, as shown in Fig. 5(i).

The remaining four joint angles for the normal 12 sets of solutions could be solved by the other sets of four equations for \(SM_1, SM_2, SM_4\) and \(SM_6\).

As to the above four particularly configurations in which \(v_3\) tend to be infinite, there is one set of real solutions: \(\theta_2 = 0°, \theta_3 = 180°, \theta_4 = 180°, \theta_5, \theta_6\) and \(\theta_7\) can be any value. This set of solutions can be easily verified by observation. The complex solutions associated with the remaining three particularly configurations are omitted in this paper.

Finally, 13 sets of solutions for the kinematic analysis of the single loop are obtained, as listed in Table 2.

### Table 2. Solutions for the SLR7RMMOM (Case \(\theta = 45°\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>(\theta_1)(deg)</th>
<th>(\theta_2)(deg)</th>
<th>(\theta_3)(deg)</th>
<th>(\theta_4)(deg)</th>
<th>(\theta_5)(deg)</th>
<th>(\theta_6)(deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 2</td>
<td>135.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>90.000</td>
<td>-45.000</td>
<td>-135.000</td>
<td>-90.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 3</td>
<td>-135.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-90.000</td>
<td>45.000</td>
<td>-135.000</td>
<td>-90.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>-4.576</td>
<td>15.737</td>
<td>178.071</td>
<td>-2.648</td>
<td>-70.339</td>
<td>-172.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 5</td>
<td>-78.354</td>
<td>118.963</td>
<td>-112.897</td>
<td>-145.457</td>
<td>86.692</td>
<td>-119.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 6</td>
<td>-154.651</td>
<td>73.117</td>
<td>39.700</td>
<td>-14.351</td>
<td>131.208</td>
<td>90.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 7</td>
<td>-25.162</td>
<td>72.737</td>
<td>-39.412</td>
<td>-165.750</td>
<td>41.899</td>
<td>90.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 8</td>
<td>141.385</td>
<td>-94.455</td>
<td>162.566</td>
<td>158.819</td>
<td>156.631</td>
<td>137.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 9</td>
<td>-54.493</td>
<td>163.879</td>
<td>-106.507</td>
<td>-127.985</td>
<td>58.785+</td>
<td>-100.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 10</td>
<td>109.370</td>
<td>10.798</td>
<td>186.806</td>
<td>77.436</td>
<td>82.626</td>
<td>144.3877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>-54.493</td>
<td>163.879</td>
<td>-106.507</td>
<td>-127.985</td>
<td>58.785</td>
<td>-100.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 12</td>
<td>93.401</td>
<td>-142.300</td>
<td>167.711</td>
<td>77.436</td>
<td>82.626</td>
<td>144.3877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 13</td>
<td>Any value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>180.000</td>
<td>Any value</td>
<td>Any value</td>
<td>180.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(i\) is the unit imaginary number

The above real solutions for the kinematic analysis have been verified using the CAD models for the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM. The CAD configurations associated with these solutions are shown in Fig. 5.
3.3. Building prototype

A physical prototype has been built to verify the real solutions obtained above. Figure 6 illustrates that different configurations of the prototype corresponding to the real solutions can be achieved. It is noted that some configuration cannot be continuously generated in practice because of the interference between the links, such as configurations (e) and (g) (Figs. 6(e) and 6(g)).
4. Operation Modes and Transitional Configurations

As the input angle $\theta$ changes, a series of solutions corresponding to different input angles can be obtained accordingly using the numerical method proposed before. Then via plotting the joint angles against the input angle, we illustrate the operation modes and transitional configurations of the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM (Fig. 7). All the operation modes and transitional configurations of the mechanism can be obtained from the plotting of angles $\theta_1$ and $\theta_3$ against the input angle $\theta$.

Figure 7 shows that there are two straight lines A and B and two closed curves C (C_0-C_1-C_2-C_0 in Fig. 7(a)) and D (D_0-D_1-D_2-D_3-D_4-D_0) designating the operations modes. Lines A and B are associated with translation operation mode, while the closed curves C and D are associated with two 1-DOF planar operation modes separately. Therefore, the mechanism has three operation modes but not only two operation modes. This can be easily verified by comparing the straight lines and closed curves to their corresponding operation mode figures in Fig. 5. Line A corresponds to Fig. 5(b), Line B corresponds to Fig. 5(c), closed curve C corresponds to Fig. 5(a), and closed curve D corresponds to Fig. 5(g). Points 1, 2, ..., 8 in Fig. 7 indicate the eight real solutions for $\theta_3$ (or $\theta_1$) under $\theta=-45^\circ$ corresponding to Table 2 except the special solution for $\theta_3=180^\circ$ (Fig. 6(i)).

In the following, the transitional configurations between three operation modes are analyzed. By comparing the two plotting figures, Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 7(b), two intersecting points TA and TB through which both operation modes pass in both the plotting figures are apparently observed, which represent the two transitional configurations (Fig. 8). The input angles corresponding to the transitional configurations are shown in Table 3.
Fig. 7. Plotting of two rotational angles ($\theta_1$ and $\theta_3$) against input angle $\theta$: a) $\theta_1$ (deg) in vertical axis versus $\theta$ (rad) in the horizontal axis; b) $\theta_3$ (deg) in vertical axis versus $\theta$ (rad) in the horizontal axis

Table 3. Transitional configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition points</th>
<th>Input angle $\theta$ in degree</th>
<th>Modes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>Translational mode &amp; 1-DOF planar mode I (curve C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>$-180^\circ$</td>
<td>Translational mode &amp; 1-DOF planar mode II (curve D)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Transitional configurations of the SLR7RMUM

5. Algebraic Approach
In this section, the algebraic approach proposed in [15] will be applied to figure out the operation modes and transitional configurations. Apparently, compared to the above numerical method (Section 4), the algebraic approach enables the operation modes to be represented algebraically.
Without specifying the input angle like shown in Section 3, we present the end-effector pose $A$ and the equations for $SM_i$ directly in $\theta$. Therefore nine equations in $v_3$ (tangent half of $\theta_3$), $\bar{v}_5$, (minus tangent half of $\theta_5$), $v$ (tangent half of $\theta$) and eight study parameters (Eqs. (7)-(15)) can be obtained. Two equations in $v_3$, $\bar{v}_5$ and $v$ instead of two equations in $v_3$ and $\bar{v}_5$ will be obtained after solving seven of the nine equations and substituting the solutions into the remaining two equations. Using the “resultant” function in Maple to eliminate $\bar{v}_5$ (or $v_3$), then we get the bivariate polynomial in the input angle $v$ and one of the remaining joint parameter $v_3$ (or $\bar{v}_5$). Beside some spurious factors, there are three factors corresponding to three operation modes respectively. For example, the input-output equation in $v_3$ and $v$ is:

$$S \cdot M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where $S$ is a spurious factor. $M_1$ is the input-output relation corresponding to the translational mode, while $M_2$ and $M_3$ represent the two general planar modes, respectively (see the Appendix for the detailed expressions).

Then we can plot the input-output relation in $v_3$ and $v$ (Fig. 9(a)), which shows three operation modes along with one transitional configuration. The solid curve corresponds to the translational mode, the dotted curve corresponds to the planar mode I and the dashed curve corresponds to planar mode II.
The numerical method is still kept in this paper even though it is not as simple or effective as the algebraic approach since it indicates all the results directly and clearly. The plots for the input-output angles in Fig. 7 show that there are two transitional configurations: (a) the input angle $\theta=0$, the revolute angles $\theta_2=0$ and $\theta_3=180$; (b) the input angle $\theta=-180$, the revolute angles $\theta_2=0$ and $\theta_3=0$. When the input angle $\theta=-180^\circ$, $\nu$ tends to be infinite. Therefore the second transitional configuration cannot be seen directly from the plot of the input-output relation in $\nu$ and $\nu_2$ (or $\nu_3$) in Fig. 9(a) using the algebraic approach. Then we have to use the reciprocal of variables to plot the relations in $\nu$ and $1/\nu_2$, $1/\nu$ and $\nu_3$ as well as the relation in $1/\nu$ and $\nu_5$ (Fig. 9) so that all transitional configurations can be observed. In Fig. 9, the transition between the translation mode and planar mode I is TA, and the translation mode and planar mode II are transited at TB. It has been shown that the algebraic analysis results are the same as the numerical ones shown in Section 4 as expected.

6. Conclusions
This paper has presented a novel 1-DOF single-loop reconfigurable 7R mechanism with multiple operation modes (SLR7RMMOM) base on the Sarrus mechanism. The kinematics analysis of the novel SLR7RMMOM has been implemented using the algorithm for the inverse kinematics of a general serial 6R manipulator, which is very effective. Using a numerical method, a set of solutions for the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM have been obtained for a given example and the real solutions have been verified through both the CAD model and the prototype of the mechanism. In addition, the numerical method and an algebraic approach have been both applied to obtain the operation modes and transitional configurations, which produce the same results. The mechanism has three operation modes: translational mode and two 1-DOF planar modes, and there are two transitional configurations where the mechanism can switch from one operation mode to another.

The SLR7RMMOM on one hand is a non-overconstrained system, and on the other hand can switch from one mode to another without disassembly and without using additional actuator, which can help develop energy-efficient reconfigurable mechanisms. The work proposed in this paper contributes to the design and analysis of new mechanical systems with multiple operation modes.
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Appendix: Expressions of M1, M2 and M3 in Algebraic Approach
M3: $2.640005350 \times 10^{33}$, $3.654597821 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.986173518 \times 10^{33}$, $3.34255428 \times 10^{33}$, $rac{4.712005061}{10^{33}}$

+ $2.533198074 \times 10^{33}$, $-1.976845023 \times 10^{33}$, $1.230862426 \times 10^{33}$, $1.341447341 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.141447341 \times 10^{33}$, $+7.314434741 \times 10^{33}$, $+8.76036205 \times 10^{33}$, $+2.311427381 \times 10^{33}$, $-4.953018962 \times 10^{33}$, $+2.640053501 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.195839782 \times 10^{33}$, $-2.861873518 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.34255428 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.768626101 \times 10^{33}$, $+8.615979735 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.34255428 \times 10^{33}$, $+2.2376250510 \times 10^{33}$, $+9.444448000 \times 10^{33}$, $+2.877116061 \times 10^{33}$, $-1.230862426 \times 10^{33}$, $+3.148903.182 \times 10^{33}$, $-9.114235180 \times 10^{33}$, $+1.976845023 \times 10^{33}$, $-1.711225591 \times 10^{33}$, $-1.230862426 \times 10^{33}$, $-5.274970395 \times 10^{33}$, $+3.195839782 \times 10^{33}$, $+8.761873518 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.34255428 \times 10^{33}$, $+6.821108591 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.34255428 \times 10^{33}$, $+6.57659663 \times 10^{33}$, $+3.34255428 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.275287410 \times 10^{33}$, $-5.274970395 \times 10^{33}$, $+3.195839782 \times 10^{33}$, $-8.761873518 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.34255428 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.275287410 \times 10^{33}$, $-5.274970395 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 10^{33}$, $-3.543732470 \times 3.2.14

A.3