<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>New insights into North European and North Atlantic surface pressure variability, storminess and related climate change since 1830</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Hanna, E.; Cappelen, J.; Allan, R. J.; Jonsson, T.; Le Blanq, F.; Lillington, T.; Hickey, Kieran R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication date</td>
<td>2008-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of publication</td>
<td>Article (peer-reviewed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link to publisher's version</td>
<td><a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2296.1">http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2296.1</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.

| Rights | © Copyright 2008 American Meteorological Society (AMS). Permission to use figures, tables, and brief excerpts from this work in scientific and educational works is hereby granted provided that the source is acknowledged. Any use of material in this work that is determined to be “fair use” under Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act September 2010 Page 2 or that satisfies the conditions specified in Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act (17 USC §108, as revised by P.L. 94-553) does not require the AMS’s permission. Republication, systematic reproduction, posting in electronic form, such as on a web site or in a searchable database, or other uses of this material, except as exempted by the above statement, requires written permission or a license from the AMS. Additional details are provided in the AMS Copyright Policy, available on the AMS Web site located at (https://www.ametsoc.org/) or from the AMS at 617-227-2425 or copyrights@ametsoc.org. |

| Item downloaded from | http://hdl.handle.net/10468/2495 |

Downloaded on 2018-12-20T20:44:23Z
New Insights into North European and North Atlantic Surface Pressure Variability, Storminess, and Related Climatic Change since 1830

EDWARD HANNA,* JOHN CAPPÉNEN,† ROB ALLAN,# TRAUSTI JÓNSSON,@ FRANK LE BLANCO, & TIM LILLINGTON,**,†† AND KIERAN HICKEY##

*Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
†Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark
#Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom
@Icelandic Meteorological Office, Reykjavik, Iceland
&Jersey Meteorological Department, Saint Helier, Jersey
**Guernsey Meteorological Office, Saint Peter Port, Guernsey
##Department of Geography, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

(Manuscript received 22 October 2007, in final form 11 April 2008)

ABSTRACT

The authors present initial results of a new pan-European and international storminess since 1800 as interpreted from European and North Atlantic barometric pressure variability (SENABAR) project. This first stage analyzes results of a new daily pressure variability index, dp(abs)24, from long-running meteorological stations in Denmark, the Faroe Islands, Greenland, Iceland, the United Kingdom, and Ireland, some with data from as far back as the 1830s. It is shown that dp(abs)24 is significantly related to wind speed and is therefore a good measure of Atlantic and Northwest European storminess and climatic variations. The authors investigate the temporal and spatial consistency of dp(abs)24, the connection between annual and seasonal dp(abs)24 and the North Atlantic Oscillation Index (NAOI), as well as dp(abs)24 links with historical storm records. The results show periods of relatively high dp(abs)24 and enhanced storminess around 1900 and the early to mid-1990s, and a relatively quiescent period from about 1930 to the early 1960s, in keeping with earlier studies. There is little evidence that the mid- to late nineteenth century was less stormy than the present, and there is no sign of a sustained enhanced storminess signal associated with “global warming.” The results mark the first step of a project intending to improve on earlier work by linking barometric pressure data from a wide network of stations with new gridded pressure and reanalysis datasets, GCMs, and the NAOI. This work aims to provide much improved spatial and temporal coverage of changes in European, Atlantic, and global storminess.

1. Introduction

The location and intensity of the midlatitude storms are major influences on the climate of Europe. However, many potential variables for assessing storm climate (e.g., wind speed) are too short term and/or beset with severe inhomogeneities to be of great use (von Storch and Weisse 2008). For the Northern Hemisphere, atmospheric pressure data throughout the troposphere on a subdaily basis currently exist in the form of National Centers for Environmental Prediction–National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP–NCAR) reanalysis data from 1948 to present (Kistler et al. 2001) and the 40-yr European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis (ERA-40) from 1957–2001 (Uppala et al. 2005). These reanalyses allow the use of cyclone detection and tracking algorithms to derive the frequency and intensity of individual storm systems. From these data, a decrease in midlatitude cyclone activity and an increase in high-latitude cyclone activity have been identified (Wang et al. 2006; McCabe et al. 2001), indicating a poleward shift in storm-track location (Trenberth et al. 2007). However, these analyses are subject to uncertainty in the reanalysis data (Bromirski et al. 2003; Chang and Fu 2002; Smits et al. 2005). As a result of changing data density, cyclone-tracking algorithms cannot provide ho-
mogeneous long-term information. Furthermore, they do not provide specific information on atmospheric pressure fluctuations on spatial and temporal scales from local to global and from subhourly to monthly. Because such pressure fluctuations are a more continuous measure of atmospheric dynamics, they are likely to provide a unique and complementary record of changes in storminess. In addition, they should give more detailed insight into general variations in surface-pressure systems (anticyclones as well as depressions), which are also often related to changes in mid- to upper-air circulation and particularly jet streams—the main forcing agent of deep depressions and hence storminess.

Prior to the reanalysis period, station pressure measurements have been the only viable tool for determining storm-track and atmospheric circulation variability: these records are longest and most widespread in the North Atlantic–European sector. Alexandersson et al. (1998, 2000) and Matulla et al. (2007) used daily pressure readings to calculate 99th-percentile values of the geostrophic wind for several triangles of stations in northern Europe from about 1880 and in central Europe from the 1870s. Bärring and von Storch (2004) analyzed annual numbers of deep pressure systems and exceedance counts of 12-h pressure tendencies from about 1800 for two Swedish stations. These studies identified high storminess in the late nineteenth century, a minimum around 1960, and a subsequent increase until around 1990 (Trenberth et al. 2007). Allan et al. (2008, hereafter AL08) built on the study by Alexander et al. (2005) by using 3-hourly pressure tendencies from a number of stations across the British Isles to extend a severe storminess analysis in that region back to 1920. In AL08 a common peak in severe storminess was found in autumn and winter during the 1990s, but they also detected a strong peak in the 1920s that was dominant over the 1990s maxima in autumn. However, these studies are spatially or temporally restricted, making their wider climatic interpretation difficult.

Until recently, the North Atlantic Oscillation Index (NAOI; based on pressure measurements in Iceland and either the Azores or Iberia) was the only means of inferring the atmospheric circulation over the northwestern European–North Atlantic region prior to the period of more plentiful observations (e.g., Hurrell 1995; Jones et al. 1997). There are apparent links between the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the northwest European storminess for the past 150 yr (e.g., Dawson et al. 2004). However, as with all statistical indices, the NAOI is a 1D representation of what is in reality a complex 4D dynamical atmospheric process. Thus the NAOI is sensitive to (i) early barometric index errors (e.g., Jones et al. 2003; Vinther et al. 2003) and (ii) migration of the bipolar centers of action under different climatic regimes, yet—as pointed out by Jónsson and Hanna (2007)—the index is often used uncritically by climate (and many other) researchers.

Jónsson and Hanna (2007) have recently applied a pressure-variability index, the dp(abs)24, which is the absolute 24-hourly atmospheric surface pressure variation at a location. The dp(abs)24 index, therefore, has both spatial and temporal variability and is an effective 4D proxy for atmospheric variability or storminess (Jónsson and Hanna 2007). This technique has been largely overlooked by modern climatologists, although it is often discussed in old and now largely ignored climatological literature (see Lamb 1972, 272–273, and references therein). However, research on this topic goes back to the early nineteenth century. The first person to introduce daily pressure variability as a concept seems to have been Kämzt (1832) in Landsberg (1866) in a textbook on meteorology. In an analysis of the Reykjavik pressure measurements made during 1841–44, Pedersen (1845) calculated both the diurnal variation of pressure and the seasonal variation of dp(abs)24; he concluded that dp(abs)24 is “considerably larger than in our latitudes [i.e., Denmark and Germany compared with Iceland].” Bahr (1911), Berger (1961), and Landsberg (1966) studied dp(abs)24 for several-year periods in the early and mid-twentieth century. These and other early efforts were mainly concerned with the spatial rather than temporal pressure variability. However, Travnicek (1928) calculated the secular variability of dp(abs)24 at Saltzburg, Austria (1870 to 1926), and also at the high-elevation station Sonnblick, Austria, for 1887 to 1926. He used these data to refute an assertion of Bahr (1911) that the 10-yr means of dp(abs)24 are more or less constant throughout time for each location. Also, Evjen (1917, and references therein) calculated the secular change of a closely related parameter—the sum of the absolute differences between the three (then standard time) pressure observations per day and the first observation of the following day—in Vardø in northern Norway during 1871 to 1926. He found a marked (downward) secular change, which he remarked corresponds with a marked decrease in storminess in northern Norway during the period up to 1917 that had already been reported in the Norwegian Journal Naturen (Evjen 1917).

Klein (1951) discussed differences between two related measures, namely, the (e.g., monthly) standard deviation of daily pressure variability, dp(std), and the (e.g., monthly) standard deviation of daily pressure, p(std), which are compared with dp(abs)24 by Jónsson and Hanna (2007). The former index is considered to
emphasize extreme pressure changes—rather than changes in the mean “storminess” climate—but merits further research alongside dp(abs)24, although both dp(std) and dp(abs)24 show similar variations and secular changes when applied to the 1823–2005 southwest Iceland pressure series. On the other hand, p(std) may not adequately distinguish between different weather regimes during the course of a month, so we were disinclined to use this index further (Jónsson and Hanna 2007). Klein (1951) also analyzed the one-day lag autocorrelation of pressure—another measure of the day-to-day pressure variability and one that reveals well the spatial patterns but seems to yield relatively little information concerning the all-important secular (seasonal/interannual) fluctuations.

Lamb (1972) reported that the zone of maximum pressure variability closely mirrors the main subpolar cyclone zone, with the highest mean dp(abs)24 values of $\pm 11$ hPa in winter just off the Atlantic seaboard of Nova Scotia–Newfoundland and near East Greenland–Iceland, reflecting sharp temperature contrasts and pressure changes in these regions; in summer, in accordance with generally much less stormy conditions, the zone of highest mean dp(abs)24 was $>6$ hPa in the south of Hudson Bay. Spatial variability in dp(abs)24 can be depicted on weather maps by means of isollo-bars, meaning “lines of equal pressure change” (Dunlop 2001). Lamb (1972, p. 273) also offers the following characteristically prescient quote:

“The fact that maximum interdiurnal variability of pressure [here dp(abs)24] is linked with the jet stream through the sequences of surface frontal cyclones travelling principally along its cold flank, makes it possible to use the average day-by-day changes of surface pressure in individual months or years to reveal variations in the proximity of the jet stream to this or that observing station (Bayer 1965). This seems to offer a method of reconstructing the position and course of the depression tracks, and thereby of the mainstream of the upper westerlies, in past years long before the establishment of an upper air observation network and possibly for the European sector back to the eighteenth century.

Indeed, Putins (1962) presents a pioneering statistical analysis and preliminary dynamical interpretation of the relationship between surface and upper-air (300 and 300 hPa) interdiurnal pressure changes over the Greenland area, but these findings, while extremely interesting, are limited by the regional coverage of their study and the lack of a modern atmospheric dynamics context. In a more recent background study, Flocchini and Palau (1987) analyze interdiurnal pressure variations at the University of Genoa (Italy) Observatory from 1833–1981; perhaps rather surprisingly, given their long record, the authors do not present—and indeed barely allude to—the secular time series of dp(abs)24 but instead state that its properties are very similar for the entire long period of observation.

Most recently, Schmith et al. (1998) used exceedance thresholds of the dp(abs)24 index as surrogates of northeast Atlantic storminess for 1875–1995: they found dominant interannual and decadal variability, despite an enhancement of the northeast section of the storm track during the final 20–30 yr. However, dp(abs)24 has been relatively neglected by contemporary climatologists—perhaps partly due to its conceptual simplicity—in favor of the storm-track methods described above, so our paper aims to redress the balance.

Analyzing dp(abs)24 has several advantages. First, it is ripe for exploitation using much longer-running, more detailed, and homogenized barometric pressure series than were available to the early authors discussed above, which enables a much better overview of its seasonal and secular (long-term interannual to decadal to centennial) variations. Second, dp(abs)24 can use either mean sea level or station-level pressure readings, so at least for low-level stations, it is not dependent on knowing station altitude nor on knowledge of instrument and other corrections, because such errors are effectively “constant errors” (Jónsson and Hanna 2007). Third, the dp(abs)24 method makes good use of nineteenth-century pressure data: prior to about 1880, barometric observations were sometimes only made once daily (or if made two or three times daily were not always evenly spaced or at fixed times) and pressures were usually at station level, so dp(abs)24 makes use of valuable data that might otherwise be unused or regarded as unusable. Jónsson and Hanna (2007) used this index to study long-term (multidecadal) changes in Icelandic surface atmospheric pressure variability and related (e.g., wind/storminess) climate change since 1823.

In this paper, we derive dp(abs)24 for a representative and well-distributed sample of long-running daily pressure data from stations across the northern North Atlantic and northwest European regions for the past 160 yr. This is intended to supplement the limited information on North Atlantic storminess and climate change available from the NAOI and other restricted results described above. Our analysis will therefore be particularly valuable for filling in the nineteenth-century part of the period and for evaluating recent and ongoing climate change. Part of the problem with the NAOI is the inconsistency of its long-term relationships with other climatic parameters (e.g., Haylock et al. 2007; Polyakova et al. 2006; Rogers 1997; Zveryaev...
The relation between the temperature in northern Europe and the NAOI is a typical case: the two are highly correlated in recent decades, but the relationship is much more diffuse during some earlier periods (e.g., Jones et al. 2003; Jónsson and Hanna 2007). Also, variations in northern European storm frequency are only modestly correlated with variations in the NAOI (WASA Group 1998). These inconsistencies and diffuse relationships are most probably due to NAO centers of action changing with time—an aspect that requires closer attention (Kingston et al. 2006). Another strength of the dp(abs)24 is its ability to reveal climate as being spatially variable and not just made up of weather but periods of variable length (typically several days to weeks) with similar weather (e.g., blocked versus mobile patterns). We can therefore use dp(abs)24 as a new tool to quantify short-term (weekly to annual) synoptic variability, for example, to examine how and why the NAO disconnects from local surface-pressure patterns at different times and in different transatlantic places and to quantify longer-term climatic change.

2. Climatological data
   a. Barometric pressure datasets and quality control

The research utilizes predigitized long-running (sub-)daily barometric pressure data from four stations in the United Kingdom (Aberdeen, Armagh and Jersey/Guernsey), one in the Republic of Ireland (Valentia), three stations or nearby (10- to 13-km separation) pairs of stations in Denmark (Hammerodde, Nordby-Esbjerg, and Vestervig-Thyboroen), Torshavn in the Faroe Islands, and Tasilaq/Ammassalik in Greenland (Fig. 1). In addition, we make reference to the SW Iceland dp(abs)24 series already published and analyzed by Jónsson and Hanna (2007). The bulk of the pressure data between around 1874 and 1995 for these stations, except Armagh and Jersey/Guernsey, were obtained from a Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI) technical report compilation of the European Union (EU)-funded Waves and Storms in the North Atlantic (WASA) project results (Schmith et al. 1997). Available updates, extensions, and infills were provided by DMI for stations in Denmark, the Faroe Islands, and Greenland—and subsequently published with relevant metadata in Cappelen et al. (2007)—and by the Met Office Hadley Centre for Aberdeen and Valentia. The (sub)daily pressure data were updated, wherever possible until the end of 2006 (September 2007 for DMI data).

The Armagh barometric pressure series was obtained from the Armagh Observatory (Northern Ireland; see de Groot (1994) for background) and extends from 1795 to the present, although because of issues with
data verification, only the section from 1833 onward is used in this study; it is published here for the first time. This is probably the longest-running currently available digitized subdaily pressure series from the United Kingdom, although efforts are underway to develop a long-term Exeter series (R. Allan 2007, unpublished manuscript) and improve the London series back into the eighteenth century (Cornes 2008).

The Jersey–Guernsey (Channel Islands) daily pressure series were blended for this study. Most of the Jersey readings from 1864 to the present are newly digitized and were thoroughly checked for errors for the purposes of this study by coauthor F. Le Blancq. There is only one major gap of 18 months during the early to mid-1920s. Pressure data from nearby (~40 km) Guernsey (values from the mid-1920s onward obtained from the Hadley Centre archives, with 1843–81 data supplied by the Guernsey Airport Meteorological Office) were used as a check to verify the annual and monthly dp(abs)24 Jersey values and to extend the combined Channel Islands pressure series back to 1843. F. Le Blancq investigated all Jersey–Guernsey (near-) simultaneous daily morning pressure differences >3 hPa for the periods of overlap (1864–81 and 1925–2007). In addition, the original Jersey Harbour Office (1936–40 and 1946–53) and Jersey Airport (1946–2007) readings were used as independent checks against the primary Jersey (St. Louis) readings. All flagged values were thoroughly checked and corrected or attributed to rapid pressure changes.

Geodetic data and available periods of record for the various stations are summarized in Table 1.

It is important to note some further general background information concerning barometric data procurement and quality. As part of the WASA project (Schmith et al. 1997), selected DMI (Denmark, Faroe Islands, and Greenland) series of pressure observations spanning 1874–90 were digitized from the meteorological yearbooks, which means that the observations were station-level data corrected for index error, temperature, and, since 1893, gravity. From 1971, the DMI observations were taken from the existing digital database, all corrected. The DMI series up to 1995 were tested for homogeneity by means of a statistical test, “the standard homogeneity test” (SNHT) (Alexandersson 1986), which compares a series (the test series) with other series that are known to be homogeneous. The DMI station updates from 1996–2006 have given no problems: the stations have not moved and the instruments are the same as before 1996. All the DMI data were reduced to mean sea level. Also as part of WASA and the European and North Atlantic daily to multidecadal climate variability mean sea level pressure (MSLP) dataset (EMULATE EMSLP; Ansell et al. 2006), the Aberdeen and Valentia barometric pressure series were similarly reduced to mean sea level and

---

**Table 1. Location, height, period, and source of barometric pressure stations used in this study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station, Location (Region)</th>
<th>Lat</th>
<th>Lon</th>
<th>Station height (MSL) (m)</th>
<th>Period(s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jersey, Channel Islands</td>
<td>49.2°N</td>
<td>−2.1°W</td>
<td>57 (pre-1894 stations between 9 &amp; 70 m MSL)</td>
<td>1864–2007</td>
<td>Jersey Meteorological Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guernsey, Channel Islands</td>
<td>~49.5°N</td>
<td>−2.5°W</td>
<td>34–104</td>
<td>1842–1881; 1924–2005</td>
<td>Guernsey Airport Met Office; Met Office Hadley Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentina, Ireland</td>
<td>51.9°N</td>
<td>10.25°W</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>1861–2006</td>
<td>Schmith et al. (1997); Met Office Hadley Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armagh, U.K.</td>
<td>54.35°N</td>
<td>6.65°W</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1833–2007</td>
<td>Armagh Observatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen, U.K.</td>
<td>57.2°N</td>
<td>2.15°W</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1861–2005</td>
<td>Schmith et al. (1997); Met Office Hadley Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torshavn, Faroe Islands</td>
<td>62.0°N</td>
<td>6.8°W</td>
<td>9–54</td>
<td>1874–2007</td>
<td>Cappelen et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Iceland (Reykjavik and Stykkishólmur)</td>
<td>64.1°N</td>
<td>21.9°W</td>
<td>5–61</td>
<td>1822–2007</td>
<td>Jónsson and Hanna (2007), updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reykjavik</td>
<td>65.1°N</td>
<td>22.7°W</td>
<td>8–28</td>
<td>1861–2007</td>
<td>Cappelen et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stykkishólmur</td>
<td>65.6°N</td>
<td>37.6°W</td>
<td>29–53</td>
<td>1894–2007</td>
<td>Cappelen et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasiilaq/Ammassalik, Greenland</td>
<td>55.5°N</td>
<td>8.5°E</td>
<td>9–54</td>
<td>1874–2007</td>
<td>Cappelen et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordby-Esbjerg, Denmark</td>
<td>56.7°N</td>
<td>8.3°E</td>
<td>2–47</td>
<td>1874–2007</td>
<td>Cappelen et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestervig-Thyborøen, Denmark</td>
<td>55.3°N</td>
<td>14.8°E</td>
<td>7–13</td>
<td>1874–2007</td>
<td>Cappelen et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
prechecked for long-term biases and inconsistencies prior to the present study.

b. Wind speed data

Three-hourly near-surface (10 m) digitized wind speed data for the stations in Denmark, the Faroe Islands, and Greenland were acquired from the archives of the DMI. These are from the same sites as the air pressure measurements, except that the nearby (~24–30 km), better exposed Blåvandshuk Lighthouse was substituted for Nordby/Esbjerg (which is inland/sheltered). Wind speed data were reduced to 10 m where measurements were substantially different from this value, most notably in the cases of anemometers located at heights of 16 m (36 m) at Hammerodde (Thyborøen) from October 1977–August 2001 (June 1968–November 2000). Early (1953–70) wind speed data from Blåvandshuk were probably derived from manual estimates using the Beaufort scale via a pennant or windsock on a 10-m flagpole (and the effect of the wind on the surrounding vegetation and/or sea surface) and converted into m s\(^{-1}\). Wind speed data series from the five DMI stations may have inhomogeneities due to change of instruments, for which metadata are not readily available, and turbulence from the lighthouse could have affected much of the Blåvandshuk Lighthouse data.

Monthly average Jersey (Guernsey) wind speeds for 1958–2006 (1951–2005) were obtained from the Jersey Meteorological Department and Guernsey Airport Meteorological Office. Until December 1969, the effective height of the Jersey anemometer was 15 m, so we therefore applied a correction of −10% to the recorded values to reduce them to the standard effective height of 10 m (HMSO 1982, p. 83). From 1970 the Jersey wind speed recordings are all within the envelope for a 10-m effective height without the need for correction. The Guernsey Airport wind speed data are from four successive anemometers, all within ~10–13-m effective height above ground. Both stations have generally good exposures.

3. Methodology

Dp(abs)\(24\) monthly, seasonal, and annual average values were derived from daily [(0600–0900 local time (LT))] barometric pressure data for the above stations for the periods of records listed in Table 1. These data were selected as being early morning local time to avoid any possible bias of the results due to (semi)diurnal pressure tides and to be consistent with the southwest Iceland dp(abs)\(24\) analysis of Jónsson and Hanna (2007). A combined Channel Islands dp(abs)\(24\) series (1843–2007) was spliced together from existing digitized, quality-checked Jersey and Guernsey data, using regression fitting and gap filling, along similar lines to methods used in the recent determination of a North Icelandic sea surface temperature series (Hanna et al. 2006). Due to the relatively provisional nature of the Armagh pressure series (Armagh was not one of the WASA stations), the Armagh daily dp(abs)\(24\) values were cross checked against those of Valentia (SW Ireland) and the much-nearer (~45-km distant) Aldergrove (Belfast Airport), which flagged up ~90 inconsistencies (e.g., misreadings or transcription errors), which were corrected. The dp(abs)\(24\) annual and seasonal averages for each station were filtered using a 21-point Gaussian filter with frequency response 0.5 at a wavelength 10 yr and a standard deviation of 1.66667 (Burroughs 2003; Jones et al. 1999; http://www.ltrr.arizona.edu/~dmeko/notes_8.pdf), and they were analyzed using linear least squares regression and variance analysis for evidence of any trends. Correlation analysis was used for evidence of statistical association with other climatic parameters, namely, the dp(abs)\(24\) of other stations and the NAOI.

We make reference to annual values of Hurrell’s (1995) Azores-based index and autumn–winter values of Jones et al.’s (1997) (updated by Osborn 2006) Gibraltar-based index for the latter; Z scores were used to determine outliers of annual and seasonal dp(abs)\(24\) of each station series for further examination.

4. Results

a. dp(abs)\(24\)-\(v\) wind speed (Danish, Faroe Islands, Greenland, and U.K. stations)

Correlation coefficients between annual and extended winter [December–March (DJFM)] mean dp(abs)\(24\) and wind speed \(v\) are generally significant, although greater for winter than for annual values (Table 2): this reflects stronger prevailing winds and clearer forcing of surface pressure–winds by transient storms during winter. Figure 2 shows the close nature of the relationship between winter dp(abs)\(24\) and surface wind for Vestervig-Thyborøen in Denmark and Torshavn in the Faroe Islands (\(r = 0.63\) in each case). Jersey dp(abs)\(24\) wind speed shows monthly correlations ranging from \(r = 0.28\) in June to 0.53 in November, and Guernsey dp(abs)\(24\) wind speed shows monthly correlations ranging from \(r = 0.15\) in April to 0.58 in December; the relationship is quite obviously least strong at both stations from April to June (not shown in table). The overall (annual and winter) relationship is strongest for Torshavn, which we attribute to its exposed
oceanic location with likely minimal ageostrophic frictional effects.

b. Primary features of dp(abs)24 time series from visual analysis

Figures 3–9 show mean seasonal cycle and interannual (annual and extended winter, DJFM) dp(abs)24 for selected stations.

1) Aberdeen (United Kingdom)

Mean seasonal dp(abs)24 ranges from ~4.75 hPa in mid to late July to >8.5 hPa in mid-January (Fig. 3a). Notable secondary peaks around the beginning of both March and April are superimposed on the main seasonal cycle (Fig. 3a). There is a considerable standard deviation, up to ~7 hPa in midwinter, of the annual dp(abs)24 values used to calculate each daily mean for the entire period of record (1861–2005). The running mean of annual average dp(abs)24 exhibits distinct peaks around the 1860s, 1900s, early 1920s, 1940s, 1960s, and 1980s, and distinct troughs or minima during the late 1930s, late 1950s, and early 1970s (Fig. 3b). Figure 3c illustrates distinct seasonal disparities: for example, dp(abs)24 was simultaneously low in winter and high in autumn around the latter half of the 1920s, but low in autumn and high in winter during the mid-1990s (also see for the wider British Isles in AL08).

2) Valentia (Republic of Ireland)

The dp(abs)24 time series for Valentia in SW Ireland (Fig. 4) covers a very similar time span (1861–2006) to that of Aberdeen (above). The mean seasonal cycle ranges from <4.5 hPa in early July to ~7.5 hPa in midwinter, which suggests on average a slightly less stormy winter climate than at Aberdeen (not shown). Again as with the Aberdeen series, secondary peaks are evident for the beginning of March and beginning of April, and there is a similar range from ~3.5 hPa in summer to 6–7 hPa in winter of the standard deviation of annual values used to calculate each mean daily value. The interannual dp(abs)24 series has its three most pronounced peaks in the 1920s, very early 1960s, and early 1990s, which are far less clear (i.e., they are very much secondary peaks only) in the Aberdeen record; its two main troughs in the late 1930s and early 1970s are also seen in the Aberdeen record (see section 4b1; Fig. 3b). The first and the last main storm peaks at Valentia (i.e., the early 1920s and 1990s) appear of almost equal magnitude (also see for the wider British Isles in AL08), and dp(abs)24 annual values around 1870 (during the closing stages of the Little Ice Age) are similar to those during much of the twentieth century. The same graph suggests that the 1940s were overall the least stormy decade of the last >145 yr at Valentia (Fig. 4a). This period of reduced storminess is more evident in the winter than the autumn dp(abs)24 records (Fig. 4b). Close scrutiny of the Valentia seasonal dp(abs)24 series reveals relatively high (low) winter and low (high) autumn dp(abs)24 in the 1970s to early 1990s (late 1920s) stormy or active period, in rough agreement with the Aberdeen series. As in AL08 for the British Isles, the autumn storminess peaks in the 1920s and 1990s are of similar magnitude.

3) Armagh (Northern Ireland)

Armagh, Northern Ireland (Fig. 5), has the longest dp(abs)24 series considered in this study, stretching back continuously to 1833. Earlier data from 1795 to 1832 are available but need substantial archival work in their verification with other relatively nearby early pressure series such as Liverpool, United Kingdom. The highest peak in dp(abs)24 is in the mid-1920s (this prominent peak is also seen in the Valentia series and for the wider British Isles in AL08; Fig. 5). Secondary peaks are evident for the 1830s, mid-1860s, early to mid-1890s, and early to mid-1980s. The low point of the Armagh dp(abs)24 series is in the early 1970s, with an almost as low point in the late 1930s. The Armagh series suggests overall slightly enhanced annual dp(abs)24 values for the nineteenth century compared with the twentieth century (Fig. 5). Also evident is the excellent agreement between the Armagh and Valentia dp(abs)24 annual series, from these stations ~400 km apart, for the substantial period of overlap (1861–2006): this bolsters confidence in the underlying barometric data and indicates that the figure is presenting “real” long-term climatologies of pressure and storminess variations.

Table 2. Correlation coefficients ($r$ values) between annual and extended winter (DJFM) mean dp(abs)24 and mean wind speed at British Channel Islands and DMI stations, with $r$ values in italics (bold) significant at $p \leq 0.05$ ($p \leq 0.01$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Winter \n(DJFM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>1958–2006</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guernsey</td>
<td>1951–2005</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torshavn</td>
<td>1953–2006</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasiilaq</td>
<td>1958–2006</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordby-Esbjerg/Blávandshuk</td>
<td>1953–70; 1982–2006</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestervig-Thyborøen</td>
<td>1961–2005</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammerodde</td>
<td>1978–2006</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. (a) Comparison of Vestervig-Thyborøen (Denmark) extended winter (DJFM) dp(abs)24 and wind speed with 5-yr running means, 1961–2006; (b) comparison of Torshavn (Faroes) extended winter (DJFM) dp(abs)24 and wind speed with 5-yr running means, 1953–2006.

$r = 0.63$
4) CHANNEL ISLANDS

The mean seasonal dp(abs)24 cycle ranges from ~3.7 hPa in midsummer to ~6.7 hPa in midwinter, reflecting substantially less stormy average conditions than in the northern British Isles and western fringes of Ireland (not shown). The early March and early April mean seasonal peaks are still evident but are now matched by similar, or slightly greater, strength peaks in early November and early December (not shown). The spliced Channel Islands dp(abs)24 annual series has its main peaks around the 1860s–1870s (also an active period at Aberdeen, Armagh, and Valentia), the late 1920s (in common with Armagh and Valentia and the wider British Isles in AL08), mid to late 1960s, and around 1980: these latter two peaks are the strongest (Fig. 6a). There are some slight differences in the timing of the later two peaks from peaks in the Aberdeen, Armagh, and Valentia series. However, the 1980 peak shows up particularly strongly in the Jersey winter series in Fig. 6b. In contrast, autumn dp(abs)24 values around 1980 are unexceptional. The 1890s and 1930s–1940s and again briefly around 1990 seem to have been relatively quiet periods in the Channel Islands.

5) TORSHAVN (FAROE ISLANDS)

The mean seasonal dp(abs)24 cycle ranges from ~4.5 hPa in July to nearly 10 hPa in midwinter at Torshavn in the wind-swept Faroe Islands (not shown). The early 1990s have relatively high annual dp(abs)24 but not much greater than the early 1900s and late 1940s (Fig. 7a,b). The late 1950s seem to have been the “quietest” years of the entire record at Torshavn (Fig. 7a); however, split by season, the quietest winters appear to have occurred around 1940 (Fig. 7b). The early 1900s
Fig. 4. (a) Valentia (Ireland) annual dp(abs)_{24} with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1861–2006; (b) autumn, winter, and extended winter (DJFM) seasonal mean dp(abs)_{24} at Valentia (Ireland), all with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1861–2006.
and early 1990s peaks, and most of the interannual fluctuations in general at this station, are much more enhanced in winter than in autumn (Fig. 7c).  

6) TASIILAQ (GREENLAND)  
The interannual dp(abs)24 record at Tasiilaq is more broken than at the other stations, no doubt due to the relatively remote station location (Fig. 1), but shows the principal features. There is a clear peak around 1990 and a probable peak at the start of the record around 1900, in keeping with the Torshavn series, and troughs in the late 1920s and 1960s (Fig. 8a). These peaks and troughs are in accord with similar features in the previously published SW Iceland dp(abs)24 series (Jónsson and Hanna 2007). The 1920s trough appears to have been mainly an autumn feature, while the 1900s and 1990s peaks were primarily winter features (Fig. 8b).  

7) DANISH STATIONS  
Annual dp(abs)24 series for Hammerodde Fyr (Table 1; Fig. 1) are presented in Fig. 9, along with some corresponding series for other Danish stations. Gaussian-filtered profiles reveal excellent agreement in interannual fluctuations of all three dp(abs)24 annual series (Fig. 9a). There are two almost equal main peaks in these series in the early 1900s and around 1990. As with many of the other series, the 1950s–’60s were marked by relatively low dp(abs)24, concentrated in autumn (Fig. 9b).  

c. dp(abs)24 variance and trend analysis  
Annual and extended winter (DJFM) dp(abs)24 means, standard deviations, and least squares linear regression trend-line changes for all stations are summarized in Table 3 and form very useful quantitative supplements to the above description of dp(abs)24 changes. Only SW Iceland has a significant overall (upward) trend (but note the longer period of this series compared with most other series except Armagh), although this is only significant for its annual dp(abs)24 series and not the extended winter (DJFM) series. Regarding shorter climatological normal (standard 30-yr average) periods, significant upward trends are present in both the Armagh and Aberdeen extended winter (DJFM) series and the Tasiilaq and Hammerodde annual series for 1961–90, and also most of the Torshavn and Danish series for 1971–2000: this marks the transition between the relatively quiescent mid-twentieth century (having generally calmed down considerably
FIG. 6. (a) Annual mean dp(abs)24 at Jersey and Guernsey. The dashed curve is the 21-point, Gaussian-smoothed spliced Channel Islands annual dp(abs)24 series. The thick solid curve is the 21-point, Gaussian-smoothed Guernsey annual dp(abs)24 series. All are from 1843–2006; (b) autumn, winter, and extended winter (DJFM) seasonal mean dp(abs)24 at Jersey (U.K.), all with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1864–2007.
FIG. 7. (a) Torshavn (Faroe Islands) annual dp(abs)24 with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1874–2006; (b) autumn, winter, and extended winter (DJFM) seasonal mean dp(abs)24 at Torshavn (Faroe Islands), all with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1874–2007.
FIG. 8. (a) Tasiilaq (Greenland) annual dp(abs)24 with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1895–2006. There is a lack of data in the period September 1910–August 1911 and again from June 1940–January 1944—the latter because of the Second World War; (b) autumn, winter, and extended winter (DJFM) seasonal mean dp(abs)24 at Tasiilaq (Greenland), all with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1895–2007.
FIG. 9. (a) Hammerodde Fyr (Denmark) annual dp(abs)24 with 21-point Gaussian filter, also showing similarly filtered annual dp(abs)24 values for two other Danish stations (see Fig. 1: Table 1), 1874–2006. (b) Autumn, winter, and extended winter (DJFM) seasonal mean dp(abs)24 at Hammerodde Fyr (Denmark), all with 21-point Gaussian filter, 1874–2006.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station/series</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD (CoV)</th>
<th>Trend/% of mean over whole period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel Islands (spliced Jersey/Guernsey)</td>
<td>ANN 1843-2006</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0.33 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.10/2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1843-70</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>0.37 (7.4)</td>
<td>0.08/5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1871-1900</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.33 (6.4)</td>
<td>-0.16/3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1901-30</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.34 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.23/4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1931-60</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>0.29 (5.8)</td>
<td>-0.04/0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1961-90</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>0.33 (6.4)</td>
<td>-0.16/3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1971-2000</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.31 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.04/0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>DJFM 1866-2007</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.70 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.25/4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1871-1900</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>0.67 (11.3)</td>
<td>-0.07/1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1901-30</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.70 (11.2)</td>
<td>-0.37/5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1931-60</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>0.68 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.13/2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1961-90</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>0.70 (10.8)</td>
<td>0.15/2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1971-2000</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>0.77 (12.2)</td>
<td>-0.28/4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentia</td>
<td>ANN 1861-2006</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.37 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.00/0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1871-1900</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>0.36 (6.1)</td>
<td>-0.11/1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1901-30</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>0.39 (6.4)</td>
<td>0.26/4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1931-60</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>0.35 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.12/2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1961-90</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.38 (6.3)</td>
<td>-0.08/1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1971-2000</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>0.35 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.34/5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1862-2006</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>0.81 (11.5)</td>
<td>0.11/1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1871-1900</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.63 (9.0)</td>
<td>0.19/2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1901-30</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>0.73 (10.1)</td>
<td>-0.16/2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1931-60</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.81 (11.9)</td>
<td>0.58/8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1961-90</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.78 (10.7)</td>
<td>0.52/7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1971-2000</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.92 (12.5)</td>
<td>-0.23/3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armagh</td>
<td>ANN 1833-2006</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>0.41 (6.8)</td>
<td>-0.18/2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1841-70</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.42 (6.9)</td>
<td>0.11/1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1871-1900</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>0.36 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.31/5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1901-30</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.38 (6.0)</td>
<td><strong>0.43/6.8</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1931-60</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>0.39 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.13/2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1961-90</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>0.47 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.12/2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1971-2000</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>0.40 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.20/3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1833-2007</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.76 (10.3)</td>
<td>-0.02/0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1841-70</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>0.89 (12.1)</td>
<td>0.72/9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1871-1900</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>0.51 (7.1)</td>
<td><strong>0.87/12.0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1901-30</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>0.77 (10.1)</td>
<td>-0.07/1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1931-60</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>0.68 (9.5)</td>
<td>0.49/6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1961-90</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>0.78 (10.4)</td>
<td><strong>0.83/11.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1971-2000</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>0.85 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.32/4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen</td>
<td>ANN 1861-99</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>0.42 (6.5)</td>
<td>-0.17/2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1901-2005</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>0.41 (6.4)</td>
<td>0.13/2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1901-30</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>0.28 (4.4)</td>
<td>-0.18/2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1931-60</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0.50 (8.0)</td>
<td>-0.28/4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1961-90</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>0.44 (6.8)</td>
<td>0.32/5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1971-2000</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>0.39 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.18/2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1862-99</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>0.81 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.40/2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1901-2005</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>0.84 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.40/2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1901-30</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>0.83 (6.1)</td>
<td><strong>-0.88/6.4</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1931-60</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>0.62 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.10/0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1961-90</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>0.89 (6.4)</td>
<td><strong>1.05/7.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1971-2000</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>0.92 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.84/6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1874-1924</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>0.46 (6.7)</td>
<td>0.06/0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1926-2006</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>0.54 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.42/6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1931-60</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.61 (9.1)</td>
<td>-0.40/5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1961-90</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>0.53 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.39/5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANN 1971-2000</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>0.50 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.38/5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1875-2007</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>1.18 (13.9)</td>
<td>0.00/0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1875-1900</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>0.97 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.42/4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJFM 1901-30</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>1.19 (13.8)</td>
<td>-1.10/12.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from around 1900) and the relatively stormy period around 1990. On the other hand, significant negative trends occurred in the annual dp(abs)24 series of SW Iceland and all three Danish stations, and also in the DJFM dp(abs)24 series of Aberdeen, for 1901–30. Longer-period trends for 1901–2006 and 1951–2006 are insignificant in all cases except the 1951–2006 trends for Torshavn (+10.2% annual and +14.5% DJFM) and Hammerodde (+8.1% annual).

d. dp(abs)24 cross-correlation analysis

Cross-correlation coefficients (r values) between annual mean dp(abs)24 for available periods of record for the various stations, with significant values highlighted,
Table 4. Cross-correlation coefficients (r values) between annual mean dp(abs)24 of barometric pressure stations/series used in this study, with r values in italics (bold) significant at $p \leq 0.05$ ($p \leq 0.01$). Values above right (below left) of central diagonal are for 1874–2006 (1951–2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Islands</th>
<th>Valentia</th>
<th>Armagh</th>
<th>Aberdeen</th>
<th>Torshavn</th>
<th>SW Iceland</th>
<th>Tasilaq</th>
<th>Nordby-Esbjerg</th>
<th>Vestervig-Thyboroen</th>
<th>Hammerodde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel Islands</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentia</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armagh</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torshavn</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Iceland</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasilaq</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordby-Esbjerg</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestervig-Thyboroen</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammerodde</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

are summarized in Table 4. As expected, the r values tend to be higher the closer stations are together: note, for example, the very high overall $r = 0.93$ between the Nordby-Esbjerg and Verstervig-Thyboroen (~140 km apart, both Denmark; Fig. 1) dp(abs)24. The two Irish stations, Valentia, and Armagh are correlated at $r = 0.74$, even though they are ~400 km apart on opposite sides of Ireland, and Tasilaq (southeast Greenland) and SW Iceland—nearly 700 km apart—are correlated at $r = 0.67$ (Table 4). High correlations of stations (relatively) close together give greater confidence in the individual dp(abs)24 series. The high correlations between stations ≥400–700 km apart strongly suggest that dp(abs)24 has considerable spatial coherence. The significant negative correlations between Jersey and Tasilaq can be ascribed to north–south displacements of the storm track and the reversal of vorticity across the jet stream (Barry and Chorley 2003, 130–131).

e. dp(abs)24–NAOI correlation analysis

Correlation coefficients between extended winter (DJFM) dp(abs)24 for the various stations and two principal NAOIs, also for winter, are summarized in Table 5; a visual indication of the nature of the dp(abs)24-NAO relationship, for both the year as a whole and autumn and winter seasons, is shown in Fig. 10. The strongest dp(abs)24–NAOI relationships, up to $r = 0.79$, are found for Torshavn (Faroes) and are greater than those derived for SW Iceland. The dp(abs)24–NAOI relationships become progressively weaker on moving farther away from the main NAOI axis and subpolar jet stream. Of the stations studied here, Jersey has the weakest statistical association with the NAOIs. Although correlations between winter NAOI and winter dp(abs)24 are statistically significant for the three Danish stations, this is only true if one takes the whole (1875–2006) series. It is not true for the most part within the 30-yr time frames in the series, except for the most recent (1971–2000). This probably indicates changes in the character of NAO (in its strength and/or positions of its nodes or centers of action) for this later compared with previous periods, as hinted by Jones et al. (2003). On the other hand, Tasilaq 30-yr winter dp(abs)24 and NAOIs are significantly correlated only for the 1931–60 period.

f. dp(abs)24 extremes—High and low years/seasons and links with NAO/historic weather events

The five highest and five lowest dp(abs)24 winter (DJFM) values during the period 1875–2006 are shown for each series in Table 6. Several years stand out from the table as having either high or low anomalies in multiple series.

Winter 1994/95 (denoted as 1995 in the table) was the highest-ranked dp(abs)24 winter at Aberdeen, Armagh, and each of the three Danish stations, the third-highest dp(abs)24 winter at Valentia and the fourth-highest dp(abs)24 winter at Torshavn. Given the often significant relationship between dp(abs)24 and NAOI at these stations, it is no coincidence that winter 1994/95 has the joint second-highest value in the Hurrell (1995) NAOI (which begins in 1864), with more persistent, stronger westerly winds penetrating farther east across northwest Europe, as indicated by the MSLP chart in Fig. 11f; MSLP anomalies were >8 hPa below the December–March mean over a wide area between Iceland and Norway. Winter 1994/95 dp(abs)24 was 3.2 (3.1) standard deviations above its long-term mean at the Danish station Nordby-Esbjerg (Vestervig-Thyboroen).

Winter 1993/94 also features prominently in our table, with the second-highest dp(abs)24 at Armagh and the fourth-highest dp(abs)24 at both Jersey and Valentia; it has the ninth-highest NAOI value accord-
Table 5. Correlation coefficients ($r$ values) between extended winter (DJFM) (annual in brackets) dp(abs)$^{24}$ and NAOI (Hurrell 1995; Jones et al. 1997), with $r$ values in italics (bold) significant at $p \leq 0.05$ ($p \leq 0.01$). N.B., * means Hurrell index only available from 1864.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Hurrell</th>
<th>Jones et al.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>1866–2005</td>
<td>$-0.13 (-0.04)$</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1871–1900</td>
<td>$-0.25$</td>
<td>$-0.21$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>$-0.08$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>$-0.48$</td>
<td>$-0.41$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>$-0.16$</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentia</td>
<td>1862–2005</td>
<td>0.12 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1871–1900</td>
<td>$-0.02$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>$-0.13$</td>
<td>$-0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>$-0.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
<td>$-0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armagh</td>
<td>1833–2005*</td>
<td>0.30 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1841–1870*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1871–1900</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen</td>
<td>1862–2005</td>
<td>0.50 (0.39)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1871–1900</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torshavn</td>
<td>1875–2005</td>
<td>0.68 (0.58)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1875–1900</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Iceland</td>
<td>1823–2005*</td>
<td>0.53 (0.58)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1871–1900</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasiilaq</td>
<td>1895–2005</td>
<td>0.35 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordby-Esbjerg</td>
<td>1875–2005</td>
<td>0.28 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1875–1900</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestervig-Thyboroen</td>
<td>1875–2005</td>
<td>0.37 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1875–1900</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammerodde</td>
<td>1875–2005</td>
<td>0.26 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1875–1900</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1901–30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931–60</td>
<td>$-0.03$</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971–2000</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 10. (a) Annual dp(abs)24 for sample stations in British Isles, Iceland, Greenland, Faroe Islands, and Denmark, and annual (Hurrell) NAO index, all plotted using 21-point Gaussian filter. (b) Autumn (October–December) dp(abs)24 for sample stations in British Isles, Iceland, Greenland, Faroe Islands, and Denmark, and autumn (Jones et al. 1997) NAO index, all plotted using 21-point Gaussian filter. (c) Winter (January–March) dp(abs)24 for sample stations in British Isles, Iceland, Greenland, Faroe Islands, and Denmark, and winter (Jones et al. 1997) NAO index, all plotted using 21-point Gaussian filter.
ing to the Hurrell (1995) list, and MSLP anomalies north of the United Kingdom and Ireland −5 hPa below the winter mean (Fig. 11e). However, neither 1994 nor 1995 appears on a list of 1891–2007 Danish storms (Cappelen and Rosenørn 2007; storms classified according to 10-min mean wind speed ≥21 m s⁻¹ estimated to cover at least 10% of the country), so the main features of the atmospheric circulation in those years over Denmark may have been an increase in the mean wind speed, as indicated by Fig. 11, rather than a direct effect on increased wind storms.

Winter 1906/07 features prominently as having had the highest winter dp(abs)²⁴ at Torshavn, the second-highest winter dp(abs)²⁴ for SW Iceland and at Tasiilaq, Nordby-Eesbjerg, and Vestervig-Thyborøen, and the third-highest dp(abs)²⁴ at Hammerodde; it also features on Cappelen and Rosenørn’s (2007) Danish storm list, with two noted westerly storms in January and February 1907. However, despite its prevailing strong westerly circulation (Fig. 11b), winter 1906/07 does not have any storms assessed as being of historic interest over the British Isles and northwest Europe in the storm catalog by Lamb (1991), and it is only ranked 20th on the Hurrell (1995) NAOI list. This may be related to MSLP anomalies southwest of Ireland being some 8 hPa above normal that winter (seen as an incursion of higher pressure toward SW United Kingdom in Fig. 11b), which seems to have quelled the worst ravages of the vigorous westerlies around the neighborhood of the British Isles.

Winter 1978/79 was the highest-ranked dp(abs)²⁴ winter at Jersey, which at 8.3 hPa was 3.0 standard deviations above the long-term (1875–2006) mean, and the fifth- (sixth) highest dp(abs)²⁴ winter during this period at Valentia (Armagh); significantly, this winter had a low Hurrell NAOI (−2.25) and a generally “stalled” zonal circulation (Fig. 11c) but with MSLP anomalies of 4–5 hPa below the December–March average over southern England, France, and the Bay of Biscay, illustrating the complexity of the relationship between dp(abs)²⁴ and NAO. In keeping with the pattern of a negative NAOI but vigorous atmospheric circulation, extensive and prolonged snow storms occurred in southern Denmark during late December 1978 and early January 1979 (Cappelen and Rosenørn 2007). Later that same winter, on 13–14 February 1979, a long easterly wind stream of continental polar air precipitated a fierce easterly storm over the Channel and south coast of the United Kingdom, which attained a gradient wind of ~100 kt (51 m s⁻¹) and resulted in the breaching of the famous Chesil Bank and the isolation of Portland as an island (Lamb 1991).
Winter 1982/83 was the third-highest dp(abs)24 winter at Aberdeen and Vestervig-Thyborøen and the fourth-highest dp(abs)24 winter at Nordby-Esbjerg and Hammerodde; it ranks sixth highest in the Hurrell (1995) 1864–2006 NAOI list, with MSLP anomalies ranging from −3 hPa over Iceland to >7 hPa west of sea area Fitzroy. A very vigorous winter circulation persisted from mid-December through mid-February (Fig. 11d). Lamb (1991) commented that winter 1982/83 was remarkable for its frequent severe storms and unusually deep cyclones—including three exceptionally deep systems of central MSLP 930–934 hPa—over the

### Table 6. Five highest and five lowest dp(abs)24 extended winter (DJFM) seasonal values from 1875 (Tasiilaq only from 1895) to 2006 inclusive, with Z scores indicating anomalous departure from mean (with Z scores ≥±3.0 in bold). Years are for January.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>High rank/year</th>
<th>dp(abs)24 (Z)</th>
<th>Low rank/year</th>
<th>dp(abs)24 (Z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>1/1979</td>
<td>8.28 (3.0)</td>
<td>1/1932</td>
<td>4.48 (-2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1978</td>
<td>7.91 (2.5)</td>
<td>2/1880</td>
<td>4.61 (-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1915</td>
<td>7.82 (2.4)</td>
<td>3/1929</td>
<td>4.74 (-2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1994</td>
<td>7.57 (2.0)</td>
<td>4/1891</td>
<td>4.88 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/1970</td>
<td>7.40 (1.8)</td>
<td>5/1884</td>
<td>4.90 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentia</td>
<td>1/1974</td>
<td>8.92 (2.4)</td>
<td>1/1932</td>
<td>5.00 (-2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1972</td>
<td>8.79 (2.2)</td>
<td>2/1975</td>
<td>5.34 (-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1995</td>
<td>8.77 (2.2)</td>
<td>3/1953</td>
<td>5.48 (-2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1994</td>
<td>8.66 (2.0)</td>
<td>4/1929</td>
<td>5.51 (-2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/1979</td>
<td>8.63 (2.0)</td>
<td>5/1942</td>
<td>5.53 (-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armagh</td>
<td>1/1995</td>
<td>9.16 (2.4)</td>
<td>1/1932</td>
<td>5.41 (-2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1994</td>
<td>8.94 (2.1)</td>
<td>2/2005</td>
<td>5.97 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1920</td>
<td>8.92 (2.1)</td>
<td>3/1929</td>
<td>6.00 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1910</td>
<td>8.84 (2.0)</td>
<td>4/1976</td>
<td>6.08 (-1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/1916</td>
<td>8.64 (1.7)</td>
<td>5/1948</td>
<td>6.26 (-1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen</td>
<td>1/1995</td>
<td>9.79 (2.6)</td>
<td>1/1929</td>
<td>5.61 (-2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/2000</td>
<td>9.77 (2.5)</td>
<td>2/1891</td>
<td>5.64 (-2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1894</td>
<td>9.49 (2.2)</td>
<td>4/1964</td>
<td>6.22 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/1920</td>
<td>9.36 (2.0)</td>
<td>5/1941</td>
<td>6.26 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torshavn</td>
<td>1/1907</td>
<td>11.25 (2.4)</td>
<td>1/1929</td>
<td>5.72 (-2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1882</td>
<td>11.22 (2.4)</td>
<td>2/1985</td>
<td>6.01 (-2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1993</td>
<td>11.17 (2.3)</td>
<td>3/1955</td>
<td>6.23 (-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1995</td>
<td>11.01 (2.2)</td>
<td>4/1947</td>
<td>6.32 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/1989</td>
<td>10.84 (2.0)</td>
<td>5/1941</td>
<td>6.38 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Iceland</td>
<td>1/1949</td>
<td>12.56 (2.8)</td>
<td>1/1936</td>
<td>6.81 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1907</td>
<td>12.25 (2.4)</td>
<td>2/2001</td>
<td>6.85 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1992</td>
<td>11.60 (1.8)</td>
<td>3/1947</td>
<td>6.91 (-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1925</td>
<td>11.30 (1.5)</td>
<td>4/1960</td>
<td>6.94 (-2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/1898</td>
<td>11.25 (1.5)</td>
<td>5/1912</td>
<td>7.05 (-2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasiilaq</td>
<td>1/1898</td>
<td>11.31 (3.0)</td>
<td>1/1936</td>
<td>5.30 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N.B. years 1911, 1941–43 and 1956/57 missing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1907</td>
<td>10.93 (2.6)</td>
<td>2/2006</td>
<td>6.61 (-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1976</td>
<td>10.60 (2.2)</td>
<td>3/1990</td>
<td>6.62 (-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1991</td>
<td>10.22 (1.8)</td>
<td>4/1912</td>
<td>6.83 (-1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/1908</td>
<td>10.13 (1.8)</td>
<td>5/1947</td>
<td>6.94 (-1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordby-Esbjerg</td>
<td>1/1995</td>
<td>9.37 (3.2)</td>
<td>1/1985</td>
<td>5.35 (-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1907</td>
<td>8.71 (2.3)</td>
<td>2/1959</td>
<td>5.40 (-2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1962</td>
<td>8.66 (2.2)</td>
<td>3/1964</td>
<td>5.49 (-2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1983</td>
<td>8.50 (2.0)</td>
<td>4/1996</td>
<td>5.50 (-2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/2000</td>
<td>8.42 (1.9)</td>
<td>5/1929</td>
<td>5.53 (-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestervig-Thyborøen</td>
<td>1/1995</td>
<td>9.63 (3.1)</td>
<td>1/1996</td>
<td>5.34 (-2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1907</td>
<td>9.09 (2.4)</td>
<td>2/1985</td>
<td>5.38 (-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1983</td>
<td>9.05 (2.3)</td>
<td>3/1959</td>
<td>5.54 (-2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestervig-Thyborøen</td>
<td>4/1962</td>
<td>8.75 (2.0)</td>
<td>4/1972</td>
<td>5.68 (-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/2000</td>
<td>8.73 (1.9)</td>
<td>5/1969</td>
<td>5.71 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammerodde</td>
<td>1/1995</td>
<td>8.89 (2.5)</td>
<td>1/1973</td>
<td>5.11 (-2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/1881</td>
<td>8.53 (2.0)</td>
<td>2/1996</td>
<td>5.23 (-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/1907</td>
<td>8.39 (1.8)</td>
<td>3/1985</td>
<td>5.25 (-2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1983</td>
<td>8.38 (1.8)</td>
<td>4/1923</td>
<td>5.55 (-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/2000</td>
<td>8.38 (1.8)</td>
<td>5/1933</td>
<td>5.56 (-1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Atlantic and Europe. Two events in January and February 1983, with widespread effects and gradient winds of up to 100 kt (51 m s\(^{-1}\)), are prominently featured in Lamb’s (1991) Severe Storm Index. A strong hurricane-like storm affected Denmark in January 1983 (Cappelen and Rosenørn 2007).

Finally, winter 1897/98 had a mean dp(abs)\(24\) of 11.31 hPa at Tasiilag (Greenland), equaling 3.0 standard deviations above the 1875–2006 mean. That year, despite its unexceptional NAOI, had a vigorous westerly circulation over the British Isles and much of northwest Europe, with tightly bound MSLP streamlines (Fig. 11a). Winter 1897/98 also features prominently in the historic storm catalogs, with noted gales and storms in November 1897 (Lamb 1991) and January and March 1898 (Cappelen and Rosenørn 2007).

The years 1929 and 1932 feature prominently in low-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winters. Indeed, 1928/9 is the lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter (out of 1875–2006) at Aberdeen and Torshavn, the third-lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter at Jersey and Armagh, the fourth-lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter at Valentia, and the fifth-lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter at Nordby-Esbjerg. This seems directly linked with a high MSLP anomaly of over 8 hPa covering a wide area between the north cape of Scotland, the Faroes, and Norway, with prevailing high pressure anchored firmly over southern Germany (Fig. 11g). Winter 1931/32 has the lowest dp(abs)\(24\) at Jersey, Valentia, and Armagh. This reflects prevailing anticyclonic conditions over and south of the British Isles that winter (Fig. 11h), with extensive MSLP anomalies of >6 hPa. There are hardly any events cataloged for these two winters in the Danish storm list (Cappelen and Rosenørn 2007). However, Lamb (1991) cataloged two notable storm events for November 1928, although he highlighted the narrow corridor of one of these, and an isolated storm during November 1931. The latter was noted as having occurred during a relatively gale-free month; clearly, certain individual storms are likely to be missed by taking mean winter dp(abs)\(24\) (or MSLP or NAOI) statistics.

Winter 1935/36 was the lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter for both SW Iceland and Tasiilag, but the reason is not obvious from the MSLP chart (Fig. 11i), although a clue might be given by MSLP anomalies of 3 and 5 hPa over, respectively, Iceland and southeast Greenland that winter.

The winter of 1995/96 is conspicuous at the Danish stations, as it is the lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter at Vestervig-Thyboroeren, the second-lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter at Hammerodde, and the fourth-lowest-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winter at Nordby-Esbjerg. Not surprisingly, there are no Danish storms during this winter (Cappelen and Rosenørn 2007). Of course, winter 1995/96 is well known as it represents a major sudden switch in phase of NAOI from highly positive the previous winter 1994/95 (see above) to the sixth-most-negative NAOI value of the 1864–2006 period in 1995/96 (e.g., Hurrell et al. 2003) (Fig. 11j), with a blocking anticyclone over eastern Europe—which would have its greatest influence on the Danish stations.

The principal features of our Valentia (Ireland) dp(abs)\(24\) annual and winter series (Fig. 4) are mirrored in the Valentia midwinter (January) hourly gale record based on anemometer data covering the period 1869–2005 (Hickey 2008). The hourly gale record shows similar peaks in the early 1920s, early 1960s, and mid-1980s to around 1990, also with relatively high values in the 1870s and 1880s, suggesting—just like the dp(abs)\(24\) record (see section 4b2)—relatively stormy conditions at Valentia toward the end of the Little Ice Age. On the other hand, the early 1950s stands out as a relatively quiet period in the hourly gale record, as with the dp(abs)\(24\) series. Similarly, the main aspects of our Armagh (Northern Ireland) dp(abs)\(24\) annual series (Fig. 5) are well captured in a twice-daily instrumental–observational (anemometer) record for the station spanning 1844–1999, which similarly shows prominent peaks for around 1900, the early 1920s, late 1950s, and 1980s, and similar low points in the late 1930s and around 1970 (Hickey 2003). The 1920s and 1960s peaks in Irish storminess fit well with an annual incidence of flooding record (with excessive rainfall as the main cause of flooding) in Cork City from 1841–1988, which highlights peak flooding frequencies in 1925–40 and 1953–66 (Tyrrell and Hickey 1991). This comparison also serves to point out just one potential practical application of dp(abs)\(24\) in relating historical, recent (and later future) changes in atmospheric circulation to human impacts. Relatively quiet conditions at both Valentia and Armagh in the most recent decade (since the early 1990s) are confirmed by an additional analysis of hours with gales and gusts to gale force at five Irish stations from 1991 to 2005 (Hickey 2008); this analysis also confirms a general peak of gale activity in 1994—a year that features prominently in our list of high-ranking dp(abs)\(24\) winters at both Valentia and Armagh (Table 6).

Although there appear to be good qualitative links between dp(abs)\(24\) and previously documented northwest European—and more specifically United Kingdom, Danish, and Irish—storms, we caution that the dp(abs)\(24\) analysis should in essence be viewed as a long-term climate index. For example, severe storms in Jersey in September 1869, October 1887, November 1940, and October 1964 (Le Blancq 1988) all produced
severe gales or storm-force mean winds and serious damage, yet these years did not feature as unusual in the dp(abs)24 analysis. The storms did not occur at the fixed 0900 LT survey time, so values only varied from 0.3 to 10.7 hPa. Neither was the severe storm of 26 December 1999 captured: although it caused widespread severe damage over northern France and record-breaking pressure variations (Le Blancq and
Searson 2000), the dp(abs)24 was only 1.9 hPa. The dp(abs)24 analysis will not, therefore, necessarily be influenced by, or capture, individual fast-moving storms. The latter are better resolved through dp(abs)3 (3-hourly absolute pressure variation) extreme-event analysis along the lines of Alexander et al. (2005), but the trade-off is a shorter time frame—typically the last 50 to 100 yr—as barometer readings have become more frequent.

5. Discussion and conclusions

The main purpose of this study was to extend the dp(abs)24 technique, initially applied to SW Icelandic pressure datasets by Jónsson and Hanna (2007). It has been extended to readily available WASA station-pressure datasets (here updated) and newly available daily pressure data for a well-distributed network of long-running meteorological stations at various locations in northwest Europe and the northern North Atlantic. We have shown that the relationship between long-term (seasonal/annual average) dp(abs)24 and wind speed holds for all sites studied and is stronger in winter than annually. However, we have also seen that dp(abs)24 does not always well capture high-frequency (subdiurnal) wind storms. We have demonstrated high spatial coherence between interannual fluctuations in dp(abs)24 at stations separated by some hundreds of kilometers (e.g., Iceland and Scotland, and Ireland and Denmark); the relationship tends to be negative for stations located on opposite sides of the mean jet-stream axis (e.g., Greenland and the Channel Islands). We have quantified variations and trends in dp(abs)24 with time, showing no significant overall long-term changes, except for SW Iceland. The latter is a special case as long-term changes may be due to changes in local conditions, namely, enhanced continentality due to much more severe sea ice conditions around Iceland during much of the nineteenth century compared with today (Jónsson and Hanna 2007). However, marked short-term changes are evident. Analysis of extreme dp(abs)24 winter values shows no obvious clustering of recent years that could represent increased storminess linked with global warming. High values of dp(abs)24
in the winter of 1994/95 (which have since been succeeded by lower values) were preceded by almost equally high dp(abs)24 in the winter of 1907, for example. The winters of 1928/29 and 1931/32 stand out as having particularly low mean dp(abs)24.

Our findings are much in line with those of previous studies that pick up a general increase in North Atlantic cyclonicity and storminess between the 1960s and 1990s (Bärring & von Storch 2004; Chang et al. 2002; McCabe et al. 2001; Schmith et al. 1998), although this varies by region: for example, Alexander et al. (2005) using dp(abs)3 found a tendency toward more (less) severe storms in the United Kingdom (Icealand) in the past few decades, and Smits et al. (2005) found no significant trend in storminess over the Netherlands from 1962–2002 based on the geostrophic (station pressure–data derived) wind speed record. Our results likewise agree with the smaller number of studies (analyzing sufficiently long data series) that do not find a sustained longer-term increase in storminess since the nineteenth century (Alexandersson et al. 1998; Bärring & von Storch 2004; WASA Group 1998). This perhaps lends a cautionary note to those who suggest that anthropogenic greenhouse warming probably results in enhanced extratropical storminess (e.g., Kaas and Anderson 1999), as this is indicated neither by our own nor existing published observational results for the northeast Atlantic for the last ~150 yr.

The results of this study will be useful in at least two respects. The first is by extending the spatial and temporal coverage of the instrumental historical record of European and North Atlantic storminess, so placing the results of locally based studies such as Bärring and von Storch (2004) in a wider geographic and meteorological context. The second is by using our new dp(abs)24 records to feed into and/or validate models of changing mid- to high-latitude storminess under climate change scenarios. For example, Singarayer et al. (2006) simulated intensified midlatitude storm tracks and increased winter precipitation over western and southern Europe in response to the widely projected reduction of Arctic sea ice by 2100. Bengtsson et al. (2006) suggest a likely future poleward shift and intensification of the Atlantic storm track north of the United Kingdom, while Finnis et al. (2007) found few significant changes in storm tracks or intensity, and Jiang and Perrie (2007) found slightly poleward-shifted storm tracks but only marginal changes in severity. These examples serve to illustrate that in general, global climate model (GCM) projections of changes in the NAO and North Atlantic storminess vary widely and remain unreliable (Meehl et al. 2007). Therefore, the models are in need of further refinement and need to be checked against an improved observational record. The derivation of a centennial time scale, spatially distributed dp(abs)24 series from a set of reliable observations—and for more recent years from gridded pressure datasets and GCMs—will enable model-data dp(abs)24 comparisons with twentieth-century model runs. The result will be a useful novel test of how realistically the GCMs simulate current climate variability and therefore their ability to realistically predict future climate change.

To help achieve the above aims, and having reflected on our results, we would like to make an appeal for more widespread international availability of long-term daily (or subdaily) barometric pressure data with appropriate metadata. Such pressure data are not as readily available as temperature and precipitation datasets, yet they are equally important in studying climate variability and change and, potentially, in attribution and detection studies of global warming.

Finally, in addition to providing an important data legacy and useful inferences about climatic variability and change, we suggest that the research results presented in this paper will have practical benefits: for example, our new dp(abs)24 datasets are anticipated to be of interest to the insurance industry as they may feed into an improved risk assessment of storminess.
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