

**UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available.
Please [let us know](#) how this has helped you. Thanks!**

Title	Managing periodontal disease: the clinician's role and the patient's responsibility
Author(s)	Buckley, Peter; Roberts, Anthony
Publication date	2013-06
Original citation	Buckley, P. and Roberts, A. (2013) 'Managing periodontal disease: the clinician's role and the patient's responsibility', Dental Therapy Update, 6(2), pp. 20-24.
Type of publication	Article (non peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	http://www.dentaltherapy-update.co.uk/ Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.
Rights	© 2013, George Warman Publications Ltd.
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/2826

Downloaded on 2021-12-01T06:50:25Z



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Managing periodontal disease

Peter Buckley and Anthony Roberts discuss the clinician's role and the patient's responsibility.

Abstract: This manuscript highlights the roles and responsibilities of the clinician and patient in the successful management of periodontal disease.

Clinical relevance: This article highlights the variety of factors that need to be addressed for periodontal diseases to be successfully managed.

Learning objective: The reader should understand the broad range of issues that require consideration for patients to be successfully managed for their periodontal problems.

Successful periodontal treatment is the goal for patients and clinicians alike. However, 'success' is difficult to define as patients and clinicians often assess this in different ways. Patients may express successful periodontal treatment as a 'reduction in bleeding when I brush' or that 'my wife has noticed that my breath has improved'. In contrast, clinicians may measure a reduction in marginal bleeding, bleeding on probing and / or probing pocket depths as indicating improvements. It is clear that 'success' is a broad term and that a successful treatment outcome will mean different things to different patients dependent upon their knowledge and treatment aspiration(s). Despite this finding, a clear constant in successful periodontal therapy remains the joint contribution from both clinician and patient. It is a bi-directional and symbiotic approach that leads to success and it is rare that efforts made by a patient or clinician in isolation results in long-term periodontal stability. This article highlights what clinicians need to offer their patients and what patients need to do for themselves.



Anthony Roberts is professor of dental education and honorary consultant in restorative

dentistry at the School of Dentistry, Manchester University.

Clinician's role

As clinicians, the roles that we play are broad and varied. Some roles are simply the performance of routine mandatory minimum requirements (such as the Basic Periodontal Examination) whilst others require tailoring to specific patient requirements, for example, oral hygiene instruction and demonstration. The following headings address the issues surrounding the role of the clinician.

Recognition

The first responsibility of the clinician is to screen for periodontal disease and recognise it as early as possible. The recognition of periodontal disease often presents the first hurdle, as there are a considerable number of factors to assess, including those outlined in Table 1. Table 1 does not represent an exhaustive list of features that require assessment, but illustrates the potential breadth of a periodontal examination. There are of course other assessment techniques such as radiography; however the commonest system in the United Kingdom for periodontal assessment is the Basic Periodontal Examination (BPE).

Periodontal diseases are wide ranging in their presentation and certainly some periodontal diseases are difficult to identify without periodontal probing. Too often clinicians are over-reliant on 'eye-balling' the periodontal tissues without probing, concluding that 'all is well' when probing would reveal the contrary.

Peter Buckley is a dental foundation year 2 trainee.

Gingival colour
Gingival contour
Gingival Biotype
Pocket depth, recession, and attachment loss.
Marginal bleeding
Bleeding on probing
Tooth mobility
Furcation involvement
Presence and location of calculus or other plaque-retention factors
Presence / absence of suppuration

Table 1: The clinical features of the periodontal tissues that require assessment.

Whilst the BPE is widely accepted and performed, close inspection of the system in light of the features identified in table 1 illustrates that the BPE is not an all-encompassing tool. It is simply a screen to pick out those patients with periodontal problems from those without (table 2). Indeed, anecdotally there may be an over reliance on the BPE in the expectation that it is a comprehensive tool for periodontal assessment; this was never the intention. If significant BPE Codes are identified, further investigations are warranted, for example, detailed periodontal charting and radiographs.

Diagnosis

From the history, examination and appropriate special tests a diagnosis should be derived. There is a broad range of periodontal diseases and without formulating (and recording) a diagnosis, clinicians may fall into the trap of incorrect management. For example, a patient with aggressive periodontitis is likely to require systemic antibiotics at the same time as sub-gingival biofilm disruption and repeated sub-gingival biofilm disruption without antibiotics may prove ineffective alone. A certain level of additional detail may be required to formulate an appropriate diagnosis and treatment plan. For example, in the cases of chronic

Feature	Assessed by BPE?	Reason
Gingival colour	x	Degree of erythema not assessed
Gingival contour	x	Gingival contour not assessed
Pocket depth	Yes	Only by banding not definitive depth recording
Bleeding on probing	Yes	Only codes 1 or 0 provide information
Mobility	x	Degree of mobility not assessed
Levels of plaque	x	Amount of plaque not assessed
Presence & location of calculus	Yes	Code 0 or 2 only
Presence & location of plaque retention factors	Yes	Code 0 or 2 only
Radiographs – long cone periapicals/ OPG	x	Clinical examination only

Table 2: The basic periodontal examination (BPE) as an assessment tool.

The diagnosis	Including severity/extent
The options for management	Non-intervention, intervention, palliation or extraction
Appropriate phases of management	Plaque control, non-surgical instrumentation or surgery. Usually these phases will proceed in this sequence, with surgical intervention often a 'last resort'
Patterns of management	Conventional staged debridement or full mouth debridement
The likely outcomes and timescales for each option	Dependent on the individual circumstances

Table 3: Verbal and written information to provide for patients.

periodontitis and aggressive periodontitis, information relating to severity and extent should be also be considered; severity may be graded in terms of attachment loss – mild (1–2mm), moderate (3–4mm) or severe (>5mm). The extent of disease may be recorded as a percentage of sites of the periodontium affected – localised (<30 per cent sites) or generalised (>30 per cent sites).

Communication

The patient should be informed of the clinician's findings and resulting diagnosis, explained to them in a way they can understand. The natural next step is a treatment plan of which there could be multiple options that clinicians and patients should jointly consider. Clinicians should discuss with their patient appropriate treatment options along with their benefits and risks; with

input from both parties a plan should be produced and recorded. For patients with severe periodontal attachment loss in the upper anterior sextant with drifting and mobility, root surface debridement in isolation is unlikely to address all of the patient's concerns with extractions being a possible consideration.

With the complexities of periodontal diseases, it should not be assumed that patients will recall 100 per cent of the information given to them verbally. Written information to supplement the verbal information is worthwhile, allowing the patient to reflect and learn in their own time (table 3).

In periodontal therapy, commonly the goal of treatment is to either halt progression or at least slow progression of disease. This is an important point for the patient's understanding of their condition, as periodontal disease often

causes irreversible damage.

Planning and co-ordination

When treatment planning, consider the prognosis of individual teeth. It is best to identify teeth for extraction early on in the treatment phase. There is little or no point in providing periodontal treatment for teeth with a poor prognosis, or teeth that provide no functional or aesthetic benefit. It must also be borne in mind that teeth may be lost in the future, because this will affect the other aspects of the patient's care, for example, the design of a denture. Ideally the fully colleted 'gum stripper' denture design should be avoided. Rather, some form of tooth support (without overloading) or Every denture should be considered.

If a dental hygienist or therapist will be providing some of the patient's treatment the communication between dental team members should be clear. This includes some detail in referrals for these appointments. Identify the treatment goal and what should be accomplished at these appointments. For example;

- oral hygiene instruction (OHI) including toothbrush and interdental cleaning instruction
- disclosing dye
- scaling ± local anaesthetic (type, dose etc)
- detailed periodontal charting (DPC)
- RSD ± local anaesthetic (type, dose etc)

Providing detailed referrals leaves less 'to chance' regarding this. It is also recommended that a realistic approach to appointment times is employed to allow for provision of high-quality care; a 15-minute appointment is unlikely to achieve anything other than a basic form of periodontal maintenance.

Demonstration

Oral hygiene is of great importance for patients with periodontal problems. There is systematic review evidence that professional mechanical plaque removal is of little value if not accompanied by oral hygiene instruction¹. Clinicians have a responsibility to inform patients of, and demonstrate, techniques they can use to maintain good oral hygiene. This should comprise more than saying to the patient 'Just brush better...!' Demonstration of cleaning techniques on models, and

Then in the patient's own mouth is useful here; watching patients brushing their teeth can be illuminating. Providing photographs for the patient of the cleaning methods being used in their own mouth helps them to remember their responsibilities. Whilst in surgery they may be invited to perform the techniques shown to them to build their competence, and any adjustments necessary can be made there and then.

Patients have their own individual needs and their oral hygiene regimen should be designed and adapted with this in mind. Identifying areas requiring particular attention, for example, areas of deep pocketing, is useful in this regard. It may be found that individuality may render conventional oral hygiene products unfit for the job. But remember, clinicians are free to adapt oral hygiene products as necessary. For example, trimming single-tufted brushes so that they are fine enough to be able to clean deeper pockets. Be resourceful!

Encouragement/feedback/monitoring

Through all phases of treatment patients should be continually given positive reinforcement and encouragement. This includes during initial therapy, active treatment and the maintenance phase(s). Often when considering the patient's response to periodontal treatment, it is the clinician's focus to highlight what remains a problem. Combined with a failure to identify positive treatment effects this can form a critical pattern and patient perception, which can be demoralising.

There is tentative evidence that psychological approaches can improve oral hygiene related behaviour². One such approach is motivational interviewing³. Defined as a 'facilitative approach to communication that evokes natural change', it allows practitioners to maximise the motivational potential of conversations with patients. An important point to note is that the communication style and approach is more important than the length of time spent in conversation.

The underlying goal of motivational interviewing is 'to increase intrinsic motivation to change that which arises from personal goals and values rather




Fig 1: Motivational interviewing methodology.

In Relation to Plaque Control

Agenda

- You are a patient who has gum disease which left untreated could lead to you losing some or all of your teeth.
- Is retaining your teeth important to you?
- On a scale of 1-10, with 1 being not very important and 10 being extremely important, how would you rate the importance of keeping your teeth?
- Do you understand why it is important that you keep your teeth free from plaque?
- On a scale of 1-10, with 1 being not very confident and 10 being extremely confident, how confident are you of performing the brushing and flossing that I have shown you?
- Use of open-ended questions with active discussion from the patient.

Fig 2: Motivational interviewing in relation to plaque control.

than from such external sources as attempts to persuade, cajole, or coerce the person to change³.

Motivational interviewing necessitates good communication skills - asking open-ended questions, reflective listening, affirming, summarising and eliciting 'change talk' from the patient. 'Change talk' consists of statements reflecting

desire, perceived ability, need, readiness, reasons or commitment to change.

The end product of such a method is establishing goals. Clinicians guide the patients in this way so that their desired outcome is achievable and realistic (Figs 1 and 2). Factors to consider in this respect include the patient's dexterity regarding oral hygiene, their age




Fig 3: Theories of compliance.

and the extent and severity of the periodontal disease. Make concrete plans with the patient. For example, the retention of teeth for life/for a long time, plaque and bleeding scores below 20 per cent, a reduction in size of pocket depths and number of sites with pocketing.

For home oral hygiene regimes event-based recall may be of value.

High levels of plaque control may be unobtainable for many patients. Remember, for all cases make the goal(s) realistic. For example, the goal for these patients may be to establish a level of plaque compatible with a rate of progression of periodontal disease slow enough to ensure adequate and acceptable periodontal support.

For patients without the manual dexterity to achieve high levels of oral hygiene through mechanical biofilm disruption, chemical methods are an acceptable alternative. There is clear evidence for a reduction in the quantity and quality of plaque in the supra-gingival environment with use of certain mouthwashes. The inference is that this also applies to the sub-gingival environment⁴.

Motivating patients is a continuous process, composed of recognising

their needs, discovering what motivates them, setting goals, forming and executing a plan and continually providing positive reinforcement.

Patient's responsibility Compliance and adherence

Despite the best efforts of practitioners, periodontal therapy will not be successful without compliance from the patient. Compliance may be defined as 'the extent to which the patient's behaviour matches the prescriber's recommendations.' Differing slightly but significantly is adherence, which is more in keeping with the collaboration-type action described above. Adherence may be defined as 'the extent to which the patient's behaviour matches the *agreed* recommendations from the prescriber' (fig 3).

Published material has highlighted the importance of home care in periodontal disease. Patients must take some responsibility in the management of their condition and the importance of home care in periodontal disease management should not be underestimated. This encompasses their 'at-home oral hygiene regime' and 'attendance for their appointments'. Patients who are encouraged to self-

inspect their own plaque levels (such as disclosing tablets) are better able to monitor their own performance with regard to oral hygiene. This engagement may make a difference for adherence. Patients are responsible for being amenable to change, remembering the advice they are given and acting upon it. This will be challenging, especially if there are inconsistencies in what they are told. With good team work and communication between members of the dental team the likelihood of such inconsistencies is diminished.

The reasons for a 'failure to adhere' are broad, however a well-motivated patient is more likely to adhere to a treatment plan. Records of clinical information can be used to motivate the patient, and they also are helpful from a medico-legal point of view if problems occur. Where possible, recommended OH behaviour should be simplified, and suggestions tailored to individual needs.

Conclusion

This manuscript identifies the multiple heterogeneous natures of the factors that should be considered to successfully manage patients with periodontal disease. It is clear that both the clinician and patient need to work in concert to achieve a successful periodontal outcome.

References

1. Needleman I, Suvan J, Moles PR, Pimlott, J. A systematic review of professional mechanical plaque removal for prevention of periodontal diseases. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005; **32** (Suppl. 6): 229-282.
2. Renz A, Ide M, Newton T, Robinson P, Smith D. Psychological interventions to improve adherence to oral hygiene instructions in adults with periodontal diseases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007; **2**: CD005097.
3. Miller WR, Rollnick S. *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing People for Change*. New York: Guildford Publications, 2002.
4. Ciancio SG. Chemical Agents: plaque control, calculus reduction and treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity. *Periodontol* 2000. 1995; **8** (Issue 1), 75-86.