

Title	Interaction between <i>Candida albicans</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
Authors	Konstantinidou, Nina
Publication date	2016
Original Citation	Konstantinidou, N. 2016. Interaction between <i>Candida albicans</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> . PhD Thesis, University College Cork.
Type of publication	Doctoral thesis
Rights	© 2016, Nina Konstantinidou. - <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/</a>
Download date	2024-04-24 05:58:36
Item downloaded from	<a href="https://hdl.handle.net/10468/3015">https://hdl.handle.net/10468/3015</a>



# **Interaction between *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa***

---

A Thesis presented to the  
National University of Ireland  
for the Degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**By**

**Nina Konstantinidou, BSc, MSc**

*School of Microbiology*

*University College Cork*

*Cork, April 2016*

**Head of School: Prof. Gerald F. Fitzgerald**

**Supervisor: Dr. John P. Morrissey**

**For my daughter, Arlene (Arli), and my family**

...

**Thank you for everything, especially for your patience**

...

## **Declaration**

I, the undersigned Nina Konstantinidou, declare that I have not obtained a degree from the University College Cork, National University of Ireland, Cork, or elsewhere on the basis of this Ph.D. Thesis. The results presented in this thesis were derived from the experiments undertaken by myself in the laboratories of the University College Cork.

---

Νίνα Κωνσταντινίδου

## Publications

### Peer reviewed publication

The results from the Chapters 2 and 3 were published in FEMS Yeast Research:

- Konstantinidou N & Morrissey JP (2015) Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in *Candida albicans* protein kinase mutants. FEMS Yeast Res 15: 1–10. pii fov092 doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov092.

### Public access database

A TFbsST (**T**ranscription **F**actor **b**inding **s**ite **S**earch **T**ool) database was published online under the following link:

- <http://bioinfo.ucc.ie/TFbsST/>

Chapter 4 is in preparation for submission in the Database Issue of Nucleic Acid Research (Oxford Journals).

### General scientific communication

Parts of the Chapter 1 were published in the following articles:

- Konstantinidou N (2014) Decoding bug chatter to fight infections. The Boolean 4: 51-55.
- Konstantinidou N (2014) Membership Q & A. Microbiology Today Magazine 41: 82-83.

## Table of Contents

Publications .....	iv
Peer reviewed publication .....	iv
Public access database.....	iv
General scientific communication .....	iv
Table of Contents .....	v
List of Figures .....	viii
List of Tables .....	ix
List of Abbreviations .....	x
Abstract .....	xii
Summary .....	xiii

## Chapter 1 ..... 1

1. General introduction .....	2
1.1 <i>Candida albicans</i> virulence .....	2
1.2 Drug resistance strategies of <i>Candida albicans</i> .....	3
1.3 <i>Candida albicans</i> morphology and morphogenesis.....	4
1.4 Biology of yeast, pseudohyphae and hyphae .....	6
1.4.1 Yeast.....	6
1.4.2 Pseudohyphae.....	6
1.4.3 Hyphae .....	7
1.5 <i>Candida albicans</i> biofilms.....	8
1.6 Interaction between <i>Candida albicans</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> .....	10
1.7 Quorum Sensing .....	12
1.7.1 <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> quorum sensing molecules .....	13
1.7.2 <i>Candida albicans</i> quorum sensing molecules .....	13

## Chapter 2 ..... 16

Abstract.....	17
2. Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in <i>Candida albicans</i> protein kinase mutants .....	18
2.1 Introduction .....	18
2.2 Materials and Methods .....	20
2.2.1 Yeast strains and growth conditions.....	20
2.2.2 PCR.....	20
2.2.3 Biofilm assay .....	21

2.2.4 Morphological analyses .....	21
2.2.5 Bioinformatics analyses .....	22
<b>2.3 Results.....</b>	<b>25</b>
2.3.1 Identification of the protein kinases involved in <i>Candida albicans</i> biofilm formation .....	25
2.3.2 Identification of the protein kinases involved in <i>Candida albicans</i> filamentous growth.....	34
2.3.3 STRING network analyses .....	39
<b>2.4 Discussion.....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>2.5 Appendix .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Chapter 3 .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>3. Communication between <i>Candida albicans</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> .....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>3.1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>3.2 Materials and Methods .....</b>	<b>50</b>
3.2.1 Yeast strains and growth conditions.....	50
3.2.2 PCR .....	50
3.2.3 Bacterial strains and preparation of supernatants .....	51
3.2.4 Biofilm assay .....	51
3.2.5 Morphological analyses .....	52
3.2.6 Bioinformatics analyses .....	52
<b>3.3 Results.....</b>	<b>54</b>
3.3.1 STRING network analyses .....	54
3.3.2 Bacterial supernatants inhibit biofilm formation of the 'Good' biofilm formers .	56
3.3.3 $\Delta$ QS bacterial supernatants inhibit biofilm formation of the good biofilm formers without affecting their morphology .....	60
<b>3.4 Discussion.....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>3.5 Appendix .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Chapter 4 .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>4. TFbsST: Transcription Factor binding site Search Tool .....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>4.1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>4.2 Materials and Methods .....</b>	<b>73</b>
4.2.1 General approach.....	73
4.2.2 Transcription factor binding site database creation .....	75
4.2.3 Python algorithm design .....	77

4.2.4 TFbsST website development .....	78
4.2.5 GO annotation.....	78
4.2.6 <i>Candida albicans</i> and <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> promoter analysis .....	78
<b>4.3 Results.....</b>	<b>80</b>
4.3.1 Transcription factor binding site database .....	80
4.3.2 TFbsST software .....	81
4.3.3 Overrepresented and underrepresented transcription factors in <i>Candida albicans</i> gene promoter regions .....	83
4.3.4 <i>RBT</i> and <i>ALS</i> are important for interaction between <i>Candida albicans</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> .....	83
4.3.5 Overrepresented TFs in the <i>RBT</i> and <i>ALS</i> family gene promoters.....	88
4.3.6 Efg1p binding sites in <i>Candida albicans</i> and <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> promoter regions.....	92
<b>4.4 Discussion.....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>4.5 Appendix .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Chapter 5 .....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>5. General discussion.....</b>	<b>109</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	109
5.2 Research result summary .....	109
5.3 <i>Candida albicans</i> interaction with bacteria .....	110
5.4 <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> interaction with fungi.....	111
5.5 <i>In vivo</i> models for the investigation of fungal biofilms .....	111
5.5.1 <i>In vivo</i> models for the investigation of bacterial biofilms.....	113
5.6 Future directions for the progression of this project.....	113
5.6.1 <i>Candida albicans</i> biofilm studies using 'Poor' biofilm former protein kinase mutants .....	113
5.6.2 <i>Candida albicans</i> – <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> interaction studies <i>in vivo</i> .....	114
5.6.3 <i>In vitro</i> validation of predicted <i>in silico</i> analyses .....	115
5.6.4 Updating and maintenance of the TFbsST database .....	115
<b>Acknowledgments.....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Appendix .....</b>	<b>150</b>

## List of Figures

Figure	Description
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
1	Some examples of yeast infection
2	Schematic of <i>C. albicans</i> biofilm development
3	Morphological forms of wild-type <i>C. albicans</i> DAY286 grown in filament-inducing medium at 37°C
4	The structure of HSL ( <i>N</i> -acyl-L-homoserine lactone) network in <i>Vibrio fischeri</i>
5	Quorum sensing (QS) molecule biosynthesis pathways in <i>C. albicans</i>
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
1	Workflow for the categorisation of <i>C. albicans</i> protein kinase mutants based on their biofilm formation ability
2	Biofilm formation of the 'Poor' biofilm former mutants
3	Biofilm formation of the 'Moderate' and 'Good' biofilm formers
4	Morphology of the 'Poor' biofilm formers reveals their defect in the switch from yeast to filamentous growth
5	Morphology of the 'Very poor' biofilm formers reveals their defect in the switch from yeast to filamentous growth
6	The 'Poor' biofilm former mutants are defective in the switch from yeast to filamentous growth
7	Predicted STRING interaction networks
8	Examples of molecular validation of the 'Very poor' biofilm former mutants with strain-specific PCR
<b>Chapter 3</b>	
1	Predicted STRING interaction networks
2	Biofilm formation of representatives of major MAPK pathways in the presence of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> supernatants
3	Biofilm formation of the 'Good' biofilm formers after application of the <i>Pseudomonas</i> supernatants derived from the wild-type, PAO1, and a mutant, ΔQS
4	Morphology of representatives of major MAPK pathways in the presence of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> supernatants
<b>Chapter 4</b>	
1	Workflow for the analyses of <i>C. albicans</i> gene promoter regions (from -1000 bp to +1 bp)
2	Screenshots illustrating some features of the TFbsST database
3	Localization of <i>C. albicans</i> proteins encoded by the upregulated (green bars) and downregulated (red bars) genes in response to <i>P. aeruginosa</i> supernatants
4	Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of the <i>RBT</i> and <i>ALS</i> gene families across the TFbsST database
5	<i>C. albicans</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis</i> <i>RBT</i> gene promoter analyses in the TFbsST database
6	Efg1p binding sites across the <i>C. albicans</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis</i> <i>RBT</i> family gene promoters

## List of Tables

Table	Description
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
1	<i>Candida</i> infection manifestations at different body sites
2	Chemicals that impair biofilm development in <i>Candida</i>
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
1	Protein kinase mutants used in this study
2	Primers used in this study
3	Classes of <i>C. albicans</i> protein kinase mutants based on their biofilm development
4	KEGG pathways from the STRING database
5	Functional partners predicted by the STRING database
<b>Chapter 3</b>	
1	Primers used in this study
2	KEGG pathways from the STRING database
3	Functional partners predicted by the STRING database
4	PK mutants of <i>C. albicans</i> less affected by the $\Delta$ QS supernatants
5	CGD Descriptions of <i>C. albicans</i> PK mutants
<b>Chapter 4</b>	
1	<i>Candida</i> transcription factor binding site consensus sequences
2	Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of <i>C. albicans</i> genes that were impaired after application of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> QS molecules
3	<i>C. albicans</i> gene subsets coding for cell wall/surface proteins
4	Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of <i>C. albicans</i> genes
5	Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of <i>C. albicans</i> genes
6	Gene ontology (GO) annotations of the upregulated gene set in response to the supernatants derived from 4 strains of <i>Pseudomonas</i> , PAO1, CF144, CF177 and $\Delta$ QS
7	Gene ontology (GO) annotations of the downregulated gene set in response to the supernatants derived from 4 strains of <i>Pseudomonas</i> , PAO1, CF144, CF177 and $\Delta$ QS
8	Gene ontology (GO) annotations of the upregulated gene set in response to HSL-containing <i>Pseudomonas</i> supernatants
9	Gene ontology (GO) annotations of the downregulated gene set in response to HSL-containing <i>Pseudomonas</i> supernatants

## List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Term
%	Percentage
3O-C <sub>12</sub> -HSL	<i>N</i> -(3-oxododecanoyl)-L-Homoserine Lactone
A <sub>600</sub>	Absorbency at 600 nm wave length $\lambda$ (Lambda)
AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
Arg	Arginine
bp	Base pair
C <sub>4</sub> -HSL	<i>N</i> -butyryl-L-Homoserine Lactone
cAMP	Cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate
CF	Cystic Fibrosis
CGD	<i>Candida</i> Genome Database
CDR	<i>Candida</i> Drug Resistance genes
DBD	DNA-Binding Domain
DBD database	DNA-Binding Domain database of predicted transcription factors
dH <sub>2</sub> O	Distilled water
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DRE	Drug Responsive Element
ECM	Extracellular Matrix
EDTA	Ethylene-Diamine-Tetraacetic Acid
EMSA	Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay
g	Gram
GO	Gene Ontology
h	Hour
HEA	Higher Education Authority
HHQ	2-Heptyl-4-Quinolone (PQS precursor)
His	Histidine
HSL	<i>N</i> -acyl-L-Homoserine Lactone
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HTML5	Hyper Text Markup Language 5
ID	Identifier
KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes
LB	Luria-Bertani or Lysogeny Broth
Leu	Leucine
LHS	Left Hand-Side
LSM	Laser Scanning Microscope
MAPK	Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase
Mb	Mega base
MDR	Multidrug Resistance genes
Min	Minute
mL	Millilitre
mySQL	My Structured Query Language
n	Number
°C	Degree Celsius
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
pH	Power of Hydrogen
PK	Protein Kinase
PQS	<i>Pseudomonas</i> Quinolone Signal
QS	Quorum Sensing
RAS/cAMP/PKA	Rat Sarcoma/cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate/Protein Kinase A

RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rpm	Revolutions or Rotation Per Minute
S	Supplementary
s/n	Supernatant
sec	Second
STRING	Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins
TAD	Trans-Activating Domain
TF	Transcription Factor
TFbsST	Transcription Factor binding site Search Tool
Tm	Melting temperature
Tn7-UAU1	Tn7 unit Transposon (transposable element) <i>-ura3Δ3'-ARG4-ura3Δ5</i>
Ura	Uracil
v	Version
XTT	2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide
YNB	Yeast Nitrogen Base
YNBNP	Yeast Nitrogen Base <i>N</i> -acetyl-D-glucosamine Phosphate
YPD	Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose (rich yeast medium)
WBC	White Blood Cells
Δ (Delta)	Deletion (when used before a gene name)
μg	micrograms
μL	microlitre
μm	micrometer

---

## Abstract

Fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* causes serious nosocomial infections in patients, in part, due to formation of drug-resistant biofilms. Protein kinases (PK) and transcription factors (TF) mediate signal transduction and transcription of proteins involved in biofilm development. To discover biofilm-related PKs, a collection of 63 *C. albicans* PK mutants was screened twice independently with microtiter plate-based biofilm assay (XTT). Thirty-eight (60%) mutants showed different degrees of biofilm impairment with the poor biofilm formers additionally possessing filamentation defects. Most of these genes were already known to encode proteins associated with *Candida* morphology and biofilms but *VPS15*, *PKH3*, *PGA43*, *IME2* and *CEX1*, were firstly associated with both processes in this study.

Previous studies of Holcombe *et al.* (2010) had shown that bacterial pathogen, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* can impair *C. albicans* filamentation and biofilm development. To investigate their interaction, the good biofilm former PK mutants of *C. albicans* were assessed for their response to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants derived from two strains, wild-type PAO1 and homoserine lactone (HSL)-free mutant  $\Delta$ QS, without finding any non-responsive mutants. This suggested that none of the PKs in this study was implicated in *Candida-Pseudomonas* signaling.

To screen promoter sequences for overrepresented TFs across *C. albicans* gene sets significantly up/downregulated in presence of bacterial supernatants from Holcombe *et al.* (2010) study, TFbsST database was created online. The TFbsST database integrates experimentally verified TFs of *Candida* to analyse promoter sequences for TF binding sites. *In silico* studies predicted that Efg1p was overrepresented in *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family genes.

## Summary

*C. albicans* is a serious human pathogen partially due to its drug resistant biofilm development that depends on the environmental signals sensed by the cell receptors. The PKs and TFs regulate signal transduction and gene transcription process respectively.

The primary aim of this research was to discover the most important PKs for *C. albicans* biofilm development. Indeed, we identified 38 PK mutants with different degrees of biofilm impairment but some of them were already linked with biofilms. Nearly half of these mutants were classified as 'Poor' biofilm formers possessing additional filamentation defects. The novel findings included 5 genes, *VPS15*, *PKH3*, *PGA43*, *IME2* and *CEX1*, not previously associated with either filamentation or biofilm formation. All these 5 genes seem to participate in processes that are important for biofilm formation, validating our approach. For example, even though *VPS15* encodes a kinase involved in vacuolar protein sorting, other members of the Vps protein family, Vps1p and Vps34p, were reported to significantly contribute in *Candida* biofilm formation. Vps15p and Vps34p form a complex on the vacuole/golgi membrane indicating that a proper fungal cell development requires correct protein sorting mechanisms. *PKH3* encodes a kinase that may be involved in Protein Kinase C (PKC) activity, which is important for drug resistance of *C. albicans*. Additionally, the *pkh3* mutant is reported to display significantly decreased cell-substrate adherence, what prevents biofilm formation. Interestingly, Pkh3p was clustered with the vacuolar proteins Vps15p and Vps34p in the network, probably indicating that the defect in this mutant is also related to vacuole protein sorting mechanisms. *PGA43* encodes a Glycosyl-Phosphatidyl-Inositol (GPI)-anchored protein with unknown function but other Gpi family proteins, Pga59p and Pga62p, are known to be required for cell wall integrity, which is mandatory for filamentation. Involvement of *C. albicans* Ime2p in morphological development is not surprising since its *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* orthologue is essential for pseudohyphal growth independent of the MAPK filamentation cascade. The function of *C. albicans* Cex1p is unknown but in *S. cerevisiae* it is exported from the nucleus via tRNA binding.

Apart from vacuolar process, our data showed that the PKA pathway, ribosome biogenesis and some aspects of the cell cycle are also required for efficient filamentation and biofilm development. For example, mutants that were disrupted in *C. albicans* PKA genes, *BCY1*, *TPK1* and *TPK2*, and the ribosome biogenesis genes, *CKA2*, *CKB1* and *CKB2*, exhibited impaired biofilms under our assay conditions. Bcy1p is a regulatory subunit of PKA, which is

prominent for *C. albicans* morphogenesis and, Tpk1p and Tpk2p are well-documented to be involved in filamentation. The ribosome biogenesis genes were also reported to display elevated transcription in biofilm forming cells. Upon these findings, it was interesting to see which proteins were not absolutely required for biofilm formation. Surprisingly, none of the individual PKs in MAPK (Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase) cascades was essential, indicating that MAPK mutants are compensated by parallel MAPK pathways in the cell.

The second goal of this project was to investigate the interaction between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa*. Previous studies had shown that *Pseudomonas* supernatants could impair both the yeast-hyphal transition and biofilm development in *Candida*. Thus, the 'Good' biofilm former mutants of *C. albicans* were assessed for their response to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants without finding any non-responsive mutants. This result suggested that none of the PKs in this study was implicated in *Candida* signal transduction response to *Pseudomonas* signals. However, the comprehensive analysis of the mutants in presence of supernatants derived from *N*-acyl-L-Homoserine Lactone (HSL)-positive and HSL-negative strains of *P. aeruginosa* showed 2 distinct effects on *Candida*: HSL-dependent impairment of morphology and HSL-independent impairment of biofilms.

To further investigate the TF regulation of *Candida* genes that were altered in presence of *P. aeruginosa* secreted chemicals, we created a TFbsST database (<http://bioinfo.ucc.ie/TFbsST/>). *Candida* TF library with experimentally validated motifs and Python scripts were integrated to develop a user-friendly application for the analysis of gene promoter regions. The TFbsST database includes TFs of *C. albicans* and closely related *Candida* species such as *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis* as well as evolutionary distinct *C. glabrata*. Initially, we annotated the differentially expressed genes of *C. albicans* that were up/downregulated in response to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants and shortlisted the genes coding for cell wall/surface proteins including members of *RBT* and *ALS* families. Using TFbsST database, we showed that several members of both gene families possessed Efg1p binding sites in their promoters enhancing the importance of Efg1p in the yeast to hyphae switch. The presence of Efg1p binding motifs in *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family gene promoters further supported its regulatory role across the *Candida* species.

Conclusively, our approach, bioinformatics tools and data generated from this study seed into the existing models of *C. albicans* and increase our understanding of its cellular mechanisms.

# Chapter 1

---

## General Introduction

---

Parts of this chapter were published in *The Boolean* (Konstantinidou N (2014) Decoding bug chatter to fight infections. *Boolean* 4: 51-55) and *Microbiology Today* (Konstantinidou N (2014) Membership Q & A. *MT magazine* 41: 82-83).

## 1. General introduction

### 1.1 *Candida albicans* virulence

*C. albicans* lives in healthy human host as a commensal colonising the mucosal microflora of urogenital and gastrointestinal tracts as well as oral cavity. However, *C. albicans* becomes pathogen after the overgrowth of the communities that can cause infectious diseases by bypassing the hosts defence system. *C. albicans* can overcome the macrophage innate immunity barrier with the metabolic changes mediated by the members of the Ato protein family (Danhof and Lorenz 2015) and the excretion of farnesol that stimulates macrophage chemokinesis (Hargarten *et al.* 2015). *Candida* infections range from life-threatening invasive candidiasis (candidaemia) to superficial mucosal infections known as 'thrush'. Other conditions caused by *Candida* include oral, mucosal and dermatological candidiasis; lung, hepatic (liver) and renal (kidney) abscess; pyelonephritis, vulvovaginitis and candiduria; as well as osteomyelitis (bone marrow), nail and eye infections (reviewed by Gulati and Nobile 2016) (Figure 1 and Table 1).



**Figure 1 | Some examples of yeast infection. A |** Oral infection in children, **B |** Nail infection, **C |** Skin infection, **D |** Plaque due to dental appliances and **E |** Biofilms on catheters causing bloodstream infections. The images were taken in this study with the patients' consent under the confidentiality policy of the Irish Health Care Board.

The main groups of patients vulnerable to *Candida* infections include immunocompromised populations, due to cancer treatment (with chemotherapy), organ transplant (with immunosuppressants) and HIV (with immunodeficiency virus). *Candida* profits from the dysfunction of the immune system to dominate and infect the patients with immune

disorders. Another group involves the cystic fibrosis (CF) patients with depleted defence bacteria due to the widespread use of antibiotics that promotes growth of *C. albicans* communities. Along with the pathogens (usually *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*), the antibiotics also eradicate the commensal bacteria that activate hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) and the antimicrobial peptide LL-37 of a host preventing host response to *C. albicans* infections (Fan *et al.* 2015). Additionally, enhanced growth of *Candida* colonies was observed in the cortisone administered patient groups (Seligmann 1953).

*C. albicans* is the 4th common pathogen that causes infections in nosocomial patients and accounts for 30% mortality rate mainly because of invasive bloodstream infections. *C. albicans* pathogenesis is enhanced with its virulence factors such as adhesins (biomolecules that facilitate host recognition and cell adhearance), aspartyl proteases and phospholipases (secreted molecules), morphogenesis (reversible transition forms between unicellular yeast and filamentous hyphae) and biofilms (complex community structures protected with extracellular matrix). The bloodstream infections are seeded by the *C. albicans* biofilms that are developed on implanted medical devices and catheters. Drug resistant biofilms covered by the extracellular matrix (ECM), protect *Candida* communities from antifungals and antibodies of the immune system making *Candida* infections practically untreatable.

**Table 1 | *Candida* infection manifestations at different body sites.**

<b>Organs</b>	<b>Superficial</b>	<b>Bloodstream</b>
Eye infection	Dermatological candidiasis	Candidaemia
Cerebral candidiasis	Mucosal candidiasis	Osteomyelitis
Lung abscess	Oral candidiasis	Medical implants
Hepatic abscess (liver)	Nail infection	Catheters
Renal abscess (kidney)		
Pyelonephritis (kidney)		
Vulvovaginitis (vagina)		
Candiduria (bladder)		

## **1.2 Drug resistance strategies of *Candida albicans***

*C. albicans* biofilms are widely investigated due to their connection with drug tolerance and high mortality rates. In addition to the switching ability, the virulence of *Candida* is increased by its extracellular matrix (ECM) that contains proteins, polysaccharides and extracellular DNA. ECM covers the biofilms and prevents the action of the antifungals. *Candida* drug resistance genes (*CDR* and *MDR*) that are upregulated during biofilm


formation, encode for 2 types of efflux pumps, ABC transporters (*CDR*) and MFS (major) facilitators (*MDR*) further increasing drug tolerance of *Candida* (Ramage *et al.* 2002). *C. albicans* communities in the biofilms (only) also contain persister cells, variants of normal cells that are usually in a dormant state, surviving the high doses of antifungals (LaFleur *et al.* 2006; Lewis 2012). Due to the latter, the commercially available antifungals (azoles, polyenes and echinocandins) are often ineffective against *Candida* biofilms. Azoles inhibit lanosterol, polyenes target ergosterol of cell membrane and weaken it, and echinocandins inhibit the synthesis of glucans in the fungal cell wall. Ineffectiveness of these drugs directs research towards alternative therapeutic strategies. These strategies were reviewed by Nett (2014) and include targeting extracellular matrix (ECM) and quorum sensing (QS) (Nett 2014). The recent insights in the field of biofilms and drug resistance have also highlighted the role of combination therapy that includes the use of the antifungals and the natural compounds derived from the plants (e.g. menthol, nerol), fungi (e.g. penicillin) and bacteria (e.g. *Pseudomonas* phenazines and QS molecules) (Kerr *et al.* 1999; Hogan and Kolter 2002; Hogan *et al.* 2004; McAlester *et al.* 2008; Deveau and Hogan 2011). This approach reduces the probability for resistance development which is the main issue for the fungal infection treatments.

### **1.3 *Candida albicans* morphology and morphogenesis**

The human pathogen, *C. albicans*, is a polymorphic fungus with a complex life cycle. In order to adapt to new environments it develops a full repertoire of distinct morphological forms including budding yeast (blastospore), intermediate pseudohyphae (Sudbery *et al.* 2004), filamentous hyphae, mycelium with secondary blastospores and biofilms with extracellular matrix (ECM) (recently reviewed in detail by Nobile and Johnson 2015). The ploidy plasticity of *C. albicans* allows rapid adaptation to the stressful conditions (Berman 2016). Different cell types vary in terms of polarization degree, septum position and nucleus movement. However, yeast, pseudohyphae and hyphae possess a single nucleus in each cell before mitosis. Uhl *et al.* (2003) identified 146 genes that are involved in switch between yeast and filamentous growth. The morphological switch between these forms represents a crucial factor for *C. albicans* virulence (Calderone and Fonzi 2001) (Figure 2).

Apart from yeast to hyphae switch, the white round yeast cells can also be transformed into the elongated opaque cells reversibly and proliferate by mating projection (Slutsky *et al.* 1987; Rikkerink *et al.* 1988; Magee and Magee 1997; Molero *et al.* 1998). *Ssn6p* plays an important role in white-opaque switching (Hernday *et al.* 2016). *C. albicans* gray phenotype

was recently described by Tao *et al.* 2014. Additionally, *C. albicans* has the ability to form chlamydo spores with thicker cell wall in response to the nutrient or environmental stress (Fabry *et al.* 2003). Chlamydo spores are larger than blasto spores and possess thicker cell wall. In order to develop the chlamydo spores, *C. albicans* mainly requires 6 genes (*ISW2*, *MDS3*, *RIM13*, *RIM101*, *SCH9* and *SUV3*) (Nobile *et al.* 2003) and a MAPK Hog1 (Alonso-Monge *et al.* 2003). However, *C. albicans* biofilms represent the most intriguing topic that has received enhanced scientific focus because they are responsible for virulence and drug resistance that lead to increased morbidity and enormous economic expenditure (Brajtburg *et al.* 1981; Lamfon *et al.* 2004).



**Figure 2| Schematic of *C. albicans* biofilm development.** To develop drug resistant biofilms, yeast cells of *C. albicans* attach on the surface and adhere to the neighbouring cells. After filamentous growth of hyphae the matrix, that covers and protects the cells from the antifungals and antibodies, is produced extracellularly. Adapted from Fox and Nobile (2012). Konstantinidou (2014).

Morphogenesis of *C. albicans* is initiated by external signals that are sensed by the cell receptors. The basic environmental factors which are known to induce fungal filamentation *in vitro* include body temperature (37°C), neutral pH (7.0) and low cellular density ( $\sim 10^6$  blasto spores/ml) as well as presence of compounds such as blood serum, proline (amino acid), glucose (carbon source) and *N*-acetyl-glucosamine (Mattia *et al.* 1982; reviewed by Whiteway and Oberholzer 2004). In order to control its morphogenesis *C. albicans* integrates the environmental stimuli with different signalling pathways. For example, cellular morphogenesis is regulated by calcium signalling pathways (Sanglard *et al.* 2003; Xu *et al.* 2015), yeast to hyphae transition is governed by Ras/cAMP/PKA pathways (reviewed

by Hogan and Sundstrom 2009), hyphal development is coordinated by adenylyl cyclase (cAMP) pathways (Rocha *et al.* 2001) and pseudohyphal induction is orchestrated by MAPK pathways (reviewed by Srinivasa *et al.* 2012). These pathways involve some key transcriptional factors such as Efg1p, Tup1p, Ssn6p, Nrg1p, Brg1p and Cph1p that play an important role in the signal transduction. For instance, inactivation of the transcription factors Cph1p (MAPK pathway) or Efg1p (Ras/cAMP/PKA pathway) can inhibit hyphal growth (Lo *et al.* 1997). These transcription factors collaborate with the histone deacetylases for the morphological transition of *C. albicans* (reviewed by Kim *et al.* 2015). Deacetylases are the enzymes that can remove acetyl groups from the amino acids of a histone allowing DNA to wrap tighter around the histone.

#### **1.4 Biology of yeast, pseudohyphae and hyphae**

Early publications have broadly noted the pleiotropic pathogenicity of *C. albicans*. *Candida* causes denture stomatitis (Lilienthal 1955), asthma (Huguenin-Dumittan and Girard 1972), vaginitis (Banner 1974), endocarditis (Calderone *et al.* 1978), septicaemia (Rosin 1974) and infects burn wounds (Albano and Schmitt 1973). The different morphological forms of *C. albicans* induce distinct T helper (Th) cell responses during adaptive immunity providing tissue-specific protection (Kashem *et al.* 2015). The Th17 and Th1 cell responses provide protection against cutaneous and systemic infections respectively. For instance, the yeast form of *Candida* drives Th17 cell response while a filamentous *Candida* induces Th1 cell response (Kashem *et al.* 2015). *C. albicans* morphological forms including yeast, pseudohyphae and hyphae, play a determinant role in fungal virulence (Figure 3).

##### **1.4.1 Yeast**

The simplest form of *C. albicans* is a round unicellular yeast (blastospore) formed vegetatively (asexual cell division) via the budding process. Budding cell selection is a temperature dependent phenomenon. Under propitious conditions septin rings signal nuclei to divide across the mother bud neck by asymmetric budding (Sudbery 2001). Fungal isotropic growth is characterised by actin polarization. After cytokinesis, the smaller daughter cell disassociates from the mother cell and enters the next cell cycle once it reaches the threshold size (Figure 3A). A sexual mating and a white-opaque switching can be regulated through the pH of the environment (Sun *et al.* 2015).


##### **1.4.2 Pseudohyphae**

The defining characteristic of *C. albicans* pseudohyphal cells is their ellipsoid shape. They bud in an unipolar manner although septin rings appear before the budding process like in

the yeast cells (Sudbery 2001). However, pseudohyphal cells stay in G2 phase longer than the yeast cells. During the polarized pseudohyphal growth, each cell remains attached to another but they are separated by the septa, forming the pseudofilamentous pattern. The new cells enter the next cell cycle in a more synchronized manner than the yeast cells. The elongated pseudohyphal cells form chains and rough colonies after cytokinesis (Figure 3B). The pseudohyphae-associated genes are expressed at low levels and represent a small subset of the hypha-related genes (Carlisle and Kadosh 2013).

### **1.4.3 Hyphae**

*C. albicans* filamentous growth is regulated independently from its cell cycle (Hazan *et al.* 2002). The yeast-hyphal transition is driven by the extended duration of filament-specific gene expression (Carlisle and Kadosh 2013). *C. albicans* true hyphal cells possess considerable elongation, parallel walls and extreme degrees of polarity (Figure 3C). Polarized growth mode implicates polarisome elements as well as septins, tag/bud site components, Cdc42 module (cell division control protein) and actin-myosin system (Whiteway and Oberholzer 2004). The hyphal cell nucleus divides in the elongated germ tube. Expansion of the filaments is initiated with the asynchronous cell cycle since solely the apical cells start dividing whereas the subapical cells remain in the primary cell phase G1 (Barelle *et al.* 2003). Transcriptional regulator Ash1, which controls filamentous growth, is widely associated with the asymmetric cell division as it is found in the nucleus of apical but not of subapical cells (Inglis and Johnson 2002). Asymmetric vacuolar inheritance also appears to be vital in the hyphae formation (Barelle *et al.* 2003). The true filaments develop via germ tube elongation process and filamentous cells display no distinct constrictions (Berman and Sudbery 2002). Hyphal formation is correlated with the bioactivity of small GTPases (Cdc42/Cdc24 (Ushinsky *et al.* 2002; Bassilana *et al.* 2003)), myosins (molecular motors Myo3/5 (Oberholzer *et al.* 2002)) and PKs (Hsl1p (Umeyama *et al.* 2005) and Cek1p (Csank *et al.* 1998)) that are likely to interact with the actin network.



**Figure 3 | Morphological forms of the wild-type *C. albicans* DAY286 grown in filament-inducing medium at 37°C. A | Yeast (0 h), B | Pseudohyphae (6 h), C | True hyphae (6 h), D | Biofilm (10 h).** The images were prepared in this study via a Zeiss Laser Scanning Microscope (LSM) 510 using Zen 2008 software with EX405 BP, DICII and Channel D at a magnification x 400. Scale bars in the first three panels and the last panel represent 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 50  $\mu\text{m}$  respectively.

In order to form true hyphae, *C. albicans* requires physiological biosynthesis of the cell wall. Due to the latter, mutations in the cell wall synthesis regulatory genes, *SSK1* (Chauhan *et al.* 2003) and *PIR32* (Bahnan *et al.* 2012), may demonstrate various impacts on the hyphal growth. The ability of *C. albicans* to switch from yeast to hyphae is highly connected to the fungal pathogenic lifestyle since nonhyphal mutants are avirulent (Lo *et al.* 1997). For instance, Guhad *et al.* (1998) showed that MAPK (Cek1) defective *C. albicans* mutant was avirulent since it established abnormalities in yeast to hyphal transition (Guhad *et al.* 1998). Usually, yeast or hyphal locked mutants of *C. albicans* are attenuated in virulence. Recently, *C. albicans* virulence was also linked with mitochondrial activity through the Ras1p regulation (Grahl *et al.* 2015). However, the reverse hyphae-yeast transition of *Candida* involves downregulation of the hyphal-specific genes and differential expression of protein synthesis-related genes (Carlisle and Kadosh 2013).

### 1.5 *Candida albicans* biofilms

*Candida* biofilms have received increased scientific interest because they enhance *C. albicans* pathogenicity and drug tolerance. Lately, biofilm development has been the subject of a myriad interesting studies (Chandra *et al.* 2001; García-Sánchez *et al.* 2004; López-Ribot 2005; Finkel and Mitchell 2011; Fox and Nobile 2012; Inglis *et al.* 2013). *In vivo*, biofilms comprise polymicrobial communities where fungi and anaerobic bacteria interact (Fox *et al.* 2014). The hyphae formation is crucial for fungal biofilm development, virulence and drug resistance. Also, hyphal branching ability determines the dynamics of

the biofilm morphology. *In vitro*, the biofilm development demonstrates 3 distinct phases (Chandra *et al.* 2001) (Figure 3):

1) Early stage includes yeast cell attachment to the substrate and adhesion to the neighbouring cells.

2) Intermediate phase involves pseudohyphal and hyphal filamentous growth and branching.

3) Maturation consists from 2 distinct processes, excretion of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and blastospore dispersal. The blastospores are asexual yeast cells that facilitate colonisation of new environments. Blastospore dispersal, biofilm formation and drug resistance are mediated by the histone deacetylase complex (Set3p, Hos2p, Snt1p and Sif2p) (Nobile *et al.* 2014). The ECM of the biofilms is composed from proteins, polysaccharides such as glucose and mannose, lipids and nucleic acid, and contributes to fungal drug resistance (Chandra *et al.* 2001; Fox and Nobile 2012).

Biofilm formation is a complex phenomenon which is orchestrated by more than 1000 proteins that are governed by 9 master regulators, Ndt80p, Efg1p, Brg1p, Bcr1p, Rob1p, Tec1p, Flo8p, Gal4p and Rfx2p (Nobile *et al.* 2012; Fox *et al.* 2015). The biofilm development pathways also include negative regulators like Tup1p and Zap1p which are hyphal (Braun and Johnson 1997; Kebaara *et al.* 2008) and biofilm matrix repressors respectively (Nobile *et al.* 2009).

**Table 2 | Chemicals that impair biofilm development in *Candida*.**

<b>Plant</b>	<b>Bacterium</b>	<b>Human</b>	<b>Other</b>
Terpenoids	Phenazines	Antibodies	Silver nanoparticles
Essential oils	HSLs	Hormones	Povidone-iodine
Purpurin stain	Quinolones	Mucins	Photodynamic therapy
Ethanol	Probiotic products	Milk oligosaccharides	
Propolis			

Despite complexity, *Candida* biofilm development and hyphal induction can be impaired by several plant terpenoids (natural organic chemicals) such as farnesol, linalool, nerol, menthol, carvone and  $\alpha$ -thujone (Raut *et al.* 2013); essential oils derived from coriander (Freires *et al.* 2015), thyme (Bogavac *et al.* 2015), pepper (Curvelo *et al.* 2014), tea (de

Campos Rasteiro *et al.* 2014), cinnamon (Pires *et al.* 2011), lemon (Oliveira *et al.* 2014) and carrot (Alves-Silva *et al.* 2016); as well as with propolis (Freires *et al.* 2016). The biofilms are additionally affected by exogenous human hormones including progesterone and oestradiol (Kinsman *et al.* 1988; Zhao *et al.* 1995), the mucins covering the epithelial cells (Kavanaugh *et al.* 2014) and the oligosaccharides contained in the human milk (Gonia *et al.* 2015). Also, biofilms are modulated by application of purpurin (Tsang *et al.* 2012), ethanol (Peters *et al.* 2013) and photodynamic treatment (Sousa *et al.* 2016). Photodynamic therapy was also effective against *Candida* in the murine model *in vivo* (Fabio *et al.* 2016). Recently, the use of povidone-iodine ointment (Hoekstra *et al.* 2016) and silver nanoparticles (drug delivery system) were also proposed as one of the effective strategies for the treatment of *C. albicans* infections (Qasim *et al.* 2015; Wang and Xie 2015). The probiotic supplements containing *Bacillus subtilis* were found to be effective against oral infections caused by *Candida* species (Zhao *et al.* 2016). Apart from these factors, *C. albicans* morphology and biofilm formation is also influenced by some Gram-negative bacteria including *P. aeruginosa* (Peleg *et al.* 2010; Holcombe *et al.* 2011). *P. aeruginosa* phenazines (parent substance of stains/dyes including safranin), pyocyanin, phenazine methosulfate and phenazine-1-carboxylate, affect *C. albicans* biofilm development and metabolism (Gibson *et al.* 2009; Morales *et al.* 2013) (Table 2).

### **1.6 Interaction between *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa***

In clinical settings, the majority of the infections are polymicrobial. Multiple pathogens including yeast, bacteria and viruses, can colonize and infect the same niche. For example, *C. albicans* is often coisolated with an opportunistic human pathogen bacterium *P. aeruginosa*. *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* infections are difficult to treat since both can form biofilms resisting the antifungal and antibiotic treatments respectively (Kojic and Darouiche 2004). *P. aeruginosa* is one of the best studied bacterium found in the plethora of niches due to its extremely adaptable abilities. *P. aeruginosa* can colonise kidneys and urinary as well as gastrointestinal tract of susceptible individuals causing inflammation and sepsis. Additionally, *P. aeruginosa* is the main cause of morbidity in populations with cystic fibrosis (CF) (Govan and Deretic 1996; Chambers *et al.* 2005; Leclair and Hogan 2010) and AIDS (Mendelson *et al.* 1994). Authors of independent studies have established the importance of quorum sensing (QS) system for *P. aeruginosa* fitness (Heurlier *et al.* 2006), virulence (Smith and Iglewski 2003) and inter-kingdom signalling (Shiner *et al.* 2005).


A wide variety of investigations suggest that *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* can coexist and interact in both natural and clinical settings (Hogan and Kolter 2002; Nseir *et al.* 2007; Gibson *et al.* 2009). *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* were coisolated from serious burn wounds (Gupta *et al.* 2005) and the lungs of the CF patients (Martin *et al.* 1993; Bakare *et al.* 2003). CF patients usually demonstrate imbalance in the microbial flora as a result of chronic use of antibiotics that leads to candidiasis (Burns *et al.* 1999). *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* have an antagonistic interaction. *In vitro* analysis established that *C. albicans* biofilm formation and metabolism can be influenced by *P. aeruginosa* phenazines (Gibson *et al.* 2009; Morales *et al.* 2013). For instance, *P. aeruginosa* phenazines enhance ethanol production in *C. albicans* to stimulate biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas* (Chen *et al.* 2014). Another phenazine, methosulphate (PMS), can kill *Candida* within its biofilms (Morales *et al.* 2010; Morales *et al.* 2013). Several studies have also shown that *Pseudomonas* can inhibit *Candida* biofilm development *in vitro* (Holcombe *et al.* 2010; Bandara *et al.* 2010a; Bandara *et al.* 2010b; Reen *et al.* 2011; Bandara *et al.* 2013). Our previous studies further confirmed that this biofilm inhibition is *N*-acyl-L-Homoserine Lactone (HSL)-independent (Holcombe *et al.* 2010; Konstantinidou and Morrissey 2015). Collectively, these data suggest that *Candida* biofilm development and metabolism are intimately related with each other (Lindsay *et al.* 2014).

Interaction between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* is mainly based on signalling. Several studies suggest that *Pseudomonas* QS molecules are responsible for the signal-mediated communication (Hogan and Kolter 2002; McAlester *et al.* 2008; Deveau and Hogan 2011). *Pseudomonas* QS molecules that are known to modulate *Candida* – *Pseudomonas* interaction include two types of HSLs, *N*-(3-oxododecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (3O-C<sub>12</sub>-HSL) (Hogan *et al.* 2004; McAlester *et al.* 2008) and *N*-butyryl-L-homoserine lactone (C<sub>4</sub>-HSL) (Smith and Iglewski 2003), as well as HHQ (2-heptyl-4-quinolone) (Reen *et al.* 2011). *P. aeruginosa* can inhibit morphological switch of *C. albicans* from yeast to hyphae using these secreted chemicals. It was reported that *Pseudomonas* HSLs can inhibit the switch of *Candida* from yeast to filamentous growth (Hogan *et al.* 2004). However, QS molecule of *C. albicans*, farnesol, can limit the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* by blocking the production of *Pseudomonas* QS molecules and pyocyanin (Kerr *et al.* 1999; Cugini *et al.* 2007) and affecting motility (McAlester *et al.* 2008). *P. aeruginosa* pyocyanin and 1-hydroxyphenazine can prevent the growth of *C. albicans* (Kerr *et al.* 1999).

Other factors that affect the interaction between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* include iron availability (Purschke *et al.* 2012), bacterial cell wall lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (Bandara *et al.* 2010a; Bandara *et al.* 2013) and extracellular DNA (Sapaar *et al.* 2014).

### 1.7 Quorum Sensing

Natural polymicrobial communities include diverse species that interact with each other. Their interaction is regulated by the environmental sensing mechanism called autoinduction (Nealson 1977). Autoinducers are signalling molecules produced in response to cellular population density (Eberhard 1972). Given the population density and the dimensions of the environment, bacteria can alter or repress their gene expression. This stimulus and response system is known as quorum sensing (QS) (Eberhard *et al.* 1981). The QS system was firstly identified in the bacterium, *Vibrio fischeri*, a bioluminescent symbiont of the Hawaii squid (Nealson *et al.* 1970) and has been extensively studied in bacteria (Figure 4).



**Figure 4 | The structure of HSL (*N*-acyl-L-homoserine lactone) network in *Vibrio fischeri*.** Luciferase expression system regulates expression of gene clusters such as *LuxR*, *LuxI*, C, D, A and B. O indicates an operator binding site for the regulator protein. This figure represents a part of a review article Figure (1) published by Davis *et al.* (2015).

Inter-kingdom communication across the prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms is based on the QS molecules. For paradigm, a bacterial HSL (*N*-acyl-L-homoserine lactone) can regulate the gene expression in eukaryotes. The eukaryotes recognise and respond to the bacterial HSLs because their chemical structure considerably resembles eukaryotic hormones. This phenomenon is known as “global sensing” (Shiner *et al.* 2005; Hartmann and Schikora 2012).

### **1.7.1 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum sensing molecules**

*P. aeruginosa* possesses a well-studied QS system (Pesci *et al.* 1997; Smith and Iglewski 2003; Bjarnsholt *et al.* 2010). The two known QS networks in *P. aeruginosa* are Las and Rhl (Pesci *et al.* 1997). Both systems employ a transcriptional activator and an autoinducer synthase to control gene expression (Pearson *et al.* 1997). *Pseudomonas* QS network regulates semantic functions such as virulence, protein secretion, swarming motility, 4-quinolone signalling as well as production of secondary metabolites, exoenzymes and exotoxins (Diggle *et al.* 2008).

*Pseudomonas* supernatants are rich in signalling molecules. PQS and its precursor HHQ (2-heptyl-4-quinolone) play an important role in *Pseudomonas* signalling. *P. aeruginosa* secretes the HSLs, *N*-(3-oxododecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (3O-C<sub>12</sub>-HSL) and *N*-butyryl-L-homoserine lactone (C<sub>4</sub>-HSL), that signal and regulate *C. albicans* behaviour. *P. aeruginosa* also produces phenazines such as phenazine methosulfate, phenazine-1-carboxylate and pyocyanin that can impair *C. albicans* biofilm development and metabolism (Gibson *et al.* 2009; Morales *et al.* 2013). Recently, coumarin (fragrance oil) was shown to inhibit *P. aeruginosa* phenazine production, biofilm development and swarming motility (Gutiérrez-Barranquero *et al.* 2015).


### **1.7.2 *Candida albicans* quorum sensing molecules**

To promote biofilm formation and pathogenesis *C. albicans* also produces signalling molecules (Kruppa 2009). *C. albicans* QS molecules control the initiation of hyphae development via the protein degradation mediated with Ubr1 (Lu *et al.* 2014). *C. albicans* excretes among others two well-established QS molecules, farnesol and tyrosol (Figure 5). Farnesol is a water insoluble organic alcohol present in essential oils and used in perfumery. Farnesol represents a natural pesticide and insect pheromone. Additionally, farnesol is reported to possess antitumor (Joo and Jetten 2009) and antibacterial properties (Kromidas *et al.* 2006). For example, farnesol alters cell morphology and disrupts cell

membrane integrity of a bacterium *Acinetobacter baumannii* ultimately leading to the biofilm impairment (Kostoulias *et al.* 2015).

*C. albicans* produces farnesol in order to control its cell density (Figure 5A). Farnesol is secreted by solely the white cells of *C. albicans*. The principal biological function of farnesol is an inhibition of *C. albicans* filamentation (Hornby *et al.* 2001) by affecting cell amino acid incorporation (Braun 2005). Farnesol causes inflammation response by activation of the human innate immune cells (neutrophils and monocytes) simultaneously suppressing cellular adaptive immunity, differentiation of monocytes into immature dendritic cells (Leonhardt *et al.* 2015). Farnesol participates in complex signal transduction pathways of yeast to hyphae transition. Its mechanism of action involves activation of Ras/cAMP/PKA pathways (Davis-Hanna *et al.* 2008) and of a hyphal repressor, Tup1p (Kebaara *et al.* 2008), as well as of a Chk1p kinase (Kruppa *et al.* 2004). Farnesol has the ability to prevent biofilm formation in its late phase but cannot affect already existing hyphae (Hornby *et al.* 2001). Moreover, farnesol elevates the expression of genes regulating hyphal formation, drug resistance, cell wall maintenance and heat shock protein production (Cao *et al.* 2005). Westwater *et al.* (2005) also proposed a possible link between farnesol and the oxidative stress resistance. Collectively these data indicate that farnesol affects the dynamics of *C. albicans* morphogenesis (Martins *et al.* 2007).

Another QS molecule of *C. albicans*, tyrosol, represents a natural antioxidant derived from an aromatic phenethyl alcohol (Figure 5B). White wine and olive oil that are known to possess cardioprotective properties are rich in tyrosol (Samuel *et al.* 2008; Miró-Casas *et al.* 2003). Chen *et al.* (2004) showed that tyrosol is an autoregulatory QS molecule that delays fungal growth and stimulates germ tube formation in the diluted population of *C. albicans* (Chen *et al.* 2004). After more investigation it became evident that tyrosol also affects intermediate and early stages of the hyphae formation (Alem *et al.* 2006). In synopsis, *C. albicans* QS molecules, farnesol and tyrosol, have antagonistic function on filamentation.



**Figure 5 | Quorum sensing (QS) molecule biosynthesis pathways in *C. albicans*.** The Enzymes shown in bold indicate experimental evidence for their enzymatic activity. **A |** Biosynthesis pathway of farnesol. Farnesol is produced from farnesyl pyrophosphate, an intermediate in the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway and inhibits *C. albicans* filamentation and biofilm formation. **B |** Biosynthesis pathway of tyrosol. Both, constitutive (Aro8p) and inducible (Aro9p) aromatic aminotransferases can catalyze the initial reaction in tyrosine degradation. Tyrosol stimulates growth under dilute culture conditions and has a protective effect against human phagocytic cells. Tyrosol production is enhanced in biofilms compared to planktonic culture. Figures were obtained from *Candida* Genome database (CGD) (Arnaud *et al.* 2005).

## Chapter 2

---

# Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in *Candida albicans* protein kinase mutants

---

**Nina Konstantinidou and John Patrick Morrissey**

*School of Microbiology, University College Cork, Cork, T12YN60, Ireland*

**Key words:** *Candida albicans*; protein kinase; biofilms; filamentation; yeast – hyphae.

**Subtitle:** *Candida albicans* morphology and biofilms

This chapter was published as part of publication by Konstantinidou N & Morrissey JP (2015) Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in *Candida albicans* protein kinase mutants. FEMS Yeast Res 15: 1–10. pii fov092 doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov092. Here it is represented with some figure rearrangements.

## Abstract

Pathogenicity of *C. albicans* is linked with its developmental stages, notably the capacity to switch from yeast-like to hyphal growth and to form biofilms on abiotic or biotic surfaces. To better understand the cellular processes involved in *C. albicans* development, a collection of 63 *C. albicans* protein kinase mutants was screened for biofilm formation in a microtitre plate assay. Thirty eight (38) mutants displayed some degree of biofilm impairment, with 20 categorised as 'Poor' biofilm formers. The morphology studies showed that all the 'Poor' biofilm formers were also defective in the switch from yeast to hyphae, establishing it as a primary defect of the impaired biofilms. Five genes, *VPS15*, *IME2*, *PKH3*, *PGA43* and *CEX1*, were found to encode for proteins not previously reported to influence hyphal development or biofilm formation. To identify important processes for biofilm development we questioned the interactions of proteins/enzymes encoded by the biofilm-related genes using the STRING database. The STRING network analysis established that the PKA pathway, ribosome biogenesis and some aspects of the cell cycle are important for filamentation and biofilm development underlining the complexity of these processes.

## 2. Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in *Candida albicans* protein kinase mutants

### 2.1 Introduction

*C. albicans* has emerged as a serious nosocomial pathogen in part due to formation of drug resistant biofilms on indwelling medical devices such as urinary and vascular catheters. Hence, fungal biofilms have received a significant interest during the last decade (Chandra *et al.* 2001; López-Ribot 2005; Inglis *et al.* 2013). Biofilm development represents a complex phenomenon and *in vitro* it demonstrates three distinct phases. The early stage includes yeast cell attachment to the substrate as well as adhesion to the neighbouring cells. The mechanisms governing yeast attachment are important since they determine subsequent biofilm development. The intermediate phase involves pseudohyphal and hyphal filamentous growth. The maturation phase consists from two distinct processes, excretion of extracellular matrix (ECM) and blastospore dispersal (Chandra *et al.* 2001; Fox and Nobile 2012). This complex phenomenon is orchestrated by more than 1000 proteins including PKs, which are governed by 9 master regulators, Ndt80p, Efg1p, Brg1p, Bcr1p, Rob1p, Tec1p, Flo8p, Gal4p and Rfx2p, that regulate signal transduction pathways at the genetic level (Nobile *et al.* 2012; Fox *et al.* 2015).

Biofilm development is regulated by diverse pathways but some well-characterised pathways are involved in the yeast to hyphae transition, Ras/cAMP/PKA (reviewed by Hogan and Sundstrom 2009); pseudohyphal induction, MAPK (reviewed by Srinivasa *et al.* 2012); and morphogenesis, calcium signalling pathways (Sanglard *et al.* 2003). For instance, inactivation of the transcription factors Cph1p (MAPK pathway) or Efg1p (Ras/cAMP/PKA pathway) can inhibit hyphal growth and biofilm development (Lo *et al.* 1997). Also, it was demonstrated that signalling via adenylyl cyclase is essential for hyphal development since cells defective in Cdc35p were unable to develop filaments (Rocha *et al.* 2001). The basic environmental factors characterized to induce fungal filamentation *in vitro* include body temperature (37°C), neutral pH (7.0), and low cellular density ( $\sim 10^6$  blastospores/ml), as well as presence of compounds such as serum (blood plasma without clotting factors), proline, glucose and *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine (Mattia *et al.* 1982; Whiteway and Oberholzer 2004).

Protein phosphorylation is important for signal transduction processes with sequential activation of proteins often mediated by PKs. In this study, we took advantage of a set of PK

mutants to ask which PKs are required for biofilm formation in *C. albicans*. This collection has been the subject to many different screens showing that particular PK genes were responsible for cell wall regulation (Blankenship *et al.* 2010), cell-substrate attachment (Fanning *et al.* 2013), cell morphology (Blankenship *et al.* 2010), propolis-induced cell death (de Castro *et al.* 2013) and cell metabolism (Morales *et al.* 2013).

## 2.2 Materials and Methods

### 2.2.1 Yeast strains and growth conditions

The wild-type *C. albicans* strains used in this study were BWP17 (*ura3Δ::λimm434/ura3Δ::λimm434 his1::hisG/his1::hisG arg4::hisG/arg4::hisG*) (Wilson *et al.* 1999) and DAY286 (*ura3::λimm434 his1::hisG pARG4::URA3::arg4::hisG*) (Davis *et al.* 2002). The PK mutants of *C. albicans* are listed in Table 1. This kinase set was created by Aaron Mitchell's group (Blankenship *et al.* 2010) and obtained from the Fungal Genetics Stock Center ([www.fgsc.net/candida/FGSCcandidaresources.htm](http://www.fgsc.net/candida/FGSCcandidaresources.htm)). PK homozygous insertion mutants were created in BWP17 parental strain via Tn7-UAU1 cassette (Blankenship *et al.* 2010). The majority of the PK-coding genes were represented by double independent mutant strains but in some cases only a single mutant was available. In total, we examined 63 genes using 45 independent duplicate mutants and 18 single mutants. The initial FGSC collection was larger and included mutants deleted in ~80 PK/PK-related genes. Our reduced set comprised the mutants that, after delivery and culturing, we were able to verify by strain-specific PCR (see below).

Yeast strains were routinely cultured in standard rich medium containing 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone and 2% glucose (YPD). For biofilm and morphological analyses, the yeast strains were pre-grown in non-filament-inducing medium YNB (yeast nitrogen base), as described by McAlester *et al.* (2008) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010) with some adjustments. Briefly, filter-sterilized YNB salts without amino acids (Difco 291940) were supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 0.1% maltose and 0.16% filter-sterilized synthetic amino acid drop-out Leu<sup>-</sup> (Kaiser Formedium DSCK052). For the induction of hyphal growth the strains were transferred to filament-inducing medium, YNB<sub>NP</sub>, which consisted from YNB supplemented with 2.5 mM *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine (Sigma A8625) and 25 mM phosphate (sodium) buffer (pH 7).

### 2.2.2 PCR

DNA of wild-type *C. albicans* and PK mutants was extracted according to Hoffman (2001) protocol. The primers were designed with SnapGene ([www.snapgene.com](http://www.snapgene.com)) and their sequences are listed in the Table 2. Strain-specific PCR was carried out using primers flanking the gene insertion sites listed in the Supplementary Table S1 of Blankenship *et al.* (2010) publication. Absence of the band indicated a mutant disrupted in the gene amplified by the corresponding primers (Appendix 2.5). All the PCR reactions were carried out

utilising a GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega) according to the manufacturers' instructions.

### **2.2.3 Biofilm assay**

A microtiter plate-based biofilm assay (XTT reductase salts) that measured metabolically active cells, was carried out as described by Ramage *et al.* (2001) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010). Briefly, the yeast strains were pre-grown in non-filament-inducing medium (YNB) overnight (30°C) and diluted into filament-inducing medium (YNBNP) to  $A_{600}0.05$ . The diluted cultures (100  $\mu$ L) were incubated for 1 h (37°C) in flat-bottomed 96-well polystyrene plates. After incubation, the attached cells were washed with fresh YNBNP medium twice, by inverting the plates carefully, to eliminate non-adhered cells. In order to induce biofilm formation the plates were incubated in the dark statically for 24 h (37°C). The next day, the biofilms developed on the bottom of the wells were washed with fresh YNBNP by careful pipetting. The XTT-menadione solution (100  $\mu$ L), prepared as below, was added to the overnight cultures and incubated in the dark for 2 h (37°C). Lastly, the dyed supernatants (80  $\mu$ L) were transferred to a clean plate for the quantification at a wavelength of  $A_{490}$  nm.

For the preparation of the XTT solutions, 10 mM menadione (Sigma M5625) was dissolved in pure acetone and added (10  $\mu$ L) to the XTT solution. The latter was prepared by dissolving 0.015 g of XTT powder (Sigma X4626) in 30 mL sterile dH<sub>2</sub>O and filtered with 0.2  $\mu$ m pore size filter.

To increase the statistical power of our experiments we carried out 2 independent screens and tested the mutants in triplicate with eight technical replicates ( $n = 24$ ). Biofilms of the control, wild-type *C. albicans* DAY286, ranged from approximately  $A_{490}1$  to  $A_{490}1.5$ . Thus, the mutants with biofilms above  $A_{490}1$  and below  $A_{490}0.5$  were assigned to the 'Good' and 'Poor' biofilm formers respectively. The remaining mutants were 'Moderate' biofilm formers. A distribution of each sample was assessed and the coincidence of the median and the mean indicated a Gaussian (normal) distribution of our data. All the statistical analysis of the biofilm assays were carried out in R Statistical Software (R Development Core Team 2013).

### **2.2.4 Morphological analyses**

Morphological assays were carried out as described previously by Hogan *et al.* (2004) and McAlester *et al.* (2008). Briefly, the yeast cultures were pre-grown overnight in YNB broth

(30°C) and diluted into YNBNP (A<sub>600</sub>1.0) to assay their capacity to switch from yeast to filamentous growth. Before (0 h) and after 6 h and 10 h incubation (37°C), the morphology of the mutants was examined microscopically. The images were captured digitally at a magnification x 400 via Zen2008 software with EX405 BP and Channel D by Zeiss Laser Scanning Microscope (LSM) 510.

### **2.2.5 Bioinformatics analyses**

The protein sequences of *C. albicans* PKs were retrieved from the CGD (*Candida* Genome Database) (Arnaud *et al.* 2005), the analysis of the protein associations were carried out using the STRING v9.1 database (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) (Jensen *et al.* 2009), the GOs (Gene Ontologies) were obtained with the Blast2GO annotations (Conesa *et al.* 2005) and pathway analysis were carried out using KEGG pathway database (Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes) (Kanehisa and Goto 2000).

**Table 1 | Protein kinase mutants used in this study.**

Kinase Plate 1				Kinase Plate 2			
Position	Orf19.	Gene	Updated	Position	Orf19.	Gene	Updated
A7	orf19.4866	<i>CPP1</i>	<i>CPP1</i>	A1	orf19.7044	<i>RIM15</i>	<i>RIM15</i>
A8	orf19.4866	<i>CPP1</i>	<i>CPP1</i>	A2	orf19.7044	<i>RIM15</i>	<i>RIM15</i>
A10	orf19.5181	<i>NIK1</i>	<i>NIK1</i>	A3	orf19.5253		<b>YAK1</b>
B1	orf19.4084	<i>KIS1</i>	<i>KIS1</i>	A4	orf19.5253		<b>YAK1</b>
B2	orf19.4084	<i>KIS1</i>	<i>KIS1</i>	A5	orf19.2277	<i>TPK2</i>	<i>TPK2</i>
B3	orf19.469	<i>HST7</i>	<i>HST7</i>	A6	orf19.2277	<i>TPK2</i>	<i>TPK2</i>
B4	orf19.469	<i>HST7</i>	<i>HST7</i>	A8	orf19.7451	<i>FUN31</i>	<i>FUN31</i>
B9	orf19.5224	<i>Sc. PKH1</i>	<b>PKH2</b>	A9	orf19.3530	<i>CKA2</i>	<i>CKA2</i>
B10	orf19.5224	<i>Sc. PKH1</i>	<b>PKH2</b>	A10	orf19.3530	<i>CKA2</i>	<i>CKA2</i>
C1	orf19.451	<i>SOK1</i>	<i>SOK1</i>	A11	orf19.4297	<i>CKB2</i>	<i>CKB2</i>
C2	orf19.451	<i>SOK1</i>	<i>SOK1</i>	A12	orf19.4297	<i>CKB2</i>	<i>CKB2</i>
C3	orf19.1874	<i>Sc. MEK1</i>	<b>MEK1</b>	B1	orf19.4518		<b>YPL150W</b>
C4	orf19.1874	<i>Sc. MEK1</i>	<b>MEK1</b>	B2	orf19.4518		<b>YPL150W</b>
C7	orf19.2395	<i>Sc. IME2</i>	<b>IME2</b>	B3	orf19.2268	<i>RCK2</i>	<i>RCK2</i>
C8	orf19.2395	<i>Sc. IME2</i>	<b>IME2</b>	B4	orf19.2102	<i>CKB1</i>	<i>CKB1</i>
C9	orf19.3047	<i>Sc. SIP3</i>	<b>SIP3</b>	B7	orf19.844	<i>STE11</i>	<i>STE11</i>
C10	orf19.3047	<i>Sc. SIP3</i>	<b>SIP3</b>	B8	orf19.844	<i>STE11</i>	<i>STE11</i>
C11	orf19.794	<i>Sc. SSN3</i>	<b>SSN3</b>	B11	orf19.3049		<b>SPS1</b>
C12	orf19.794	<i>Sc. SSN3</i>	<b>SSN3</b>	B12	orf19.3049		<b>SPS1</b>
D1	orf19.4892	<i>TPK1</i>	<i>TPK1</i>	C1	orf19.2436	<i>SKY1</i>	<i>SKY1</i>
D2	orf19.4892	<i>TPK1</i>	<i>TPK1</i>	C2	orf19.846		<b>NNK1</b>
D3	orf19.5408	<i>Sc. HRK1</i>	<b>HRK1</b>	C3	orf19.846		<b>NNK1</b>
D4	orf19.5408	<i>Sc. HRK1</i>	<b>HRK1</b>	C4	orf19.7355	<i>SSN8</i>	<i>SSN8</i>
D5	orf19.223		<b>FPK1</b>	C5	orf19.3720		<b>BCY1</b>
D6	orf19.223		<b>FPK1</b>	C6	orf19.3720		<b>BCY1</b>
D9	orf19.1196	<i>Sc. PKH1</i>	<b>PKH3</b>	C7	orf19.2910	<i>PGA43</i>	<i>PGA43</i>
D10	orf19.1196	<i>Sc. PKH1</i>	<b>PKH3</b>	C9	orf19.4002		<b>DUN1</b>
D11	orf19.835	<i>IES1</i>	<i>IES1</i>	C10	orf19.428	<i>IKS1</i>	<i>IKS1</i>
D12	orf19.835	<i>IES1</i>	<i>IES1</i>	C11	orf19.428	<i>IKS1</i>	<i>IKS1</i>
E1	orf19.469	<i>HST7</i>	<i>HST7</i>	C12	orf19.1341	<i>PRR2</i>	<i>PRR2</i>
E2	orf19.469	<i>HST7</i>	<i>HST7</i>	D4	orf19.6243	<i>VPS34</i>	<i>VPS34</i>
E3	orf19.4084	<i>KIS1</i>	<i>KIS1</i>	D7	orf19.6889	<i>MKK2</i>	<i>MKK2</i>
E4	orf19.4084	<i>KIS1</i>	<i>KIS1</i>	D8	orf19.6889	<i>MKK2</i>	<i>MKK2</i>
E5	orf19.2341	<i>HNT1</i>	<i>HNT1</i>	D11	orf19.1341	<i>PRR2</i>	<i>PRR2</i>
E6	orf19.2341	<i>HNT1</i>	<i>HNT1</i>	D12	orf19.1341	<i>PRR2</i>	<i>PRR2</i>
E7	orf19.35	<i>Sc. SKY1</i>	<b>SKY2</b>	E1	orf19.130	<i>VPS15</i>	<i>VPS15</i>
E8	orf19.35	<i>Sc. SKY1</i>	<b>SKY2</b>	E2	orf19.130	<i>VPS15</i>	<i>VPS15</i>
E9	orf19.4001	<i>Sc. MSS2</i>	<b>MSS2</b>	E3	orf19.2222	<i>YCK3</i>	<i>YCK3</i>
E10	orf19.4001	<i>Sc. MSS2</i>	<b>MSS2</b>	E7	orf19.1283	<i>MEC1</i>	<i>MEC1</i>
E11	orf19.4867	<i>SWE1</i>	<i>SWE1</i>	E9	orf19.7510	<i>KIN2</i>	<i>KIN2</i>
E12	orf19.4867	<i>SWE1</i>	<i>SWE1</i>	E10	orf19.7510	<i>KIN2</i>	<i>KIN2</i>
F1	orf19.7281	<i>Sc. PKP1</i>	<b>PDK2</b>	E11	orf19.5911	<i>CMK1</i>	<i>CMK1</i>
F2	orf19.7281	<i>Sc. PKP1</i>	<b>PDK2</b>	E12	orf19.5911	<i>CMK1</i>	<i>CMK1</i>
F3	orf19.3744		<b>CEX1</b>	F1	orf19.7164		<b>ENV7</b>
F5	orf19.4144		<b>MCP2</b>	F2	orf19.7164		<b>ENV7</b>
F6	orf19.4269			F5	orf19.895	<i>HOG1</i>	<i>HOG1</i>
F9	orf19.4890	<i>CLA4</i>	<i>CLA4</i>	F6	orf19.895	<i>HOG1</i>	<i>HOG1</i>
F10	orf19.4890	<i>CLA4</i>	<i>CLA4</i>	F7	orf19.5162	<i>BCK1</i>	<i>BCK1</i>
F11	orf19.4308	<i>HSL1</i>	<i>HSL1</i>	F8	orf19.5162	<i>BCK1</i>	<i>BCK1</i>
F12	orf19.4308	<i>HSL1</i>	<i>HSL1</i>	F9	orf19.7652	<i>CKA1</i>	<i>CKA1</i>
G1	orf19.4432	<i>KSP1</i>	<i>KSP1</i>	F10	orf19.7652	<i>CKA1</i>	<i>CKA1</i>
G2	orf19.4432	<i>KSP1</i>	<i>KSP1</i>	F11	orf19.460	<i>CEK2</i>	<i>CEK2</i>
G5	orf19.7510	<i>KIN2</i>	<i>KIN2</i>	F12	orf19.460	<i>CEK2</i>	<i>CEK2</i>
G6	orf19.7510	<i>KIN2</i>	<i>KIN2</i>				
G7	orf19.2678		<b>BUB1</b>				
G8	orf19.6913	<i>GCN2</i>	<i>GCN2</i>				
G9	orf19.6913	<i>GCN2</i>	<i>GCN2</i>				
G10	orf19.5068	<i>IRE1</i>	<i>IRE1</i>				
G11	orf19.5068	<i>IRE1</i>	<i>IRE1</i>				
H1	orf19.3854		<b>SAT4</b>				
H2	orf19.5357	<i>AKL1</i>	<i>AKL1</i>				
H5	orf19.7510	<i>KIN2</i>	<i>KIN2</i>				
H6	orf19.7510	<i>KIN2</i>	<i>KIN2</i>				
H7	orf19.5224	<i>PKH1</i>	<b>PKH2</b>				
H8	orf19.5224	<i>PKH1</i>	<b>PKH2</b>				
H9	orf19.3751		<b>KIN4</b>				
H10	orf19.3049		<b>SPS1</b>				
H11		DAY286					

Mutants with updated names are given in **bold** type.


**Table 2 | Primers used in this study.**

<b>Forward</b>	<b>Sequence (3' – 5')</b>	<b>Reverse</b>	<b>Sequence (3' – 5')</b>
BUD32_F	ATGACAGATCACCTAATTGCTAAAGTAC	BUD32_R	TCAACCCAACATACTTCTTTTTCTTCC
CKA2_F	AGTTTTCCAAGGTGTCAATGTTTT	CKA2_R	TTGAAAAATGGATGTTCCATTGCC
CLA4_F	CCTTCATCTCAACAACAGCAACAA	CLA4_R	TCCTTTTGTTTAACCATTTCAGGT
CTK3_F	TTCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGACCATGAT TACGCCAAGCTcatcacattggtcgtcctggaat c	CTK3_R	TCGACCATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGT TGGATGCATAGctattgatgaagcaactacgag tatgtgaac
GIN4_F	CGTTTGGATAAAGCTGGATTGGC	GIN4_R	GGAACCTTGGATTTTGGTCTTTGCC
IME2_F	AAGTGCAACTATTTCCATCGTGAC	IME2_R	CTTGTAGCTTTCATTCCCAGAACT
KIN3_F	ATGTCGATTATCGATGAATATGAATC	KIN3_R	TTATCGGTACTTACTATATACTCAAAC
KIS1_F	TGCTCAGTCCAAAATCTACAAATC	KIS1_R	CATTGCTTTCATCATCATGGTATC
KIS1_FF	TGAATCAGCAACAGCATTACAAT	KIS1_RR	ATCAACACAACGTGGTTTGGAAAT
MSS2_F	TCAAATGCAACGAAAGCGACTATT	MSS2_R	TCCTGAACTTGATGAAATTTCCCA
NIK1_F	GGTTACCTCGGAGTATGGATCCG	NIK1_R	GAATAGAATGATGGACAAAACCAACGG
orf19.3744_F	CCTCCTAAGATGTCAGCGTCCG	orf19.3744_R	GTTGATAGTGTTCCTTGACGTCCTGGG
PGA43_F	GCCCTAGCACGAATTATTGATCCAG	PGA43_R	GGCTTGACATTGTGGATACTTCCG
PKH3_123_F	GAACATCTACAGAACTTATCTATCCAGCC	PKH3_123_R	GGAATATGATCCTTCTCCTATTTTCGC
PKH3_749_F	CCGGAATTACTTAAGCACAAATATATGCG	PKH3_749_R	CCACCTTGATGACATGATATGTGGG
PRK1_1145_F	CACCTCTAAACCAAAGACAGATCCG	PRK1_1145_R	CCCTGAGAATATATTCTTGGTGATTGCC
PRK1_485_F	GTATCAGGTGACTATAGGTGTGGCC	PRK1_485_R	GGTGGTAAATAATTTACCGACGAGCC
PTK2_F	CAATGGATATGTTGTTTGACGACCC	PTK2_R	GAATGTACCTCTTAGATGGCGC
RIO2_F	ATGCACCCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAAG	RIO2_R	CTATTCATCGAGTATATAATTTCTAGCT
SAT4_F	CCTTCCCCTTCTAATGGAACACTCCG	SAT4_R	CAGTAGGGGTATTGACAGAAGTCGG
SSN3_F	AATGTTGGGATATCTCAACCATCA	SSN3_R	GGAATTGGTTTAAAAATCAGGATGC
SSN8_F	CCTCCTCATACTATAGCGGTGGC	SSN8_R	CTTGACCAAGAAGCTTGAGTTTCTTGGG
VPS15_F	TAAACATCAATACCTGCAACAGCA	VPS15_R	TACCACCGTCATTCTTTGTCTCAA
VPS34_F	GCTTTTTGAGGAAATTAGCAGTTG	VPS34_R	CGGAAATTGGACTAGTAGCCAATA


## 2.3 Results

### 2.3.1 Identification of the protein kinases involved in *Candida albicans* biofilm formation

To define the genetic control of biofilm development in *C. albicans*, a collection of 63 homozygous insertion mutants disrupted in PK and PK-related genes (Blankenship *et al.* 2010) was screened twice independently for altered biofilm formation. A pipeline was developed to carry out the screen and to classify the mutants into 5 classes based on their biofilm formation (Figure 1). A 96-well polystyrene microtitre plate-based biofilm assay (XTT reductase) was used to represent the abiotic surface of indwelling medical devices that serve as a convenient substrate for *Candida* biofilm development *in vivo*. The variability in the XTT assay illustrated the importance of the two independent screens and of a large sample size ( $n = 24$ ), which enhanced the statistical power of the results. The biofilm assay revealed that 38 mutants (>60%) had reduced biofilm development and were classified as 'Poor' (sub-classes 'Very poor' and 'Poor') or 'Moderate' biofilm formers. Data for the biofilm formation of the mutants classified as 'Poor' and 'Moderate' are shown in Figures 2 (A, B) and 3 (A) respectively. The biofilm formation levels of the remaining 25 mutants were not significantly different from that of the control (DAY286), hence they were classified as 'Good' (sub-classes 'Good' and 'Very good') biofilm formers (Figure 3 (B and C); Table 3). Our results were consistent with the published literature, since more than 60% (23/38) of biofilm-defective mutants had deletions in the genes previously known to be required for biofilm formation and/or filamentation (see footnotes at the end of Table 3). Fifteen mutants (5 poor and 10 moderate) represented genes in *C. albicans* that were not previously directly implicated in filamentation or biofilm formation (Table 3). The CGD descriptions of all the *C. albicans* PK mutants used in this study can be found in the Appendix 3.5 (Chapter 3).





**Figure 1 | Workflow for the categorisation of *C. albicans* protein kinase mutants based on their biofilm formation ability.** Sixty-three (63) PK mutants, obtained from the Fungal Genetics Stock Centre, were screened to identify strains with impaired biofilms. Two (2) independent screens were carried out using a microtiter plate-based biofilm assay (XTT), which measured the metabolic activity of the cells. Biofilms of the control, wild-type *C. albicans* DAY286, ranged from approximately  $A_{490}1$  to  $A_{490}1.5$ . Hence, the mutants that developed biofilms above  $A_{490}1$  and below  $A_{490}0.5$  were assigned to the ‘Good’ and the ‘Poor’ biofilm formers respectively. The rest exhibited moderate biofilm formation trends that fluctuated between  $A_{490}0.5$  and  $A_{490}1$ . For more detailed analysis, the mutants that showed different biofilm formation ability were classified into the intermediate classes, ‘Very Poor / Poor’ and ‘Very Good / Good’.




**Figure 2 | Biofilm formation of the ‘Poor’ biofilm former mutants.** The metabolic activity of the biofilms was evaluated via the XTT reduction assay. **A|** and **B|** show ‘Very poor’ and ‘Poor’ biofilm formers respectively. Two independent screens were carried out and labelled as screen 1 (green bars) and screen 2 (yellow bars). Each screen included a wild-type strain DAY286 (gray box). This control is important because of variation in the efficiency of XTT between assays. Most mutants were present as two independent mutants in the collection, though one (*KIS1*) was present four times and several (*VPS34*, *PGA43*, *CEX1* and *SSN8*) only

once. Sample size equals to 24 observations ( $n = 24$ ). 50% of the observations fall into the box. The bottom and the top of the box correspond to the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartiles respectively. The notch shows the median confidence interval and the middle line inside the box indicates the median. The upper and the lower limits demonstrate the maximum and the minimum observations. The red dot shows the mean and the white circle an outlier. Horizontal dashed line illustrates a threshold defined in Figure 1.







**Figure 3| Biofilm formation of the ‘Moderate’ and ‘Good’ biofilm formers.** The metabolic activity of the biofilms was evaluated via the XTT reduction assay. **A|**, **B|** and **C|** show the ‘Moderate’, ‘Good’ and ‘Very good’ biofilm formers respectively. Two independent screens were carried out and the results are presented as follows: top panel, green boxes – screen 1; bottom panel, yellow boxplots – screen 2. Each screen included a wild-type *C. albicans* DAY286 (gray box). This control is important because of variation in the efficiency of XTT between assays. Most mutants were presented as two independent mutants in the collection, though some were presented several times (e.g. *PKH2*, *KIN2*) and others (e.g. *MEC1*, *SKY1*) only once. Sample size equals to 24 observations ( $n = 24$ ). 50% of the observations fall into the box. The bottom and the top of the box correspond to the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartiles respectively. The notch shows the median confidence interval and the middle line inside the box indicates the median. The upper and the lower limits demonstrate the maximum and the minimum observations. The red dot shows the mean and the white circle an outlier. Horizontal dashed line illustrates a threshold defined in Figure 1.

**Table 3 | Classes of *C. albicans* protein kinase mutants based on their biofilm development.** PK mutants (63) were assessed through a biofilm assay (XTT) and classified into different categories according to the biofilms they developed. Many mutants (38) showed impaired biofilm development and more than a half of them (20) were poor biofilm formers. Genes firstly associated with biofilms under our assay conditions are given in **bold type**.

Poor		Moderate	Good	
Very poor	Poor		Good	Very good
<b>VPS15 (C6_01190C)<sup>f</sup></b>	<b>PKH3 (C6_00350W)<sup>f</sup></b>	<b>PRR2 (C7_03340C)<sup>f</sup></b>	YCK3 (C2_08270C) <sup>a, f, g, h</sup>	MEC1 (C5_04060C) <sup>a, d</sup>
<b>IME2 (CR_03290C)</b>	MEK1 (C2_07530C) <sup>d</sup>	<b>CKB1 (C2_00300C)<sup>a, g</sup></b>	RCK2 (C2_07130C) <sup>a, d, f</sup>	SKY1 (C1_06090C) <sup>a, b</sup>
CKA2 (C2_04980C) <sup>d, f, g</sup>	FPK1 (C2_08860W) <sup>b, f, h</sup>	TPK2 (C2_07210C) <sup>b, c, d</sup>	BUB1 (C4_03120C) <sup>a</sup>	SPS1 (C1_03470C) <sup>f</sup>
MSS2 (C5_05090W) <sup>d, g</sup>	HNT1 (C1_10780C) <sup>b</sup>	<b>SIP3 (C1_03450C)<sup>g</sup></b>	DUN1 (C5_05100C) <sup>a</sup>	KIN4 (CR_02210W) <sup>a, f</sup>
KIS1 (C2_09230C) <sup>d, g</sup>	<b>PGA43 (C4_06260W)<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>BCY1 (CR_02460W)<sup>h</sup></b>	MCP2 (C5_01490C) <sup>a, h</sup>	CPP1 (C1_10000C) <sup>d, g</sup>
SOK1 (CR_06000W) <sup>b, d, f</sup>	SKY2 (C2_06600W) <sup>b</sup>	SAT4 (CR_06040W) <sup>a, b, f, g</sup>	YPL150W (C2_04360W) <sup>h</sup>	BCK1 (C7_02990W) <sup>g</sup>
CLA4 (C1_10210C) <sup>d, g</sup>	<b>CEX1 (CR_02250C)<sup>a, h</sup></b>	<b>orf19.4269 (C5_02560C)<sup>a</sup></b>	CEK2 (CR_05940W) <sup>d</sup>	PKH2 (C1_12410C) <sup>f, g</sup>
IRE1 (C1_07970C) <sup>b, d, f, g</sup>	HSL1 (C5_02840C) <sup>b, d, g</sup>	IKS1 (C1_05370C) <sup>b</sup>	NIK1 (C7_02800W) <sup>a, d</sup>	CMK1 (C3_04550C)
VPS34 (C1_06680W) <sup>a, d, g</sup>	HRK1 (C3_00550C) <sup>c</sup>	<b>CKB2 (C5_02760W)<sup>f, g</sup></b>	CKA1 (CR_10660W)	MKK2 (C2_05780C) <sup>g</sup>
SSN3 (C2_04260W) <sup>e</sup>	SSN8 (C3_05740C) <sup>a, e</sup>	<b>KSP1 (C1_07380C)</b>	NNK1 (C2_03760C) <sup>h</sup>	GCN2 (C7_01330C) <sup>d</sup>
		HST7 (CR_03900W) <sup>d, f, g</sup>		RIM15 (C7_00740W) <sup>d, g</sup>
		SWE1 (C1_10010C) <sup>b, d, g</sup>		ENV7 (C7_04110W) <sup>h</sup>
		TPK1 (C1_10220C) <sup>b, d, f, g</sup>		KIN2 (CR_00260W) <sup>g</sup>
		YAK1 (C1_12120W) <sup>d, g</sup>		STE11 (C2_03770C) <sup>d, f</sup>
		<b>AKL1 (C2_10750C)<sup>a, f</sup></b>		HOG1 (C2_03330C) <sup>d</sup>
		<b>PDK2 (CR_08860W)<sup>f</sup></b>		
		FUN31 (C3_06620W) <sup>a, b, f</sup>		
		<b>IES1 (C2_03900C)</b>		

<sup>a</sup> Single mutant strain available for testing.

<sup>b</sup> Linked with biofilms (Nobile *et al.* 2012; Inglis *et al.* 2013; Bandara *et al.* 2013).

<sup>c</sup> Down in biofilms (Nobile *et al.* 2012).

<sup>d</sup> Linked with filamentous growth (Blankenship *et al.* 2010; Inglis *et al.* 2013; Goyard *et al.* 2008).

<sup>e</sup> Wrinkled colony formation (Lindsay *et al.* 2014).


<sup>f</sup> Altered cell-surface adherence (Fanning *et al.* 2012).

<sup>g</sup> Cell wall stress phenotype (Blankenship *et al.* 2010).

<sup>h</sup> Gene name indicates an ortholog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (CGD 2010).


### **2.3.2 Identification of the protein kinases involved in *Candida albicans* filamentous growth**


Filamentation is an important aspect of biofilm formation, therefore the 20 poor biofilm formers (listed as 'Very poor' and 'Poor' in Table 3), were assayed for their ability to switch from yeast to hyphal growth (Figures 4, 5 and 6). Generally, it was observed that some mutants failed to filament while others did but not as well as the wild-type, establishing the filamentation as a primary defect. The morphology studies showed that all the poor biofilm forming mutants were also defective in the switch from yeast to hyphae, revealing a complete overlap between these processes. This analysis showed that 5 genes, *VPS15*, *IME2*, *PKH3*, *PGA43* and *CEX1*, which were not previously known to have these functions, were required for both filamentation and biofilm formation under our assay conditions (Figure 4). More specifically, the mutants disrupted in *VPS15* and *IME2* genes failed to filament, *PKH3* and *PGA43* deleted mutants displayed delayed filamentation and *CEX1* knock-out was less able to form hyphae compared to the wild-type *C. albicans* (Figure 4).




**Figure 4 | Morphology of the ‘Poor’ biofilm formers reveals their defective filamentation.**

The PK mutants of *C. albicans* were pre-grown in YNB overnight (30°C) and transferred to filament-inducing medium YBNP (37°C). The morphology of the mutants and of a control *C. albicans* DAY286, was examined at 0, 6 and 10 h time points. The representative images were captured digitally via a Zeiss Laser Scanning Microscope (LSM) 510 using Zen 2008 software with EX405 BP, DICII and Channel D at a magnification x 400. The scale bar corresponds to 10 µm. Data are extracted from Figures 5 and 6.





**Figure 5 | Morphology of the ‘Very poor’ biofilm formers reveals their defect in the switch from yeast to filamentous growth.** The PK mutants of *C. albicans* were pre-grown in YNB overnight (30°C) and transferred to filament-inducing medium YBNP (37°C). The morphology of the mutants and of a control *C. albicans* DAY286, was examined at 0, 6 and 10 h time points. The position of the mutant on the PK plate is indicated in parentheses. The representative images were captured digitally via a Zeiss Laser Scanning Microscope (LSM) 510 using Zen 2008 software with EX405 BP, DICII and Channel D at a magnification x 400. The scale bar corresponds to 10 µm.



**Figure 6 | The ‘Poor’ biofilm former mutants are defective in the switch from yeast to filamentous growth.** The PK mutants of *C. albicans* were pre-grown in YNB overnight (30°C) and transferred into filament-inducing media YNBNP (37°C). *C. albicans* DAY286 was used as a control. The position of the mutant on the PK plate is indicated in parentheses. The morphology of the mutants was examined at three time-points, 0 h, 6 h and 10 h, utilizing Zeiss Laser Scanning Microscope (LSM) 510. The representative images were captured digitally using Zen 2008 software with EX405 BP, DICII and Channel D at a magnification x 400. Scale bar corresponds to 10 µm.

### 2.3.3 STRING network analyses

To identify important processes for biofilm development we questioned the interactions of proteins/enzymes encoded by the 38 biofilm-related genes using a STRING database (Figure 7). STRING is a powerful database that quantitatively integrates experimental data, computational prediction methods and published literature to produce an interaction network (Jensen *et al.* 2009). Protein sequences were retrieved from CGD ([www.candidagenome.org](http://www.candidagenome.org)) and entered into the STRING network generation program ([www.string-db.org](http://www.string-db.org)). The resulted networks, which were analysed using a K-means option, showed that biofilm-related proteins were assigned into three main clusters (Figure 7). The 38 proteins were also analysed for their function in the KEGG annotated pathways (Table 4). The proteins of the clusters mostly shared similarity in function or occurrence in the same pathway. A list of the putative functional partners can be found in the Table 5. Combined, these analyses revealed three main clusters: the first (on the left) corresponded to the genes of the Protein Kinase A (PKA) pathway; the second (centre) to the elements of cell cycle; and the third (right) to vacuolar function (Figure 7). Some genes (11) did not show any associations in the STRING analysis (see the bottom of Figure 7).



**Table 4 | KEGG pathways from the STRING database.**

<b>Analysis of the impaired biofilm former mutants</b>	
<b>Pathway</b>	<b>Gene</b>
Meiosis	<i>TPK1, TPK2, SWE1, IME2, MEK1</i>
PKA	<i>TPK1, TPK2, BCY1</i>
Cell cycle	<i>SWE1, HSL1</i>
Ribosome biogenesis	<i>CKB1, CKB2, CKA2</i>
Regulation of autophagy	<i>VPS15, VPS34</i>
Protein processing in ER	<i>IRE1</i>
Inositol-phosphate metabolism	<i>VPS34</i>
Phagosome	<i>VPS34</i>
Metabolic pathways	<i>VPS34</i>
Phosphatidylinositol signaling	<i>VPS34</i>

**Table 5 | Functional partners predicted by the STRING database.**

<i>C. albicans</i> ortholog	<i>C. dubliniensis</i>	Description	Length
<b>Functional partners of the impaired biofilm former mutants</b>			(aa)
<i>BCY1</i> (C2_01110C)	<i>CaPKAR</i>	PKA regulatory subunit	458
<i>CDC5</i> (C1_00950C)	CD36_00900	Cell cycle serine/threonine-protein kinase (putative)	653
<i>CDC28</i> (CR_06050W)	CD36_31500	Cdc28 homologue (putative)	317
<i>CLB2</i> (C2_01410C)	<i>CYB1</i>	G2/mitotic-specific cyclin (putative)	486
<i>CYR1</i> (C7_03070C)	<i>CYR1</i>	Adenylate cyclase (putative)	1690
<i>MEC1</i> (C5_04060C)	CD36_53760	DNA-damage checkpoint kinase, ATR homologue (putative)	2326
<i>NDT80</i> (C2_00140W)	CD36_15120	Meiosis-specific transcription factor (putative)	509
<i>POB3</i> (C2_02380W)	CD36_17210	DNA polymerase delta binding protein	538
<i>SRB8</i> (C4_05090C)	CD36_44710	Subunit of the RNA polymerase II mediator complex (putative)	1755
<i>C4_02670W</i>	CD36_42480	Autophagy-related protein (putative)	519

## 2.4 Discussion





Biofilm formation on the surface of catheters is a leading cause of *C. albicans* infections and drug resistance in hospitals. The aim of our study was to establish whether particular PKs were essential for biofilm formation in *C. albicans* as this might reveal novel processes and pathways that could serve as drug targets. We identified 38 PK mutants that showed some degree of biofilm impairment, but some of them were already linked with biofilms. Because there is an established link between filamentation and biofilm formation, we assessed the capacity of the 20 mutants that were severely impaired in biofilms to switch from yeast to hyphal growth and found a complete coincidence of switching and biofilm formation. Thus, although processes other than filamentation can be required for biofilm formation, either our assay was biased for mutants with filamentation defects or none of the protein kinases in our screens is involved in these other processes. There were, however, some novel findings and five strains were mutated in genes (*VPS15*, *PKH3*, *PGA43*, *IME2* and *CEX1*) not previously directly associated with either filamentation or biofilm formation. It is important to note that other studies used different types of filamentation/biofilm assays (and media), which may explain minor variance between studies.


*VPS15* encodes a kinase involved in vacuolar protein sorting and although this gene was not previously linked to biofilms, other members of the Vps family, Vps1p and Vps34p (also identified in our screens), were reported to significantly contribute in filamentation and biofilm formation in *Candida* (Bernardo *et al.* 2008). Furthermore, the *S. cerevisiae vps15* mutant also forms impaired biofilms (Vandenbosch *et al.* 2013). Vps15p and Vps34p form a complex on the vacuole/Golgi membrane, thus these data indicate that correct protein sorting is required for proper fungal development, though this is likely to be an indirect rather than a direct effect. *PKH3*, which encodes a kinase that may be involved in Protein Kinase C (PKC) activity, was not previously described as being involved in morphological development but the mutant is reported to display significantly decreased cell-substrate adherence (Fanning *et al.* 2012), an important early step for biofilm development (Finkel and Mitchell 2011). Interestingly, the network analysis showed that Pkh3p is associated with the vacuolar proteins Vps15p and Vps4p, perhaps indicating that the defect in this mutant is also related to protein sorting in the vacuole. *PGA43* encodes a Glycosyl-Phosphatidyl-Inositol (GPI)-anchored protein and thus is likely to be present at the cell surface. Its function is not known but other also Gpi family proteins, for example Pga59p and Pga62p, are required for cell wall integrity (Moreno-Ruiz *et al.* 2009). There is very

limited information available about *C. albicans* *IME2* but in *S. cerevisiae* *IME2* is required for pseudohyphal growth independently of the MAPK filamentation cascade (Strudwich *et al.* 2010). Thus, its involvement in morphological development in *C. albicans* should not be surprising. Cex1p (in *S. cerevisiae*) binds to tRNA for its export from the nucleus (McGuire and Mangroo 2007) and has not previously been linked to morphology.

Apart from vacuolar process, our analysis also showed that the PKA pathway, ribosome biogenesis and some aspects of the cell cycle are required for efficient filamentation and biofilm development. The mutants disrupted in *C. albicans* PKA genes, *BCY1*, *TPK1* and *TPK2* formed moderate biofilms. Bcy1p is a regulatory subunit of the PKA and, Tpk1p and Tpk2p are well-documented to be involved in *C. albicans* biofilm formation and filamentation. *CKA2*, *CKB1* and *CKB2*, genes required for ribosome biogenesis processes, also exhibited impaired biofilms under our assay conditions. Elevated transcription of ribosome biogenesis genes of biofilm forming cells was previously reported by Garcia-Sanchez *et al.* (2004) and Bonhomme *et al.* (2011). The next step now would be to identify the Achilles heel of the biofilms based on several most important elements of these processes.

## 2.5 Appendix





**Figure 8 | Examples of molecular validation of the ‘Very poor’ biofilm former mutants with strain-specific PCR. A |** DNA quality control using the NL4 (GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG) and NL1 (GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGAAAAG) oligonucleotides as the forward and reverse primers respectively. The pair of NL4 and NL1 primers target the variable 28S ribosomal DNA. Estimated fragment size equals to ~680 bp. **B | C | D | E | F |** Cross validation of *C. albicans* PK mutants with the primers for a disrupted gene and any randomly chosen set of primers. Estimated fragment sizes equal to ~820 bp (B, C, D, E and F). DNA isolated from each mutant is indicated on the top of each column and the primers amplifying a particular gene are written in bold italics. Hyperladder I was used as a marker and *C. albicans* DAY286 as a wild-type (WT) control. \*Controversial mutant DNA.

# Chapter 3

---

## Communication between *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

---

**Nina Konstantinidou and John Patrick Morrissey**

*School of Microbiology, University College Cork, Cork, T12YN60, Ireland*

**Key words:** *Candida albicans*; protein kinase; biofilms; filamentation; yeast - hyphae; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

**Subtitle:** *Candida albicans* morphology and interaction with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

This chapter was published as part of publication by Konstantinidou N & Morrissey JP (2015) Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in *Candida albicans* protein kinase mutants. FEMS Yeast Res 15: 1–10. pii fov092 doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov092. Here it is represented with some figure rearrangements.

## Abstract

*C. albicans* biofilm development is a complex phenomenon that mainly implicates processes such as adhesion, filamentation supported by intensive cell wall biosynthesis, excretion of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and dispersal of blastospores. The filamentation is largely governed by the MAPK pathways but our analysis of the 'Good' biofilm former PK mutants showed that the individual components of these pathways are not required for robust biofilm formation, indicating functional redundancy. The 'Good' biofilm formers were established after the analyses of their biofilms with a biofilm assay (XTT) and with the assessment of their clusters in the STRING networks. These mutants were further investigated for their response to bacterial supernatants, since *C. albicans* biofilm impairment with *P. aeruginosa* supernatants, was well-documented by the previous publications of McAlester *et al.* (2008) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010). These supernatants contained QS (quorum sensing) molecules derived from 2 distinct strains of *P. aeruginosa* the wild-type, PAO1, and a homoserine lactone-free (HSL) mutant,  $\Delta$ QS. The biofilm assay showed that *P. aeruginosa* supernatants inhibited biofilm formation in all mutants, regardless of the presence of HSLs. To clarify an effect of the HSLs on *Candida* morphology and filamentation we conducted a morphology assay. This assay suggested that the morphology of *Candida* was affected only by the HSL-containing supernatants that were derived from the wild-type *P. aeruginosa*, PAO1. This confirms the distinct HSL-dependent inhibition of filamentation and the HSL-independent impairment of biofilm development by *P. aeruginosa* supernatants.

### 3. Communication between *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

#### 3.1 Introduction

Apart from the established environmental factors, *C. albicans* morphology and biofilm formation is also influenced by some environmental microorganisms such as the Gram-negative bacterium *P. aeruginosa* (reviewed by Peleg *et al.* 2010 and Holcombe *et al.* 2011). *P. aeruginosa* is an opportunistic human pathogen capable of causing inflammation and sepsis by colonizing kidneys, urinary and gastrointestinal tract of the susceptible individuals. Clinical observations suggest that *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* populations can coexist and influence each other (Bauernfeind *et al.* 1987; Kerr 1994; Nseir *et al.* 2007; Chotirmall *et al.* 2010). Notably, *Candida* and *Pseudomonas* were coisolated from the lungs of Cystic Fibrosis (CF) patients (Martin *et al.* 1993; Leclair and Hogan 2010), serious burn wounds (Gupta *et al.* 2005) and the urinary catheters (Falleiros de Pádua *et al.* 2008). *In vitro* analysis established that *C. albicans* biofilm formation and metabolism can be influenced by *P. aeruginosa* phenazines (Gibson *et al.* 2009; Morales *et al.* 2013). For example, *P. aeruginosa* phenazines enhance *C. albicans* ethanol production which stimulates *Pseudomonas* biofilm formation (Chen *et al.* 2014). Another phenazine, methosulphate (PMS), can kill *Candida* within its biofilms (Morales *et al.* 2010; Morales *et al.* 2013). Several studies have also shown that *Pseudomonas* can inhibit *Candida* biofilm development *in vitro* (Holcombe *et al.* 2010; Bandara *et al.* 2010a; Bandara *et al.* 2010b; Reen *et al.* 2011; Bandara *et al.* 2013). These studies collectively suggest that *Candida* biofilm development and metabolism are closely related (Lindsay *et al.* 2014).

Communication between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* is based on signalling. *P. aeruginosa* can inhibit the *C. albicans* morphological switch from yeast to hyphae using secreted chemicals. Several studies suggest that *Pseudomonas* QS (quorum sensing) molecules are responsible for the signal-mediated communication between the two species (Hogan and Kolter 2002; McAlester *et al.* 2008; Deveau and Hogan 2011). It was reported that HSLs can inhibit the switch of *Candida* from yeast to filamentous growth (Hogan *et al.* 2004). However, *C. albicans* QS molecule, farnesol, can limit the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* by blocking the production of *Pseudomonas* QS molecules and pyocyanin (Cugini *et al.* 2007) and affecting motility (McAlester *et al.* 2008). *P. aeruginosa* pyocyanin and 1-hydroxyphenazine can prevent the growth of *C. albicans* (Kerr *et al.* 1999). We further

investigated whether deletion of the PK-encoding genes disrupted in the 'Good' biofilm former mutants affected the signal-mediated interaction between *P. aeruginosa* and *C. albicans*.

## 3.2 Materials and Methods

### 3.2.1 Yeast strains and growth conditions

The wild-type *C. albicans* strains used in this study were SC5314 (Gillum *et al.* 1984), BWP17 (*ura3Δ::λimm434/ura3Δ::λimm434 his1::hisG/his1::hisG arg4::hisG/arg4::hisG*) (Wilson *et al.* 1999) and DAY286 (*ura3::λimm434 his1::hisG pARG4::URA3::arg4::hisG*) (Davis *et al.* 2002). The PK mutants of *C. albicans* are listed in Table 1 (Chapter 2). This kinase set was created by Aaron Mitchell's group (Blankenship *et al.* 2010) and obtained from the Fungal Genetics Stock Centre ([www.fgsc.net/candida/FGSCcandidaresources.htm](http://www.fgsc.net/candida/FGSCcandidaresources.htm)). PK homozygous insertion mutants were created in BWP17 parental strain via Tn7-UAU1 cassette (Blankenship *et al.* 2010). The CGD descriptions of the *C. albicans* PK mutants can be found in the Appendix 3.5 (Table 5). The majority of the PK-coding genes were represented by double independent mutant strains but in some cases only a single mutant was available. In total, we examined 63 genes using 45 independent duplicate mutants and 18 single mutants. The initial FGSC collection was larger and included mutants deleted in ~80 PK/PK-related genes. Our reduced set comprised the mutants that, after delivery and culturing, we were able to verify by strain-specific PCR using primers flanking the gene insertion sites (see PCR below).

Yeast strains were routinely cultured in standard rich medium containing 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone and 2% glucose (YPD). For biofilm and morphological analyses, the yeast strains were pre-grown in non-filament-inducing medium YNB (yeast nitrogen base), as described by McAlester *et al.* (2008) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010) with some adjustments. Briefly, filter-sterilized YNB salts without amino acids (Difco 291940) were supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 0.1% maltose and 0.16% filter-sterilized synthetic amino acid drop-out Leu<sup>-</sup> (Kaiser Formedium DSCCK052). For the induction of hyphal growth the strains were transferred to filament-inducing medium, YNB<sub>NP</sub>, which consisted from YNB supplemented with 2.5 mM *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine (Sigma A8625) and 25 mM phosphate (sodium) buffer (pH 7).

### 3.2.2 PCR

DNA of wild-type *C. albicans* and PK mutants was extracted according to Hoffman (2001) protocol. The primers were designed with SnapGene ([www.snapgene.com](http://www.snapgene.com)) and evaluated via Primer-BLAST ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). Strain-specific PCR was carried out using primers flanking the gene insertion sites listed in the Supplementary Table S1 of Blankenship *et al.* (2010) publication. Absence of the band indicated a mutant disrupted in the gene amplified

by the corresponding primers. The primer sequences are listed in the Table 1. All the PCR reactions were carried out utilising a GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega) according to the manufacturers' instructions.

### 3.2.3 Bacterial strains and preparation of supernatants

The *P. aeruginosa* strains used in this study were a wild-type PAO1 (Holloway and Morgan 1986) and a HSL-negative mutant,  $\Delta$ QS ( $\Delta$ lasRI::Gm  $\Delta$ rhlRI::Tc) (Beatson *et al.* 2002). *Pseudomonas* supernatants were prepared as described by McAlester *et al.* (2008). Briefly, after overnight growth of *Pseudomonas* in LB broth (37°C) the culture was inoculated into 100 mL of fresh LB and further grown until it reached an approximate absorbance of  $A_{600}$ 1.2. To harvest the cells, the cultures were centrifuged twice (15 min, 5000 rpm). The resulting supernatants were filter-sterilized with 0.2  $\mu$ m pore size filter, lyophilized and used immediately or stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Before utilization, the supernatants were re-suspended to yield concentration of 2x from a 20x stock in sterile dH<sub>2</sub>O. Sterile LB broth was used as a control after it was prepared in the same manner as the above supernatants.

### 3.2.4 Biofilm assay

A microtiter plate-based biofilm assay (XTT reductase salts) was carried out as described by Ramage *et al.* (2001) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010). Briefly, the yeast strains were pre-grown in non-filament-inducing medium (YNB) overnight (30°C) and diluted into filament-inducing medium (YNBNP) to  $A_{600}$ 0.05. The diluted cultures (100  $\mu$ L) were incubated for 1 h (37°C) in flat-bottomed 96-well polystyrene plates. After incubation, the attached cells were washed with fresh YNBNP medium twice, by inverting the plates carefully, to eliminate non-adhered cells. Where indicated, YNBNP (100  $\mu$ L) with the *Pseudomonas* supernatant (2x) and LB (2x) was added to the sample and the control cultures respectively. In order to induce biofilm formation the plates were incubated in the dark statically for 24 h (37°C). The next day, the biofilms developed on the bottom of the plates were washed with fresh YNBNP by careful pipetting. The XTT-menadione solution (100  $\mu$ L), prepared as below, was added to the overnight cultures and incubated in the dark for 2 h (37°C). Lastly, the dyed supernatants (80  $\mu$ L) were transferred to a clean plate for the quantification at a wavelength of  $A_{490}$  nm.

Metabolic activity of the cells was measured with a XTT (reductase salts) assay. For the preparation of the XTT solutions, 10 mM menadione (Sigma M5625) was dissolved in pure acetone and added (10  $\mu$ L) to the XTT solution. The latter was prepared by dissolving 0.01 g of XTT powder (Sigma X4626) in 20 mL sterile dH<sub>2</sub>O and filtered with 0.2  $\mu$ m pore size filter.

To increase the statistical power of our experiments we tested the mutants in triplicate with eight technical replicates ( $n = 24$ ). Biofilms of the control, wild-type *C. albicans* DAY286, ranged from approximately  $A_{490}1$  to  $A_{490}1.5$ . Thus, the mutants with biofilms above  $A_{490}1$  and below  $A_{490}0.5$  were assigned to the 'Good' and 'Poor' biofilm formers respectively. The remaining mutants were 'Moderate' biofilm formers. A distribution of each sample was assessed and the coincidence of the median and the mean indicated a Gaussian (normal) distribution of our data. All the statistical analysis of the biofilm assays were carried out in R Statistical Software (R Development Core Team 2013).

### **3.2.5 Morphological analyses**

Morphological assays were carried out as described previously by Hogan *et al.* (2004) and McAlester *et al.* (2008). Briefly, the yeast cultures were pre-grown overnight in YNB broth (30°C) and diluted into YNB<sub>NP</sub> ( $A_{600}1.0$ ) to assay their capacity to switch from yeast to filamentous growth. Before (0 h) and after 6 h and 10 h incubation (37°C), the morphology of the mutants was examined microscopically. Where indicated, before incubation for 6 h (37°C), the sample and the control cultures were treated with the bacterial supernatants (2x) and LB (2x) respectively. Since the previous experiments of McAlester *et al.* (2008) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010) had shown that the morphology of *C. albicans* SC5314 was impaired by the *Pseudomonas* supernatants, SC5314 was used as an additional control. The images were captured digitally at a magnification x 400 via Zen2008 software with EX405 BP and Channel D by Zeiss Laser Scanning Microscope (LSM) 510.

### **3.2.6 Bioinformatics analyses**

The protein sequences of *C. albicans* PKs were retrieved from the CGD (*Candida* Genome Database) (Arnaud *et al.* 2005), the analysis of the protein associations were carried out using the STRING v9.1 database (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) (Jensen *et al.* 2009), the GOs (Gene Ontologies) were obtained with the Blast2GO annotations (Conesa *et al.* 2005) and pathway analysis were carried out using KEGG pathway database (Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes) (Kanehisa and Goto 2000).

**Table 1 | Primers used in this study.**

<b>Forward</b>	<b>Sequence (3' – 5')</b>	<b>Reverse</b>	<b>Sequence (3' – 5')</b>
ATG1_F	CGTTGAGTTTGTGGGAAGAGCC	ATG1_R	CCTTAAGTGCAGTTTCCCTAGCG
BCK1_F	GAAACTGCAAAGCACAGATACTTTACAGC	BCK1_R	GAGCAACTCTCCTGCAGTGGG
BUB1_F	CGATCGTGTGCTAAGCTCGC	BUB1_R	AACTCTTGACCACAATAGTCGATTATGGC
CEK2_F	ATGAAGAAATCTACTGGCCCC	CEK2_R	TTACGACATGACTATTTTCGAAAATTTGTT
CHK1_F	CCCCAAGGTTTTGACAATAGCCG	CHK1_R	GAGAATGTACCCTGGTATCGAATCGG
CPP1_F	CACCACTATCGAGTTATTCAACTACCG	CPP1_R	GTGGTTGTGGAATACTCGTGGG
CST20_F	GATACAAGTGAAAACCCTGATGACGC	CST20_R	CTTTGTTGGCATTGACTGAGATGGG
DUN1_F	CTTGCACTTGTGTCTTTAGAAATTGAAGG	DUN1_R	TATCATGTGCTGGTGTATGTTGGG
GCN2_F	CAATTTTACATGTGAAGTGAACGGG	GCN2_R	CCACCATTCAAAGATGAGAATGAATCCC
HNT1_F	GGCTTCTCATGCTTCTGTATATTCTG	HNT1_R	CAACAACCTTGATGAGCAATCTTCCG
HOG1_F	ATGTCTGCAGATGGAGAATTTACAAGAAC	HOG1_R	TTAAGTCCGTTGGCGGAAT
HST7_F	CCATCATCGCCAGCATTATCAAATAGCC	HST7_R	GGGAGACTGCGATGAAGCTGGC
MEC1_F	CATTCCATTGGATTGGTCATTGATGGG	MEC1_R	CCGGATTCTTCATAACAGTGTGGC
MEK1_F	CAGTGCACCTGAAGTATTCAAGGC	MEK1_R	CTCAGAATAGAAAGGCGAAACACCG
MKC1_F	CAAGTCGTTTGAGACTGTAGATGGG	MKC1_R	CTTTCTCTCCTCCTCTTGTCGC
MKK2_F	GCCCCAGAACGTATCACTGGG	MKK2_R	CATTAGCCACTTCCAAAAGACTCATACCC
orf19.3720_F	CATCGCTACGACATGACATAACCG	orf19.3720_R	TTGGGGCATTCTTGAACAATGGG
PBS2_F	CTGAAAGTCTTACATAAACCCACCGG	PBS2_R	GGTCTCATAGTGTGATCCTTTCCG
PKC1_2056_F	CTGACCTTAGTTTTGAAACTGGTTACGG	PKC1_2056_R	GAACACCTTGATTTGATCAGCAAAGGG
PKC1_3114_F	GCATATGATAGAAGTGTGATTGGTGGG	PKC1_3114_R	GCATTGTCAGATATATGCGAGAACCC
PRR1_F	CCACCAACATTCAGACTGATTTCCC	PRR1_R	GCACAGATATAATTTTCACAGCAACTGCC
RCK2_F	GTAGGTTGTTGACTGTGGACCC	RCK2_R	GAGCAACTTTTGTAGCTGGTGGG
SKY1_F	GGGTCATTTTCTACCGTGTGGC	SKY1_R	CTTCTTCCACTTCTACCTAATGAAGGGG
SLN1_F	GATGAAAACCTGCATTAGCATCAAATTCGG	SLN1_R	TCTGTGGCCTCTTTAATTTTGTAAATCGG
STE11_F	CAAATCCCGTTAGTCAATGAGAATGGG	STE11_R	CATTAGTACACCATTGAGGAATTTGCGG
YCK2_F	GCATCTTAATGGTGGTAGAGGTTGGG	YCK2_R	CATTTGGTGGATTAGGATGTCCATAACCG

### 3.3 Results

#### 3.3.1 STRING network analyses


After establishment of the processes important for biofilm development described in Chapter 2, it was interesting to identify the processes not absolutely required for biofilm formation by examining the interactions among the 25 PKs that were dispensable for good biofilm formation (Table 3, Chapter 2). These PKs were analysed for their interactions using STRING database. Figure 1 shows the densely connected network that confidently associated the elements of the MAPK signalling pathway, *STE11*, *CPP1*, *BCK1* and *MKK2* with a hub *HOG1*, an osmotic, heavy metal and core stress response kinase (Figure 1). A smaller cluster showed the interactions of three proteins involved in the cell cycle checkpoint (*Dun1*, *Bub1* and *Mec1*). The proteins of the clusters mostly shared similarity in function or occurrence in the same pathway. The 25 proteins were also analysed for their function in the KEGG annotated pathways (Table 2). A list of functional partners determined by the STRING database can be found in the Table 3.

**Table 2 | KEGG pathways from the STRING database.**

Analysis of the good biofilm former mutants	
Pathway	Gene
MAPK signalling	<i>HOG1, CPP1, BCK1, STE11, MKK2</i>
Meiosis	<i>BUB1, MEC1, SPS1, RIM15</i>
Cell cycle	<i>BUB1, MEC1, DUN1</i>
Protein processing in ER	<i>GCN2</i>
Ribosome biogenesis	<i>CKA1</i>

**Table 3 | Functional partners predicted by the STRING database.**


<i>C. albicans</i> ortholog	<i>C. dubliniensis</i>	Description	Length
<b>Functional partners of the good biofilm former mutants</b>			
<i>BUB3</i> (C5_03240W)	CD36_52990	Cell cycle arrest protein (putative)	373
<i>CDC20</i> (C6_01150W)	CD36_61260	APC/C activator protein (putative)	702
<i>PBS2</i> (C3_06070C)	CD36_86000	MAP kinase kinase (putative)	536
<i>RAD9</i> (C5_02610C)	CD36_52380	DNA repair protein Rad9 homologue (putative)	1065
<i>RAD53</i> (C3_03810W)	CD36_83790	Serine/threonine-protein kinase Rad53 homologue (putative)	700
<i>RFA1</i> (C2_00380C)	CD36_15350	Replication factor-A protein 1 (putative)	623
<i>RFA2</i> (C2_07120W)	CD36_21400	Replication factor A protein 2 (putative)	268
<i>SUI2</i> (C1_06960W)	CD36_06510	eIF-2-alpha (putative)	300
<i>TEL1</i> (C6_03010W)	CD36_63010	DNA-damage checkpoint kinase (putative)	2873
C1_11370C	CD36_10660	Hypothetical protein	730



**Figure 1 | Predicted STRING interaction networks.** In order to produce an interaction network, the STRING database quantitatively integrates experimental data, computational prediction methods and published literature. The spheres and edges represent PKs and known/predicted interactions respectively. Stronger blue lines indicate the connections with higher confidence. Protein kinase encoding genes are boxed and the asterisk (\*) indicates a functional partner predicted by the STRING database. A network shows the interaction of the genes/proteins not individually required for biofilm formation.

### 3.3.2 Bacterial supernatants inhibit biofilm formation of the 'Good' biofilm formers

Several groups have reported that *P. aeruginosa* secreted signals negatively impact on *C. albicans* morphology and biofilm development. In some cases, this may involve PKs or other proteins acting as signal transducers. Therefore, it was questioned how mutants that still formed robust (good) biofilms responded to *Pseudomonas* supernatants. To address this, *Candida* biofilm formation was assessed after application of the supernatants derived from two strains of *P. aeruginosa*, a wild-type PAO1 and a HSL-free mutant,  $\Delta$ QS. There was slight difference in how the mutants reacted to the supernatants, with biofilm formation severely inhibited in all cases. However, supernatants derived from the  $\Delta$ QS strain of *Pseudomonas* (see blue boxplots: s/n DeltaQS) demonstrated less inhibitory effect on the biofilms of mutants disrupted in *STE11*, *BCK1* and *HOG1* genes. This is shown in detail for representative proteins of each major MAPK pathway, *STE11* (regulates growth, mating, cell wall construction and invasive growth), *BCK1* (regulates cell wall integrity, adaption to stress and invasive growth), *CEK2* (participates in mating) and *HOG1* (regulates adaption to stress) (Figure 2).






**Figure 2 | Biofilm formation of representatives of major MAPK pathways in the presence of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants.** The effect of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants on the biofilm formation of one representative of four different MAPK pathways was assessed using the standard XTT microtitre plate assay. In each case, two independent mutants were available. The biofilm assay comprised three conditions: control (No s/n); supernatant from a wild-type *Pseudomonas* (s/n PAO1); or supernatant from a mutant *Pseudomonas* lacking HSL molecules (s/n DeltaQS). Wild-type *C. albicans* DAY286 was used as a control. The sample size equals to 24 observations (n = 24). Notched boxplots indicate the distribution of each sample. The notch shows the median confidence interval and the middle line inside the box indicates the median. Red dot indicates a mean, white circle an outlier and a horizontal dashed line shows a threshold  $A_{490}0.5$ . Data are extracted from Figure 3.

Biofilm formation of all the good biofilm former mutants with and without application of bacterial supernatants is demonstrated in Figure 3. This figure shows that the biofilms of some *C. albicans* PK mutants were less affected by the supernatants derived from a  $\Delta$ QS strain of *Pseudomonas* lacking HSL coding gene. These mutants are listed in the Table 4.

**Table 4 | *C. albicans* PK mutants less affected by the  $\Delta$ QS supernatants.** Data are extracted from Figure 3.

<b>Mutants less affected by the <math>\Delta</math>QS supernatants</b>	
<b>'Good' biofilm formers</b>	<b>'Very good' biofilm formers</b>
<i>YCK3</i>	<i>MEC1</i>
<i>DUN1</i>	<i>SKY1</i>
<i>YPL150W</i>	<i>BCK1</i>
<i>CKA1</i>	<i>CMK1</i>
<i>NNK1</i>	<i>MKK2</i>
	<i>RIM15</i>
	<i>ENV7</i>
	<i>STE11</i>
	<i>HOG1</i>






**Figure 3 | Biofilm formation of the ‘Good’ biofilm formers after application of the *Pseudomonas* supernatants derived from the wild-type, PAO1, and a mutant,  $\Delta$ QS. A | Good, B | and C | Very good biofilm former mutants. The effect of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants on the biofilm formation of mutants was assessed using the standard XTT microtitre plate assay. Wild type *C. albicans* DAY286 was used as a control. The biofilm assay comprised three conditions: control (No s/n); supernatant from a wild-type (s/n: PAO1); or supernatant from a mutant lacking HSL molecules (s/n: Delta QS). Notched boxplots indicate the distribution of each sample. The sample size equals to 24 observations ( $n = 24$ ). The bottom and the top of the box indicate the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartiles respectively. The notch shows the median confidence interval and the middle line inside the box indicates the median. The upper and the lower limits demonstrate the maximum and the minimum observations respectively. Red dot indicates a mean, white circle an outlier and a horizontal dashed line shows a threshold  $A_{490}0.5$  (~1/3 of the wild type biofilm). A coincidence of the mean and median indicates a normal distribution of the data. The statistical analyses were performed in R Statistical Software.**

### 3.3.3 $\Delta$ QS bacterial supernatants inhibit biofilm formation of the good biofilm formers without affecting their morphology

Since the biofilms are linked to yeast morphology, we also assessed the mutants' ability to switch from yeast to hyphal growth in the absence or presence of bacterial supernatants (Figure 4). As previously reported by McAlester *et al.* (2008) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010), supernatants from the wild-type *P. aeruginosa* prevented yeast switching to hyphal growth. This was the case for both wild-type *C. albicans* and the mutants tested. In contrast, all the  $\Delta$ QS supernatant-treated mutants maintained a yeast to hyphae switch (with the possible exception of *CEK2*) consistent with other reports of HSL-dependent and HSL-independent effects.



**Figure 4 | Morphology of representatives of major MAPK pathways in the presence of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants.** The effect of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants on morphological development of one representative of four different MAPK pathways was assessed using the standard yeast to hyphae transition assay. Two wild-type *C. albicans* strains, DAY286 and SC5314, and four mutants were tested for filamentation. The morphology of each

strain was examined after 6 h incubation (37°C) in filament-inducing medium (YNBNP). The treatments were: control (No s/n); supernatant from a wild-type *P. aeruginosa* strain (PAO1 s/n); or supernatant from a *P. aeruginosa* mutant lacking HSL molecules ( $\Delta$ QS s/n). The scale bar corresponds to 10  $\mu$ m.

### 3.4 Discussion

Biofilm formation in *C. albicans* is mediated by diverse processes such as adherence and hyphal growth. After highlighting the additional processes that were important for robust biofilm development (Chapter 2), it was also interesting to see which proteins were not absolutely required for biofilm formation and, in this regard, the finding that none of the individual PKs in MAP kinase cascades is required appears surprising. This result can be explained by the redundancy and cross-talk that is built into these pathways and thus is likely that individual mutants are compensated by parallel processes in the cell. Network analysis also suggested that impairing aspects of the cell cycle has no impact on these functions and the presence of cell cycle processes in both the 'Poor' and the 'Good' categories reflects the complexity of these processes in the cell.

Previous studies of McAlester *et al.* (2008) and Holcombe *et al.* (2010) showed that both the yeast-hyphal transition and *Candida* biofilms were impaired by *Pseudomonas* supernatants. In the case of morphology, QS molecules (HSLs) are known to play a role by targeting the Ras/cAMP/PKA pathway (reviewed by Hogan and Sundstrom 2009), whereas quinolones may be involved in the biofilm effect, through an unknown mechanism (Reen *et al.* 2011). We assessed whether any of the mutants that formed good biofilms failed to respond to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants without finding any obvious positives. Furthermore, the remaining PK mutants were also subject to this screen, with the same findings (data not shown). However, mutants were briefly differentiated into HSL-dependent and HSL-independent but none of the protein kinases was strongly related with signal transduction response in *Candida* to *Pseudomonas* signals. Initially, *CEK2* stood out as this mutant failed to filament in the presence of HSL-negative supernatant but close inspection of the control conditions indicated that this is an underlying morphological defect in this mutant (Figure 4). In fact, it is somewhat surprising that the mutant is able to form biofilms given the pseudohyphal nature of the strain (Figure 2 and 4).

The comprehensive analysis of the response of wild-type and 63 mutants to supernatants from wild-type and QS<sup>-</sup> (HSL-negative) strains of *P. aeruginosa* unequivocally separates the two effects on *C. albicans*: HSL-dependent impairment of morphology and HSL-independent impairment of biofilms. It remains to be determined whether the latter effect is fully explained by the action of quinolones (natural antimicrobial signalling molecules) or whether it arises from a combination of effects, for examples, quinolones, LPS (lipopolysaccharides) and other molecules.

### 3.5 Appendix

**Table 5 | CGD Descriptions of *C. albicans* PK mutants.**

ID	Gene	CGD description	Virulence-pathogenicity	Biofilms-filamentation	References
<b>Very poor</b>					
<b>C6_01190C</b> (orf19.130)	<i>VPS15</i>	Involved in retrograde endosome-to-Golgi protein transport	Required for normal virulence		(Liu <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<b>CR_03290C</b> (orf19.2395)	<i>IME2</i>	Ser/Thr PK activity	Hypersensitivity to amphotericin B		(Xu <i>et al.</i> 2007)
<b>C2_04980C</b> (orf19.3530)	<i>CKA2</i>	Catalytic alpha-subunit activity of protein kinase CK2; synthetically lethal with CKA1	Linked with pathogenesis; attenuated virulence in a mouse oropharyngeal candidiasis but not in a systemic mouse model; interaction with calcineurin pathway affects fluconazole sensitivity		(Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2003; Bruno and Mitchell 2005; Chiang <i>et al.</i> 2007; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C5_05090W</b> (orf19.4001)	<i>MSS2</i>	Role in protein insertion into mitochondrial membrane from inner side and extrinsic to mitochondrial inner membrane, mitochondrial matrix localization			(CGD 2010)
<b>C2_09230C</b> (orf19.4084)	<i>KIS1</i>	Snf1p complex scaffold protein activity; interacts with Snf4p; Hap43p-repressed gene	Mutants are hypersensitive to caspofungin and hydrogen peroxide (H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> )		(Corvey <i>et al.</i> 2005; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Singh <i>et al.</i> 2011)
<b>CR_06000W</b> (orf19.451)	<i>SOK1</i>	Required for degradation of Nrg1p; induced by alpha pheromone in SpiderM medium	Mutants are sensitive to growth on hydrogen peroxide (H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) medium	Up in biofilms; rat catheter and Spider biofilm induced	(Bennett and Johnson 2006; Nett <i>et al.</i> 2009; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012; Lu <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<b>C1_10210C</b> (orf19.4890)	<i>CLA4</i>	Ser/Thr PK activity (Ste20p family)	Linked with pathogenesis; required for virulence in mouse systemic infection; mutant caspofungin sensitive	Linked with filamentous growth; required for wild-type filamentous growth and has role in chlamydospore formation	(Martin <i>et al.</i> 2005; Leberer <i>et al.</i> 1997; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C1_07970C</b> (orf19.5068)	<i>IRE1</i>	Role in cell wall regulation	Mutant is hypersensitive to caspofungin	Up in biofilms; spider biofilm induced	(Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>C1_06680W</b> (orf19.6243)	<i>VPS34</i>	Required for normal vesicle transport; autophosphorylated class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity	Linked with pathogenesis; required for virulence in mouse systemic infection; caspofungin and hydrogen peroxide sensitivity	Linked with filamentous growth; required for hyphal growth and fibroblast adherence and is growth-regulated	(Eck <i>et al.</i> 2000; Bruckmann <i>et al.</i> 2000; Bruckmann <i>et al.</i> 2001; Gunther <i>et al.</i> 2005; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C2_04260W</b> (orf19.794)	<i>SSN3</i>	Cyclin-dependent PK activity (putative)	Mutants are sensitive to hydrogen peroxide (H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) medium		(Epp <i>et al.</i> 2010; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>Poor to moderate</b>					
<b>C6_00350W</b> (orf19.1196)	<i>PKH3</i>	Ortholog(s) have protein kinase activity and role in MAPK cascade involved in cell wall biogenesis, protein phosphorylation			(CGD 2010; Pastor-Flores <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C2_07530C</b> (orf19.1874)	<i>MEK1</i>	Role in meiosis, meiotic recombination checkpoint, protein phosphorylation,			(CGD 2010)

		regulation of linear element assembly and cytosol, linear element localization			
<b>C2_08860W</b> (orf19.223)	<i>FPK1</i>	Hap43-repressed; possibly an essential gene, disruptants not obtained by UAU1 method	Induced by prostaglandins	Up in biofilms; flow model biofilm induced; Spider biofilm induced	(Levitin and Whiteway 2007; Mitchell 2009; Bonhomme <i>et al.</i> 2011; Singh <i>et al.</i> 2011; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>C1_10780C</b> (orf19.2341)	<i>HNT1</i>	Similar activity with protein kinase C inhibitor-I; protein level decreases in stationary phase cultures			(Kusch <i>et al.</i> 2008)
<b>C4_06260W</b> (orf19.2910)	<i>PGA43</i>	Putative GPI-anchored protein activity (posttranslational modification)			(De Groot <i>et al.</i> 2003)
<b>C2_06600W</b> (orf19.35)	<i>SKY2</i>	Predicted kinase activity		Up in biofilms; rat catheter, flow model, spider biofilm induced	(Nett <i>et al.</i> 2009; Bonhomme <i>et al.</i> 2011; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>CR_02250C</b> (orf19.3744)	<i>CEX1</i>	Ortholog(s) have tRNA binding activity, role in tRNA export from nucleus and cytoplasm, nuclear pore localization			(CGD 2010)
<b>C5_02840C</b> (orf19.4308)	<i>HSL1</i>	Involved in determination of morphology during the cell cycle of both yeast-form and hyphal cells via regulation of Swe1p and Cdc28p	Required for full virulence and kidney colonization in mouse systemic infection	Linked with filamentation and biofilms	(Wightman <i>et al.</i> 2004; Umeyama <i>et al.</i> 2005; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C3_00550C</b> (orf19.5408)	<i>HRK1</i>	Predicted role in cellular ion homeostasis		Down in biofilms; spider biofilm repressed	(Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>C3_05740C</b> (orf19.7355)	<i>SSN8</i>	Ortholog of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Ssn8; a component of RNA polymerase II holoenzyme	Mutants are viable and are sensitive to hydrogen peroxide medium		(Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2003; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>Moderate</b>					
<b>C7_03340C</b> (orf19.1341)	<i>PRR2</i>	Ser/Thr PK activity (putative)	Mutation confers resistance to 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC)		(Xu <i>et al.</i> 2007)
<b>C2_00300C</b> (orf19.2102)	<i>CKB1</i>	Regulation of casein kinase II ( $\beta$ subunit)	Null mutants are hypersensitive to caspofungin and hydrogen peroxide (H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) medium		(Zelada <i>et al.</i> 2003; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Bruno and Mitchell 2005)
<b>C2_07210C</b> (orf19.2277)	<i>TPK2</i>	Controls morphogenesis and stress response; cAMP-dependent PK catalytic subunit; isoform of Tpk1	Linked with pathogenesis; needed for epithelial cell damage, engulfment and oral (not systemic) virulence in mice	Linked with filamentous growth and biofilms; down in biofilms; rat catheter, spider biofilm repressed	(Sonneborn <i>et al.</i> 2000; Bockmuhl <i>et al.</i> 2001; Cloutier <i>et al.</i> 2003; Park <i>et al.</i> 2005; Nett <i>et al.</i> 2009; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C1_03450C</b> (orf19.3047)	<i>SIP3</i>	Protein kinase-related protein activity	Required for normal sensitivity to caspofungin		(Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010)
<b>CR_02460W</b> (orf19.3720)	<i>BCY1</i>	Ortholog(s) have endo-1,4-beta-xylanase activity and SCF ubiquitin ligase complex, cytoplasm localization			(CGD 2010)
<b>CR_06040W</b> (orf19.3854)	<i>SAT4</i>	Clade-associated gene expression	Amphotericin B induced	Up in biofilms; spider biofilm induced	(Liu <i>et al.</i> 2005; MacCallum <i>et al.</i> 2009; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012)

<b>C5_02560C</b> (orf19.4269)		Protein kinase activity and role in protein phosphorylation; has domain(s) with predicted ATP binding			(CGD 2010)
<b>C1_05370C</b> (orf19.428)	<i>IKS1</i>	Induced during planktonic growth; putative serine/threonine kinase activity		Rat catheter biofilm repressed	(Murillo <i>et al.</i> 2005; Nett <i>et al.</i> 2009)
<b>C5_02760W</b> (orf19.4297)	<i>CKB2</i>	Regulation of casein kinase II ( $\beta$ subunit)	Null mutants are hypersensitive to caspofungin		(Zelada <i>et al.</i> 2003; Bruno and Mitchell 2005; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010)
<b>C1_07380C</b> (orf19.4432)	<i>KSP1</i>	mRNA binds She3 and is localized to hyphal tips	Mutation confers hypersensitivity to amphotericin B		(Xu <i>et al.</i> 2007; Elson <i>et al.</i> 2009)
<b>CR_03900W</b> (orf19.469)	<i>HST7</i>	MAPK involved in mating; phosphorylates Cek1p; functional homolog of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Ste7p;	Wild-type virulence in mouse systemic infection; mutants are hypersensitive to caspofungin	Linked with filamentous growth; Involved in hyphal growth signal transduction pathways;	(Clark <i>et al.</i> 1995; Kohler and Fink 1996; Leberer <i>et al.</i> 1996; Csank <i>et al.</i> 1998; Chen <i>et al.</i> 2002; Magee <i>et al.</i> 2002; Eisman <i>et al.</i> 2006; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C1_10010C</b> (orf19.4867)	<i>SWE1</i>	Role in control of growth and morphogenesis	Required for full virulence; Linked with pathogenesis; mutant is hypersensitive to caspofungin	Not required for filamentous growth; regulated by 6 biofilm regulators	(Wightman <i>et al.</i> 2004; Bennett RJ and Johnson 2006; Gale <i>et al.</i> 2009; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C1_10220C</b> (orf19.4892)	<i>TPK1</i>	Controls morphogenesis and stress response; WT nuclear localization requires Bcy1; cAMP-dependent PK catalytic subunit; Tpk2 isoform		Linked with filamentous growth and biofilms; rat catheter and Spider biofilm induced; produced during stationary, not exponential growth	(Bockmuhl <i>et al.</i> 2001; Cassola <i>et al.</i> 2004; Cloutier <i>et al.</i> 2003; Nett <i>et al.</i> 2009; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C1_12120W</b> (orf19.5253)	<i>YAK1</i>	Tyr PK activity and role in protein phosphorylation; domain(s) with ATP binding (predicted)			(CGD 2010)
<b>C2_10750C</b> (orf19.5357)	<i>AKL1</i>	Induced during the mating process			(Zhao <i>et al.</i> 2005)
<b>CR_08860W</b> (orf19.7281)	<i>PDK2</i>	Putative pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase activity	Mutation confers hypersensitivity to amphotericin B		(Xu <i>et al.</i> 2007)
<b>C3_06620W</b> (orf19.7451)	<i>FUN31</i>	Involved in cell wall damage response; similar to <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Psk1p;	Induced by Mnl1 under weak acid stress	Up in biofilms; rat catheter and Spider biofilm induced	(Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2003; Rauceo <i>et al.</i> 2008; Ramsdale <i>et al.</i> 2008; Nett <i>et al.</i> 2009; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>C2_03900C</b> (orf19.835)	<i>IES1</i>	Ortholog(s) have role in nucleosome mobilization and Ino80 complex, cytosol localization			(CGD 2010)

---

**Moderate to good**

<b>C2_08270C</b> (orf19.2222)	<i>YCK3</i>	Plasma membrane-localized			(Cabezon <i>et al.</i> 2009)
<b>C2_07130C</b> (orf19.2268)	<i>RCK2</i>	Induced by osmotic stress via Hog1p; macrophage / pseudohyphal-repressed	Linked with pathogenesis; mutants are sensitive to rapamycin	Linked with filamentous growth	(Singh <i>et al.</i> 2005; Enjalbert <i>et al.</i> 2006; Li <i>et al.</i> 2008; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C4_03120C</b> (orf19.2678)	<i>BUB1</i>	Checkpoint kinase activity	Mutation confers increased sensitivity to		(Xu <i>et al.</i> 2007)

nocodazole

<b>C5_05100C</b> (orf19.4002)	<i>DUN1</i>	Involved in DNA damage cell-cycle checkpoint; induced under Cdc5p depletion			(Bachewich <i>et al.</i> 2005)
<b>C5_01490C</b> (orf19.4144)	<i>MCP2</i>	Predicted protein kinase; clade-associated gene expression			(MacCallum <i>et al.</i> 2009)
<b>C2_04360W</b> (orf19.4518)	<i>YPL150W</i>	Protein kinase of unknown function; mutants are viable			(Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2003)
<b>CR_05940W</b> (orf19.460)	<i>CEK2</i>	Required for mating; component of the signal transduction pathway that regulates mating	Induced by Cph1, pheromone	Linked with filamentous growth; transposon mutation affects filamentous growth	(Zhou <i>et al.</i> 1999; Chen <i>et al.</i> 2002; Uhl <i>et al.</i> 2003; Dignard and Whiteway 2006; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C7_02800W</b> (orf19.5181)	<i>NIK1</i>	Involved in a two-component signaling pathway that regulates cell wall biosynthesis	Linked with pathogenesis; required for wild-type virulence in mouse systemic infection but not for drug sensitivity / resistance	Linked with filamentous growth; not required for wild-type growth	(Nagahashi <i>et al.</i> 1998; Yamada-Okabe <i>et al.</i> 1999; Selitrennikoff <i>et al.</i> 2001; Buschart <i>et al.</i> 2012; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>CR_10660W</b> (orf19.7652)	<i>CKA1</i>	Synthetically lethal with CKA2	Cka1p and Cka2p have a common target with respect to fluconazole resistance; flucytosine induced		(Bruno and Mitchell 2005; Liu <i>et al.</i> 2005)
<b>C2_03760C</b> (orf19.846)	<i>NNK1</i>	Implicated in proteasome function in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	Induced by Mnl1 under weak acid stress		(Ramsdale <i>et al.</i> 2008)
<b>Very good</b>					
<b>C5_04060C</b> (orf19.1283)	<i>MEC1</i>	Role in genome integrity; RNA abundance regulated by tyrosol and cell density			(Chen <i>et al.</i> 2004; Legrand <i>et al.</i> 2011)
<b>C1_06090C</b> (orf19.2436)	<i>SKY1</i>	Ser/Thr PK activity (predicted)		Up in biofilms; Spider biofilm induced	(Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<b>C1_03470C</b> (orf19.3049)	<i>SPS1</i>	Role in activation of bipolar cell growth, ascospore wall assembly, protein phosphorylation and cell division site, cytosol, nucleus, prospore membrane localization			(CGD 2010)
<b>CR_02210W</b> (orf19.3751)	<i>KIN4</i>	Possibly an essential gene, disruptants not obtained by UAU1 method			(Mitchell AP 2009)
<b>C1_10000C</b> (orf19.4866)	<i>CPP1</i>	Regulates Cst20-Hst7-Cek1-Cph1 filamentation pathway; yeast-enriched	Linked with pathogenesis; required for virulence in mice; induced by alpha pheromone in Spider Mmedium;	Linked with filamentous growth; represses yeast-hyphal switch; Spider biofilm induced	(Csank <i>et al.</i> 1997; Csank <i>et al.</i> 1998; Cheng <i>et al.</i> 2003; Bennett <i>et al.</i> 2003; Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2012; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C7_02990W</b> (orf19.5162)	<i>BCK1</i>	Role in cell integrity pathway	Mutant is hypersensitive to caspofungin		(Nguyen <i>et al.</i> 2004; Monge <i>et al.</i> 2006; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010)
<b>C1_12410C</b> (orf19.5224)	<i>PKH2</i>	Role in sphingolipid-mediated signaling pathway that controls endocytosis (predicted)		mRNA binds She3 and is localized to hyphal tips	(Elson <i>et al.</i> 2009; Pastor-Flores <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C3_04550C</b> (orf19.5911)	<i>CMK1</i>	Expression regulated upon white-opaque switching; biochemically purified Ca <sup>2+</sup> /CaM-dependent kinase is			(Lan <i>et al.</i> 2002; Dhillon <i>et al.</i> 2003; Singh <i>et al.</i> 2011; Ding <i>et al.</i> 2014)

		soluble, cytosolic, monomeric, and serine-autophosphorylated; Hap43p-repressed			
<b>C2_05780C</b> (orf19.6889)	<i>MKK2</i>	Involved in signal transduction; macrophage-downregulated	Mutants are hypersensitive to caspofungin		(Nobile <i>et al.</i> 2003; Fernandez-Arenas <i>et al.</i> 2007; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010)
<b>C7_01330C</b> (orf19.6913)	<i>GCN2</i>	Nonessential role in amino acid starvation response		Linked with filamentous growth	(Tournu <i>et al.</i> 2005; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C7_00740W</b> (orf19.7044)	<i>RIM15</i>	Role in age-dependent response to oxidative stress involved in chronological cell aging, conidiophore development, protein phosphorylation, regulation of meiosis		Role in hyphal growth	(Stichternoth <i>et al.</i> 2011)
<b>C7_04110W</b> (orf19.7164)	<i>ENV7</i>	Ortholog(s) have role in ascospore formation, conidiophore development, conidium formation, response to oxidative stress, sporocarp development involved in sexual reproduction, vacuolar protein processing			(CGD 2010)
<b>CR_00260W</b> (orf19.7510)	<i>KIN2</i>	Transcription is positively regulated by Tbf1			(Hogues <i>et al.</i> 2008)
<b>C2_03770C</b> (orf19.844)	<i>STE11</i>	Similar activity to <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Ste11p	Linked with pathogenesis; mutants are sensitive to growth on hydrogen peroxide (H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) medium	Linked with filamentous growth	(Lee <i>et al.</i> 2005; Bennett and Johnson 2005; Blankenship <i>et al.</i> 2010; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<b>C2_03330C</b> (orf19.895)	<i>HOG1</i>	Role in regulation of glycerol, D-arabitol in response to stress; Role in osmotic-, heavy metal-, and core stress response	Linked with pathogenesis; mutant induces protective mouse immune response; phosphorylated in response to hydrogen peroxide (H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> )(Ssk1-dependent) or NaCl	Linked with filamentous growth	(San Jose <i>et al.</i> 1996; Alonso-Monge <i>et al.</i> 1999; Smith <i>et al.</i> 2004; Fernandez-Arenas <i>et al.</i> 2004; Kayingo and Wong 2005; Enjalbert <i>et al.</i> 2006; Inglis <i>et al.</i> 2013)

# Chapter 4

---

## TFbsST: Transcription Factor binding site Search Tool

---

**Nina Konstantinidou and John Patrick Morrissey**

*School of Microbiology, University College Cork, Cork, T12YN60, Ireland*

**Key words:** *Candida albicans*; transcription factor binding site motifs; biofilms; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; promoter regions; TFbsST database.

**Subtitle:** *In silico analysis of Candida albicans promoter sequences*

This chapter is in preparation for submission in the Database Issue of Nucleic Acid Research (Oxford Journals). The TFbsST database is published online under the following link: <http://bioinfo.ucc.ie/TFbsST/>.

## Abstract

*C. albicans* is a serious pathogen that features high drug resistant properties partially due to its biofilms. The biofilm development depends on the environmental stimuli/signals that are sensed by the fungal cell receptors. These signals are transmitted by the protein kinases (PK) and the gene transcription is regulated by the transcription factors (TF). Using *C. albicans* TF binding site library and Python algorithms, we created a TFbsST database (Transcription Factor binding site Search Tool), a user-friendly application for *in silico* analyses of *Candida* promoters. TFbsST database contains TF binding sites of *C. albicans* and related *Candida* species such as *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis* and *C. glabrata*. Additionally, TFbsST performs TF frequency (outputs a table with %) and TF localization analysis (outputs graphs and tables). These features render the TFbsST software as a useful tool for *in silico* analysis of *Candida* gene promoter sequences.

Previous studies of Holcombe *et al.* (2010) had shown that *P. aeruginosa* secreted signals can affect *C. albicans* biofilms. To investigate the regulation of *Candida* genes that were altered in response to *P. aeruginosa* secreted chemicals, we utilised a TFbsST application. The up-to-date gene ontology (GO) annotation indicated that the genes coding for the cell wall/surface proteins, important for biofilm formation, contained members of the biofilm-related gene families, *RBT* and *ALS*. To identify the TFs that were overrepresented in these gene families, we carried out TF frequency analyses. These analyses showed that Efg1p was overrepresented in both *RBT* and *ALS* families. To question whether Efg1p could regulate the *RBT* family genes across other *Candida* species, *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family gene promoters were screened against *C. parapsilosis* Efg1p using a Motif search option of the TFbsST application. Efg1p binding sites were predicted to regulate some *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* genes indicating that Efg1p is an important TF across the different *Candida* species.

## 4. TFbsST: Transcription Factor binding site Search Tool

### 4.1 Introduction

A serious human pathogen, *C. albicans*, can cause nosocomial infections partially due to its ability to form drug resistant biofilms on indwelling medical devices. In these clinical settings, *C. albicans* is frequently coisolated with *P. aeruginosa*. *P. aeruginosa* is the main cause of morbidity in populations with cystic fibrosis (CF) (Govan and Deretic 1996; Chambers *et al.* 2005; Leclair and Hogan 2010) and AIDS (Mendelson *et al.* 1994). Studies from our laboratory (Holcombe *et al.* 2010; Reen *et al.* 2011;) and independent investigations (Bandara *et al.* 2010a; Bandara *et al.* 2010b; Bandara *et al.* 2013) have shown that *Pseudomonas* can inhibit *Candida* biofilm development *in vitro*. *C. albicans* biofilm development is a complex phenomenon orchestrated by more than 1000 genes that are governed by 9 master transcription regulators, Efg1p, Ndt80p, Brg1p, Bcr1p, Rob1p, Tec1p, Flo8p, Gal4p and Rfx2p (Nobile *et al.* 2012; Fox *et al.* 2015).

Transcription factors (TF) regulate expression of target genes during transcription. TFs contain DNA binding domains that facilitate recognition and binding of TFs to specific small DNA sequences across the promoter region (1000 bp upstream) of target genes. A collection of TF DNA binding site data facilitates computational analysis of the gene promoter regions when using appropriate software. A comprehensive TF motif database is useful for *in silico* analysis of transcriptional networks and gene regulation studies. We additionally used our TF binding site database for computational analysis of biofilm regulation in *C. albicans* as well as for the investigation of interaction between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa*.

Given the rapid progress of bioinformatics tools, many databases were developed to analyse the promoter regions of genes in *S. cerevisiae* or other model organisms:

- YeTFaSCo (Yeast Transcription Factor Specificity Compendium) focuses on *S. cerevisiae* TF binding sites that are evaluated for quality using several metrics. These specificities are given in Position Frequency (PFM) or Position Weight Matrix (PWM) formats. YeTFaSCo is mainly used to find the position of potential binding sites of a sequence and for inspection of precomputed genome-wide binding sites (<http://yetfasco.ccb.utoronto.ca>) (de Boer and Hughes 2011).

- UniPROBE (Universal PBM Resource for Oligonucleotide Binding Evaluation) database hosts *S. cerevisiae* data generated by protein binding microarray (PBM) technology. The UniPROBE database provides comprehensive data on the preferences of proteins for any DNA sequence variants of length K (K-mer). For more complete analyses, the UniPROBE website can output weight matrix (PWM) and graphical logo of the K-mer sequence ([http://the\\_brain.bwh.harvard.edu/uniprobe](http://the_brain.bwh.harvard.edu/uniprobe)) (Newburger and Bulyk 2009).
- YEASTRACT DISCOVERER provides tools for *de novo* identification of binding site consensus sequences from a set of gene promoter regions. DISCOVERER contains MUSA (Motif finding using an UnSupervised Approach) algorithms that return the list of motifs ordered by their p-value. In order to extract these motifs, specification of the parameters such as box lengths and distances between boxes is not required from the user (<http://www.yeasttract.com/formfindregulators.php>) (Teixeira *et al.* 2006).
- MYBS (Mining Yeast Binding Sites) integrates both experimentally verified data and predicted position weight matrixes (PWMs) from 11 different databases. To search for motifs in the promoters of the input genes, MYBS uses CHIP-chip data and phylogenetic footprinting as its main filters and considers 7 species including *C. glabrata*. For the identification of potential regulatory associations between two TFs and their combinatorial regulation, MYBS enables the visualization of potential regulators and target gene sets for each TF pair (<http://bits.iis.sinica.edu.tw>) (Tsai *et al.* 2007).
- YPA (Yeast Promoter Atlas) compiles promoter features of *S. cerevisiae*. YPA integrates various resources (including promoter sequences, TATA boxes, TF binding sites, nucleosome occupancy, DNA bendability, TF-TF interaction and gene expression data) to provide a comprehensive view of gene promoter region (<http://ypa.csbb.ntu.edu.tw>) (Chang *et al.* 2011).
- JASPAR CORE database contains a curated set of profiles, derived from published collections of experimentally defined TF binding sites for eukaryotes. JASPAR CORE Fungi mostly contains *S. cerevisiae* TF binding site sequence logos (<http://jaspar.genereg.net>) (Sandelin *et al.* 2004).
- TRANSFAC<sup>®</sup> provides experimentally-proven eukaryotic TF motif consensus sequences and a list of TF-regulated genes. TRANSCompel contains eukaryotic TF sets that are experimentally proven to interact in a synergistic or antagonistic manner (<http://www.gene-regulation.com/pub/databases.html>) (Matys *et al.* 2006).

- The Maclsaac collection contains TF motifs from solely ChIP-chip data (Maclsaac *et al.* 2006).

To our knowledge there is no bioinformatics tool available for *C. albicans* promoter region analyses. Hence we generated a *C. albicans* TF binding site database and created Python algorithms to develop publically available software TFbsST (Transcription Factor binding site Search Tool) for the analyses of *Candida* promoter regions. TFbsST is the first bioinformatics tool for *in silico* promoter analyses of *Candida* species including *C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. glabrata* (<http://bioinfo.ucc.ie/TFbsST/>).


To investigate the regulation of *Candida* genes that were altered in the presence of bacterial supernatants, we screened the *C. albicans* gene sets that were upregulated or downregulated in response to *Pseudomonas* supernatants (McAlester *et al.* 2008) across the TFbsST database. After gene ontology (GO) analysis with Blast2Go, the proteins encoded by these genes were grouped according to their localization in the cell. Cell wall proteins are important for biofilm formation. To question their regulation, the promoter regions of the genes coding for the proteins localized around the cell wall were investigated in more detail. The overrepresented TFs were established after analyses of different gene sets and gene families.

## 4.2 Materials and Methods

### 4.2.1 General approach

In order to generate a comprehensive TFbsST database for *C. albicans* promoter region analyses *in silico*, we developed a research strategy shown in Figure 1. This workflow represents a modified 'Waterfall' software development model where the project requirements were based on the analyses of DNA sequences in gene promoters. Briefly, to populate a TF database with the corresponding DNA binding site motifs, the relevant literature was reviewed and experimentally validated motifs were selected for the database. Python scripts were designed for promoter analysis and TF database was used as a source of DNA binding site motifs. These files served as the basis for the creation of the TFbsST application. After software design we implemented the testing and verification process that involved prediction of TF frequencies across the *C. albicans* genome and analyses of cell wall protein coding gene families.

To analyse the 4 gene sets, the expression of which was impaired in response to bacterial supernatants according to Holcombe *et al.* (2010), we retrieved their protein sequences from the *Candida* Genome Database (CGD) (Arnaud *et al.* 2005) and annotated with the Blast2Go annotation tool (Conesa *et al.* 2005). Blast2Go uses input protein sequences to assign the proteins into 3 classes according to their molecular function (F), biological process they are involved in (P) and the cellular component (C) where they are localized in the cell. Thus, we defined smaller subsets based on the localisation of the proteins that these differentially expressed genes encoded in the cell. In parallel, as a control group of genes, we downloaded a file with all *C. albicans* genes plus 1000 bp upstream and 1000 bp downstream regions from the CGD and retrieved 1000 bp upstream regions using Python scripts for further TF binding site frequency predictions (%). *In silico* comparative analyses of *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* RBT family gene promoter regions against Efg1p via the TFbsST database validated our computational methodology.



**Figure 1 | Workflow for the analyses of *C. albicans* gene promoter regions (from -1000 bp to +1 bp).** To design TFbsST application we developed TF binding site database and Python algorithms. TFbsST software was used to analyse differentially expressed gene sets of *C. albicans* in response to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants (Holcombe *et al.* 2010). Protein sequences of these gene sets were retrieved from CGD and annotated with Blast2Go annotation tool. Proteins localized across cell wall/surface were considered important due to their significance in biofilm formation. Cell wall/surface group of proteins included *RBT* and *ALS* family genes that were known to be downregulated in presence of bacterial supernatants (Holcombe *et al.* 2010). The groups were further analysed across different TFs of *C. albicans*. A file with all *C. albicans* genes plus 1000 bp upstream and 1000 bp downstream regions served as a control.

#### 4.2.2 Transcription factor binding site database creation

For reliable computational prediction, the ideal TF binding site library would contain TFs associated to a single most accurate DNA binding site motif. Since the minimum number of features increases the prediction power of the results in computational biology, we optimized the TF database relating a single accurate motif to each TF (except from Cwt1p, Msn4p and Srr1p). As a result, our database includes an optimized set of TF binding site motifs that increase the reliability of the predictions. However, the source of each binding site differs for each TF. Hence, it was difficult to compare the TF binding site data. Thus, to select an optimum motif for each TF we took several approaches that lead to the creation of the curated TF binding site library (Table 1).

The TF binding site database was based on publically available experimental data related to *C. albicans* TFs and their binding site motifs (Table 1). To facilitate comparative analysis among *Candida* species we also searched for indicative TF binding sites of *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis* and *C. glabrata*. To evaluate the TF binding sites for quality, we applied several approaches. Firstly, a direct search was performed to find DNA binding site motifs of the TFs from the Homann *et al.* (2009) TF mutant collection. Secondly, a general search of the literature was performed to assign binding sites to the corresponding TF, looking for any TF binding sites of *C. albicans* and indicative TF binding sites of *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis* and *C. glabrata*. Next, a reference-directed approach was taken to identify the most relevant motifs. For this we prioritised the motifs that were found by the reliable methods, for paradigm ChIP-Chip analysis, and were published in established journals. To optimise our database, we also took a time-directed approach considering the most recently identified binding sites. Some TF binding sites of *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* display similarity in their sequence. Finally, we compared *C. albicans* TF binding sites with that of *S. cerevisiae* for similarities in YeTFaSCo (de Boer and Hughes 2011). YeTFaSCo contains a *S. cerevisiae* TF collection of specificities that are evaluated for quality with several metrics.

**Table 1 | *Candida* transcription factor binding site consensus sequences.** ID shows the systematic nomenclature as in CGD and TF indicates the name of a transcription factor.

ID	TF	TF consensus binding site	% <sup>a</sup>	Genes <sup>b</sup>	Reference
<b><i>Candida albicans</i></b>					
CR_07440W	Ace2p	HHCACCACCWM	12	746	Swidergall <i>et al.</i> (2015)
C3_06000W	Ahr1p	CGNBWVWVWNT	60	3731	Askew <i>et al.</i> (2011)
C1_09090C	Arg81p	KCGCGST	3	187	Tuch <i>et al.</i> (2008)
CR_02560C	Asg1p (asgs)	YMTTGKYS	78	4851	Tuch <i>et al.</i> (2008)
CR_02510W	Azf1p (orf19.173)	RAADAARAAR	91	5659	Swidergall <i>et al.</i> (2015)
C2_05640W	Bas1p	TGACTC	27	1679	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004)
CR_06440C	Bcr1p	TAMATRCATR	5	311	Nobile <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C2_01110C	Bcy1p (Mcb)	ACGCG	18	1119	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004)
C1_05140W	Brg1p (Gat2)	SMGGTAM	29	1804	Nobile <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C3_02220W	Cap1p (Ap-1)	MTKASTMA	41	2550	Goudot <i>et al.</i> (2011)
C4_06580W	Cbf1p	TCACGTG	9	560	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004)
C1_07370C	Cph1p (ScSte12)	TGAAACA	30	1866	Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
C6_00280W	Cph2p (Sre1-like seq)	YCACMCCAY	6	373	Lane <i>et al.</i> (2001); Lane <i>et al.</i> (2015)
CR_05530C	Cwt1p	AGGGCT	10	622	Moreno <i>et al.</i> (2007)
		AGCCCT			Moreno <i>et al.</i> (2007)
CR_07530C	Ecm22p/Upc2p	TCGTWVWVW	49	3047	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004)
CR_07890W	Efg1p	RTGCATRW	17	1057	Nobile <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C3_00670C	Fkh2p	RTAAAYAWW	61	3794	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004); Gordan <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C2_09940W	Gcn4p	TGACTM	55	3420	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004)
C1_07680W	Hap2p	CCAATCA	22	1368	Ozsarac <i>et al.</i> (1995)
C4_01390W	Hap3p	CCAAT	96	5970	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2005); Baek <i>et al.</i> (2008); Linde <i>et al.</i> (2010)
C1_09870W	Hcm1p (Fkh2)	WMAAYA	100	6219	Gordan <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C2_03840C	Ino4p (Ino2+Ino4)	NBWTCASRTG	15	933	Hoppen <i>et al.</i> (2007)
C3_04110C	Mbp1p	ACGCGTSSR	2	124	Gordan <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C7_00890C	Mcm1p	ACCRRAWWRGGMA	1	62	Perez <i>et al.</i> (2014)
C2_10230W	Met32p	TGTGGC	23	1430	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004)
C5_02940C	Mig1p	SYGGRG	65	4042	Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
C1_08940C	Msn4p (STRE - Msn2/4)	CCCCT	55	3420	Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
		AGGGG			Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
C5_01755C	Mata1p (MtlALPHA1)	WTCCTTW	62	3856	Baker <i>et al.</i> (2011); Tuch <i>et al.</i> (2008)
C2_00140W	Ndt80p	TTACACAAA	5	311	Nobile <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C7_04230W	Nrg1p	MVCCCT	68	4229	Argimon <i>et al.</i> (2007); Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
CR_02640W	Rfg1p	ACAAT	99	6157	Gordan <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C1_14340C	Rim101p	CCAAGAA	28	1741	Ramon and Fonzi (2003)
C1_13620W	Rob1p	GGWAAWNWAWWTCC	1	62	Nobile <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C1_04330W	Rpn4p	GGTGCCAAAA	1	62	Gasch <i>et al.</i> (2004)
C1_10020W	Sfu1p	WGATAA	91	5659	Linde <i>et al.</i> (2010)
CR_05610C	Srr1p (SRR)	AAGAA	100	6219	Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
		CCGAA			Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
		ATTGG			Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
C1_01790W	Swi4p	CRCGAA	27	1679	Gordan <i>et al.</i> (2012)
C5_01840C	Tac1p	CGGAWATCGGATATTTTTTTT	0.1	6	Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2007)
C3_04530C	Tec1p	RCATTCT	35	2177	Nobile <i>et al.</i> (2012); Argimon <i>et al.</i> (2007)
C1_08460C	Upc2p	CGBDTR	91	5659	Znaidi <i>et al.</i> (2008)
C1_10150W	Wor1p	WTARRSTTT	30	1866	Lohse <i>et al.</i> (2010)
C7_00970C	Yox1p	WWYAWTT	100	6219	Tuch <i>et al.</i> (2008)
<b><i>Candida parapsilosis</i> CPAR2</b>					
-	Dal82p	AYGCRC			Connolly <i>et al.</i> (2013)
213640	Ndt80p	CACAAAR			Connolly <i>et al.</i> (2013)
701620	Efg1p	CTGCATR			Connolly <i>et al.</i> (2013)
100880	Azf1p	AAAARDA			Connolly <i>et al.</i> (2013)
403080	Zap1p	CACBACC			Connolly <i>et al.</i> (2013)
211740	Stp4p	GGTAGCR			Connolly <i>et al.</i> (2013)
<b><i>Candida dubliniensis</i></b>					
Cd36_07150	Hap2P	CCAAT			CGD
<b><i>Candida glabrata</i></b>					
CAGL0A00451g	Pdr1p	HYCCRKGGR			Paul <i>et al.</i> (2014)

<sup>a</sup> Frequency of the TFs among all *C. albicans* (SC3514) genes (~6219) is given in % that is rounded to its closest digit (This study). The analyses were carried out from December 2015 to January 2016.

<sup>b</sup> Number of *C. albicans* genes predicted to possess a TF binding site (This study).

#### 4.2.3 Python algorithm design

To analyze the promoter regions (1000 bp upstream) of *Candida* genes, Python algorithms were designed using mainly 'Bio' and 'Bio.Seq' libraries as well as 'Seq', 'SeqIO', 'sys' and 're' modules of Python 3.2 and 2.7 versions ([www.python.org](http://www.python.org)). Two similar scripts were designed to answer two different biological questions. The first script predicted the frequency (%) of the input genes possessing a selected TF. However, for more detailed analysis the second script was created using the first as a template. The second script identified a DNA binding site motif, sequence ID where this binding site was present, the exact start position of the binding site, as well as a total number of the binding sites in each sequence.

For both algorithms an input file had a FASTA format with '>' sign followed by a gene ID, and a DNA sequence starting on the next line. The input file was treated as a dictionary with the IDs and their sequences defined as the keys and values respectively. Another dictionary was required for the TF database where a TF was defined as the key and its corresponding binding sites as a string of values. To decode the motifs written with ambiguous DNA code an incorporated combinatorial program was designed and called from the main script.

To output the percentage of sequences possessing a specific TF binding site, we followed simple mathematical logic. To obtain TF information, its copy was made and the value of each TF (key) was zeroed. Next, to define a sequence line and the reverse complementary DNA strand, the sequences and TFs were looped in the file creating a sequence counter. To check whether a specific binding site matched with any sequence, a 'match' statement was used in combination with 'for' and 'in' statements. When the motif was found in the sequence the count was increased by 1. To output the percentage count, the final statement was iterated through the TF count data.

To retrieve the detailed information about the binding site motif, the sequence ID where this motif was present, the exact start position of the motif and a total number of binding sites in each sequence, a similar logic was followed with minor changes. The above script that outputted the percentage data was modified and the output was differentiated towards the final 'print' statement. Namely, when more than 0 binding sites were found in the sequence, the program outputted the sequence, TF, binding site motif as well as the start position and a total number of the binding sites for each DNA strand.

#### **4.2.4 TFbsST website development**

To avail comprehensive *in silico* analyses of *Candida* promoter regions we went beyond the Python scripts and created the TFbsST database (Transcription Factor binding site Search Tool). TFbsST was based on the TF binding site database (Table 1) and Python scripts (see above) for promoter region analyses following a 'Waterfall' model of software development. The Waterfall model includes 5 elements: requirements, design, implementation, verification and maintenance. To develop the TFbsST software we mainly used HTML5 (Hyper Text Markup Language 5), MySQL (Structured Query Language) and JavaScript programming languages that incorporated different text files. These text files stored data for TF binding site motifs, *Candida* species and references related to each motif. This flexible design simplified the upgrading and maintenance processes of the TFbsST website. TFbsST logos were designed using the free online software ([www.logomakr.com](http://www.logomakr.com)).

#### **4.2.5 GO annotation**

To analyze *Candida* genes that were altered in expression in response to bacterial supernatants, we annotated their Gene Ontologies (GOs). More specifically, the protein sequences of *C. albicans* genes upregulated or downregulated in presence of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants, were retrieved from CGD and annotated with the Blast2Go functional annotation tool (Conesa *et al.* 2005). Blast2Go uses input protein sequences to assign the proteins into 3 classes according to their molecular function (F), biological process they are involved in (P) and the cellular component (C) where they are localized in the cell. Cellular component (C) annotation was used to analyze the localization of proteins whose gene expression was impaired after application of bacterial supernatants. The corresponding graphs were performed using Prism V6 for Mac ([www.graphpad.com](http://www.graphpad.com)) or R Statistical Software (R development core team).

#### **4.2.6 *Candida albicans* and *Candida parapsilosis* promoter analysis**

Cell wall proteins are important for biofilm formation. In order to validate our computational method, we analysed the promoter regions of the *RBT* genes coding for the cell wall proteins in two *Candida* species, *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis*. All *RBT* family genes with their promoter regions were retrieved and stored in a single FASTA file for each *Candida* species. To extract and store the promoter regions from each file, we used Python scripts. *RBT* family gene promoter regions were analysed against Efg1p binding sites of the corresponding *Candida* species via the TFbsST database. The screening option of the TFbsST

database that availed analytical analysis of the specified promoters produced the graphical output of the TF binding site positions in addition to other details. These details included the ID of the promoter sequence, TF, TF binding site motif and its start position as well as the total number of binding sites in each promoter. To design the TF binding site logos corresponding to each set of *RBT* family gene promoter, we used a Weblogo designer tool (<http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi>) incorporated into the TFbsST database.

## 4.3 Results

### 4.3.1 Transcription factor binding site database

To analyse *C. albicans* promoter regions a detailed plan was designed as shown in the Figure 1. Initially, to develop a TFbsST application, a TF binding site database was generated from the published experimentally defined motifs. Python algorithms were designed following a modified 'Waterfall' software development strategy. In order to analyse *C. albicans* 4 gene sets of Holcombe *et al.* (2010), with upregulated or downregulated gene expression in response to bacterial supernatants, we retrieved their protein sequences from CGD and annotated their GOs using the Blast2Go annotation. Thus, we defined smaller protein subsets based on the localisation of the proteins that these differentially expressed genes encoded in the cell. As a control group of genes, we downloaded a file with all *C. albicans* genes with 1000 bp up/downstream regions (CGD) and retrieved their promoters using Python scripts. To evaluate the TFbsST database we predicted the TF frequencies (%) in *C. albicans* genome and carried out promoter analyses of the cell wall protein coding family genes, *RBT* and *ALS*. Comparative analyses of *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family gene promoters against Efg1p validated our approach.

TF binding site database was based on the published literature (Table 1). In total, we found binding site motifs for 41 TFs and 1 TF complex (Ino2p+Ino4p) in *C. albicans*. To facilitate comparative analysis among *Candida* species we also searched for indicative TF binding sites of *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis* and *C. glabrata*. TFbsST contains 4 tables storing TF binding site data that are tagged with the corresponding *Candida* species (Table 1). For more precise presentation of the TFs, their updated systematic nomenclature was included in the first column of the Table 1. The second column displays a name of each TF and the third consensus binding site motif written with IUPAC nucleotide code (for abbreviations see [www.genome.jp/kegg/catalog/codes1](http://www.genome.jp/kegg/catalog/codes1)). To better understand the distribution of the TFs in *C. albicans* genome, we calculated TF frequencies (fourth column) and the approximate number of genes (fifth column) regulated by each TF. The final column refers to the relevant publication from where the TF binding site motif was retrieved (Table 1).


The preliminarily generated TF binding site database was further optimised to create a TF binding site library with a single binding site corresponding to each TF. However, in some cases multiple motifs were associated with one TF, for example, Cwt1p, Msn4p and Srr1p. Several motifs possessed flanking or internal bases with low information content. Since a

motif is not improved by removing these bases (de Boer and Hughes 2011), most binding site motifs were left in their original form in our database.

#### 4.3.2 TFbsST software

TFbsST was based on the TF binding site database (Table 1) and the Python algorithms (see Materials and methods) that were designed for promoter analyses. The Python algorithms use the TF database as a source of motifs for DNA sequence analysis. The home page of the TFbsST website displays the basic information about the software and its function (Figure 2A). A left hand-side (LHS) menu bar was created to facilitate rapid navigation within the website. The LHS bar contains links to access DNA sequence analysis tool (Scan) (Figure 2B), downloadable table of the TF motif database (Motifs), downloadable list of publications where each motif was found (References) (also see Table 1) and an option allowing addition of a new TF binding site (Add Motif). The TFbsST database can also be used to access 7 similar databases listed in the 'Databases' section of the LHS bar (Figure 2C). The last features of the LHS menu include the information about the authors (Authors) and contacts (Contact us) (Figure 2).

The main function of the TFbsST application is to analyse the DNA sequences across all the TFs available in its database. A DNA sequence analysis tool located under the 'Scan' link leads to the next page where a desired *Candida* species can be selected. The TFbsST website can be used to analyze promoter regions of *C. albicans* and related species including *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis* and *C. glabrata*. Each *Candida* species is linked to a different database table availing more information about *C. albicans* (Table 1). The sequence scanner of the TFbsST website outputs 2 different results based on the users' request. The first option outputs a table with the percentage (%) of the user-defined sequences possessing a selected TF or all the available TFs of the database. To calculate the frequency (%), a number of genes with predicted TF is divided by the total number of input genes and multiplied by 100. The second option outputs a graphical display of the precise binding site position(s) of a selected TF among other details. These details are tabulated and include the ID and strand of input promoter sequence where any binding site is found, binding site motif and the corresponding TF.



**Figure 2 | Screenshots illustrating some features of the TFbsST database. A |** Part of the TFbsST database Homepage. The Homepage provides general information about the database and its functionalities. LHS menu facilitates rapid navigation within the website through the Scan, Motifs, References, Databases and other features. **B |** Scan function of the TFbsST database. This function facilitates DNA sequence analysis for the TFs of the TFbsST database with the 'Binding site position' and 'Frequency' options. The results of the

'Binding site position' analyses are summarised in graphs and tables, the 'Frequency' analyses are organised in tables. C| Databases listed in the TFbsST database. The TFbsST database provides an access to the 7 TF databases that mainly focus on *S. cerevisiae*.

#### **4.3.3 Overrepresented and underrepresented transcription factors in *Candida albicans* gene promoter regions**

To analyze *C. albicans* promoter regions we used TFbsST application and Python programs. The Python scripts that analysed the TF frequencies and predicted the TF binding sites were incorporated into the TFbsST software. However, some Python algorithms were additionally designed to retrieve gene promoter regions (-1000 bp to +1 bp). *In silico* genome-wide frequency analyses of *C. albicans* promoters against TFbsST database predicted that 3 TFs Hcm1p, Srr1p and Yox1p possessed ubiquitously distributed binding sites across all its 6219 genes (100%) (Table 1). However, these TFs are unlikely to regulate the whole *C. albicans* genome *in vivo*, hence more work is required to optimise their binding site motifs. The DNA binding sites of 5 TFs, Tac1p, Rob1p, Rpn4p, Mcm1p and Mbp1p, were predicted to appear sporadically (1% - 2%) in *C. albicans* promoters occupying about 100 genes. Such a low occurrence frequency indicates that the above TFs regulate specific group of genes (Table 1).

#### **4.3.4 RBT and ALS are important for interaction between *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa***

To better understand the regulation of genes whose expression was impaired in response to bacterial supernatants we carried out *in silico* promoter analyses via the TFbsST database. Previous studies of Holcombe *et al.* (2010) and McAlester *et al.* (2008) had established differentially expressed genes of *C. albicans* in response to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants. In Holcombe *et al.* (2010) study, *C. albicans* cultures were grown in presence of 4 different types of *Pseudomonas* supernatants (wild-type PAO1, clinical isolates CF144, CF177 and HSL-free mutant PAO1ΔQS) as well as in presence of supernatants derived from HSL-producing *Pseudomonas* strains. Gene expression profiles of *Candida* indicated that some genes were significantly upregulated or downregulated in the above conditions (threshold  $\geq 2$  fold) (Holcombe *et al.* 2010). Promoter regions of these gene sets were subject to TF frequency analysis (Table 2). The results of computational investigation revealed that Efg1p and Mbp1p were overrepresented in the downregulated sets and Ndt80p in the upregulated sets of the genes treated with all 4 types of bacterial

supernatants (Table 2). All three TFs regulate biofilm development in *C. albicans* (Nobile *et al.* 2012). This prediction suggested that the pathways of *C. albicans* - *P. aeruginosa* communication and *Candida* biofilm formation may converge. This seems logical since we examine biofilm formation as a reference point of the above two species interaction. A file with all *C. albicans* gene promoter regions served as a control.

To further investigate the interaction between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa*, we annotated *C. albicans* gene sets that were significantly upregulated or downregulated in response to bacterial supernatants. We predicted subcellular localization of the proteins that these differentially expressed genes encoded in the cell. Protein localization is an important component of computational prediction informing where a protein resides in the cell and what is its function based on its sequence. The protein sequences of differentially expressed genes were retrieved from CGD and their GOs were annotated with Blast2Go annotation tool. With this up-to-date annotation we were able to annotate many proteins that were previously assigned to unknown function. For instance, 13 proteins with previously unknown function, encoded by the upregulated genes in presence of 4 types of bacterial supernatants, were annotated in this study (Appendix 4.5 Table 6 bold type). Many genes that were downregulated in presence of bacterial supernatants were also encoding for proteins with previously unknown function (Holcombe *et al.* 2010; McAlester *et al.* 2008). From this list 20 additional genes were annotated in this study (Appendix 4.5 Table 7 bold type). Recent annotations of the following genes *KAR4*, orf19.1336.2, orf19.6747, orf19.1114, orf19.409 (Appendix 4.5 Table 8 bold type) and *POL12*, *FRK1*, *BUD14*, *WOR3*, *NOP9* (Appendix 4.5 Table 9 bold type) provide further insights about the proteins that are involved in *Candida* – *Pseudomonas* interactions.

Blast2Go is a sequence-based annotation tool that assigns the proteins into 3 categories according to their molecular function (F), biological process they are involved in (P) and the cellular component where they are localized in the cell (C). Using cellular component-based localization annotation, we shortlisted smaller gene subsets coding for cell wall/surface proteins since they are important for *Candida* biofilm formation (Figure 3 and Table 3). Notably, downregulated genes coding for proteins of cell wall/surface, plasma membrane, endoplasmic reticulum and intracellular region exceeded those of upregulated genes in the gene set treated with 4 different types of bacterial supernatants (Figure 3A). These cell wall/surface protein coding genes contained two members of *RBT* and *ALS* family genes, *RBT1*, *RBT4* and *ALS1*, *ALS3* respectively (Table 3). Clearly, GO annotation confirmed that

*RBT* and *ALS* gene families are important for interaction between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa*.

**Table 2| Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of *C. albicans* genes that were impaired after application of *P. aeruginosa* QS molecules.**

TF	Control <sup>a</sup> (%)	All 4 types of bacterial supernatants				HSL-containing supernatants			
		Up <sup>b</sup> (%)	Up S <sup>c</sup> (%)	Down <sup>b</sup> (%)	Down S <sup>c</sup> (%)	Up <sup>b</sup> (%)	Up S <sup>c</sup> (%)	Down <sup>b</sup> (%)	Down S <sup>c</sup> (%)
Ace2	12	10	20	10	8	6	0	8	0
Ahr1	60	61	40	62	86	71	100	58	0
Arg81	3	3	10	6	0	3	0	0	0
Asg1 (asgs)	78	75	80	84	100	74	80	93	100
Azf1	91	94	90	92	93	90	100	93	50
Bas1	27	27	30	40	36	43	20	12	0
Bcr1	5	8	10	3	0	8	0	4	0
Bcy1 (Mcb)	18	11	20	21	22	16	20	12	0
Brg1 (Gat2)	29	29	40	20	29	27	40	16	50
Cap1 (Ap-1)	41	42	50	57	58	48	80	27	0
Cbf1	9	16	10	7	8	24	20	4	50
Cph1 (ScSte12)	30	29	10	26	15	32	40	16	50
Cph2 (Sre1- like seq)	6	5	10	5	8	8	20	8	0
Cwt1	10	19	20	13	15	6	0	8	50
Ecm22/Upc 2	49	47	40	55	86	35	40	70	50
<b>Efg1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>
Fkh2	61	69	80	70	79	48	40	62	50
Gcn4	55	60	70	60	65	77	60	35	100
Hap2	22	17	0	23	29	19	40	20	50
Hap3	96	49	100	92	100	95	80	81	50
Hcm1 (Fkh2)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Ino4 (Ino2+Ino4)	15	18	10	15	22	27	20	12	0
<b>Mbp1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Mcm1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Met32	23	25	20	25	22	24	0	20	0
Mig1	65	60	30	65	58	69	40	54	0
Msn4 (STRE - Msn2/4)	55	51	60	65	50	45	0	58	50
Mata1 (MTLALPHA 1)	62	60	50	71	79	66	80	62	100
Ndt80	5	7	10	10	8	8	40	12	0
Nrg1	68	70	80	70	79	66	80	70	100
Rfg1	99	99	100	100	100	100	100	97	100
Rim101	28	27	40	29	36	19	0	47	50
<b>Rob1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Rpn4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Sfu1	91	92	90	93	86	85	80	89	50
Srr1 (SRR)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Swi4	27	17	10	24	36	16	0	20	0
<b>Tac1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Tec1	35	34	70	38	65	37	60	47	0
Upc2	91	94	100	92	100	93	80	89	100
Wor1	30	30	20	27	22	27	40	39	50
Yox1	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100


<sup>a</sup> Control includes all *Candida albicans* (SC3514) genes (~6219 genes).

<sup>b</sup> Gene sets were retrieved from Holcombe *et al.* (2010) (Supplementary Tables S1 – S4).

<sup>c</sup> Subsets are Cell wall/surface genes/proteins from the corresponding gene set (see Figure 2).

The frequencies (%) are rounded to their closest digit (This study). The analyses were carried out from December 2015 to January 2016.

Underrepresented TFs in the control group are given in **bold type** (This study).



**Figure 3 | Localization of *C. albicans* proteins encoded by the upregulated (green bars) and downregulated (red bars) genes in response to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants. A | Genes with altered expression in response to supernatants derived from 4 strains of *P. aeruginosa* (wild-type PAO1, clinical isolates CF144, CF177 and HSL-free PAO1ΔQS). B | Genes with altered expression in response to supernatants derived from HSL-producing *P. aeruginosa* strains. Image was produced using scientific statistics software GraphPad Prism v 6 for Mac.**


**Table 3 | *C. albicans* gene subsets coding for cell wall/surface proteins.** These subsets were either upregulated or downregulated in response to the supernatants derived from 4 strains of *Pseudomonas*, PAO1, CF144, CF177, PAO1ΔQS and HSL-containing supernatants (data were extracted from Figure 3).

4 types of bacterial supernatants				HSL-containing supernatants			
Upregulated		Downregulated		Upregulated		Downregulated	
ID	Gene	ID	Gene	ID	Gene	ID	Gene
CR_10110W	<i>CHT3</i>	C4_02410C	<i>AHP1</i>	C6_03700W	<i>ALS1</i>	C4_03570W	<i>HWP1</i>
C2_08490W	<i>DSE1</i>	CR_07070C	<i>ALS3</i>	C4_01160W	<i>CRD2</i>	C2_01380W	<i>PLB4.5</i>
C5_02080C	<i>HSP12</i>	C4_03470C	<i>ECE1</i>	C5_02790C	<i>GAP1</i>		
C4_06720W	<i>NOP1</i>	C5_02460C	<i>ECM331</i>	C6_02010C	<i>GPD2</i>		
C2_08870C	<i>PIR1</i>	C6_00440C	<i>FET34</i>	C4_04080C	<i>PGA31</i>		
C6_02070C	<i>RPL23A</i>	C1_14130W	<i>FTR1</i>				
C2_08040C	<i>RPS10</i>	CR_10100C	<i>INO1</i>				
C6_00650C	<i>RPS13</i>	C3_01360C	<i>IRO1</i>				
C5_04110W	<i>SCW11</i>	CR_10790W	<i>MAL2</i>				
C2_08590W	<i>YWP1</i>	C4_00200C	<i>MET15</i>				
		C4_03520C	<i>RBT1</i>				
		C1_07030C	<i>RBT4</i>				
		C2_00680C	<i>SOD5</i>				
		C7_00260C	<i>YLR001C</i>				

#### 4.3.5 Overrepresented TFs in the *RBT* and *ALS* family gene promoters

Previous studies of Holcombe *et al.* (2010) had shown that *RBT1* and *RBT4* were downregulated in presence of bacterial supernatants. From Holcombe *et al.* (2010) dataset *RBT1* and *RBT4*, along with *ALS1* and *ALS3* (although not downregulated), were also found among our shortlisted genes coding for cell wall proteins (Table 3). Hence, it was interesting to explore which TFs could possibly regulate expression of these genes. To predict TF distribution frequencies across *C. albicans* *RBT* and *ALS* family gene promoters we retrieved their gene sequences plus 1000 bp up/downstream regions from CGD. The promoters of *RBT* family genes, including *RBT1*, *RBT2*, *RBT4*, *RBT5*, *RBT6*, *RBT7* and *RBT8*, were retrieved with Python scripts and analysed for TF frequencies in the TFbsST software. The promoter regions of *ALS* family genes, *ALS1*, *ALS2*, *ALS3* (*CDC24*), *ALS3*, *ALS4*, *ALS5*, *ALS6*, *ALS7* and *ALS9*, were analysed in the same way using a separate FASTA file. Figure 4 shows predicted TF ratios in the promoters of the *RBT* and *ALS* family genes (Figure 4). *RBT* family possessed 7 overrepresented TFs, Mcm1p, Mbp1p, Bcr1p, Efg1p, Ndt80p, Cwt1p and Cph2p, determined by the 2-fold threshold (red horizontal line). Only 2 TFs, Rpn4p and Efg1p, were overrepresented in the *ALS* family. Notably, Efg1p was overrepresented in both gene families (Figure 4).

More detailed analyses showed that 3 out of 9 master regulators, Efg1p, Bcr1p and Ndt80p, that govern biofilm development in *C. albicans* (Nobile *et al.* 2012; Fox *et al.* 2015), possessed binding sites across promoter regions of 5 different genes in the *RBT* family (Table 4). Table 4 shows that *RBT1*, *RBT4* and *RBT6* are mainly regulated by Efg1p, *RBT2* is additionally regulated by Bcr1p and *RBT5* solely by Bcr1p and Ndt80p. DNA binding sites of Efg1p were also found in 4 *ALS* family genes, *ALS1*, *ALS3*, *ALS4* and *ALS9* (Table 5). Thus, Efg1p appeared as a protagonist of gene regulation in both gene families, *RBT* and *ALS*. Other overrepresented TFs in the *RBT* family were Cph2p and Cwt1p. Cph2p promotes hyphal growth by directly regulating Tec1p to induce hyphal-specific genes (Lane *et al.* 2001) and Cwt1p regulates cell wall integrity (Moreno *et al.* 2003). These results partially confirmed our approach since *RBT* family genes are strongly expressed during hyphal growth but they are dramatically downregulated in presence of *Pseudomonas* supernatants under yeast growth conditions (McAlester *et al.* 2008; Holcombe *et al.* 2010).



**Figure 4 | Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of the *RBT* and *ALS* gene families across the TFbsST database.** Individual TF frequency (%) of each gene family member was divided by the TF frequency of the control group (all *Candida* genes) to obtain the final ratio illustrated with red circles. **A | *RBT*** and **B | *ALS*** gene families. A set of all *C. albicans* genes was used as a control. Overrepresented TFs are determined by the empirical 2-fold threshold (red horizontal line). Efg1p was overrepresented in both gene families. The figures were produced using the R Statistical Software.

**Table 4 | Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of *C. albicans* genes.**

TF binding site	<i>RBT1</i>	<i>RBT2</i>	<i>RBT4</i>	<i>RBT5</i>	<i>RBT6</i>	<i>RBT7</i>	<i>RBT8</i>
Ahr1	+		+	+	+	+	+
Asg1 (asgs)	+	+	+	+		+	+
Azf1	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Bas1					+		
<b>Bcr1</b>		+		+			
Bcy1 (Mcb)			+				
Brg1 (Gat2)				+		+	
Cap1 (Ap-1)	+	+		+			
Cbf1							+
Cph1 (ScSte12)			+				
<b>Cph2 (Sre1- like seq)</b>						+	
<b>Cwt1</b>		+				+	
Ecm22/Upc2	+			+	+	+	+
<b>Efg1</b>	+	+	+		+		
Fkh2	+		+			+	+
Gcn4	+	+	+	+	+		
Hap2		+				+	+
Hap3	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hcm1 (Fkh2)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ino4 (Ino2+Ino4)				+			+
<b>Mbp1</b>			+				
<b>Mcm1</b>							+
Mig1	+		+				
Msn4 (STRE - Msn2/4)		+	+	+		+	
Mata1 (MTLALPHA1)		+	+	+	+		
<b>Ndt80</b>				+			
Nrg1		+	+		+	+	
Rfg1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rim101				+	+		
Sfu1	+	+	+		+	+	+
Srr1 (SRR)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Swi4							+
Tec1	+		+				
Upc2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wor1			+		+	+	
Yox1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Total TF binding sites	18	18	22	19	17	19	16

Overrepresented TFs in relation to the whole *C. albicans* genome determined by the 2-fold threshold, are given in **bold** type (This study). Data are extracted from Figure 4.

*RBT* gene family includes: *RBT1*, *RBT2* (*FRE10*), *RBT4*, *RBT5*, *RBT6* (*PGA7*), *RBT7* and *RBT8* (*PGA10*).

**Table 5 | Promoter region (from -1000 bp to +1 bp) analyses of *C. albicans* genes.**

TF binding site	<i>ALS1</i>	<i>ALS2</i>	<i>ALS3</i>	<i>ALS3<sup>a</sup></i>	<i>ALS4</i>	<i>ALS5</i>	<i>ALS6</i>	<i>ALS7</i>	<i>ALS9</i>
Ahr1	+	+			+	+		+	
Asg1 (asgs)	+	+	+	+			+	+	+
Azf1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Brg1 (Gat2)						+			+
Cap1 (Ap-1)	+	+	+	+	+				+
Ecm22/Upc2	+	+	+			+		+	
<b>Efg1</b>	+		+		+				+
Fkh2		+							
Gcn4		+		+		+			
Hap2				+		+			+
Hap3	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hcm1 (Fkh2)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Met32								+	+
Mig1					+	+	+		+
Msn4 (STRE - Msn2/4)		+	+	+	+	+	+		
Mata1 (MTLALPHA1)	+	+	+		+		+		+
Nrg1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+
Rfg1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rim101		+		+	+				+
<b>Rpn4</b>				+					
Sfu1	+	+	+		+	+	+		+
Srr1 (SRR)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Swi4		+		+	+				
Tec1	+	+	+				+		+
Upc2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wor1	+			+		+	+		
Yox1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Total TF binding site	17	20	16	17	17	17	15	11	19

<sup>a</sup> *ALS3* (*CDC24*).

Overrepresented TFs in relation to the whole *C. albicans* genome, determined by the 2-fold threshold, are given in **bold** type (This study). Data are extracted from Figure 4.

*ALS* gene family include: *ALS1*, *ALS2*, *ALS3* (*CDC24*), *ALS3*, *ALS4*, *ALS5*, *ALS6*, *ALS7* and *ALS9*.

#### 4.3.6 Efg1p binding sites in *Candida albicans* and *Candida parapsilosis* promoter regions

In order to validate our database we studied abundance of Efg1p binding sites in a related yeast *C. parapsilosis*. Efg1p is a well-studied TF regulating hyphal growth, adhesion and virulence. Efg1p was overrepresented in the promoter regions of the *RBT* and *ALS* gene families in *C. albicans* (Figure 4). Hence, we questioned whether Efg1p could regulate *RBT* family genes across other *Candida* species. To answer this question we studied the abundance of Efg1p binding sites in the promoters of the *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family genes (Figure 5). Both *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* possess 7 *RBT* family genes. Gene sequences plus 1000 bp up/downstream regions of all *RBT* family genes were retrieved from CGD and stored in FASTA files. Upstream (1000 bp) promoter sequences were extracted via Python programs and their detailed analyses were conducted using a Binding site position search option of the TFbsST application. TFbsST outputted detailed results of the search (Figure 5A). Figure 5A shows that Efg1p binding sites were found in both *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* (Figure 5A). More specifically, *C. albicans* possessed Efg1p binding sites in 4 *RBT* gene promoters, *RBT1*, *RBT2* (including reverse-complement strand), *RBT4* and *RBT6*. *C. parapsilosis* contained Efg1p binding site motifs in 2 *RBT* gene promoters, *RBT2* and *RBT4*. To compare Efg1p motifs we produced representative logos using the binding site sequences (given in bold type) of the corresponding species (Figure 5B).

#### A

##### *C. albicans*

Seq: C4\_03520C\_RBT1 TF: Efg1 Binding site: **ATGCATAA** Start position(s): [489] Total number: 1  
Seq: C4\_04320W\_RBT2 TF: Efg1 Binding site: **ATGCATAA** Start position(s): [52] Total number: 1  
RC\_: C4\_04320W\_RBT2 TF: Efg1 Binding site: **ATGCATGT** Start position(s) rev\_comp: [944] Total number: 1  
Seq: C1\_07030C\_RBT4 TF: Efg1 Binding site: **ATGCATGT** Start position(s): [294] Total number: 1  
RC\_: C4\_00120W\_RBT6 TF: Efg1 Binding site: **ATGCATGA** Start position(s) rev\_comp: [260] Total number: 1

##### *C. parapsilosis*

RC\_: CPAR2\_RBT2\_401740 TF: Efg1 Binding site: **CTGCATA** Start position(s) rev\_comp: [344] Total number: 1  
Seq: CPAR2\_RBT4\_208800 TF: Efg1 Binding site: **CTGCATA** Start position(s): [360] Total number: 1





Figure 5| *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* gene promoter analyses in the TFbsST database. A| Analytical output of Efg1p binding sites in *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family genes. B| Efg1p binding site motifs in *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family

genes. Logos of the Efg1p binding site sequences were produced using free online software Weblogo (<http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi>).

Figure 6 is a graphical illustration of Efg1p localization in the promoters of *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* RBT family genes based on its binding sites (Figure 6). Both, *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* possessed Efg1p binding sites in *RBT2* and *RBT4* promoters. Efg1p had similar localization across the *RBT4* promoters in both *Candida* species. *C. albicans* Efg1p displayed overlapping motifs in the *RBT2* promoter. These findings support both our approach and database.



**Figure 6 | Efg1p binding sites across the *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* RBT family gene promoters.** Four *C. albicans* RBT family genes, *CaRBT1*, *CaRBT2*, *CaRBT4* and *CaRBT6* possess Efg1p binding sites with *CaRBT2* having overlapping sequences. Two *C. parapsilosis* RBT family genes, *CpRBT2* and *CpRBT4* possess Efg1p binding motifs. Efg1p has similar localization in *RBT4* promoters of both *Candida* species. +1 indicates an ORF starting point.

## 4.4 Discussion

*C. albicans* biofilms cause serious infections to nosocomial patients in hospitals. *C. albicans* biofilm-related genes are governed by 9 transcriptional regulators (Nobile *et al.* 2012; Fox *et al.* 2015). According to the DBD transcription factor prediction database, *C. albicans* possesses more than 500 putative TFs ([www.transcriptionfactor.org](http://www.transcriptionfactor.org)). However, the binding site motifs of many TFs are unknown. Additionally, a limited number of bioinformatics tools for the analyses of *C. albicans* promoter sequences makes the *in silico* investigation even harder. Due to the latter, a novel bioinformatics tool, TFbsST database, was established to compile *C. albicans* TF binding site data. TFbsST software can be used to screen *Candida* gene promoter sequences for these TFs.

The vast majority of yeast databases are generated for a model yeast *S. cerevisiae* (UniPROBE, YEASTRACT, MYBS, YPA, JASPAR, TRANSFAC® and YeTFaSCo). To design the TFbsST application these databases were carefully reviewed and YeTFaSCo was used as the basis of our database. Nevertheless, there are significant differences between the YeTFaSCo and TFbsST databases. The main difference is that TFbsST includes TF motifs for *C. albicans*. Another important feature of the TFbsST database is that it also contains other *Candida* species such as *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis* and *C. glabrata*. Additionally, the TFbsST database can perform TF frequency analysis (outputs a table with %) along with the TF localization analysis (outputs graphs and tables). Therefore, TFbsST is a user-friendly and dynamic website that can be used for more complete gene regulation analyses in *Candida*.

However, a list of rational criteria for further evaluation of the motifs and database tools was generated to optimise the TFbsST database. To improve *in silico* prediction power a sophisticated TF motif scoring system based on their establishment method (e.g. ChIP-chip or gene expression) is planned to be incorporated in the TFbsST database. In addition, GO annotation and inter-study agreement could provide further details and relevant scores to the TF binding sites. Clearly, browsing TFbsST reveals that limited piece of information is available for the *Candida* species other than *C. albicans*. Due to the latter, easily modifiable text files were integrated into the TFbsST website. This flexible structure facilitates rapid enrichment of TF, motif and related organism lists.

The TFbsST database was used to analyse TF frequencies in *C. albicans* genome. These *in silico* analyses showed several TF motifs that were ubiquitous in nearly all *C. albicans* genes (Hcm1p, Srr1p and Yox1p) and others that were significantly rare (Tac1p, Rob1p, Rpn4p,

Mcm1p and Mbp1p). Hcm1p is a forkhead TF that plays an important role in fungal morphogenesis (Bensen *et al.* 2002) and iron homeostasis (Singh *et al.* 2011). Srr1p is a 2-component response regulator also required for morphogenesis (Desai *et al.* 2011) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (hydrogen peroxide) resistance (Bruce *et al.* 2011). Yox1p is a putative transcriptional repressor (Tuch *et al.* 2008) that peaks at G1/S phase of cell cycle (Cote *et al.* 2009). Despite their important role, it is unlikely that these TFs regulate the expression of all genes in *C. albicans*. Thus, more research is required to reveal the most accurate DNA binding site motifs of these TFs. Closer look at some TFs predicted to regulate small number of genes revealed that these TFs are parts of a larger protein complex. For example, Mbp1p and Tac1p represent part of MBF complex (Cote *et al.* 2009) and DRE (drug responsive element) element respectively. Other TFs in this group regulate different functions in the cell. For instance, Rob1p regulates biofilm development (Liu *et al.* 2005; Nett *et al.* 2009) and a C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (Acetylene) TF, Rpn4p, regulates proteasome synthesis (Gasch *et al.* 2004; Enjalbert *et al.* 2006). Mcm1p is a protein with unknown function (CGD). This data suggest that more research is required to elucidate the exact role of these TFs.

Mcm1p and Mbp1p were predicted to be overrepresented in *RBT* family genes. However, a closer inspection of Mcm1p and Mbp1p in the *RBT* family indicated that binding site motifs of these TFs were present in a single *RBT* gene. Overrepresentation was due to their low frequency in *C. albicans* genome. The remaining overrepresented TFs in *RBT* genes, predicted on the basis of their binding sites, regulate biofilm formation in *C. albicans*. Bcr1p, Efg1p, Ndt80p are master regulators (Nobile *et al.* 2012), Cph2p regulates filamentous growth (Lane *et al.* 2001) and Cwt1p governs cell wall integrity (Moreno *et al.* 2003). Efg1p and Rpn4p were overrepresented in the *ALS* gene family. However, binding sites of Rpn4p were present only in a single *ALS* gene (*ALS9*). Efg1p though was predicted to be present in some genes of both gene families, *RBT* and *ALS*. These results suggest that Efg1p can regulate important gene families that shape biofilm development in *C. albicans*. Efg1p binding sites were also found in the *C. parapsilosis* *RBT* family genes. This finding suggests that Efg1p can regulate *RBT* genes across different species of *Candida*. To experimentally validate these *in silico* analyses, gene expression experiments of *Candida EFG1* mutants grown in YNBNP (filament-inducing medium) can be conducted quantifying *RBT* genes expression with RTqPCR.

To analyse the effect of bacterial supernatants on *Candida*, differentially expressed genes of *C. albicans*, defined by Holcombe *et al.* (2010) that were significantly upregulated or

downregulated in response to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants, were annotated with Blast2Go. The up-to-date annotation of these genes elucidated the localization of many proteins that were not previously annotated. These data provide new insights about the proteins that are involved in *Candida* – *Pseudomonas* interactions. The question now is whether these genes are regulated by a specific transcription regulator or if they are governed by different TFs.

## 4.5 Appendix

**Table 6 | Gene ontology (GO) annotations of upregulated gene set in response to the supernatants derived from 4 strains of *Pseudomonas*, PAO1, CF144, CF177 and  $\Delta$ QS.** This Holcombe *et al.* (2010) dataset was re-analysed here and up-to-date GO annotations were obtained with Blast2Go. This table is summarised in the Figure 3A (green bars).

ID	Gene	GO – cellular component
<b>Plasma membrane</b>		
C4_01100C	<i>AGP2</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
<b>C3_03070W</b>	<b><i>AMF1</i></b>	<b>Integral component of membrane</b>
C3_04070C	<i>CDR11</i>	Integral component of membrane
C2_06020W	<i>CNT</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
CR_09370W	<i>ELF1</i>	Plasma membrane
C7_02910W	<i>ENA21</i>	Integral component of membrane
<b>C4_03700W</b>	<b><i>FNX1</i></b>	<b>Integral component of membrane</b>
C4_05430C	<i>GAP5</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C6_00330C	<i>GNP1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C4_06760W	<i>GUT2</i>	Plasma membrane
C6_03790C	<i>HGT10</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C1_13130C	<i>HIP1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
<b>C1_09680W</b>	<b><i>MTS1</i></b>	<b>Plasma membrane</b>
C4_01940W	<i>PHO89</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C1_09210C	<i>SGE11</i>	Integral component of membrane
C6_03840C	<i>SNQ2</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
C2_09900C	<i>TIM23</i>	Plasma membrane
CR_04200W	<i>YDJ1</i>	TRC complex
C2_08590W	<i>YWP1</i>	Anchored component of membrane
<b>Cytoplasm</b>		
C1_07710C	<i>ADE4</i>	Cytoplasm
C2_01270W	<i>CHA1</i>	Cytoplasm
C5_03640W	<i>DPH51</i>	Cytosol
C2_05100C	<i>ERF1</i>	Cytoplasmic stress granule; Cytosol
C6_02500C	<i>GCV1</i>	Cytoplasm
C1_08400C	<i>GCV2</i>	Cytosol
C1_10450W	<i>GLY1</i>	Cytosol
C1_09490C	<i>GUA1</i>	Cytoplasm
C2_06390C	<i>IMH3</i>	Cytoplasm
C6_02230W	<i>LSG1</i>	Cytosolic large ribosomal subunit
C4_04720W	<i>MTD1</i>	Cytosol
CR_06720W	<i>NMD3</i>	Cytosolic large ribosomal subunit
C5_00260W	<i>PRS1</i>	Cytosol
C1_03350C	<i>RLI1</i>	Cytosolic ribosome
C1_11040W	<i>RPL29</i>	Cytosolic large ribosomal subunit
<b>C1_06890C</b>	<b><i>RPL34B</i></b>	<b>Cytosolic large ribosomal subunit</b>
C1_11360W	<i>RPL37B</i>	Cytosolic large ribosomal subunit
C3_04680W	<i>RPP2B</i>	Cytosol
C6_00650C	<i>RPS13</i>	Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit
C3_04670C	<i>RPS15</i>	Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit
C1_01370C	<i>RPS21B</i>	Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit
C3_00090W	<i>RPS24</i>	Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit
CR_07630C	<i>RPS27</i>	Cytosol
C7_00710W	<i>RPS28B</i>	Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit
C3_04860W	<i>SFP1</i>	Cytoplasm
C2_03220C	<i>STP4</i>	Cytoplasm
C5_02490C	<i>TIF5</i>	Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit
C5_04570C	<i>URA7</i>	Cytoplasm
CR_04200W	<i>YDJ1</i>	Perinuclear region of cytoplasm

<b>Nucleus</b>		
C3_05160C	<i>DBP10</i>	Nucleolus
<b>CR_02530W</b>	<b><i>DBP2</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
C1_10030W	<i>DBP3</i>	Nucleolus
C5_03640W	<i>DPH51</i>	Nucleus
CR_09370W	<i>ELF1</i>	Nucleus
<b>C1_04130W</b>	<b><i>ERB1</i></b>	<b>Nucleoplasm; PeBoW complex</b>
C2_05100C	<i>ERF1</i>	Nucleus
C3_06850W	<i>FCR1</i>	Nucleus
C5_04750C	<i>HAS1</i>	Nucleolus; Nuclear envelope
C2_08000C	<i>KRE30</i>	Nucleus; Nucleoid
C6_02770W	<i>MRT4</i>	Nucleoplasm; Nucleolus
C4_03030C	<i>NAN1</i>	rDNA heterochromatin; RENT complex; t-UTP complex
C2_00140W	<i>NDT80</i>	Nuclear chromatin
<b>CR_05520W</b>	<b><i>NOC2</i></b>	<b>Nucleolus; Noc1p-Noc2p complex; Noc2p-Noc3p complex</b>
<b>C6_03640W</b>	<b><i>NOG2</i></b>	<b>Nucleolus; Nucleoplasm</b>
C4_06720W	<i>NOP1</i>	Nuclear chromosome; Cajal body
C7_04230W	<i>NRG1</i>	Nucleus
<b>C6_00920W</b>	<b><i>orf19.93</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
C5_00260W	<i>PRS1</i>	Nucleus
C2_07450C	<i>RCL1</i>	Nucleolus
C1_03350C	<i>RLI1</i>	Nucleus
C7_00570W	<i>RPA135</i>	DNA-directed RNA polymerase I complex
C3_00090W	<i>RPS24</i>	Nucleolus
<b>C1_12680W</b>	<b><i>RRB1</i></b>	<b>Nucleolus</b>
C2_08480W	<i>RRP8</i>	Nucleolus
C3_04860W	<i>SFP1</i>	Nucleus
C3_04380C	<i>SNU13</i>	Spliceosomal complex; U4/U6 x U5 tri-snRNP complex
C2_03220C	<i>STP4</i>	Nucleus
C1_02790W	<i>TIF34</i>	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 complex
C3_02130W	<i>UTP4</i>	t-UTP complex
<b>Ribosome</b>		
C3_05160C	<i>DBP10</i>	Preribosome, large subunit precursor
C1_10030W	<i>DBP3</i>	Preribosome, large subunit precursor
C2_08490W	<i>DSE1</i>	Pwp2p-containing subcomplex of 90S preribosome
C1_04130W	<i>ERB1</i>	Preribosome, large subunit precursor
C5_04750C	<i>HAS1</i>	Preribosome, large subunit precursor
C2_08000C	<i>KRE30</i>	Ribosome
<b>C6_02770W</b>	<b><i>MRT4</i></b>	<b>Ribosome; Preribosome, large subunit precursor</b>
C4_03030C	<i>NAN1</i>	90S preribosome
<b>CR_04360C</b>	<b><i>NHP2</i></b>	<b>Ribosome</b>
C6_03640W	<i>NOG2</i>	Preribosome, large subunit precursor
C4_06720W	<i>NOP1</i>	Ribosome; 90S preribosome
C6_00370C	<i>NOP5</i>	90S preribosome
C5_00260W	<i>PRS1</i>	Ribose phosphate diphosphokinase complex
C1_03350C	<i>RLI1</i>	Preribosome, large subunit precursor
C2_06810C	<i>RPL11</i>	Ribosome
C6_02070C	<i>RPL23A</i>	Ribosome
C4_04900W	<i>RPL30</i>	Ribosome
C5_04590C	<i>RPL43A</i>	Ribosome
C3_04680W	<i>RPP2B</i>	Ribosome
C2_08040C	<i>RPS10</i>	Ribosome
C6_00650C	<i>RPS13</i>	90S preribosome
C7_00960W	<i>RPS18</i>	Small ribosomal subunit
C1_06460C	<i>RPS22A</i>	Ribosome
CR_07630C	<i>RPS27</i>	Ribosome
CR_09950C	<i>SIK1</i>	90S preribosome
C1_02790W	<i>TIF34</i>	Multi-eIF complex
C5_02490C	<i>TIF5</i>	Multi-eIF complex
C3_02130W	<i>UTP4</i>	90S preribosome
C3_05160C	<i>DBP10</i>	Preribosome, large subunit precursor
<b>Mitochondrion</b>		
C2_08100W	<i>CPD1</i>	Mitochondrion

CR_02530W	<i>DBP2</i>	Mitochondrion
CR_09370W	<i>ELF1</i>	Mitochondrion
C1_08400C	<i>GCV2</i>	Mitochondrion; Glycine cleavage complex
C4_06760W	<i>GUT2</i>	Integral component of mitochondrial outer membrane; Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase complex
CR_05520W	<i>NOC2</i>	Mitochondrion
C6_00920W	<i>orf19.93</i>	Mitochondrial intermembrane space
C3_00090W	<i>RPS24</i>	Mitochondrion
C2_09900C	<i>TIM23</i>	Mitochondrial inner membrane presequence translocase complex; Integral component of mitochondrial inner membrane
<b>Cell wall/surface</b>		
CR_10110W	<i>CHT3</i>	Cell surface; Extracellular region
C2_08490W	<i>DSE1</i>	Fungal-type cell wall
C5_02080C	<i>HSP12</i>	Yeast-form cell wall; Hyphal cell wall
C4_06720W	<i>NOP1</i>	Cell surface
C2_08870C	<i>PIR1</i>	Yeast-form cell wall; Extracellular region
C6_02070C	<i>RPL23A</i>	Hyphal cell wall
C2_08040C	<i>RPS10</i>	Cell surface
C6_00650C	<i>RPS13</i>	Hyphal cell wall
C5_04110W	<i>SCW11</i>	Cell surface; Fungal-type cell wall; Extracellular region
C2_08590W	<i>YWP1</i>	Cell surface; Yeast-form cell wall; Hyphal cell wall; Extracellular region
<b>43S/48S preinitiation complex</b>		
C5_02490C	<i>TIF5</i>	Eukaryotic 48S preinitiation complex
C2_10710W	<i>TIF11</i>	Eukaryotic 43S preinitiation complex; Eukaryotic 48S preinitiation complex
C1_02790W	<i>TIF34</i>	Eukaryotic 43S preinitiation complex; Eukaryotic 48S preinitiation complex
<b>Small-subunit processome</b>		
C2_08490W	<i>DSE1</i>	Small-subunit processome
C4_03030C	<i>NAN1</i>	Small-subunit processome
C4_06720W	<i>NOP1</i>	Small-subunit processome
C6_00370C	<i>NOP5</i>	Small-subunit processome
CR_09950C	<i>SIK1</i>	Small-subunit processome
C3_04380C	<i>SNU13</i>	Small-subunit processome
C3_02130W	<i>UTP4</i>	Small-subunit processome
<b>Box C/D snoRNP complex</b>		
CR_04360C	<i>NHP2</i>	Box H/ACA snoRNP complex
C4_06720W	<i>NOP1</i>	Box C/D snoRNP complex
C6_00370C	<i>NOP5</i>	Box C/D snoRNP complex
CR_09950C	<i>SIK1</i>	Box C/D snoRNP complex
C3_04380C	<i>SNU13</i>	Box C/D snoRNP complex
<b>Vacuole</b>		
C4_01100C	<i>AGP2</i>	Fungal-type vacuole membrane
C4_05430C	<i>GAP5</i>	Vacuole
C6_00330C	<i>GNP1</i>	Vacuole
C1_13130C	<i>HIP1</i>	Vacuole
<b>Viral nucleocapsid</b>		
CR_04360C	<i>NHP2</i>	Viral nucleocapsid
C3_04380C	<i>SNU13</i>	Viral nucleocapsid
CR_04200W	<i>YDJ1</i>	Viral envelope
<b>Golgi apparatus</b>		
C2_08100W	<i>CPD1</i>	Golgi apparatus
C6_02230W	<i>LSG1</i>	<b>Golgi apparatus</b>
<b>Endoplasmic reticulum</b>		
C4_01100C	<i>AGP2</i>	Endoplasmic reticulum membrane
C6_02230W	<i>LSG1</i>	Endoplasmic reticulum
<b>Polysome</b>		
CR_09370W	<i>ELF1</i>	Polysome
<b>Intracellular region</b>		
C1_02840W	<i>PDE2</i>	Intracellular region
<b>Mating projection tip</b>		
C6_02230W	<i>LSG1</i>	Mating projection tip

---

**Not yet annotated to any cellular component**

---

C2_03520C	<i>ADAEC</i>
C6_02480W	<i>ADH7</i>
CR_06860C	<i>ARO10</i>
C1_10740C	<i>ASR1</i>
C3_07280C	<i>ENT4</i>
C2_10360C	<i>HEM3</i>
C4_02050W	<i>HGH1</i>
C4_02440C	<i>PGA38</i>
C1_07330W	<i>RME1</i>
C4_06390W	<i>SOU1</i>
C4_03370C	orf19.3364
C3_03460C	orf19.344
C2_05160C	orf19.3547
C5_01550C	orf19.4149
C1_10360C	orf19.4907
C1_11990W	orf19.5267
C7_01430C	orf19.6586

---

Up-to-date annotation of genes coding for proteins with previously unknown function is given in **bold** type (This study).

**Table 7 | Gene ontology (GO) annotations of the downregulated gene set in response to the supernatants derived from 4 strains of *Pseudomonas*, PAO1, CF144, CF177 and ΔQS.**

This Holcombe *et al.* (2010) dataset was re-analysed here and up-to-date GO annotations were obtained with Blast2Go. This table is summarised in the Figure 3A (red bars).

ID	Gene	GO – cellular component
<b>Plasma membrane</b>		
CR_07070C	<i>ALS3</i>	Plasma membrane; Anchored component of membrane
<b>CR_02910W</b>	<b><i>APG13</i></b>	<b>Extrinsic component of membrane</b>
C6_04210C	<i>ATM1</i>	Integral component of membrane
C1_05700W	<i>AUT7</i>	Extrinsic component of membrane
<b>C1_06520C</b>	<b><i>BPH1</i></b>	<b>Extrinsic component of membrane</b>
C2_03320W	<i>CHK1</i>	Membrane
C5_02460C	<i>ECM331</i>	Plasma membrane; Anchored component of membrane
C1_08590C	<i>ERG1</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane; Lipid particle
C6_00440C	<i>FET34</i>	Plasma membrane
C1_14130W	<i>FTR1</i>	High-affinity iron permease complex
C3_05580C	<i>GAP2</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C7_00280W	<i>HGT12</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C1_02110C	<i>HGT2</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C2_04940C	<i>ITR1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C2_07580W	<i>MAE1</i>	Integral component of membrane
C5_04930C	<i>MAL31</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C4_00430W	<i>MEP2</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C3_00650W	<i>NGT1</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
CR_02240C	<i>OPT2</i>	Plasma membrane
CR_02900W	<i>ScOPT2</i>	Plasma membrane
CR_02490W	<i>OPT4</i>	Plasma membrane
C4_03520C	<i>RBT1</i>	Anchored component of membrane
CR_06660W	<i>SEO1</i>	Integral component of membrane
C2_00680C	<i>SOD5</i>	Anchored component of membrane
<b>C2_06010W</b>	<b><i>SPO72</i></b>	<b>Extrinsic component of membrane</b>
C2_02860W	<i>SUR2</i>	Integral component of membrane
C5_03060C	<i>TNA1</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
<b>C3_06710W</b>	<b><i>VHC1</i></b>	<b>Integral component of vacuolar membrane</b>
CR_03270W	<i>VHT1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
<b>Cytoplasm</b>		
C4_02410C	<i>AHP1</i>	Cytosol
C4_05560C	<i>ARO9</i>	Cytoplasm
C1_05700W	<i>AUT7</i>	Cytosol
CR_10360C	<i>CTM1</i>	Cytosol
C1_04660W	<i>DUR1,2</i>	Cytoplasm
C6_03340C	<i>GLC3</i>	Cytoplasm
<b>C4_02990C</b>	<b><i>GST2</i></b>	<b>Cytoplasm</b>
CR_10100C	<i>INO1</i>	Cytoplasm
C1_12010C	<i>KIP4</i>	Cytoplasm
CR_04480C	<i>LAP3</i>	Cytoplasm
C7_00400W	<i>LEU2</i>	Cytosol
C4_05320W	<i>LYS1</i>	Cytoplasm
C3_06590W	<i>LYS9</i>	Cytosol
CR_10790W	<i>MAL2</i>	Cytoplasm
C3_02950C	<i>MET13</i>	Cytosol
C4_00200C	<i>MET15</i>	Cytoplasm
<b>C4_00150C</b>	<b><i>PEX5</i></b>	<b>Cytosol</b>
CR_02820W	<i>PGM2</i>	Cytosol
C2_07570W	<i>RNR22</i>	Cytosol; Ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase complex
C2_00680C	<i>SOD5</i>	Cytoplasm
CR_05720W	<i>TPS1</i>	Alpha, alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase complex (UDP-forming)
<b>CR_09010C</b>	<b><i>YHR112C</i></b>	<b>Cytoplasm</b>

<b>Nucleus</b>		
C4_05560C	<i>ARO9</i>	Nucleus
<b>C1_05700W</b>	<b><i>AUT7</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
C2_09940W	<i>GCN4</i>	Nucleoplasm; Nuclear chromatin; Transcription factor complex
<b>C2_06650C</b>	<b><i>PRP31</i></b>	<b>U4/U6 x U5 tri-snRNP complex</b>
<b>C4_02030W</b>	<b><i>RFX2</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
<b>C5_00980W</b>	<b><i>TRY3</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
C1_06280C	<i>UME6</i>	Nucleus
<b>CR_09930W</b>	<b><i>YDR124W</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
<b>CR_09010C</b>	<b><i>YHR112C</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
<b>Cell wall/surface</b>		
C4_02410C	<i>AHP1</i>	Yeast-form cell wall; Hyphal cell wall
CR_07070C	<i>ALS3</i>	Yeast-form cell wall; Hyphal cell wall; Cell surface
C4_03470C	<i>ECE1</i>	Hyphal cell wall
C5_02460C	<i>ECM331</i>	Fungal-type cell wall; Cell surface
C6_00440C	<i>FET34</i>	Cell surface
C1_14130W	<i>FTR1</i>	Cell surface
CR_10100C	<i>INO1</i>	Hyphal cell wall; Cell surface
<b>C3_01360C</b>	<b><i>IRO1</i></b>	<b>Cell</b>
C4_00200C	<i>MET15</i>	Hyphal cell wall
C4_03520C	<i>RBT1</i>	Cell wall
C2_00680C	<i>SOD5</i>	Yeast-form cell wall; Hyphal cell wall; Cell surface
<b>Extracellular region</b>		
CR_07070C	<i>ALS3</i>	Extracellular region
C5_02460C	<i>ECM331</i>	Extracellular region
CR_10790W	<i>MAL2</i>	Extracellular region
C4_03520C	<i>RBT1</i>	Extracellular region
C1_07030C	<i>RBT4</i>	Extracellular region
C2_00680C	<i>SOD5</i>	Extracellular region
<b>C7_00260C</b>	<b><i>YLR001C</i></b>	<b>Extracellular space</b>
<b>Mitochondrion</b>		
C6_04210C	<i>ATM1</i>	Mitochondrial inner membrane
<b>C4_04620C</b>	<b><i>CTN2</i></b>	<b>Mitochondrion</b>
<b>CR_01400W</b>	<b><i>LYS12</i></b>	<b>Mitochondrion</b>
C3_02950C	<i>MET13</i>	Mitochondrion
C2_08390W	<i>orf19.1433</i>	Mitochondrion
<b>C7_00260C</b>	<b><i>YLR001C</i></b>	<b>Mitochondrion</b>
<b>Vacuole</b>		
C4_02360W	<i>AMS1</i>	Fungal-type vacuole membrane
C1_05700W	<i>AUT7</i>	Fungal-type vacuole membrane
C6_00440C	<i>FET34</i>	Fungal-type vacuole membrane
C2_06880C	<i>PRB1</i>	Fungal-type vacuole
<b>C7_00260C</b>	<b><i>YLR001C</i></b>	<b>Fungal-type vacuole membrane</b>
<b>Endoplasmic reticulum</b>		
C1_06520C	<i>BPH1</i>	Endomembrane system
C1_08590C	<i>ERG1</i>	Endoplasmic reticulum membrane
<b>C4_06810C</b>	<b><i>SLY1</i></b>	<b>Endoplasmic reticulum; ER to Golgi transport vesicle</b>
C2_02860W	<i>SUR2</i>	Endoplasmic reticulum membrane
<b>Intracellular</b>		
C1_06520C	<i>BPH1</i>	Intracellular
C4_06480C	<i>CEK1</i>	Intracellular
C2_03320W	<i>CHK1</i>	Intracellular
<b>C5_00450C</b>	<b><i>IFG3</i></b>	<b>Intracellular</b>
<b>CR_07190W</b>	<b><i>RGD2</i></b>	<b>Intracellular</b>
<b>Autophagosome</b>		
CR_02910W	<i>APG13</i>	Pre-autophagosomal structure membrane
C1_05700W	<i>AUT7</i>	Autophagosome membrane; Pre-autophagosom; Cvt vesicle membrane
<b>C2_06010W</b>	<b><i>SPO72</i></b>	<b>Pre-autophagosomal structure</b>
<b>Peroxisome</b>		
C4_04620C	<i>CTN2</i>	Peroxisome
<b>C3_05360C</b>	<b><i>LON1</i></b>	<b>Peroxisomal matrix</b>
C4_00150C	<i>PEX5</i>	Peroxisomal membrane

<b>Golgi apparatus</b>		
C4_00430W	<i>MEP2</i>	Golgi apparatus
C4_06810C	<i>SLY1</i>	Golgi membrane
<b>Microtubule</b>		
C1_12010C	<i>KIP4</i>	Microtubule; Kinesin complex
<b>Vacuole</b>		
C3_05580C	<i>GAP2</i>	Vacuole
<b>Viral nucleocapsid</b>		
C2_06650C	<i>PRP31</i>	Viral nucleocapsid
<b>Endosome</b>		
C2_06010W	<i>SPO72</i>	Late endosome
<b>Glyoxysome</b>		
C1_04500W	<i>ICL1</i>	Glyoxysome
<b>Not yet annotated to any cellular component</b>		
CR_02070C	<i>ADH5</i>	
C4_06340W	<i>AGO1</i>	
C2_03120W	<i>AMO1</i>	
C3_01820W	<i>BGL98</i>	
CR_01930C	<i>BIO2</i>	
C2_02950W	<i>BNA3</i>	
C1_08170C	<i>BUL1</i>	
C5_02690W	<i>BZD99</i>	
C6_01070C	<i>CIP1</i>	
C3_04550C	<i>CMK1</i>	
C2_00690W	<i>EEP2</i>	
C4_03910W	<i>FGR28</i>	
C6_01650C	<i>FMP27</i>	
C3_06450W	<i>GLG2</i>	
C1_01360C	<i>GLG21</i>	
C6_00840W	<i>GPX2</i>	
C1_07520C	<i>IST2</i>	
C1_00170W	<i>LEU4</i>	
C6_03310W	<i>LPF39</i>	
C7_03470W	<i>LPF44</i>	
C1_02820W	<i>LYS2</i>	
C2_04460W	<i>LYS22</i>	
CR_07220C	<i>NDL1</i>	
C5_05190W	<i>PCL5</i>	
C4_01850C	<i>PDC12</i>	
C1_08950W	<i>PFK26</i>	
C6_03320W	<i>PHZ1</i>	
C1_13160W	<i>PSA2</i>	
C4_03940C	<i>PYC2</i>	
C6_03260W	<i>RNH11</i>	
C5_03930C	<i>SIA1</i>	
C4_00190W	<i>SMA2</i>	
C2_10690W	<i>TPS3</i>	
C7_00170W	<i>VPS70</i>	
CR_10570C	<i>YHB4</i>	
C1_01930W	<i>YIL024C</i>	
C2_02390W	orf19.1562	
C3_02330C	orf19.1611	
C6_04480C	orf19.2132	
C3_01020W	orf19.2506	
C1_02730W	orf19.2962	
C1_05440C	orf19.419	
C2_04400W	orf19.4513	
C4_01860C	orf19.4607	
C1_09310C	orf19.4791	
C1_09340C	orf19.4795	
C1_10060C	orf19.4873	
C1_13430C	orf19.4970	

C7_03280C	orf19.5125
CR_06570C	orf19.915

---

Annotation of genes coding for proteins with previously unknown function is given in **bold** type (This study).

**Table 8 | Gene ontology (GO) annotations of the upregulated gene set in response to the HSL-containing *Pseudomonas* supernatants.** This Holcombe *et al.* (2010) dataset was re-analysed here and up-to-date GO annotations were obtained with Blast2Go. This table is summarised in the Figure 3B (green bars).

ID	Gene	GO – cellular component
<b>Plasma membrane</b>		
C6_03700W	<i>ALS1</i>	Plasma membrane; Anchored component of membrane
C1_09150W	<i>AOX2</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
C4_04310W	<i>COX11</i>	Plasma membrane
C4_01160W	<i>CRD2</i>	Integral component of membrane
C2_02280W	<i>FMP39</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
C5_02790C	<i>GAP1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C5_03500W	<i>GAP6</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C2_02610C	<i>HGT20</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C3_02310W	<i>MEP1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C1_11870W	<i>MUP1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
CM_00310W	<i>NAD2</i>	Integral component of membrane
<b>C5_03800W</b>	<b>orf19.1114</b>	<b>Plasma membrane; Integral component of mitochondrial inner membrane</b>
<b>C1_08610C</b>	<b>orf19.409</b>	<b>Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane</b>
C4_04080C	<i>PGA31</i>	Anchored component of membrane
C3_03800W	<i>PTR22</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
C4_02890C	<i>QCR9</i>	Plasma membrane
C2_06470W	<i>RTA2</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane; Membrane raft
C2_06460W	<i>RTA3</i>	Integral component of membrane
CR_09170C	<i>SSU1</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
<b>Mitochondrion</b>		
C1_09150W	<i>AOX2</i>	Mitochondrion; Respiratory chain
C7_03380W	<i>CMC2</i>	Mitochondrial intermembrane space
C4_04310W	<i>COX11</i>	Mitochondrial inner membrane; Mitochondrial intermembrane space; Mitochondrial ribosome
C2_01180W	<i>COX17</i>	Mitochondrial intermembrane space
C2_02280W	<i>FMP39</i>	Mitochondrial membrane
C2_10240W	<i>GPD1</i>	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase complex
C6_02010C	<i>GPD2</i>	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase complex
CM_00310W	<i>NAD2</i>	Mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I
<b>C5_03800W</b>	<b>orf19.1114</b>	<b>Mitochondrial respiratory chain supercomplex</b>
C4_02890C	<i>QCR9</i>	Mitochondrial respiratory chain complex III
<b>Cell wall/surface</b>		
C6_03700W	<i>ALS1</i>	Yeast-form cell wall; Hyphal cell wall; Cell surface
C4_01160W	<i>CRD2</i>	Yeast-form cell wall
C5_02790C	<i>GAP1</i>	Fungal-type cell wall; Cell surface
C6_02010C	<i>GPD2</i>	Cell surface
C4_04080C	<i>PGA31</i>	Yeast-form cell wall; Cell surface
<b>Cytoplasm</b>		
CR_06950C	<i>ATX1</i>	Cytosol
<b>CR_02330C</b>	<b>KAR4</b>	<b>Cytoplasm</b>
<b>C3_07430W</b>	<b>orf19.6747</b>	<b>Cytosol</b>
C7_02810W	<i>PRX1</i>	Cytoplasm
<b>Nucleus</b>		
CR_06950C	<i>ATX1</i>	Nucleus
C7_02810W	<i>PRX1</i>	Nucleus
<b>C7_03380W</b>	<b>orf19.1336.2</b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
<b>Vacuole</b>		
C5_02790C	<i>GAP1</i>	Vacuole
C5_03500W	<i>GAP6</i>	Vacuole
<b>Extracellular region</b>		

C6_03700W	<i>ALS1</i>	Extracellular region
C4_04080C	<i>PGA31</i>	Extracellular region
<b>Exocyst</b>		
C4_04310W	<i>COX11</i>	Exocyst
<b>Not yet assigned to any cellular component</b>		
C6_02480W	<i>ADH7</i>	
C2_00340C	<i>ARO8</i>	
C1_04450C	<i>FMA1</i>	
C1_02980W	<i>GOR1</i>	
C2_02940W	<i>MET1</i>	
C6_01420C	<i>OYE23</i>	
CR_02580W	<i>PAN6</i>	
C1_05770C	<i>PRC3</i>	
C3_00320W	<i>RHR2</i>	
C2_02900W	orf19.5814	

Up-to-date annotation of genes coding for proteins with previously unknown function is given in **bold** type (This study).

**Table 9 | Gene ontology (GO) annotations of the downregulated gene set in response to the HSL-containing *Pseudomonas* supernatants.** This Holcombe *et al.* (2010) dataset was re-analysed here and up-to-date GO annotations were obtained with Blast2Go. This table is summarised in the Figure 3B (red bars).

ID	Gene	GO – cellular component
<b>Plasma membrane</b>		
C3_00920W	<i>ATO1</i>	Integral component of membrane
C6_00790C	<i>CTR1</i>	Plasma membrane; Integral component of membrane
CR_07290W	<i>FRE7</i>	Integral component of membrane
<b>CR_02210W</b>	<b><i>FRK1</i></b>	<b>Cell cortex</b>
C1_01980W	<i>HGT1</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C4_01070W	<i>HGT17</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
C4_03570W	<i>HWP1</i>	Anchored component of membrane
C4_04030W	<i>JEN2</i>	Integral component of plasma membrane
CR_01220W	<i>TNA12</i>	Integral component of membrane
<b>Nucleus</b>		
CR_09880W	<i>DEF1</i>	Nucleus
<b>C1_04040C</b>	<b><i>NOP9</i></b>	<b>Nucleolus</b>
CR_00310C	<i>RNT1</i>	Nucleus
<b>CR_03890W</b>	<b><i>WOR3</i></b>	<b>Nucleus</b>
C3_02640C	<i>ZCF1</i>	Nucleus
<b>Ribosome</b>		
C1_04040C	<i>NOP9</i>	90S preribosome; Preribosome, small subunit precursor
<b>α DNApol:primase complex</b>		
<b>C1_07490C</b>	<b><i>POL12</i></b>	<b>Alpha DNA polymerase:primase complex</b>
<b>Cell wall/surface</b>		
C4_03570W	<i>HWP1</i>	Cell wall
C2_01380W	<i>PLB4.5</i>	Cell surface
<b>Bud</b>		
<b>C2_05260W</b>	<b><i>BUD14</i></b>	<b>Cellular bud neck; Cellular bud tip; Incipient cellular bud site</b>
<b>Cytoplasm</b>		
C1_02120C	<i>SHA3</i>	Cytoplasm
<b>Exosome</b>		
C2_08550C	<i>MSU1</i>	Exosome (RNase complex)
<b>Fatty acid synthase complex</b>		
C3_04830C	<i>FAS2</i>	Fatty acid synthase complex
<b>Intracellular part</b>		
CR_10340W	<i>PTP3</i>	Intracellular part
<b>Mitochondrion</b>		
C3_04830C	<i>FAS2</i>	Mitochondrion
<b>Trans-Golgi network</b>		
C7_03480W	<i>YEL1</i>	Trans-Golgi network
<b>Not yet annotated to any cellular component</b>		
CR_00640W	<i>ACC1</i>	
C4_00960W	<i>PTC8</i>	
C6_02330W	orf19.3475	
C1_00190C	orf19.6084	

Up-to-date annotation of genes coding for proteins with previously unknown function is given in **bold** type (This study).

# Chapter 5

---

## General Discussion

---

## 5. General discussion

### 5.1 Introduction

In the final part, the main findings of this research are briefly summarised and integrated with the existing knowledge to describe the main conclusions. A detailed experimental plan and database update strategies are outlined for the progression of this project. Also, new insights in *C. albicans* biofilms and interspecies interaction are highlighted indicating future directions of the field. This chapter concludes with the recommendation for investigation of *C. albicans* biofilms rather than of the planktonic cells, focus on the polymicrobial communities instead of monocultures and suggestion of translational studies from *in vitro* to *in vivo* models.

### 5.2 Research result summary

Protein kinases (PK) and transcription factors (TF) mediate signal transduction and transcription of proteins involved in *C. albicans* biofilm development. We described 5 additional PKs, *VPS15*, *PKH3*, *PGA43*, *IME2* and *CEX1*, that could contribute to the efficient filamentation and robust biofilm development. To identify the 'Poor' biofilm former mutants we used different biofilm and morphology assays from those who had previously screened this PK collection (Blankenship *et al.* 2010; Fanning *et al.* 2013; de Castro *et al.* 2013; Morales *et al.* 2013). Filamentation is essential for biofilm development but our screens highlighted additional processes, such as vascular biosynthesis and ribosome biogenesis, that were also important for *C. albicans* biofilms. The discovery that the individual elements of the MAPK pathway were not essential for *Candida* biofilms was unexpected suggesting that in order to filament the MAPK mutants may exploit the functionally overlapping MAPK pathways. However, consistent with earlier publications of Holcombe *et al.* (2010) and McAlester *et al.* (2008), *P. aeruginosa* supernatants were shown to have 2 distinct effects on *C. albicans*: HSL-independent biofilm impairment and HSL-dependent filamentation inhibition. To further investigate TF-regulated genes in *Candida* we created a TFbsST database and found that one of the master biofilm regulators, Efg1p, is also implicated in yeast-hyphae switch. Efg1p binding site elements were additionally predicted to be conserved in *C. parapsilosis* hyphae-related genes (*RBT*). Currently, the TFbsST database is limited to some *Candida* species TFs but these findings increase our knowledge of *Candida* biofilms and inter-species interactions adding novel bioinformatics tools to answer interesting questions of, for example, *Candida* gene regulation mechanisms.

### 5.3 *Candida albicans* interaction with bacteria

This research was focused on the investigation of *C. albicans* – *P. aeruginosa* interaction but *C. albicans* is also known to communicate with Gram-positive bacteria in addition to *P. aeruginosa*, which is Gram-negative, and increase the health burden. Polymicrobial infections are hard to treat since they include various pathogens such as bacteria, yeast and viruses, requiring different treatments. These pathogens possess synergistic or antagonistic behaviour affecting the dynamics of their communities and the outcome of the therapies. The *Candida*-bacterial interaction can promote or prevent the infections in the human-host. For example, a bacterium *Streptococcus gordonii* promotes the adherence of *C. albicans* cells, filamentous growth of hyphae and *C. albicans* biofilm formation on the epithelial cells of the oral cavity (reviewed by Morales and Hogan 2010). *S. gordonii* attaches to the epithelial cell surface with its polypeptides promoting the adherence of *C. albicans*. In return, the presence of *C. albicans* decreases the oxygen to the preferred levels by *S. gordonii*. This leads to the increased growth of *S. gordonii* communities (reviewed by Morales and Hogan 2010). These conditions in the oral cavity favour the development of yeast-bacterial biofilms that are difficult to treat with the existing therapies.

The synergetic behaviour of *C. albicans* and another Gram-positive bacterium, *Staphylococcus aureus*, also ultimately leads to robust biofilm development, significantly increasing mortality rates in mice (Shirtliff *et al.* 2009; Harriott and Noverr 2009). In these mixed biofilms, *C. albicans* prostaglandin E2 (hormone-like fatty acid) stimulates the growth of *S. aureus* (Krause *et al.* 2015). Urinary tract infections are enhanced by the cooperation of *C. albicans* and *Escherichia coli* (Gram-negative), that increases the attachment ability of *C. albicans* on the surface of the epithelial cells (Levison and Pitsakis 1987). The lipopolysaccharides of *E. coli* further enhance the mortality rates of *C. albicans*-infected mice (Akagawa *et al.* 1995).

However, the human host can benefit from the antagonistic behaviour of *C. albicans* and *Lactobacillus*. Different *Lactobacillus* species that are normal residents of the intestinal and female reproductive tracts can prevent adherence of *C. albicans* on the surface of the epithelial cells (reviewed by Morales and Hogan 2010). They secrete surlactins that prevent the adherence of *C. albicans* and compete for the attachment on the cell surface. These data reflect the complexity of mixed infection and the inter-kingdom interaction. Hence, more emphasis should be given to the studies of the polymicrobial communities.

#### **5.4 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* interaction with fungi**

In this study we looked at the effects of *P. aeruginosa* on *C. albicans* but *P. aeruginosa* was also shown to affect other fungi. Conditions like CF and burn wounds involve polymicrobial infections with mixed bacterial and yeast communities. For example, the lungs of the CF patients are colonised by bacteria (mainly *P. aeruginosa*) and yeast including *C. albicans*, different *Aspergillus* and *Scedosporium* species and *Exophiala dermatitidis* (reviewed by Pihet *et al.* 2009). The study of the mixed cocultures indicated that *P. aeruginosa* can kill *Aspergillus fumigatus* conidia (Manavathu *et al.* 2014) and inhibit the growth of *Scedosporium aurantiacum* (Kaur *et al.* 2015). *P. aeruginosa* extracellular molecules can also inhibit *A. fumigatus* biofilm formation (Mowat *et al.* 2010) and its phenazines can manipulate *A. fumigatus* iron homeostasis (Briard *et al.* 2015). In contrast, *P. aeruginosa* volatiles stimulate the growth of *A. fumigatus* colonies on minimal media (Briard *et al.* 2016). The interaction between *P. aeruginosa* and *E. dermatitidis* (black yeast) remains unclear. These interactions highlight the importance of *in vivo* models that can shed more light to the mixed infections.

#### **5.5 *In vivo* models for the investigation of fungal biofilms**

We investigated the *C. albicans* biofilms and inter-kingdom cross-talk highlighting several aspects that are important for the biofilm formation *in vitro*. The majority of the researchers study fungal biofilms and yeast-bacterial interaction *in vitro*. The wealth of knowledge generated from the *in vitro* analyses can be employed to clarify the microbial interaction and biofilm development *in vivo*. Plethora of *in vivo* models is utilised for the investigation of fungal biofilms that significantly contribute to the knowledge of biofilm physiology and microbial interaction with the host. The *in vivo* biofilm development is significantly affected by the host factors including the host antibodies, nutrient availability, flow of the liquids (e.g. blood, urine) and the substrate properties. Although biofilms are known to be developed by several medically important fungi, *Aspergillus*, *Pneumocystis*, *Blastomyces*, *Zygomycetes*, *Trichosporon*, *Cryptococcus*, *Fusarium* and *Malassezia*, *Candida* biofilms are the mostly studied since *C. albicans* is a model pathogen for the investigation of the fungal biofilm infections (Reviewed by Nett and Andes 2016).

Many *in vivo* models are developed for the study of the *Candida* biofilm-related infections but the most popular is a central venous catheter model that is adopted for utilisation in a mouse (Lazzell *et al.* 2009), a rat (Andes *et al.* 2004) and a rabbit (Schinabeck *et al.* 2004). This model involves the insertion of a vascular catheter employing the surgical procedures

and allows the assessment of antifungal influence on the biofilm growth following systemic administration of a drug. These models significantly contributed into the establishment of the multi-drug resistance phenomenon *in vivo* directing the research towards the search for more effective therapies. Another, subcutaneous implant model in a mouse (Zumbuehl *et al.* 2007) and a rat (Riccova *et al.* 2010), was designed using amphogel, hydrogel and amphotericin B-containing disks, inoculated with *C. albicans* and implanted subcutaneously. Employing this model, Zumbuehl *et al.* (2007) showed that the hydrogel of this antifungal is suitable for the prevention of device-related infections due to its long-term efficiency.

To investigate denture infections that are common within the denture-wearers, a rat denture stomatitis model with a novel intraoral system was developed by Johnson *et al.* (2012). The previous *Macaca* monkey models contributed into the knowledge of host response to denture biofilms but the less costly rat models were found to be more useful for the drug efficacy studies. Additional to the animal models, a human dental plaque model is used for more realistic studies of the oral communities. To study the oral flora, disks made of different materials are inserted in the removable intrabuccal splints of volunteers and after removal of the discs bearing oral microbial communities are analysed by the researchers (Rimondini *et al.* 1997; Scarano *et al.* 2004).

To study oropharyngeal and vaginal candidiasis the mouse oral (Dongari-Bagtzoglou *et al.* 2009) and vaginal mucosal models were developed respectively (Harriott *et al.* 2010). These models revealed the complexity of the oral biofilms that involved bacteria, neutrophils of host innate immune system (WBC) and keratin that protects epithelial cells from damage/stress (Dongari-Bagtzoglou *et al.* 2009). A vaginal candidiasis model however, showed that vaginal biofilms and those developed on abiotic surfaces share regulation factors (Harriott *et al.* 2010).

The clinical scenario of candiduria was characterised using a mouse urinary catheter model (Wang and Fries 2011). This model employs an insertion of a catheter with a guide wire into the bladder through the urethra of a female mouse. To investigate the candiduria and other catheter-related infections, this animal is infected with *C. albicans* intravesicularly. The occurred infection is rapidly detectable persisting up to a month and closely resembles the *Candida* biofilm formation environment in the patients (Wang and Fries 2011). Thus, this model can also be used for *C. albicans* biofilm-related studies in the future.

Clearly, animal models of biofilm-associated infections are beneficial for pathogenesis and drug discovery studies. However, more *in vivo* models are required to study the biofilms of other clinically relevant niches, for instance of (burn) wounds.

#### **5.5.1 *In vivo* models for the investigation of bacterial biofilms**

This project was mainly focused on fungal biofilms but bacterial biofilms also cause serious infections. Diverse *in vivo* models are developed to mimic the bacterial biofilm infections addressing important therapeutic questions of bacterial infections. *In vivo* biofilm infection models include the rat and mouse CF models for the investigation of the lung microbiome (Keiser and Engelhardt 2011), a murine cystitis (bladder infection) model for the study of bacteria inoculated in the bladder (Justice *et al.* 2004), an excisional wound model (mice) for the *S. aureus* infection in the cut wounds (Akiyama *et al.* 1996) and a rabbit model for the infective endocarditis where a high bacterial concentration is injected intravenously (Xiong *et al.* 2006).

In this research, fungal biofilms formed on abiotic surface were explored in great detail but bacterial biofilms can also be found on abiotic surfaces. The *in vivo* device-related infection models are common for the investigation of the bacterial biofilms developed on medical devices. The most popular are the rat vascular catheter models that were developed with the utilisation of the plastic catheter inserted in the vein of the rat (Ebert *et al.* 2011). Additionally, many urinary catheter models were described in mice, rats and rabbits in order to study the diverse aspects of the catheter-related infections. For example, using the urethral infection model Cirioni *et al.* (2011) examined the effects of the antibacterials on the infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* (Cirioni *et al.* 2011) and Allison *et al.* (2011) described the eradication of the bacterial persister cells via aminoglycoside therapy that inhibits protein synthesis (Allison *et al.* 2011). The orthopaedic and dental implant models also contribute into the understanding of the bacterial biofilms. To investigate the fungal biofilms, these models can be modified by incorporating the aspects of fungal infection and biofilms.

### **5.6 Future directions for the progression of this project**

#### **5.6.1 *Candida albicans* biofilm studies using 'Poor' biofilm former protein kinase mutants**

Based on our discussion, the future research should be directed towards the detailed investigation of *C. albicans* biofilms in addition to its planktonic cells. The results of this Ph.D. project provide useful lead towards different directions for further research. Many questions arise in relation to the PKs that were firstly assigned to *C. albicans* biofilm

formation and filamentation in this study (Chapter 2). Hence, for the progression of this work, the mutants disrupted in these PK coding genes can be studied in detail. More specifically, 5 genes *VPS15*, *PKH3*, *PGA43*, *IME2* and *CEX1* disrupted in the mutants firstly associated with poor biofilm formation and impaired filamentous growth in this study can be followed up for further clarification of their role in *C. albicans* (Chapter 2). Vps15p, involved in vacuolar protein sorting and Pkh3p, required for PKC activity and cell-substrate adherence (Fanning *et al.* 2012), were clustered together in the protein-protein interaction networks (Chapter 2). To clarify their association, vacuolar morphology of the mutants disrupted in the genes coding for these proteins can be examined microscopically and their cell wall proteome can be determined with the spectrometry. Pga43p is probably required for cell wall integrity (Moreno-Ruiz *et al.* 2009) and can additionally be included in these studies. Apart from their role in *C. albicans* biofilm formation and filamentation, *IME2* and *CEX1* are not yet confidently assigned to any specific function. However, mutant disrupted in *IME2* is hypersensitive to amphotericin B (Xu *et al.* 2007) and *CEX1* is largely unexplored. Hence, their sensitivity to diverse antifungals can be determined using azoles, polyenes and echinocandins. In order to clarify the reason behind the poor biofilm and filamentation phenotypes of these mutants, their stress response under different osmotic and oxidative stress conditions can be explored in addition to the virulence in animal models *in vivo*. This knowledge will enable to design more effective antifungal therapies.

### **5.6.2 *Candida albicans* – *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* interaction studies *in vivo***

As the most infections are polymicrobial, the future studies should definitely focus on both physical and signal-mediated interaction between the microbes of the polymicrobial communities. The *in vivo* animal models including 2 pathogens are highly desirable because they can highlight more details of the infections (Lindsay and Hogan 2014). For example, our evidence suggests that *in vitro*, *P. aeruginosa* can significantly affect *C. albicans* and filamentous growth by its secreted molecules (Chapter 3). *In vivo* studies of their behaviour in animal models coinfecting with both *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* could further clarify the communication of these pathogens. To explore other elements involved in their communication the host needs to be included in the future experiments. The ultimate goal is to exploit this knowledge and devise virulence-limiting methods in order to benefit the infected patients.

### 5.6.3 *In vitro* validation of predicted *in silico* analyses

*In silico* studies of a master transcriptional regulator Efg1p, predicting its important role in yeast-hyphae switch as well as in *C. albicans* – *P. aeruginosa* interaction (Chapter 4), can be validated via *in vitro* analyses. To validate the *in silico* analysis of Efg1p across the *RBT* family gene promoter sequences (Chapter 4), *RBT* gene expression analysis can be conducted *in vitro*. Gene expression of *RBT1*, *RBT2*, *RBT4* and *RBT6* can be quantified in the *EFG1* mutant grown at 37°C in YNB<sub>NP</sub> (filament-inducing medium) with RTqPCR including *EFG1* mutant grown at 30°C in YNB (non-filament-inducing medium) as a control. The expression of the *RBT* genes is expected to be decreased in the *EFG1* mutant grown at 37°C in YNB<sub>NP</sub> since Holcombe *et al.* (2010) have shown that both *RBT1* and *RBT4* were upregulated during filamentation of wild-type *C. albicans* and severely downregulated in the yeast conditions.

### 5.6.4 Updating and maintenance of the TFbsST database

A TFbsST database, developed here (Chapter 4), represents an important bioinformatics tool for *in silico* analysis of *C. albicans* promoter sequences and should be regularly updated in the framework of the future projects. To ensure operational efficiency, the TFbsST database should be updated regularly by populating the existing lists with more functionalities, TFs, experimentally tested motifs and *Candida*/non-*Candida* species. One of the functionalities that can be considered is an additional option for the user-defined motif that can be used to screen the promoter sequences. Constantly increasing lists of verified TFs and their motifs are good source of data and will need to be captured in the next versions of the TFbsST database. To accelerate *Candida* research, emerging pathogenic *Candida* species including *C. tropicalis* and *C. krusei*, need to be added to the TFbsST database list of organisms. An addition of an industrial yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus* will make the TFbsST database useful bioinformatics tool for the remaining students in Dr. Morrissey's laboratory, who currently study different aspects of *K. marxianus*.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to honestly thank every person, institution and organisation that contributed into the successful completion of this project. I will start with my supervisor, Dr. John Morrissey, who gave me the opportunity to work in his laboratory. I worked on a very interesting topic, otherwise it would be difficult to spend so much time on XTT biofilm assays (2 screens of ~200 mutants) and PCR validations (with a published primer that did not work, for me), but I mastered important lab techniques. These 3 years of research were additionally challenging with the compulsory modules (>70 credits), demonstrations and invigilations, not to mention maternity. However, John was considerably flexible with my timetable, probably because he had noticed my hard-working attitude. He was right, in order to complete this project in 3 years I planned ahead systematically, worked overtime and during the weekends and holidays. All this time, John was very supportive in everything from the confirmation of an expensive reagent order (e.g. XTT powder) to stimulation with the spicy biological questions to think of for the Viva. Our frequent meetings additionally accelerated the progress of this work. I appreciated his honest feedback on my critical thinking : ) and the fact that he replied to my late night e-mails even when he was on holidays, saving a lot of my time! I have learned from John an optimistic way of thinking, seeing the things from different optical angles, which was impressive! He was very patient waiting for initiatives and good research ideas that I only managed on my last year of the Ph.D. probably because during the previous 2 years I was practically sleepless (due to the baby at night and research – by day) literally experiencing a ‘brain repression’ : ). However, my ‘Eureka’ moment came with the TFbsST website and I really enjoyed the last part of this research. Lastly, I am very thankful to John for the 3 month extension of the stipend that allowed my daughter and me to escape from the financial difficulties.

Many thanks to my Ph.D. course coordinators, Dr. Kelly Dean and Dr. Maryanne Donovan, who arranged MolCell modules, workshops, some conferences and the related travel/accommodation. I express my great gratitude to our School Manager Ms. Hilda Bohane for her support in regards to the paper work throughout my Ph.D. and Ms. Aine Murphy for reading parts of this Thesis. Thanks to Prof. Aaron Mitchell for helpful comments and advice in molecular validation of the PK mutants using a cross validation approach and the Higher Education Authority (HEA) for funding our project under the PRTL15 Structured Ph.D. programme “Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms underlying Inflammatory Processes”. I would also like to thank my internal and external examiners, Dr.

David Clarke and Dr. Donna MacCallum respectively, for reading my Thesis and for objective evaluation of this work.

Next, I want to thank all the staff and students of the School of Microbiology, UCC, who directly or indirectly affected this research with small hints that made a huge difference. I will start with the members of the Lab 441, Javier Varela and Loughlin Gethins. Javier provided his molecular lights and protocols generously after he came to the lab, right in the start of my molecular experiments. He significantly helped during the initiation of molecular experiments including DNA and RNA isolation, PCR, RTqPCR, transformations and preparation of the competent cells. I also thank him for the initial Blast2Go annotations, for the retrieval of protein sequences and for listening to my problems patiently. Javier stands out with his good and friendly nature (he is Latin!) arranging the social events of the lab that I rarely managed to attend due to the parental responsibilities. Loughlin was a quiet lab mate, he made me realize that I need to label the distinct sets of PCR tubes differently in case they are mixed by the colleagues. Also, I want to thank Dr. Jerry Reen (BIOMERIT – Lab 442) for his help during the first period of my Ph.D. research. Jerry had contributed in the clarification of bacterial supernatant collection and dilution processes, lent me the multichannel pipette that I used for all the XTT experiments and had expressed a genuine interest in this research. Eoin Burke gave me the tour around the School of Microbiology for the initial orientation and introduced me to culture media preparation procedures. Karen McCarthy (Lab 403) thanks for your help in the initial setting up and operation of the 96-well plate reader. Maire O’Dwyer and John O’Callaghan always ordered the different reagents ‘ASAP’. Also, I would like to express my gratitude to Paddy O’Reilly for excellent technical support in lyophilisation of bacterial supernatants, general discussions and his smiles. I was surprised that he remembered my name from the first time I was introduced to him! Dan Walsh used to solve general IT and printing issues!

Additionally, I want to thank my colleagues who later became friends, for their friendship and psychological support. I deeply appreciate the useful suggestions of Dr. Klaudia Sikora, Dr. Panos Lehouritis, Dr. Liam Loftus and Mr. John McSweeney in relation to our first paper. Also, many thanks to Dr. Sol Schwartzman for her valuable help in Python scripts and Emily Power for setting up the TFbsST website. Ian O’Neill, Alicia Campion, Ioanna Tzani and Stephanie Davies thank you for being friendly course mates. Ian additionally showed the functionalities of the Confocal/Laser Scanning Microscope, which was quite complex. Also, I

thank all the members of Prof. Paul O'Toole's Labs 338-339 for being excellent lunch companions.

Finally, I dedicate this Thesis to my family members who generously provided their moral support, material funds and obvious respect to my choices through out all the period of my studies. I am very, very touched with the attitude of my parents towards my Ph.D. research and with their invaluable help in raising my child. I could not imagine how patient you can be, minding Arli all day, until late, during the weekends and holiday, while I was away for a conference and when I just needed to sleep. I wish all the girls with children could have this kind of support so as they can pursue a better career. I thank my brother, Nick, for being a good uncle for Arli and for setting up a Python environment for my *in silico* analysis. My sister, Natalie, thanks for trying to teach Chemical Engineering to Arli and for feeding her with healthy food during the holidays. Stratos, always gave tough critiques and partially covered our holidays. Finally, my warmest thanks go to my lovely daughter, Arli, for being the strongest and sweetest motivation!

...

LFLFLFLFLFLFLFLFL

## Bibliography

Akagawa G, Abe S & Yamaguchi H (1995) Mortality of *Candida albicans*-infected mice is facilitated by superinfection of *Escherichia coli* or administration of its lipopolysaccharide. *J Infect Dis* 171: 1539-1544.

Akiyama H, Kanzaki H, Tada J & Arata J (1996) *Staphylococcus aureus* infection on cut wounds in the mouse skin: experimental *Staphylococcal botryomycosis*. *J Dermatol Sci* 11: 234-238.

Albano MM & Schmitt JA (1973) Pathogenicity in mice of strains of *Candida albicans* (Robin) Berk. isolated from burn patients. *Mycopathol Mycol Appl* 49: 283-288.

Alem MA, Oteef MD, Flowers TH & Douglas LJ (2006) Production of tyrosol by *Candida albicans* biofilms and its role in quorum sensing and biofilm development. *Eukaryot Cell* 5: 1770-1779.

Allison KR, Brynildsen MP & Collins JJ (2011) Metabolite-enabled eradication of bacterial persisters by aminoglycosides. *Nature* 473: 216-220.

Alonso-Monge R, Navarro-Garcia F, Roman E, Negredo AI, Eisman B, Nombela C & Pla J (2003) The Hog1 mitogen-activated protein kinase is essential in the oxidative stress response and chlamyospore formation in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 2: 351-361.

Alonso-Monge R, Navarro-Garcia F, Molero G, Diez-Orejas R, Gustin M, Pla J, Sanchez M & Nombela C (1999) Role of the mitogen-activated protein kinase Hog1p in morphogenesis and virulence of *Candida albicans*. *J Bacteriol* 181: 3058-3068.

Alves-Silva JM, Zuzarte M, Goncalves MJ, Cavaleiro C, Cruz MT, Cardoso SM & Salgueiro L (2016) New claims for wild carrot (*Daucus carota* subsp. *carota*) essential oil. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2016: 9045196 doi: 10.1155/2016/9045196.

Andes D, Nett J, Oschel P, Albrecht R, Marchillo K & Pitula A (2004) Development and characterization of an *in vivo* central venous catheter *Candida albicans* biofilm model. *Infect Immun* 72: 6023-6031.

Argimon S, Wishart JA, Leng R, Macaskill S, Mavor A, Alexandris T, Nicholls S, Knight AW, Enjalbert B, Walmsley R, Odds FC, Gow NA & Brown AJ (2007) Developmental regulation of

an adhesin gene during cellular morphogenesis in the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. Eukaryot Cell 6: 682-692.

Arnaud MB, Costanzo MC, Skrzypek MS, Binkley G, Lane C, Miyasato SR & Sherlock G (2005) The *Candida* Genome Database (CGD), a community resource for *Candida albicans* gene and protein information. Nucleic Acids Res 33: D358-363.

Askew C, Sellam A, Epp E, Mallick J, Hogues H, Mullick A, Nantel A & Whiteway M (2011) The zinc cluster transcription factor Ahr1p directs Mcm1p regulation of *Candida albicans* adhesion. Mol Microbiol 79: 940-953.

Bachewich C, Nantel A & Whiteway M (2005) Cell cycle arrest during S or M phase generates polarized growth via distinct signals in *Candida albicans*. Mol Microbiol 57: 942-959.

Baek YU, Li M & Davis DA (2008) *Candida albicans* ferric reductases are differentially regulated in response to distinct forms of iron limitation by the Rim101 and CBF transcription factors. Eukaryot Cell 7: 1168-1179.

Bahnan W, Koussa J, Younes S, Abi Rizk M, Khalil B, El Sitt S, Hanna S, El-Sibai M & Khalaf RA (2012) Deletion of the *Candida albicans* *PIR32* results in increased virulence, stress response, and upregulation of cell wall chitin deposition. Mycopathologia 174: 107-119.

Baker KL & Coe LM (1993) Growing up with a chronic condition: transition to young adulthood for the individual with cystic fibrosis. Holist Nurs Pract 8: 8-15.

Baker CR, Tuch BB & Johnson AD (2011) Extensive DNA-binding specificity divergence of a conserved transcription regulator. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 7493-7498.

Bandara HM, Lam OL, Watt RM, Jin LJ & Samaranayake LP (2010a) Bacterial lipopolysaccharides variably modulate *in vitro* biofilm formation of *Candida* species. J Med Microbiol 59: 1225-1234.

Bandara HM, Yau JY, Watt RM, Jin LJ & Samaranayake LP (2010b) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* inhibits *in-vitro* *Candida* biofilm development. BMC Microbiol 10: 125.

Bandara HM, BP KC, Watt RM, Jin LJ & Samaranayake LP (2013) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lipopolysaccharide inhibits *Candida albicans* hyphae formation and alters gene expression during biofilm development. Mol Oral Microbiol 28: 54-69.

Banerjee D, Martin N, Nandi S, Shukla S, Dominguez A, Mukhopadhyay G & Prasad R (2007) A genome-wide steroid response study of the major human fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Mycopathologia* 164: 1-17.

Banner EA (1974) Vaginitis. *Med Clin North Am* 58: 759-768.

Barelle CJ, Bohula EA, Kron SJ, Wessels D, Soll DR, Schafer A, Brown AJ & Gow NA (2003) Asynchronous cell cycle and asymmetric vacuolar inheritance in true hyphae of *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 2: 398-410.

Bassilana M, Blyth J & Arkowitz RA (2003) Cdc24, the GDP-GTP exchange factor for Cdc42, is required for invasive hyphal growth of *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 2: 9-18.

Bauernfeind A, Bertele RM, Harms K, Horl G, Jungwirth R, Petermuller C, Przyklenk B & Weisslein-Pfister C (1987) Qualitative and quantitative microbiological analysis of sputa of 102 patients with cystic fibrosis. *Infection* 15: 270-277.

Beatson SA, Whitchurch CB, Semmler AB & Mattick JS (2002) Quorum sensing is not required for twitching motility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* 184: 3598-3604.

Bennett RJ & Johnson AD (2005) Mating in *Candida albicans* and the search for a sexual cycle. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 59: 233-255.

Bennett RJ & Johnson AD (2006) The role of nutrient regulation and the Gpa2 protein in the mating pheromone response of *C. albicans*. *Mol Microbiol* 62: 100-119.

Bennett RJ, Uhl MA, Miller MG & Johnson AD (2003) Identification and characterization of a *Candida albicans* mating pheromone. *Mol Cell Biol* 23: 8189-8201.

Bensen ES, Filler SG & Berman J (2002) A forkhead transcription factor is important for true hyphal as well as yeast morphogenesis in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 1: 787-798.

Berman J (2016) Ploidy plasticity: a rapid and reversible strategy for adaptation to stress. *FEMS Yeast Res* doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fow020.

Berman J & Sudbery PE (2002) *Candida albicans*: a molecular revolution built on lessons from budding yeast. *Nat Rev Genet* 3: 918-930.

Bernardo SM, Khaliq Z, Kot J, Jones JK & Lee SA (2008) *Candida albicans* VPS1 contributes to protease secretion, filamentation, and biofilm formation. *Fungal Genet Biol* 45: 861-877.

Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PO, Jakobsen TH, Phipps R, Nielsen AK, Rybtke MT, Tolker-Nielsen T, Givskov M, Høiby N & Ciofu O (2010) Quorum sensing and virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during lung infection of cystic fibrosis patients. PLoS One 5: e101115.

Blankenship JR, Fanning S, Hamaker JJ & Mitchell AP (2010) An extensive circuitry for cell wall regulation in *Candida albicans*. PLoS Pathog 6: e1000752.

Bockmuhl DP, Krishnamurthy S, Gerads M, Sonneborn A & Ernst JF (2001) Distinct and redundant roles of the two protein kinase A isoforms Tpk1p and Tpk2p in morphogenesis and growth of *Candida albicans*. Mol Microbiol 42: 1243-1257.

Bogavac M, Karaman M, Janjusevic L, Sudji J, Radovanovic B, Novakovic Z, Simeunovic J & Bozin B (2015) Alternative treatment of vaginal infections - *in vitro* antimicrobial and toxic effects of *Coriandrum sativum* L. and *Thymus vulgaris* L. essential oils. J Appl Microbiol 119: 697-710.

Bonhomme J, Chauvel M, Goyard S, Roux P, Rossignol T & d'Enfert C (2011) Contribution of the glycolytic flux and hypoxia adaptation to efficient biofilm formation by *Candida albicans*. Mol Microbiol 80: 995-1013.

Brajtburg J, Elberg S, Medoff G & Kobayashi GS (1981) Increase in colony-forming units of *Candida albicans* after treatment with polyene antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 19: 199-200.

Braun PC (2005) The effect of farnesol on amino acid incorporation by a wild-type and cell-wall variant strain of *Candida albicans*. Can J Microbiol 51: 715-718.

Braun BR & Johnson AD (1997) Control of filament formation in *Candida albicans* by the transcriptional repressor *TUP1*. Science 277: 105-109.

Briard B, Heddergott C & Latge JP (2016) Volatile compounds emitted by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* stimulate growth of the fungal pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. MBio doi: 10.1128/mBio.00219-16.

Briard B, Bomme P, Lechner BE, Mislin GL, Lair V, Prevost MC, Latge JP, Haas H & Beauvais A (2015) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* manipulates redox and iron homeostasis of its microbiota partner *Aspergillus fumigatus* via phenazines. Sci Rep 5: 8220.

Bruce CR, Smith DA, Rodgers D, da Silva Dantas A, MacCallum DM, Morgan BA & Quinn J (2011) Identification of a novel response regulator, Crr1, that is required for hydrogen peroxide resistance in *Candida albicans*. PLoS One 6: e27979.

Bruckmann A, Kunkel W, Hartl A, Wetzker R & Eck R (2000) A phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase of *Candida albicans* influences adhesion, filamentous growth and virulence. Microbiology 146: 2755-2764.

Bruno VM & Mitchell AP (2005) Regulation of azole drug susceptibility by *Candida albicans* protein kinase CK2. Mol Microbiol 56: 559-573.

Burns JL, Van Dalen JM, Shawar RM, Otto KL, Garber RL, Quan JM, Montgomery AB, Albers GM, Ramsey BW & Smith AL (1999) Effect of chronic intermittent administration of inhaled tobramycin on respiratory microbial flora in patients with cystic fibrosis. J Infect Dis 179: 1190-1196.

Buschart A, Gremmer K, El-Mowafy M, van den Heuvel J, Mueller PP & Bilitewski U (2012) A novel functional assay for fungal histidine kinases group III reveals the role of HAMP domains for fungicide sensitivity. J Biotechnol 157: 268-277.

Cabezon V, Llama-Palacios A, Nombela C, Monteoliva L & Gil C (2009) Analysis of *Candida albicans* plasma membrane proteome. Proteomics 9: 4770-4786.

Calderone RA & Fonzi WA (2001) Virulence factors of *Candida albicans*. Trends Microbiol 9: 327-335.

Calderone RA, Rotondo MF & Sande MA (1978) *Candida albicans* endocarditis: ultrastructural studies of vegetation formation. Infect Immun 20: 279-289.

Cao YY, Cao YB, Xu Z, Ying K, Li Y, Xie Y, Zhu ZY, Chen WS & Jiang YY (2005) cDNA microarray analysis of differential gene expression in *Candida albicans* biofilm exposed to farnesol. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49: 584-589.

Carlisle PL & Kadosh D (2013) A genome-wide transcriptional analysis of morphology determination in *Candida albicans*. Mol Biol Cell 24: 246-260.

Cassola A, Parrot M, Silberstein S, Magee BB, Passeron S, Giasson L & Cantore ML (2004) *Candida albicans* lacking the gene encoding the regulatory subunit of protein kinase A displays a defect in hyphal formation and an altered localization of the catalytic subunit. Eukaryot Cell 3: 190-199.

CGD (2010) Description lines for gene products, based on orthologs and predicted Gene Ontology (GO) annotations.

Chambers D, Scott F, Bangur R, Davies R, Lim A, Walters S, Smith G, Pitt T, Stableforth D & Honeybourne D (2005) Factors associated with infection by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adult cystic fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* 26: 651-656.

Chandra J, Kuhn DM, Mukherjee PK, Hoyer LL, McCormick T & Ghannoum MA (2001) Biofilm formation by the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*: development, architecture, and drug resistance. *J Bacteriol* 183: 5385-5394.

Chang DT, Huang CY, Wu CY & Wu WS (2011) YPA: an integrated repository of promoter features in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 39: D647-652.

Chauhan N, Inglis D, Roman E, Pla J, Li D, Calera JA & Calderone R (2003) *Candida albicans* response regulator gene *SSK1* regulates a subset of genes whose functions are associated with cell wall biosynthesis and adaptation to oxidative stress. *Eukaryot Cell* 2: 1018-1024.

Chen J, Chen J, Lane S & Liu H (2002) A conserved mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway is required for mating in *Candida albicans*. *Mol Microbiol* 46: 1335-1344.

Chen H, Fujita M, Feng Q, Clardy J & Fink GR (2004) Tyrosol is a quorum-sensing molecule in *Candida albicans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 5048-5052.

Chen AI, Dolben EF, Okegbe C, Harty CE, Golub Y, Thao S, Ha DG, Willger SD, O'Toole GA, Harwood CS, Dietrich LE & Hogan DA (2014) *Candida albicans* ethanol stimulates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* WspR-controlled biofilm formation as part of a cyclic relationship involving phenazines. *PLoS Pathog* 10: e1004480.

Cheng S, Clancy CJ, Checkley MA, Handfield M, Hillman JD, Progulsk-Fox A, Lewin AS, Fidel PL & Nguyen MH (2003) Identification of *Candida albicans* genes induced during thrush offers insight into pathogenesis. *Mol Microbiol* 48: 1275-1288.

Chiang LY, Sheppard DC, Bruno VM, Mitchell AP, Edwards JE Jr & Filler SG (2007) *Candida albicans* protein kinase CK2 governs virulence during oropharyngeal candidiasis. *Cell Microbiol* 9: 233-245.

Chotirmall SH, Greene CM & McElvaney NG (2010) *Candida* species in cystic fibrosis: A road less travelled. *Med Mycol* 48 Suppl 1: S114-124.

Cirioni O, Ghiselli R, Silvestri C, Minardi D, Gabrielli E, Orlando F, Rimini M, Brescini L, Muzzonigro G, Guerrieri M & Giacometti A (2011) Effect of the combination of clarithromycin and amikacin on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm in an animal model of ureteral stent infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 66: 1318-1323.

Clark KL, Feldmann PJ, Dignard D, Larocque R, Brown AJ, Lee MG, Thomas DY & Whiteway M (1995) Constitutive activation of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mating response pathway by a MAP kinase kinase from *Candida albicans*. *Mol Gen Genet* 249: 609-621.

Cloutier M, Castilla R, Bolduc N, Zelada A, Martineau P, Bouillon M, Magee BB, Passeron S, Giasson L & Cantore ML (2003) The two isoforms of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit are involved in the control of dimorphism in the human fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Fungal Genet Biol* 38: 133-141.

Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M & Robles M (2005) Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* 21: 3674-3676.

Connolly LA, Riccombeni A, Grozer Z, Holland LM, Lynch DB, Andes DR, Gacser A & Butler G (2013) The APSES transcription factor Efg1 is a global regulator that controls morphogenesis and biofilm formation in *Candida parapsilosis*. *Mol Microbiol* 90: 36-53.

Corvey C, Koetter P, Beckhaus T, Hack J, Hofmann S, Hampel M, Stein T, Karas M & Entian KD (2005) Carbon source-dependent assembly of the Snf1p kinase complex in *Candida albicans*. *J Biol Chem* 280: 25323-25330.

Cote P, Hogues H & Whiteway M (2009) Transcriptional analysis of the *Candida albicans* cell cycle. *Mol Biol Cell* 20: 3363-3373.

Csank C, Makris C, Meloche S, Schroppel K, Rollinghoff M, Dignard D, Thomas DY & Whiteway M (1997) Derepressed hyphal growth and reduced virulence in a VH1 family-related protein phosphatase mutant of the human pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 8: 2539-2551.

Csank C, Schroppel K, Leberer E, Harcus D, Mohamed O, Meloche S, Thomas DY & Whiteway M (1998) Roles of the *Candida albicans* mitogen-activated protein kinase homolog, Cek1p, in hyphal development and systemic candidiasis. *Infect Immun* 66: 2713-2721.

Cugini C, Calfee MW, Farrow JM, 3rd, Morales DK, Pesci EC & Hogan DA (2007) Farnesol, a common sesquiterpene, inhibits PQS production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* 65: 896-906.

Curvelo JA, Marques AM, Barreto AL, Romanos MT, Portela MB, Kaplan MA & Soares RM (2014) A novel nerolidol-rich essential oil from *Piper claussonianum* modulates *Candida albicans* biofilm. *J Med Microbiol* 63: 697-702.

Danhof HA & Lorenz MC (2015) The *Candida albicans* *ATO* gene family promotes neutralization of the macrophage phagolysosome. *Infect Immun* 83: 4416-4426.

Davis DA, Bruno VM, Loza L, Filler SG & Mitchell AP (2002) *Candida albicans* Mds3p, a conserved regulator of pH responses and virulence identified through insertional mutagenesis. *Genetics* 162: 1573-1581.

Davis RM, Muller RY & Haynes KA (2015) Can the natural diversity of quorum-sensing advance synthetic biology? *Fron Bioeng Biotechnol.* 3: 30.

Davis-Hanna A, Piispanen AE, Stateva LI & Hogan DA (2008) Farnesol and dodecanol effects on the *Candida albicans* Ras1-cAMP signalling pathway and the regulation of morphogenesis. *Mol Microbiol* 67: 47-62.

de Boer CG & Hughes TR (2012) YeTFaSCO: a database of evaluated yeast transcription factor sequence specificities. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40: D169-179.

de Campos Rasteiro VM, da Costa AC, Araújo CF, de Barros PP, Rossoni RD1, Anbinder AL, Jorge AO & Junqueira JC (2014) Essential oil of *Melaleuca alternifolia* for the treatment of oral candidiasis induced in an immunosuppressed mouse model. *BMC Complement Altern Med* 15: 489.

de Castro PA, Bom VL, Brown NA, de Almeida RS, Ramalho LN, Savoldi M, Goldman MH, Berretta AA & Goldman GH (2013) Identification of the cell targets important for propolis-induced cell death in *Candida albicans*. *Fungal Genet Biol* 60: 74-86.

De Groot PW, Hellingwerf KJ & Klis FM (2003) Genome-wide identification of fungal GPI proteins. *Yeast* 20: 781-796.

Desai C, Mavrianos J & Chauhan N (2011) *Candida albicans* *SRR1*, a putative two-component response regulator gene, is required for stress adaptation, morphogenesis, and virulence. *Eukaryot Cell* 10: 1370-1374.

Deveau A & Hogan DA (2011) Linking quorum sensing regulation and biofilm formation by *Candida albicans*. *Methods Mol Biol* 692: 219-233.

Dhillon NK, Sharma S & Khuller GK (2003) Biochemical characterization of Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin dependent protein kinase from *Candida albicans*. *Mol Cell Biochem* 252: 183-191.

Diggle SP, West SA, Gardner A & Griffin AS (2008) Communication in bacteria (Chapter 2). In *sociobiology of communication: an interdisciplinary perspective* (Hughes D & Ettore PD editors) Oxford University Press. UK. DettoreBook 11-31.

Dignard D & Whiteway M (2006) *SST2*, a regulator of G-protein signaling for the *Candida albicans* mating response pathway. *Eukaryot Cell* 5: 192-202.

Ding X, Yu Q, Zhang B, Xu N, Jia C, Dong Y, Chen Y, Xing L & Li M (2014) The type II Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinases are involved in the regulation of cell wall integrity and oxidative stress response in *Candida albicans*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 446: 1073-1078.

Dongari-Bagtzoglou A, Kashleva H, Dwivedi P, Diaz P & Vasilakos J (2009) Characterization of mucosal *Candida albicans* biofilms. *PLoS One* 4: e7967.

Eberhard A (1972) Inhibition and activation of bacterial luciferase synthesis. *J Bacteriol* 109: 1101-1105.

Eberhard A, Burlingame AL, Eberhard C, Kenyon GL, Nealson KH & Oppenheimer NJ (1981) Structural identification of autoinducer of *Photobacterium fischeri* luciferase. *Biochemistry* 20: 2444-2449.

Ebert T, Smith S, Pancari G, Wu X, Zorman J, Clark D, Cook J, Burns C, Antonello JM, Cope L, Nagy E, Meinke A & McNeely T (2011) Development of a rat central venous catheter model for evaluation of vaccines to prevent *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* early biofilms. *Hum Vaccin* 7: 630-638.

Eck R, Bruckmann A, Wetzker R & Kunkel W (2000) A phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase of *Candida albicans*: molecular cloning and characterization. *Yeast* 16: 933-944.

Eisman B, Alonso-Monge R, Roman E, Arana D, Nombela C & Pla J (2006) The Cek1 and Hog1 mitogen-activated protein kinases play complementary roles in cell wall biogenesis and chlamydospore formation in the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 5: 347-358.

- Elson SL, Noble SM, Solis NV, Filler SG & Johnson AD (2009) An RNA transport system in *Candida albicans* regulates hyphal morphology and invasive growth. *PLoS Genet* 5: e1000664.
- Enjalbert B, Smith DA, Cornell MJ, Alam I, Nicholls S, Brown AJ & Quinn J (2006) Role of the Hog1 stress-activated protein kinase in the global transcriptional response to stress in the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 17: 1018-1032.
- Epp E, Vanier G, H Marcus D, Lee AY, Jansen G, Hallett M, Sheppard DC, Thomas DY, Munro CA, Mullick A & Whiteway M (2010) Reverse genetics in *Candida albicans* predicts ARF cycling is essential for drug resistance and virulence. *PLoS Pathog* 6: e1000753.
- Fabry W, Schmid EN, Schraps M & Ansorg R (2003) Isolation and purification of chlamydospores of *Candida albicans*. *Med Mycol* 41: 53-58.
- Falleiros de Pádua RA, Norman Negri MF, Svidzinski AE, Nakamura CV & Svidzinski TI (2008) Adherence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Candida albicans* to urinary catheters. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 25: 173-175.
- Fan D, Coughlin LA, Neubauer MM, Kim J, Kim MS, Zhan X, Simms-Waldrip TR, Xie Y, Hooper LV & Koh AY (2015) Activation of HIF-1alpha and LL-37 by commensal bacteria inhibits *Candida albicans* colonization. *Nat Med* 21: 808-814.
- Fanning S, Xu W, Beaurepaire C, Suhan JP, Nantel A & Mitchell AP (2012) Functional control of the *Candida albicans* cell wall by catalytic protein kinase A subunit Tpk1. *Mol Microbiol* 86: 284-302.
- Fernandez-Arenas E, Molero G, Nombela C, Diez-Orejas R & Gil C (2004) Low virulent strains of *Candida albicans*: unravelling the antigens for a future vaccine. *Proteomics* 4: 3007-3020.
- Fernandez-Arenas E, Cabezon V, Bermejo C, Arroyo J, Nombela C, Diez-Orejas R & Gil C (2007) Integrated proteomics and genomics strategies bring new insight into *Candida albicans* response upon macrophage interaction. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 6: 460-478.
- Finkel JS & Mitchell AP (2011) Genetic control of *Candida albicans* biofilm development. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 9: 109-118.
- Fox EP & Nobile CJ (2012) A sticky situation: untangling the transcriptional network controlling biofilm development in *Candida albicans*. *Transcription* 3: 315-322.

Fox EP, Cowley ES, Nobile CJ, Hartooni N, Newman DK & Johnson AD (2014) Anaerobic bacteria grow within *Candida albicans* biofilms and induce biofilm formation in suspension cultures. *Curr Biol* 24: 2411-2416.

Fox EP, Bui CK, Nett JE, Hartooni N, Mui MC, Andes DR, Nobile CJ & Johnson AD (2015) An expanded regulatory network temporally controls *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. *Mol Microbiol* 96: 1226-1239.

Freires IA, Queiroz VC, Furletti VF, Ikegaki M, de Alencar SM, Duarte MC & Rosalen PL (2016) Chemical composition and antifungal potential of Brazilian propolis against *Candida* spp. *J Mycol Med* 26: 122-32.

Freires IA, Bueno-Silva B, Galvao LC, Duarte MC, Sartoratto A, Figueira GM, de Alencar SM & Rosalen PL (2015) The effect of essential oils and bioactive fractions on *Streptococcus mutans* and *Candida albicans* biofilms: a confocal analysis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2015: 871316.

Gale CA, Leonard MD, Finley KR, Christensen L, McClellan M, Abbey D, Kurischko C, Bensen E, Tzafrir I, Kauffman S, Becker J & Berman J (2009) *SLA2* mutations cause *SWE1*-mediated cell cycle phenotypes in *Candida albicans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiology* 155: 3847-3859.

Garcia-Sanchez S, Aubert S, Iraqui I, Janbon G, Ghigo JM & d'Enfert C (2004) *Candida albicans* biofilms: a developmental state associated with specific and stable gene expression patterns. *Eukaryot Cell* 3: 536-545.

Gasch AP, Moses AM, Chiang DY, Fraser HB, Berardini M & Eisen MB (2004) Conservation and evolution of cis-regulatory systems in ascomycete fungi. *PLoS Biol* 2: e398.

Gibson J, Sood A & Hogan DA (2009) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa-Candida albicans* interactions: localization and fungal toxicity of a phenazine derivative. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75: 504-513.

Gillum AM, Tsay EY & Kirsch DR (1984) Isolation of the *Candida albicans* gene for orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase by complementation of *S. cerevisiae ura3* and *E. coli pyrF* mutations. *Mol Gen Genet* 198: 179-182.

Gonia S, Tuepker M, Heisel T, Autran C, Bode L & Gale CA (2015) Human milk oligosaccharides inhibit *Candida albicans* invasion of human premature intestinal epithelial cells. *J Nutr* 145: 1992-1998.

Gordan R, Pyne S & Bulyk ML (2012) Identification of cell cycle-regulated, putative hyphal genes in *Candida albicans*. *Pac Symp Biocomput* 299-310.

Goudot C, Etchebest C, Devaux F & Lelandais G (2011) The reconstruction of condition-specific transcriptional modules provides new insights in the evolution of yeast AP-1 proteins. *PLoS One* 6: e20924.

Govan JR & Deretic V (1996) Microbial pathogenesis in cystic fibrosis: mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Burkholderia cepacia*. *Microbiol Rev* 60: 539-574.

Goyard S, Knechtle P, Chauvel M, Mallet A, Prévost MC, Proux C, Coppée JY, Schwarz P, Dromer F, Park H, Filler SG, Janbon G & d'Enfert C (2008) The Yak1 kinase is involved in the initiation and maintenance of hyphal growth in *Candida albicans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 19: 2251-2266.

Grahl N, Demers EG, Lindsay AK, Harty CE, Willger SD, Piispanen AE & Hogan DA (2015) Mitochondrial Activity and Cyr1 Are Key Regulators of Ras1 Activation of *C. albicans* Virulence Pathways. *PLoS Pathog* 11: e1005133.

Guhad FA, Jensen HE, Aalbaek B, Csank C, Mohamed O, Harcus D, Thomas DY, Whiteway M & Hau J (1998) Mitogen-activated protein kinase-defective *Candida albicans* is avirulent in a novel model of localized murine candidiasis. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 166: 135-139.

Gulati M & Nobile CJ (2016) *Candida albicans* biofilms: development, regulation, and molecular mechanisms. *Microbes Infect* 18: 310-321.

Gunther J, Nguyen M, Hartl A, Kunkel W, Zipfel PF & Eck R (2005) Generation and functional in vivo characterization of a lipid kinase defective phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase Vps34p of *Candida albicans*. *Microbiology* 151: 81-89.

Gupta N, Haque A, Mukhopadhyay G, Narayan RP & Prasad R (2005) Interactions between bacteria and *Candida* in the burn wound. *Burns* 31: 375-378.

Gutierrez-Barranquero JA, Reen FJ, McCarthy RR & O'Gara F (2015) Deciphering the role of coumarin as a novel quorum sensing inhibitor suppressing virulence phenotypes in bacterial pathogens. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 99: 3303-3316.

Hargarten JC, Moore TC, Petro TM, Nickerson KW & Atkin AL (2015) *Candida albicans* Quorum Sensing Molecules Stimulate Mouse Macrophage Migration. *Infect Immun* 83: 3857-3864.

Harriott MM & Noverr MC (2009) *Candida albicans* and *Staphylococcus aureus* form polymicrobial biofilms: effects on antimicrobial resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53: 3914-3922.

Harriott MM, Lilly EA, Rodriguez TE, Fidel PL Jr & Noverr MC (2010) *Candida albicans* forms biofilms on the vaginal mucosa. *Microbiology* 156: 3635-3644.

Hartmann A & Schikora A (2012) Quorum sensing of bacteria and trans-kingdom interactions of *N*-acyl homoserine lactones with eukaryotes. *J Chem Ecol* 38: 704-713.

Hazan I, Sepulveda-Becerra M & Liu H (2002) Hyphal elongation is regulated independently of cell cycle in *Candida albicans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 13: 134-145.

Hernday AD, Lohse MB, Nobile CJ, Noiman L, Laksana CN & Johnson AD (2016) Ssn6 defines a new level of regulation of white-opaque switching in *Candida albicans* and is required for the stochasticity of the switch. *MBio* 7: e01565-15.

Heurlier K, Denervaud V & Haas D (2006) Impact of quorum sensing on fitness of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Int J Med Microbiol* 296: 93-102.

Hoekstra MJ, Westgate SJ & Mueller S (2016) Povidone-iodine ointment demonstrates in vitro efficacy against biofilm formation. *Int Wound J* doi: 10.1111/iwj.12578.

Hoffman (2001) Preparation of yeast DNA. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* 13: 11.

Hogan DA & Kolter R (2002) *Pseudomonas-Candida* interactions: an ecological role for virulence factors. *Science* 296: 2229-2232.

Hogan DA & Sundstrom P (2009) The Ras/cAMP/PKA signaling pathway and virulence in *Candida albicans*. *Future Microbiol* 4: 1263-1270.

Hogan DA, Vik A & Kolter R (2004) A *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing molecule influences *Candida albicans* morphology. *Mol Microbiol* 54: 1212-1223.

Hogues H, Lavoie H, Sellam A, Mangos M, Roemer T, Purisima E, Nantel A & Whiteway M (2008) Transcription factor substitution during the evolution of fungal ribosome regulation. *Mol Cell* 29: 552-562.

Holcombe LJ, O'Gara F & Morrissey JP (2011) Implications of interspecies signaling for virulence of bacterial and fungal pathogens. *Future Microbiol* 6: 799-817.

Holcombe LJ, McAlester G, Munro CA, Enjalbert B, Brown AJ, Gow NA, Ding C, Butler G, O'Gara F & Morrissey JP (2010) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* secreted factors impair biofilm development in *Candida albicans*. *Microbiology* 156: 1476-1486.

Holloway BW & Morgan AF (1986) Genome organization in *Pseudomonas*. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 40: 79-105.

Homann OR, Dea J, Noble SM & Johnson AD (2009) A phenotypic profile of the *Candida albicans* regulatory network. *PLoS Genet* 5: e1000783.

Hoppen J, Dietz M, Warsow G, Rohde R & Schuller HJ (2007) Ribosomal protein genes in the yeast *Candida albicans* may be activated by a heterodimeric transcription factor related to Ino2 and Ino4 from *S. cerevisiae*. *Mol Genet Genomics* 278: 317-330.

Hornby JM, Jensen EC, Lisec AD, Tasto JJ, Jahnke B, Shoemaker R, Dussault P & Nickerson KW (2001) Quorum sensing in the dimorphic fungus *Candida albicans* is mediated by farnesol. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67: 2982-2992.

Huguenin-Dumittan SA & Girard JP (1972) [Asthma caused by *Candida albicans*. Diagnosis, immunology, new chemotherapeutic treatment]. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr* 102: 1471-1478.

Inglis DO & Johnson AD (2002) Ash1 protein, an asymmetrically localized transcriptional regulator, controls filamentous growth and virulence of *Candida albicans*. *Mol Cell Biol* 22: 8669-8680.

Inglis DO, Skrzypek MS, Arnaud MB, Binkley J, Shah P, Wymore F & Sherlock G (2013) Improved gene ontology annotation for biofilm formation, filamentous growth, and phenotypic switching in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 12: 101-108.

Jensen LJ, Kuhn M, Stark M, Chaffron S, Creevey C, Muller J, Doerks T, Julien P, Roth A, Simonovic M, Bork P & von Mering C (2009) STRING 8--a global view on proteins and their functional interactions in 630 organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: D412-416.

Johnson DC, Cano KE, Kroger EC & McNabb DS (2005) Novel regulatory function for the CCAAT-binding factor in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 4: 1662-1676.

Johnson CC, Yu A, Lee H, Fidel PL, Jr. & Noverr MC (2012) Development of a contemporary animal model of *Candida albicans*-associated denture stomatitis using a novel intraoral denture system. *Infect Immun* 80: 1736-1743.

Joo JH & Jetten AM (2010) Molecular mechanisms involved in farnesol-induced apoptosis. *Cancer Lett* 287: 123-135.

Justice SS, Hung C, Theriot JA, Fletcher DA, Anderson GG, Footer MJ & Hultgren SJ (2004) Differentiation and developmental pathways of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in urinary tract pathogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101: 1333-1338.

Kanehisa M & Goto S (2000) KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28: 27-30.

Kashem SW, Igyarto BZ, Gerami-Nejad M, Kumamoto Y, Mohammed J, Jarrett E, Drummond RA, Zurawski SM, Zurawski G, Berman J, Iwasaki A, Brown GD & Kaplan DH (2015) *Candida albicans* morphology and dendritic cell subsets determine T helper cell differentiation. *Immunity* 42: 356-366.

Kaur J, Pethani BP, Kumar S, Kim M, Sunna A, Kautto L, Penesyan A, Paulsen IT & Nevalainen H (2015) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* inhibits the growth of *Scedosporium aurantiacum*, an opportunistic fungal pathogen isolated from the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients. *Front Microbiol* 6: 866.

Kavanaugh NL, Zhang AQ, Nobile CJ, Johnson AD & Ribbeck K (2014) Mucins suppress virulence traits of *Candida albicans*. *MBio* 5: e01911.

Kayingo G & Wong B (2005) The MAP kinase Hog1p differentially regulates stress-induced production and accumulation of glycerol and D-arabitol in *Candida albicans*. *Microbiology* 151: 2987-2999.

Kebaara BW, Langford ML, Navarathna DH, Dumitru R, Nickerson KW & Atkin AL (2008) *Candida albicans* Tup1 is involved in farnesol-mediated inhibition of filamentous-growth induction. *Eukaryot Cell* 7: 980-987.

Keiser NW & Engelhardt JF (2011) New animal models of cystic fibrosis: what are they teaching us? *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 17: 478-483.

Kerr JR (1994) Suppression of fungal growth exhibited by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Clin Microbiol* 32: 525-527.

- Kerr JR, Taylor GW, Rutman A, Hoiby N, Cole PJ & Wilson R (1999) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pyocyanin and 1-hydroxyphenazine inhibit fungal growth. *J Clin Pathol* 52: 385-387.
- Kim J, Lee JE & Lee JS (2015) Histone deacetylase-mediated morphological transition in *Candida albicans*. *J Microbiol* 53: 805-811.
- Kinsman OS, Pitblado K & Coulson CJ (1988) Effect of mammalian steroid hormones and luteinizing hormone on the germination of *Candida albicans* and implications for vaginal candidosis. *Mycoses* 31: 617-626.
- Kohler JR & Fink GR (1996) *Candida albicans* strains heterozygous and homozygous for mutations in mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling components have defects in hyphal development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93: 13223-13228.
- Kojic EM & Darouiche RO (2004) *Candida* infections of medical devices. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 17: 255-267.
- Konstantinidou N (2014) Decoding bug chatter to fight infections. *The Boolean* 4: 51-55.
- Konstantinidou N & Morrissey JP (2015) Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in *Candida albicans* protein kinase mutants. *FEMS Yeast Res* 15: 1–10. pii fov092 doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov092.
- Kostoulias X, Murray GL, Cerqueira GM, Kong JB, Bantun F, Mylonakis E, Khoo CA & Peleg AY (2015) Impact of a cross-kingdom signaling molecule of *Candida albicans* on *Acinetobacter baumannii* physiology. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 60: 161-167.
- Krause J, Geginat G & Tammer I (2015) Prostaglandin E2 from *Candida albicans* stimulates the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in mixed biofilms. *PLoS One* 10: e0135404.
- Kromidas L, Perrier E, Flanagan J, Rivero R & Bonnet I (2006) Release of antimicrobial actives from microcapsules by the action of axillary bacteria. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 28: 103-108.
- Kruppa M (2009) Quorum sensing and *Candida albicans*. *Mycoses* 52: 1-10.
- Kruppa M, Krom BP, Chauhan N, Bambach AV, Cihlar RL & Calderone RA (2004) The two-component signal transduction protein Chk1p regulates quorum sensing in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 3: 1062-1065.

Kusch H, Engelmann S, Bode R, Albrecht D, Morschhauser J & Hecker M (2008) A proteomic view of *Candida albicans* yeast cell metabolism in exponential and stationary growth phases. *Int J Med Microbiol* 298: 291-318.

LaFayette SL, Collins C, Zaas AK, Schell WA, Betancourt-Quiroz M, Gunatilaka AA, Perfect JR & Cowen LE (2010) PKC signaling regulates drug resistance of the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* via circuitry comprised of Mkc1, calcineurin, and Hsp90. *PLoS Pathog* 6: e1001069.

LaFleur MD, Kumamoto CA & Lewis K (2006) *Candida albicans* biofilms produce antifungal-tolerant persister cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50: 3839-3846.

Lamfon H, Porter SR, McCullough M & Pratten J (2004) Susceptibility of *Candida albicans* biofilms grown in a constant depth film fermentor to chlorhexidine, fluconazole and miconazole: a longitudinal study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 53: 383-385.

Lan CY, Newport G, Murillo LA, Jones T, Scherer S, Davis RW & Agabian N (2002) Metabolic specialization associated with phenotypic switching in *Candida albicans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99: 14907-14912.

Lane S, Zhou S, Pan T, Dai Q & Liu H (2001) The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor Cph2 regulates hyphal development in *Candida albicans* partly via *TEC1*. *Mol Cell Biol* 21: 6418-6428.

Lane S, Di Lena P, Tormanen K, Baldi P & Liu H (2015) Function and Regulation of Cph2 in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 14: 1114-1126.

Lazzell AL, Chaturvedi AK, Pierce CG, Prasad D, Uppuluri P & Lopez-Ribot JL (2009) Treatment and prevention of *Candida albicans* biofilms with caspofungin in a novel central venous catheter murine model of candidiasis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 64: 567-570.

Leberer E, Ziegelbauer K, Schmidt A, Harcus D, Dignard D, Ash J, Johnson L & Thomas DY (1997) Virulence and hyphal formation of *Candida albicans* require the Ste20p-like protein kinase CaCl4p. *Curr Biol* 7: 539-546.

Leberer E, Harcus D, Broadbent ID, Clark KL, Dignard D, Ziegelbauer K, Schmidt A, Gow NA, Brown AJ & Thomas DY (1996) Signal transduction through homologs of the Ste20p and Ste7p protein kinases can trigger hyphal formation in the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93: 13217-13222.

Leclair LW & Hogan DA (2010) Mixed bacterial-fungal infections in the CF respiratory tract. *Med Mycol* 48 Suppl 1: S125-132.

Lee KH, Jun S, Hur HS, Ryu JJ & Kim J (2005) *Candida albicans* protein analysis during hyphal differentiation using an integrative HA-tagging method. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 337: 784-790.

Legrand M, Chan CL, Jauert PA & Kirkpatrick DT (2011) The contribution of the S-phase checkpoint genes *MEC1* and *SGS1* to genome stability maintenance in *Candida albicans*. *Fungal Genet Biol* 48: 823-830.

Leonhardt I, Spielberg S, Weber M, Albrecht-Eckardt D, Bläss M, Claus R, Barz D, Scherlach K, Hertweck C, Löffler J, Hünninger K & Kurzai O (2015) The fungal quorum-sensing molecule farnesol activates innate immune cells but suppresses cellular adaptive immunity. *MBio* 6: e00143.

Levison ME & Pitsakis PG (1987) Susceptibility to experimental *Candida albicans* urinary tract infection in the rat. *J Infect Dis* 155: 841-846.

Levitin A & Whiteway M (2007) The effect of prostaglandin E2 on transcriptional responses of *Candida albicans*. *Microbiol Res* 162: 201-210.

Lewis K (2012) Persister cells: molecular mechanisms related to antibiotic tolerance. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 121-133.

Li X, Huang X, Zhao J, Zhao J, Wei Y & Jiang L (2008) The MAP kinase-activated protein kinase Rck2p plays a role in rapamycin sensitivity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 8: 715-724.

Lilienthal B (1955) The pathogenicity of *Candida albicans* isolated from the mouth. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 8: 1214-1217.

Linde J, Wilson D, Hube B & Guthke R (2010) Regulatory network modelling of iron acquisition by a fungal pathogen in contact with epithelial cells. *BMC Syst Biol* 4: 148.

Lindsay AK, Morales DK, Liu Z, Grahl N, Zhang A, Willger SD, Myers LC & Hogan DA (2014) Analysis of *Candida albicans* mutants defective in the Cdk8 module of mediator reveal links between metabolism and biofilm formation. *PLoS Genet* 10: e1004567.

Liu TT, Lee RE, Barker KS, Lee RE, Wei L, Homayouni R & Rogers PD (2005) Genome-wide expression profiling of the response to azole, polyene, echinocandin, and pyrimidine antifungal agents in *Candida albicans*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 49: 2226-2236.

Liu Y, Solis NV, Heilmann CJ, Phan QT, Mitchell AP, Klis FM & Filler SG (2014) Role of retrograde trafficking in stress response, host cell interactions, and virulence of *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 13: 279-287.

Lo HJ, Kohler JR, DiDomenico B, Loebenberg D, Cacciapuoti A & Fink GR (1997) Nonfilamentous *C. albicans* mutants are avirulent. *Cell* 90: 939-949.

Lohse MB, Zordan RE, Cain CW & Johnson AD (2010) Distinct class of DNA-binding domains is exemplified by a master regulator of phenotypic switching in *Candida albicans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 14105-14110.

Lopez-Ribot JL (2005) *Candida albicans* biofilms: more than filamentation. *Curr Biol* 15: R453-455.

Lu Y, Su C, Unoje O & Liu H (2014) Quorum sensing controls hyphal initiation in *Candida albicans* through Ubr1-mediated protein degradation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111: 1975-1980.

MacCallum DM, Castillo L, Nather K, Munro CA, Brown AJ, Gow NA & Odds FC (2009) Property differences among the four major *Candida albicans* strain clades. *Eukaryot Cell* 8: 373-387.

Maclsaac KD, Wang T, Gordon DB, Gifford DK, Stormo GD & Fraenkel E (2006) An improved map of conserved regulatory sites for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7: 113.

Magee BB & Magee PT (1997) WO-2, a stable aneuploid derivative of *Candida albicans* strain WO-1, can switch from white to opaque and form hyphae. *Microbiology* 143: 289-295.

Magee BB, Legrand M, Alarco AM, Raymond M & Magee PT (2002) Many of the genes required for mating in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are also required for mating in *Candida albicans*. *Mol Microbiol* 46: 1345-1351.

- Manavathu EK, Vager DL & Vazquez JA (2014) Development and antimicrobial susceptibility studies of in vitro monomicrobial and polymicrobial biofilm models with *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. BMC Microbiol 14: 53.
- Martin SW, Douglas LM & Konopka JB (2005) Cell cycle dynamics and quorum sensing in *Candida albicans* chlamydospores are distinct from budding and hyphal growth. Eukaryot Cell 4: 1191-1202.
- Martin DW, Schurr MJ, Mudd MH, Govan JR, Holloway BW & Deretic V (1993) Mechanism of conversion to mucoidy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infecting cystic fibrosis patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90: 8377-8381.
- Martins M, Henriques M, Azeredo J, Rocha SM, Coimbra MA & Oliveira R (2007) Morphogenesis control in *Candida albicans* and *Candida dubliniensis* through signaling molecules produced by planktonic and biofilm cells. Eukaryot Cell 6: 2429-2436.
- Mattia E, Carruba G, Angiolella L & Cassone A (1982) Induction of germ tube formation by N-acetyl-D-glucosamine in *Candida albicans*: uptake of inducer and germinative response. J Bacteriol 152: 555-562.
- Matys V, Kel-Margoulis OV, Fricke E, Liebich I, Land S, Barre-Dirrie A, Reuter I, Chekmenev D, Krull M, Hornischer K, Voss N, Stegmaier P, Lewicki-Potapov B, Saxel H, Kel AE & Wingender E (2006) TRANSFAC and its module TRANSCmpel: transcriptional gene regulation in eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 34: D108-110.
- McAlester G, O'Gara F & Morrissey JP (2008) Signal-mediated interactions between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Candida albicans*. J Med Microbiol 57: 563-569.
- McGuire AT & Mangroo D (2007) Cex1p is a novel cytoplasmic component of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* nuclear tRNA export machinery. EMBO J 26: 288-300.
- Mendelson MH, Gurtman A, Szabo S, Neibart E, Meyers BR, Policar M, Cheung TW, Lillienfeld D, Hammer G, Reddy S, et al. (1994) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia in patients with AIDS. Clin Infect Dis 18: 886-895.
- Miro-Casas E, Covas MI, Fito M, Farre-Albadalejo M, Marrugat J & de la Torre R (2003) Tyrosol and hydroxytyrosol are absorbed from moderate and sustained doses of virgin olive oil in humans. Eur J Clin Nutr 57: 186-190.

Mitchell AP (2009) Possibly essential genes; no disruptants obtained by *UAU1* method using the BWP17 strain. (CGD paper) Unpublished personal communication to CGD.

Molero G, Diez-Orejas R, Navarro-Garcia F, Monteoliva L, Pla J, Gil C, Sanchez-Perez M & Nombela C (1998) *Candida albicans*: genetics, dimorphism and pathogenicity. *Int Microbiol* 1: 95-106.

Monge RA, Roman E, Nombela C & Pla J (2006) The MAP kinase signal transduction network in *Candida albicans*. *Microbiology* 152: 905-912.

Morales DK, Jacobs NJ, Rajamani S, Krishnamurthy M, Cubillos-Ruiz JR & Hogan DA (2010) Antifungal mechanisms by which a novel *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phenazine toxin kills *Candida albicans* in biofilms. *Mol Microbiol* 78: 1379-1392.

Morales DK, Grahl N, Okegbe C, Dietrich LE, Jacobs NJ & Hogan DA (2013) Control of *Candida albicans* metabolism and biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phenazines. *MBio* 4: e00526-00512.

Morales DK & Hogan DA (2010) *Candida albicans* interactions with bacteria in the context of human health and disease. *PLoS Pathog* 6: e1000886.

Moreno I, Castillo L, Sentandreu R & Valentin E (2007) Global transcriptional profiling of *Candida albicans cwt1* null mutant. *Yeast* 24: 357-370.

Moreno I, Pedreno Y, Maicas S, Sentandreu R, Herrero E & Valentin E (2003) Characterization of a *Candida albicans* gene encoding a putative transcriptional factor required for cell wall integrity. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 226: 159-167.

Moreno-Ruiz E, Ortu G, de Groot PW, Cottier F, Loussert C, Prevost MC, de Koster C, Klis FM, Goyard S & d'Enfert C (2009) The GPI-modified proteins Pga59 and Pga62 of *Candida albicans* are required for cell wall integrity. *Microbiology* 155: 2004-2020.

Mowat E, Rajendran R, Williams C, McCulloch E, Jones B, Lang S & Ramage G (2010) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and their small diffusible extracellular molecules inhibit *Aspergillus fumigatus* biofilm formation. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 313: 96-102.

Murillo LA, Newport G, Lan CY, Habelitz S, Dungan J & Agabian NM (2005) Genome-wide transcription profiling of the early phase of biofilm formation by *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 4: 1562-1573.

Nagahashi S, Mio T, Ono N, Yamada-Okabe T, Arisawa M, Bussey H & Yamada-Okabe H (1998) Isolation of *CaSLN1* and *CaNIK1*, the genes for osmosensing histidine kinase homologues, from the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. *Microbiology* 144 ( Pt 2): 425-432.

Nealson KH (1977) Autoinduction of bacterial luciferase. Occurrence, mechanism and significance. *Arch Microbiol* 112: 73-79.

Nealson KH, Platt T & Hastings JW (1970) Cellular control of the synthesis and activity of the bacterial luminescent system. *J Bacteriol* 104: 313-322.

Nett M (2014) Genome mining: concept and strategies for natural product discovery. *Prog Chem Org Nat Prod* 99: 199-245.

Nett JE & Andes DR (2016) Antifungal agents: spectrum of activity, pharmacology and clinical indications. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 30: 51-83.

Nett JE, Lepak AJ, Marchillo K & Andes DR (2009) Time course global gene expression analysis of an *in vivo Candida* biofilm. *J Infect Dis* 200: 307-313.

Newburger DE & Bulyk ML (2009) UniPROBE: an online database of protein binding microarray data on protein-DNA interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: D77-82.

Nguyen MH, Cheng S & Clancy CJ (2004) Assessment of *Candida albicans* genes expressed during infections as a tool to understand pathogenesis. *Med Mycol* 42: 293-304.

Nobile CJ & Johnson AD (2015) *Candida albicans* biofilms and human disease. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 69: 71-92.

Nobile CJ, Bruno VM, Richard ML, Davis DA & Mitchell AP (2003) Genetic control of chlamydospore formation in *Candida albicans*. *Microbiology* 149: 3629-3637.

Nobile CJ, Fox EP, Hartooni N, Mitchell KF, Hnisz D, Andes DR, Kuchler K & Johnson AD (2014) A histone deacetylase complex mediates biofilm dispersal and drug resistance in *Candida albicans*. *MBio* 5: e01201-01214.

Nobile CJ, Nett JE, Hernday AD, Homann OR, Deneault JS, Nantel A, Andes DR, Johnson AD & Mitchell AP (2009) Biofilm matrix regulation by *Candida albicans* Zap1. *PLoS Biol* 7: e1000133.

Nobile CJ, Fox EP, Nett JE, Sorrells TR, Mitrovich QM, Hernday AD, Tuch BB, Andes DR & Johnson AD (2012) A recently evolved transcriptional network controls biofilm development in *Candida albicans*. *Cell* 148: 126-138.

Nseir S, Jozefowicz E, Cavestri B, Sendid B, Di Pompeo C, Dewavrin F, Favory R, Roussel-Delvallez M & Durocher A (2007) Impact of antifungal treatment on *Candida-Pseudomonas* interaction: a preliminary retrospective case-control study. *Intensive Care Med* 33: 137-142.

Oberholzer U, Marcil A, Leberer E, Thomas DY & Whiteway M (2002) Myosin I is required for hyphae formation in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 1: 213-228.

Oliveira SA, Zambrana JR, Iorio FB, Pereira CA & Jorge AO (2014) The antimicrobial effects of *Citrus limonum* and *Citrus aurantium* essential oils on multi-species biofilms. *Braz Oral Res* 28: 22-27.

Ozsarac N, Bhattacharyya M, Dawes IW & Clancy MJ (1995) The *SPR3* gene encodes a sporulation-specific homologue of the yeast *CDC3/10/11/12* family of bud neck microfilaments and is regulated by *ABFI*. *Gene* 164: 157-162.

Park H, Myers CL, Sheppard DC, Phan QT, Sanchez AA, Edwards J & Filler SG (2005) Role of the fungal Ras-protein kinase A pathway in governing epithelial cell interactions during oropharyngeal candidiasis. *Cell Microbiol* 7: 499-510.

Pastor-Flores D, Schulze JO, Bahi A, Giacometti R, Ferrer-Dalmau J, Passeron S, Engel M, Suss E, Casamayor A & Biondi RM (2013) PIF-pocket as a target for *C. albicans* Pkh selective inhibitors. *ACS Chem Biol* 8: 2283-2292.

Paul S, Bair TB & Moye-Rowley WS (2014) Identification of genomic binding sites for *Candida glabrata* Pdr1 transcription factor in wild-type and rho0 cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58: 6904-6912.

Pearson JP, Pesci EC & Iglewski BH (1997) Roles of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* las and rhl quorum-sensing systems in control of elastase and rhamnolipid biosynthesis genes. *J Bacteriol* 179: 5756-5767.

Peleg AY, Hogan DA & Mylonakis E (2010) Medically important bacterial-fungal interactions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8: 340-349.

Pérez JC, Fordyce PM, Lohse MB, Hanson-Smith V, DeRisi JL & Johnson AD (2014) How duplicated transcription regulators can diversify to govern the expression of nonoverlapping sets of genes. *Genes Dev* 28: 1272-1277.

Pesci EC, Pearson JP, Seed PC & Iglewski BH (1997) Regulation of las and rhl quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* 179: 3127-3132.

Peters BM, Ward RM, Rane HS, Lee SA & Noverr MC (2013) Efficacy of ethanol against *Candida albicans* and *Staphylococcus aureus* polymicrobial biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57: 74-82.

Pihet M, Carrere J, Cimon B, Chabasse D, Delhaes L, Symoens F & Bouchara JP (2009) Occurrence and relevance of filamentous fungi in respiratory secretions of patients with cystic fibrosis--a review. *Med Mycol* 47: 387-397.

Pires RH, Montanari LB, Martins CH, Zaia JE, Almeida AM, Matsumoto MT & Mendes-Giannini MJ (2011) Anticandidal efficacy of cinnamon oil against planktonic and biofilm cultures of *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida orthopsilosis*. *Mycopathologia* 172: 453-464.

Porschke FG, Hiller E, Trick I & Rupp S (2012) Flexible survival strategies of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in biofilms result in increased fitness compared with *Candida albicans*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 11: 1652-1669.

Qasim M, Singh BR, Naqvi AH, Paik P & Das D (2015) Silver nanoparticles embedded mesoporous SiO<sub>2</sub> nanosphere: an effective anticandidal agent against *Candida albicans* 077. *Nanotechnology* 26: 285102.

Ramage G, Vande Walle K, Wickes BL & Lopez-Ribot JL (2001) Standardized method for in vitro antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45: 2475-2479.

Ramage G, Bachmann S, Patterson TF, Wickes BL & Lopez-Ribot JL (2002) Investigation of multidrug efflux pumps in relation to fluconazole resistance in *Candida albicans* biofilms. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 49: 973-980.

Ramon AM & Fonzi WA (2003) Diverged binding specificity of Rim101p, the *Candida albicans* ortholog of PacC. *Eukaryot Cell* 2: 718-728.

Ramsdale M, Selway L, Stead D, Walker J, Yin Z, Nicholls SM, Crowe J, Sheils EM & Brown AJ (2008) *MNL1* regulates weak acid-induced stress responses of the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 19: 4393-4403.

Rauceo JM, Blankenship JR, Fanning S, Hamaker JJ, Deneault JS, Smith FJ, Nantel A & Mitchell AP (2008) Regulation of the *Candida albicans* cell wall damage response by transcription factor Sko1 and PAS kinase Psk1. *Mol Biol Cell* 19: 2741-2751.

Raut JS, Shinde RB, Chauhan NM & Karuppaiyl SM (2013) Terpenoids of plant origin inhibit morphogenesis, adhesion, and biofilm formation by *Candida albicans*. *Biofouling* 29: 87-96.

Reen FJ, Mooij MJ, Holcombe LJ, McSweeney CM, McGlacken GP, Morrissey JP & O'Gara F (2011) The *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal (PQS), and its precursor HHQ, modulate interspecies and interkingdom behaviour. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 77: 413-428.

Ricicova M, Kucharikova S, Tournu H, Hendrix J, Bujdakova H, Van Eldere J, Lagrou K & Van Dijck P (2010) *Candida albicans* biofilm formation in a new *in vivo* rat model. *Microbiology* 156: 909-919.

Rikkerink EH, Magee BB & Magee PT (1988) Opaque-white phenotype transition: a programmed morphological transition in *Candida albicans*. *J Bacteriol* 170: 895-899.

Rimondini L, Fare S, Brambilla E, Felloni A, Consonni C, Brossa F & Carrassi A (1997) The effect of surface roughness on early *in vivo* plaque colonization on titanium. *J Periodontol* 68: 556-562.

Rocha CR, Schroppel K, Harcus D, Marcil A, Dignard D, Taylor BN, Thomas DY, Whiteway M & Leberer E (2001) Signaling through adenylyl cyclase is essential for hyphal growth and virulence in the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 12: 3631-3643.

Rosin H (1974) Septicemia due to *Candida albicans*. Pathogenesis, diagnosis and chemotherapy. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 99: 2514, 2526-2530.

Samuel SM, Thirunavukkarasu M, Penumathsa SV, Paul D & Maulik N (2008) Akt/FOXO3a/SIRT1-mediated cardioprotection by n-tyrosol against ischemic stress in rat *in vivo* model of myocardial infarction: switching gears toward survival and longevity. *J Agric Food Chem* 56: 9692-9698.

San José C, Monge RA, Pérez-Díaz R, Pla J & Nombela C (1996) The mitogen-activated protein kinase homolog *HOG1* gene controls glycerol accumulation in the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. *J Bacteriol* 178: 5850-5852.

Sandelin A, Alkema W, Engstrom P, Wasserman WW & Lenhard B (2004) JASPAR: an open-access database for eukaryotic transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32: D91-94.

Sanglard D, Ischer F, Marchetti O, Entenza J & Bille J (2003) Calcineurin A of *Candida albicans*: involvement in antifungal tolerance, cell morphogenesis and virulence. *Mol Microbiol* 48: 959-976.

Sapaar B, Nur A, Hirota K, Yumoto H, Murakami K, Amoh T, Matsuo T, Ichikawa T & Miyake Y (2014) Effects of extracellular DNA from *Candida albicans* and pneumonia-related pathogens on *Candida* biofilm formation and hyphal transformation. *J Appl Microbiol* 116: 1531-1542.

Scarano A, Piattelli M, Caputi S, Favero GA & Piattelli A (2004) Bacterial adhesion on commercially pure titanium and zirconium oxide disks: an *in vivo* human study. *J Periodontol* 75: 292-296.

Schinabeck MK, Long LA, Hossain MA, Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Mohamed S & Ghannoum MA (2004) Rabbit model of *Candida albicans* biofilm infection: liposomal amphotericin B antifungal lock therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 48: 1727-1732.

Seligmann E (1953) Virulence enhancement of *Candida albicans* by antibiotics and cortisone. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 83: 778-781.

Selitrennikoff CP, Alex L, Miller TK, Clemons KV, Simon MI & Stevens DA (2001) COS-I, a putative two-component histidine kinase of *Candida albicans*, is an *in vivo* virulence factor. *Med Mycol* 39: 69-74.

Shapiro RS, Uppuluri P, Zaas AK, Collins C, Senn H, Perfect JR, Heitman J & Cowen LE (2009) Hsp90 orchestrates temperature-dependent *Candida albicans* morphogenesis via Ras1-PKA signaling. *Curr Biol* 19: 621-629.

Shiner EK, Rumbaugh KP & Williams SC (2005) Inter-kingdom signaling: deciphering the language of acyl homoserine lactones. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 29: 935-947.

Shirtliff ME, Peters BM & Jabra-Rizk MA (2009) Cross-kingdom interactions: *Candida albicans* and bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 299: 1-8.

Singh V, Sinha I & Sadhale PP (2005) Global analysis of altered gene expression during morphogenesis of *Candida albicans in vitro*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 334: 1149-1158.

Singh RP, Prasad HK, Sinha I, Agarwal N & Natarajan K (2011) Cap2-HAP complex is a critical transcriptional regulator that has dual but contrasting roles in regulation of iron homeostasis in *Candida albicans*. J Biol Chem 286: 25154-25170.

Slutsky B, Staebell M, Anderson J, Risen L, Pfaller M & Soll DR (1987) White-opaque transition: a second high-frequency switching system in *Candida albicans*. J Bacteriol 169: 189-197.

Smith RS & Iglewski BH (2003) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum sensing as a potential antimicrobial target. J Clin Invest 112: 1460-1465.

Smith DA, Nicholls S, Morgan BA, Brown AJ & Quinn J (2004) A conserved stress-activated protein kinase regulates a core stress response in the human pathogen *Candida albicans*. Mol Biol Cell 15: 4179-4190.

Sonneborn A, Bockmuhl DP, Gerads M, Kurpanek K, Sanglard D & Ernst JF (2000) Protein kinase A encoded by *TPK2* regulates dimorphism of *Candida albicans*. Mol Microbiol 35: 386-396.

Sousa AS, Prates RA, Santi ME, Lopes RG, Bussadori SK, Ferreira LR & Deana AM (2016) Photodynamic inactivation of *Candida albicans* biofilm: influence of the radiant energy and photosensitizer charge. Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther 14: 111-114.

Srinivasa K, Kim J, Yee S, Kim W & Choi W (2012) A MAP kinase pathway is implicated in the pseudohyphal induction by hydrogen peroxide in *Candida albicans*. Mol Cells 33: 183-193.

Stichternoth C, Fraund A, Setiadi E, Giasson L, Vecchiarelli A & Ernst JF (2011) Sch9 kinase integrates hypoxia and CO<sub>2</sub> sensing to suppress hyphal morphogenesis in *Candida albicans*. Eukaryot Cell 10: 502-511.

Strudwick N, Brown M, Parmar VM & Schroder M (2010) Ime1 and Ime2 are required for pseudohyphal growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on nonfermentable carbon sources. Mol Cell Biol 30: 5514-5530.

- Sudbery PE (2001) The germ tubes of *Candida albicans* hyphae and pseudohyphae show different patterns of septin ring localization. *Mol Microbiol* 41: 19-31.
- Sudbery P, Gow N & Berman J (2004) The distinct morphogenic states of *Candida albicans*. *Trends Microbiol* 12: 317-324.
- Sun Y, Cao C, Jia W, Tao L, Guan G & Huang G (2015) pH regulates white-opaque switching and sexual mating in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 14: 1127-1134.
- Swidergall M, van Wijlick L & Ernst JF (2015) Signaling domains of mucin Msb2 in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 14: 359-370.
- Tao L, Du H, Guan G, Dai Y, Nobile CJ, Liang W, Cao C, Zhang Q, Zhong J & Hung (2014) Discovery of a "white-gray-opaque" tristable phenotypic switching system in *Candida albicans*: roles of non-genetic diversity in host adaptation. *PLoS Biol* 12: e1001830.
- Team R Development Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Teixeira MC, Monteiro P, Jain P, Tenreiro S, Fernandes AR, Mira NP, Alenquer M, Freitas AT, Oliveira AL & Sa-Correia I (2006) The YEASTRACT database: a tool for the analysis of transcription regulatory associations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34: D446-451.
- Tournu H, Tripathi G, Bertram G, Macaskill S, Mavor A, Walker L, Odds FC, Gow NA & Brown AJ (2005) Global role of the protein kinase Gcn2 in the human pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 4: 1687-1696.
- Tsai HK, Chou MY, Shih CH, Huang GT, Chang TH & Li WH (2007) MYBS: a comprehensive web server for mining transcription factor binding sites in yeast. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35: W221-226.
- Tsang PW, Bandara HM & Fong WP (2012) Purpurin suppresses *Candida albicans* biofilm formation and hyphal development. *PLoS One* 7: e50866.
- Tuch BB, Galgoczy DJ, Hernday AD, Li H & Johnson AD (2008) The evolution of combinatorial gene regulation in fungi. *PLoS Biol* 6: e38.

Uhl MA, Biery M, Craig N & Johnson AD (2003) Haploinsufficiency-based large-scale forward genetic analysis of filamentous growth in the diploid human fungal pathogen *C. albicans*. *EMBO J* 22: 2668-2678.

Umeyama T, Kaneko A, Nagai Y, Hanaoka N, Tanabe K, Takano Y, Niimi M & Uehara Y (2005) *Candida albicans* protein kinase CaHsl1p regulates cell elongation and virulence. *Mol Microbiol* 55: 381-395.

Ushinsky SC, Marcus D, Ash J, Dignard D, Marcil A, Morchhauser J, Thomas DY, Whiteway M & Leberer E (2002) CDC42 is required for polarized growth in human pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 1: 95-104.

Vandenbosch D, De Canck E, Dhondt I, Rigole P, Nelis HJ & Coenye T (2013) Genomewide screening for genes involved in biofilm formation and miconazole susceptibility in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 13: 720-730.

Wang X & Fries BC (2011) A murine model for catheter-associated candiduria. *J Med Microbiol* 60: 1523-1529.

Wang H & Xie B (2015) Study on how nanosilver-based inorganic antibacterial agent functions on biofilm formation of *Candida albicans*, inside the oral cavity. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol* 1-5.

Westwater C, Balish E & Schofield DA (2005) *Candida albicans*-conditioned medium protects yeast cells from oxidative stress: a possible link between quorum sensing and oxidative stress resistance. *Eukaryot Cell* 4: 1654-1661.

Whiteway M & Oberholzer U (2004) *Candida* morphogenesis and host-pathogen interactions. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 7: 350-357.

Wightman R, Bates S, Amornrattananan P & Sudbery P (2004) In *Candida albicans*, the Nim1 kinases Gin4 and Hsl1 negatively regulate pseudohyphae formation and Gin4 also controls septin organization. *J Cell Biol* 164: 581-591.

Wilson RB, Davis D & Mitchell AP (1999) Rapid hypothesis testing with *Candida albicans* through gene disruption with short homology regions. *J Bacteriol* 181: 1868-1874.

Xiong YQ, Willard J, Yeaman MR, Cheung AL & Bayer AS (2006) Regulation of *Staphylococcus aureus* alpha-toxin gene (*hla*) expression by *agr*, *sarA*, and *sae* *in vitro* and in experimental infective endocarditis. *J Infect Dis* 194: 1267-1275.

Xu D, Cheng J, Cao C, Wang L & Jiang L (2015) Genetic interactions between Rch1 and the high-affinity calcium influx system Cch1/Mid1/Ecm7 in the regulation of calcium homeostasis, drug tolerance, hyphal development and virulence in *Candida albicans*. FEMS Yeast Res 15: pii fov079. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov079.

Xu D, Jiang B, Ketela T, Lemieux S, Veillette K, Martel N, Davison J, Sillaots S, Trosok S, Bachewich C, Bussey H, Youngman P & Roemer T (2007) Genome-wide fitness test and mechanism-of-action studies of inhibitory compounds in *Candida albicans*. PLoS Pathog 3: e92.

Yamada-Okabe T, Mio T, Ono N, Kashima Y, Matsui M, Arisawa M & Yamada-Okabe H (1999) Roles of three histidine kinase genes in hyphal development and virulence of the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. J Bacteriol 181: 7243-7247.

Zelada A, De Souza FS, Walz K, Giasson L & Passeron S (2003) cDNA cloning, biochemical and phylogenetic characterization of beta- and beta'-subunits of *Candida albicans* protein kinase CK2. Yeast 20: 471-478.

Zhang A, Petrov KO, Hyun ER, Liu Z, Gerber SA & Myers LC (2012) The Tlo proteins are stoichiometric components of *Candida albicans* mediator anchored via the Med3 subunit. Eukaryot Cell 11: 874-884.

Zhao X, Malloy PJ, Ardies CM & Feldman D (1995) Oestrogen-binding protein in *Candida albicans*: antibody development and cellular localization by electron immunocytochemistry. Microbiology 141: 2685-2692.

Zhao R, Daniels KJ, Lockhart SR, Yeater KM, Hoyer LL & Soll DR (2005) Unique aspects of gene expression during *Candida albicans* mating and possible G(1) dependency. Eukaryot Cell 4: 1175-1190.

Zhao C, Lv X, Fu J, He C, Hua H & Yan Z (2016) *In vitro* inhibitory activity of probiotic products against oral *Candida* species. J Appl Microbiol 121: 254-62.

Zhou S, Wang Q, Chen J & Chen JY (1999) Molecular cloning of MAPK gene family using synthetic oligonucleotide probe. Chinese journal of biochemistry & biophysics 31: 537-544.

Znaidi S, Weber S, Al-Abdin OZ, Bomme P, Saidane S, Drouin S, Lemieux S, De Deken X, Robert F & Raymond M (2008) Genomewide location analysis of *Candida albicans* Upc2p, a regulator of sterol metabolism and azole drug resistance. Eukaryot Cell 7: 836-847.

Zumbuehl A, Ferreira L, Kuhn D, Astashkina A, Long L, Yeo Y, Iaconis T, Ghannoum M, Fink GR, Langer R & Kohane DS (2007) Antifungal hydrogels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 12994-12998.

## Appendix

- Konstantinidou N & Morrissey JP (2015) Co-occurrence of filamentation defects and impaired biofilms in *Candida albicans* protein kinase mutants. FEMS Yeast Res 15: 1–10. pii fov092 doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov092.
- Konstantinidou N (2014) Decoding bug chatter to fight infections. The Boolean 4: 51-55.
- Konstantinidou N (2014) Membership Q & A. Microbiology Today Magazine 41: 82-83.