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ABSTRACT

The strains *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* DPC5671 and *Corynebacterium casei* DPC5298 were applied in combination with *Debaryomyces hansenii* DPC6258 to the surface of young Cheddar cheese curd to obtain two different smear-ripened cheeses. A surface microbiota developed over the incubation period, comprising of both yeast and bacteria; pulsed field gel electrophoresis confirmed that the inoculated strains of *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 or *C. casei* DPC5298 were the dominant bacterial strains on the surface of the cheese at the end of the ripening period. The smear cultures changed the appearance and aroma, which were significantly different from the control cheese. The approach presented in this study represents a method for the development of new cheese varieties with novel aromas within a short ripening time.
1. Introduction

Smear cheese is a traditional dairy product, which plays an important role in both small and industrial scale dairy production. Smear cheese is characterised by a short ripening time and strong aroma produced by the growth of smear microbiota on the cheese surface. Smear-ripened cheeses are manufactured by inoculating the surface of the cheese curd, dipping, spraying or brushing with a mixture of bacteria and yeasts. The traditional method of production is called “old-young smearing” and consists of washing young curds with the brine from old cheese, to encourage the transfer of the microbiota from the old to the young cheeses (Desmasures, Bora, & Ward, 2015; Fox, Guineee, Cogan, & McSweeney, 2017a).

The microbiota on the surface of the smear cheese is composed of a variety of microorganisms that coexist in symbiotic relationships. Yeasts are normally the first resident microorganisms to establish themselves on the surface of the cheese due to their tolerance to low pH and salt. Yeasts metabolise lactate, producing H$_2$O and CO$_2$ and increase the pH (Cholet, Hénaut, Casaregola, & Bonnarme, 2007; Corsetti, Rossi, & Gobbetti, 2001). Moreover, they produce metabolites and growth factors (vitamins and amino acids) which encourage the growth of Gram-positive bacteria, such as Corynebacterium, Staphylococcus and Brevibacterium species (Cogan et al., 2014; Larpin et al., 2011).

The growth of smear microorganisms on the surface of cheese curd modifies the appearance, aroma, proteolysis and lipolysis of the cheese within a relatively short ripening time (McSweeney, 2004). The combined growth of the bacteria and yeasts on the surface of the cheese results in the production of proteolytic and lipolytic enzymes, increasing the amount of free amino acids (FAAs) and free fatty acids (FFAs) (McSweeney & Sousa, 2000; Sousa, Ardö, & McSweeney, 2001). Yeasts and Gram-positive bacteria isolated from smear cheeses have a wide range of proteolytic enzymes that display various peptidase activities,
with FAAs increasing within the cheese as a consequence. Additionally, yeasts and Gram-
positive bacteria possess esterolytic/lipolytic enzymes capable of catabolising triacylglycerols
in cheese, producing FFAs (Curtin, Gobbetti, & McSweeney, 2002; Fox, Guinee, Cogan, &
McSweeney, 2017b).

The further metabolism of FAAs and FFAs during the ripening produces flavour
compounds important for cheese aroma. The catabolism of FAAs, especially of branched
chain amino acids, aromatic amino acids and sulphur amino acids, produces mainly
aldehydes, alcohols, carboxylic acids and sulphur compounds. Moreover, FFAs are involved
in reactions leading to the production of flavour compounds such as secondary alcohols,
carboxylic acids, esters, lactones and ketones (McSweeney & Sousa, 2000; Singh, Drake, &

The characteristics of smear-ripened cheese are not strictly controlled inside artisanal
smear cheese plants. The resulting product is also affected by the final microbial consortia of
the cheese, which is influenced by the individual in-house microbiota of the cheese-making
facilities. Microorganisms detected in the environment of artisanal cheese-making plants
have also been found on the surface of smear cheeses, indicating a strong relationship
between product and the environment in which the cheese is manufactured and ripened
(Bokulich & Mills, 2013; Goerges et al., 2008; Mounier et al., 2006a).

In previous studies, smear strains were added to the cheese surface or as adjunct
cultures to the milk during manufacture of smear-ripened cheese; however, some of the added
strains were not detected at the end of ripening (Feurer, Vallaey, Corrieu, & Irlinger, 2004;
Goerges et al., 2008). These commercial smear strains have to compete with the in-house
microbiota and do not always successfully establish themselves on the cheese surface
(Bokulich & Mills, 2013; Feurer et al., 2004; Goerges et al., 2008). It is likely that the
relationship within the smear microbiota promotes the survival of a particular group of
microorganisms to the detriment of others.

With the abolition of the milk quotas within the EU in 2015 there is a renewed interest
in developing novel cheeses with a range of flavours. There is a progressive increase in
global cheese consumption, with an annual production in Ireland of 207,100 tonnes in 2015
(data from Eurostat). Therefore the aim of this work was to develop a novel cheese with
diverse aromas and short ripening time using cheese curd made in a traditional Cheddar
cheese plant. Ripening time for Cheddar cheese can be from a little as 3 months for mild
cheese up to > 9–12 months for mature/extra mature varieties. In this study, the ability of
smear bacteria and yeast to grow on the surface of young Cheddar cheese curd was
investigated to produce a cheese variety with different flavour and appearance compared with
Cheddar cheese within a short time frame of 35 days.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation of smear suspensions

For the preparation of Debaryomyces hansenii DPC6258 suspension, the strain was
streaked onto yeast extract glucose chloramphenicol agar (YGC agar; Becton, Dickinson and
Company, City West, Dublin, Ireland) and incubated aerobically at 25 °C for 96 h. Using a 5
µL loop, the strain was inoculated into 10 mL of trypticase soy broth (TSB; Becton,
Dickinson and Company) and incubated, shaking at 100 rpm, at 25 °C. When the OD_{600}
reached ~1, the cells were centrifuged at 6000 × g at 4 °C for 15 min, washed twice with
sterile 0.75% NaCl and the pellet was resuspended in sterile 0.75% NaCl to obtain a
suspension of ~10^6 cfu mL^{-1}. 
For the preparation of the *Corynebacterium casei* DPC5298 and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* DPC5671 suspensions, the strains were streaked onto trypticase soy agar (TSA; Becton, Dickinson and Company) and incubated aerobically at 30 °C for 48 h. Using a 5 µL loop, the strains were inoculated into 10 mL of trypticase soy broth (TSB; Becton, Dickinson and Company) and incubated, shaking at 100 rpm, at 30 °C. When the OD$_{600}$ reached ~1, the cells were centrifuged at 6000 × g at 4 °C for 15 min, washed twice with sterile 0.75% NaCl and the pellets resuspended in sterile 0.75% NaCl to obtain a suspension of ~10$^5$ cfu mL$^{-1}$.

2.2. Smearing of cheese blocks

Cheddar cheese was supplied by a commercial cheese company as 20 kg blocks, <24 h post manufacture. The large cheese block was aseptically cut into smaller blocks (~8 × 6.5 × 30 cm). These blocks were then inoculated by placing them in a saline suspension containing *D. hansenii* DPC6258 (10$^6$ cfu mL$^{-1}$), ensuring an even coating of yeast. Subsequently the blocks of cheese were placed on sterile, plastic coated racks and allowed to drain. Once the excess liquid had completely drained, the cheese pieces were placed inside a sterile plastic bag (Südpack Verpackungen, Ochsenhausen, Germany) on the rack ensuring that the sides of the cheese did not make contact with the plastic bag. Relative humidity % (RH%) was maintained by pouring 100 mL of sterile 0.75% NaCl into the base of the bag and the bag was sealed. The cheese was ripened at 15 °C with a RH% of ~97%. After 5 days of ripening, the blocks of cheese were removed from the bag and dipped in saline suspension containing *C. casei* DPC5298, or *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 (10$^5$ cfu mL$^{-1}$). The blocks of cheese were placed on the sterile rack and incubated for a further 30 days (for a total ripening period of 35 days), as described above, to produce a smear cheese with *D. hansenii* DPC6258.
in combination with *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 (cheese A) or *C. casei* DPC5298 (cheese B). During the ripening period the surface of the cheese blocks was washed with a sterile sponge soaked in a sterile brine solution (5% NaCl) at day 7, 10, 15 of ripening to ensure an even growth of the smear microbiota. As a control, blocks of Cheddar cheese were vacuum packed in sterile bags and incubated at 15 °C. These blocks were not smeared with either bacteria or yeasts and were not washed with NaCl solution during the ripening period. However, the control cheese differs from normal Cheddar cheese in that the temperature of ripening was higher (15 °C) than the ripening temperature normally associated with Cheddar cheese (~8 °C). Three replicate cheese trials were performed.

### 2.3. Sampling cheese

The surface of the cheese A and B was aseptically sampled for enumeration and isolation of bacteria and yeast at 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 21, 25, 30 and 35 days of ripening. When analysing the control cheese samples, a composite sample of core and surface was analysed, while for the test cheeses both the surface and core were analysed separately. At day 0 and day 35, samples were taken from the control cheese, cheese A and B for composition, urea-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (urea-PAGE), free fatty acid and free amino acid analysis. At day 35, samples were taken from the control cheese, cheese A and B for sensory evaluation and volatile analysis. At days 0, 10, 15, 21, 25, 30, 35 samples were taken from the control cheese, cheese A and B for proteolysis analysis and colorimetric analysis.

### 2.4. Enumeration of bacteria and yeast from cheese surface
During ripening, ~ 5 cm$^2$ of the surface of the test cheeses were aseptically removed and resuspended in 2% trisodium citrate, serially diluted and plated on TSA 5% NaCl with 50 U mL$^{-1}$ of nystatin (Sigma Aldrich, Arklow, Co. Wicklow, Ireland) and YGC agar, for the enumeration of smear bacteria and yeasts, respectively. Nystatin was added to TSA to prevent the growth of yeast and moulds. The TSA plates were incubated at 30 °C for 48 h, while YGC plates were incubated at 25 °C for 96 h. Colonies were counted and the results expressed as log cfu g$^{-1}$ of cheese. Five colonies from the highest countable dilution were re-streaked onto TSA and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. Isolates were stocked at −80 °C in glycerol for further analysis.

2.5. *Pulsed field gel electrophoresis*

The cultures isolated from cheese A and B were grown on TSA, incubated aerobically at 30 °C for 24 h and then inoculated in 8 mL of TSB, with shaking at 100 rpm at 30 °C for 24 h. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was carried out as described by Bannerman, Hancock, Tenover, and Miller (1995) for *S. saprophyticus*, while the method outlined by Brennan et al. (2001) was used for *C. casei*. Before digestion the agarose plugs were cut into small slices (1 by 2 mm), transferred into 100 μL restriction buffer containing 20 U of *Sma*I for *S. saprophyticus*, and 20 U of *Spe*I (all from New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) for *C. casei* and incubated over night at 25 °C or 37 °C, respectively. The gel was run in a CHEF-DR III PFGE apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, Herts, UK) at 1 V (6 V cm$^{-1}$) at 14 °C for 20 h, with the pulse ramped from 5 to 40 s for *S. saprophyticus*, while 1 V (6 V cm$^{-1}$) at 14 °C for 16 h, from 1 to 20 s for *C. casei*. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg mL$^{-1}$) in water, destained in water and then photographed using a gel imaging system (AlphaImager 2000, Alpha Immotech, San Leonardo, CA, USA).
2.6. *Compositional analysis*

Cheese samples were analysed for moisture content by oven-drying 3 g of cheese sample at 102 °C for 5 h and for salt content by a potentiometric method on a mixture of 2 g of grated cheese and 60 g of water (IDF, 1988). Total protein was determined on 0.2 g of cheese sample and total fat was determined on 3 g of cheese sample by standard methods (IDF, 1993, 1996). The pH was measured with a standard pH meter (Mettler-Toledo MP220, Schwerzenbach, Switzerland) on a mixture of 20 g of grated cheese and 12 g of water, as described by British Standards Institution standard (BSI, 1976).

2.7. *Determination of colour*

The development of the colour during the ripening was measured in triplicate on the surface of the cheese at room temperature, using a Minolta Colorimeter CR-300 (Minolta Camera, Osaka, Japan). A white colour tile standard was used to calibrate the instrument and the colour was analysed using L*, a* and b*-values, which describe the colour space. L*-value measures the visual lightness (as values increase from 0 to 100), a*-value measures from the redness to greenness (positive to negative values, respectively) and b*-value from the yellowness to blueness (positive to negative values, respectively).

2.8. *Proteolysis*

Proteolysis was determined by measuring the levels of the non-casein nitrogen content, soluble at pH 4.6 (pH4.6-SN) (Fenelon & Guinee, 2000) and total nitrogen (TN) on a
water soluble extract of a mixture of 60 g of grated cheese and 120 g of water, using the
macro-Kjeldahl method (IDF, 1993). The levels of proteolysis were expressed as a
percentage of the ratio between non-casein nitrogen content and total nitrogen (% pH4.6-
SN/TN).

2.9. *Urea-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis*

Urea-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (urea–PAGE) was performed according to
the method described by Rynne, Beresford, Kelly, and Guinee (2004). The gel system was
composed of a separating and stacking gel, using a PROTEANs II xi cell vertical slab gel unit
(Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd). The samples were prepared, maintaining the same concentration
of protein (4.25 g of protein content L\(^{-1}\) sample buffer) to have a final volume of 1 mL. Ten
microlitres of sample solution were loaded into individual wells. The sample buffer (pH 8.7),
the sample preparation and the running conditions were as described by Henneberry,
Wilkinson, Kilcawley, Kelly, and Guinee (2015). After the run, the gel was removed from the
plates and stained overnight in an aqueous solution of Coomassie Blue G250 (0.25%, w/v),
destained in a destaining solution (acetic acid 10%, methanol 25%), and washed in distilled
water. The images were acquired by a gel imaging system (AlphaImager 2000; Alpha
Imмотех).

2.10. *Free amino acid analysis*

Individual FAAs were determined on the soluble N extracts as described by
McDermott et al. (2016) using a Jeol JLC-500V AA analyser fitted with a Jeol Na+ high
performance cation exchange column (Jeol Ltd., Garden City, Herts, UK). The
chromatographic analyses were conducted at pH 2.2. Results are expressed as μg mg\(^{-1}\) of cheese.

2.11. Free fatty acid analysis

FFA extraction was performed on 10 g of grated cheese, according to the method described by De Jong and Badings (1990). The FFA extracts were aliquoted into amber glass vials and capped with PTFE/white silicone septa (Agilent Technologies, Little Island, Cork, Ireland). The FFA extracts were derivatised as methyl esters as outlined by Mannion, Furey, and Kilcawley (2016) using a Sample Prep Workbench (Agilent Technologies). Fatty acid methyl esters extracts were analysed using Varian CP3800 gas chromatograph (Aquilant, Dublin, Ireland) with a CP84000 auto-sampler and flame ionisation detector (GC-FID) and a Varian 1079 injector (Aquiland). For the GC-FID analysis, 0.7 μL were injected into a CP FFAP CB capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.32 μm) (Agilent Technologies). Results are expressed as μg mg\(^{-1}\) of cheese.

2.12. Volatiles analysis

After sampling the cheese samples were wrapped in foil, vacuum packed and stored at –20 °C. Before analysis, the samples were defrosted at room temperature and blended with a cheese grater. For the analysis, 4 g of cheese sample were placed in a screw capped SPME vial with a silicone/PTFE septum (Apex Scientific, Maynooth, Ireland). The SPME vials were equilibrated to 40 °C for 10 min with pulsed agitation (5 s on, 2 s off) at 500 rpm. Sample introduction was performed using AOC-5000 injection system (Shimadzu, Albert-Hahn-Str., Duisburg, Germany) and a single 50/30 μm Carboxen TM137 / divinylbenzene /
polydimethylsiloxane (DVB/CAR/PDMS) SPME fibre was used for the volatile extraction (Agilent Technologies). The SPME fibre was exposed to the headspace above the samples for 20 min at depth of 54 mm, then was retracted and injected into the GC inlet and desorbed for 2 min at 250 °C. Injections were made on a Shimadzu 2010plus with an Agilent DB-5ms column (60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm) (Agilent Technologies), using a multipurpose injector with a Merlin microseal. The temperature of the column oven was initially held for 30 s at 35 °C, increased to 230 °C at a rate of 6.5 °C min⁻¹, and to 320 °C at a rate of 15 °C min⁻¹, yielding at total GC run time of 41.5 min. The carrier gas, helium, was at a constant pressure of 1.58 bar, performing a splitless injection. The detector, a Shimadzu TQ8030 MSD triple quadrupole mass spectrometer, was used in single quadrupole mode. The ion source temperature and interface temperature were set at 220 °C and 280 °C, respectively, and the MS mode was electronic ionisation (70 v) with the mass range between 35 and 250 amu. The chromatograms were deconvoluted and the peaks quantified with TargetView (Markes International Ltd, Llantrisant, UK). The compounds were identified using mass spectra comparisons to the NIST 2014 mass spectral library, and using an internal database with known target and qualifier ions for each compound. Ten microlitres of the standard solution [1-butanol, dimethyldisulphide, butyl acetate, cyclohexane, benzaldehyde at 10 ppm, and 2-phenylethanol at 50 ppm, in methanol:/water (1:99)] in a SPME vial were run before and after every series of samples to ensure that both the SPME extraction and MS detection were performing within specification. An autotune of the GCMS was carried out prior to the analysis to ensure optimal GCMS performance. All analyses were performed in triplicate.

2.13. Sensory affective evaluation and ranking descriptive analysis
The blocks of cheese sample (~500 g), vacuum packed and stored at -20 °C, were defrosted at 4 °C the day before sensory evaluation. The surface of cheese A and B was removed and the blocks were cut into portions for the sensory test. Twenty naive assessors were recruited in University College Cork, Ireland. Sensory acceptance testing was conducted using these untrained assessors, who were both Cheddar and smear cheese consumers, (age 21–48 years). Assessors used the sensory hedonic descriptors for the control, cheese A and B. Samples underwent monadic presentation to the panel at ambient temperatures (~21 °C) and coded with a randomly selected 3 digit code. Each assessor was asked to indicate their degree of liking on a 10 cm line scale ranging from 0 (extremely dislike) to 10 (extremely like). Ranking descriptive analysis (RDA) was then undertaken using the consensus list of sensory descriptors, which was also measured on a 10 cm line scale. All samples were presented in duplicate.

2.14. Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis for cheese composition, sensorial analysis, FAAs and FFAs were tested with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Minitab 17 (Minitab Inc., Coventry, UK). A split plot designed with SAS 9.3 (SAS, Dublin, Ireland) was used to determine the singular effect of smear treatments, ripening time and their interaction on the microbiology, pH, % pH 4.6-SN/TN, L*, a* and b* -values, measured at several time points during the ripening. The statistical analysis of the volatile compounds was tested with ANOVA-Partial Least Squares Regression (APLSR) using Unscrambler (The Unscrambler X 10.3, Camo Software, Oslo, Norway). From the results of the APLSR, the individual volatile compounds positively correlated with the samples were tested with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Minitab 17 (Minitab Inc.), to evaluate the significant differences.
among the samples for each volatile compound. The level of significance for all analyses was determined at $P < 0.05$.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Growth of the strains and pH development

PFGE analysis established that the inoculated cultures of *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 and *C. casei* DPC5298 were the dominant bacterial strains isolated at the end of ripening (day 35) (supplementary data). The total count of yeasts and smear bacteria during ripening is shown in the Fig. 1. A significant interactive effect ($P < 0.05$) between ripening time and smear treatments was observed for the growth of the surface microbiota. No significant differences were observed on the growth of yeast and bacteria between cheese A and cheese B.

The variation in pH of the control, and the core and the surface of cheese A and B is shown in Fig. 2. A significant interactive effect ($P < 0.05$) between smear treatments and ripening time was observed for pH. From day 21 to 35, the pH was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) at the surface of cheese A compared with its respective core and the control. From day 15 to 35, the pH was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) at the surface of cheese B compared with the respective core and the control.

#### 3.2. Cheese composition

The mean composition of the Cheddar cheese before the smearing process was typical of a commercial Cheddar cheese after manufacture, but the smearing treatments influenced
the compositional parameters at the end of the ripening (35 days). Compositional data and
significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are presented in Table 1.

3.3. Proteolysis

The proteolysis was particularly high at the surface of cheese A and B, where the
smear treatments significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced the level of % pH 4.6-SN/TN
(supplementary data). Urea-PAGE (Fig. 3) confirmed the high level of proteolysis on the
surface of cheese A and B. $\alpha_{s1}$-Casein was partially degraded to $\alpha_{s1}$-CN (f102–199) and $\alpha_{s1}$-
CN (f24–199) in the control cheese and in the core of cheese A and B. However there was
evidence of further breakdown products on the surface samples of the test cheeses. Similar $\beta$-
-casein degradation patterns were observed for the control and core of test cheeses, while
samples from the surface of the test cheeses showed that the $\beta$-casein was almost totally
degraded producing $\beta$-CN (106–209) and $\beta$-CN (108–209) with higher intensity (Fig. 3).

3.4. Free amino acids and free fatty acids

Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) on the total amount of FAAs were observed between
the control and the surface of cheese A and B at the end of ripening on day 35 (Fig. 4). In the
cheese A and B, some individual FAAs were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) on the surface
compared with their respective cores or the control. No significant difference was determined
between the surface samples of cheese A and B with respect to the total FAA content.

Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in the total amount of FFAs were observed between
the control and the surface of cheese A and B at day 35 (Fig. 5). In the cheese A and B, all
individual FFAs detected on the surface were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) compared with
the levels of the respective cores or the control. Significantly higher levels ($P < 0.05$) of total FFAs were detected on the surface of cheese A ($20169 \pm 2120 \mu g \text{mg}^{-1}$) compared with the surface of cheese B ($12338 \pm 3382 \mu g \text{mg}^{-1}$).

### 3.5. Cheese colour

The measurements of the colour on the surface of cheese A and B during ripening are shown in Fig. 6. A significant interactive effect ($P < 0.05$) between time and smear treatments was observed on $a^*$ and $b^*$ values. From day 15, $a^*$ value was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) compared with the control for the cheese A and B. At the end of the ripening (day 35), $a^*$ value was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) for cheese B compared with cheese A, resulting a redder colour on the surface of cheese B.

### 3.6. Volatile compounds and sensory analysis

The analysis of variance enabled the selection of 40 volatile compounds that were significantly different ($P < 0.05$) and positively correlated with the samples (Table 2). In total, 22 volatile compounds (7 acids, 4 alcohols, 5 esters, 4 sulphur compounds, 1 ketone and 1 aromatic hydrocarbon) were significantly associated ($P < 0.05$) with the surface of cheese A. Eight compounds (2 acids, 2 aldehydes, 1 alcohol 1 ester, 1 pyrazine and 1 sulphur compound) were significantly associated with the surface of cheese B. Two compounds (1 ketone and 1 hydrocarbon) were significantly associated ($P < 0.05$) with the core of cheese A. Two compounds (1 alcohol and 1 ketone) were significantly associated ($P < 0.05$) with the core of cheese B. Nine compounds (4 alcohols, 4 ketones and 1 ester) were significantly associated ($P < 0.05$) with the control cheese.
Using hedonic sensory analysis (Fig. 7) the control cheese scored significantly higher 
(P <0.05) for “Liking of Aroma” compared with the cheese A and B. Cheese A scored 
significantly higher (P <0.05) for “Liking of Aroma” compared with cheese B. The control 
and cheese A scored significantly higher (P <0.05) for “Liking of Flavour” compared with 
cheese B. As seen in Fig. 8, the control cheese, as expected, scored significantly higher (P 
<0.05) for “Cheddar flavour” compared with cheese A, which scored significantly higher (P 
<0.05) for “Cheddar flavour” compared with cheese B. Cheese A and B scored significantly 
higher (P <0.05) for “Pungent flavour” and “Mould cheese flavour” compared with the 
control cheese. Cheese B scored significantly higher (P <0.05) for descriptors “Sweaty/Sour 
Aroma”, “Pungent Aroma” and “Off-Aroma” compared with the control cheese. The control 
scored significantly higher (P <0.05) for “Crumbly texture” compared with cheese A and B.

4. Discussion

*C. casei* and *S. saprophyticus*, bacteria commonly isolated from smear-ripened 
cheeses (e.g., Limburger, Reblochon, Livarot, Tilsit, Gubbeen) (Cogan et al., 2014; Larpin et 
al., 2011), do not belong to the traditional microbiota of Cheddar cheese, although in this 
study both strains established themselves on the surface of young Cheddar cheese curd and 
they were the dominant population on the cheese surface throughout the ripening.

*C. casei* DPC5298 or *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 in combination with *D. hansenii* 
DPC6258 developed a coloured layer on the cheese surface after 15 days of ripening. Unlike 
studies with *Brevibacterium linens* that showed that colour development was influenced by 
the yeast strain used (Leclercq-Perlat, Corrieu, & Spinnler, 2004a), in this study the type of 
colour developed was dependent on the bacteria used. The combination *D. hansenii* 
DPC6258 with *C. casei* DPC5298 on cheese B developed a redder colour compared with the
combination of *D. hansenii* DPC6258 with *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 on cheese A. Similar results were shown by Mounier et al. (2006b) who reported in a cheese model an increase of the colour after the 15th day of ripening at 14 °C and higher a* value for the combination *D. hansenii* with *C. casei*, compared with *D. hansenii* combined with *S. saprophyticus*, resulting in higher development of red colour.

The development of the typical aroma and flavour in cheese A and B is associated with the lipolytic and proteolytic processes of the yeast and bacterial component of the smear consortium. These processes were slower in the control cheese, which did not develop the same levels of proteolysis, FAAs and FFAs in the short ripening time (35 days).

During cheese ripening, a gradual decomposition of caseins into small peptides and FAAs occurs. FAAs are considered precursors of flavour compounds during the development of cheese flavour. It is likely that the smear treatments increased the proteolysis of β-casein by plasmin (more active at alkaline pH) on the surface of the test cheeses (Fig. 3), increasing the pH levels. At the end of ripening the dominant FAAs detected in high amount on the surface of the test cheeses were valine, leucine, proline, glutamate and lysine (Fig. 4), due to their relative concentration in casein and the peptidase activity of the smear consortium, especially *D. hansenii* DPC6258. Analysis of cell free supernatants of the cultures used in this study confirmed that *D. hansenii* DPC6258 had high peptidase activities (PepX and PepN), while activities were low for *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 and *C. casei* DPC5298 (supplementary data). Similar results have been previously reported for other *D. hansenii*, *S. saprophyticus* and *C. casei* strains (Bintsis, Vafopoulou-Mastrojiannaki, Litopoulou-Tzanetaki, & Robinson, 2013; Casaburi, Villani, Toldrá, & Sanz, 2006; Curtin et al., 2002).

The hydrolysis of triglycerides is the main biochemical transformation of fat during cheese ripening, which leads to the production of FFAs. Individual FFAs contribute to the cheese aroma with their specific flavours and especially with their metabolites. At the end of
ripening high amounts of FFAs were detected on the surface of cheese A and B. The levels of all individual FFAs detected were higher on the surface of cheese A compared with cheese B, especially for C10:0 (Fig. 5). It has been previously reported that the lipolysis by *D. hansenii* is weak, while studies on Gram-positive bacteria showed good activity on substrates with different glyceride chains length (Bintsis et al., 2003; Cardoso et al., 2015; van den Tempel & Jakobsen, 2000). Experimental work showed that *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 had greater lipolytic activity on tributyrin than either *C. casei* DPC5298 or *D. hansenii* DPC6258 (supplementary data). These results are in agreement with what was previously reported by Talon and Montel (1997), who detected lipolytic activity on tributyrin in a range of staphylococcus strains, including *S. saprophyticus*. The higher lipolytic activity of *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 compared with *C. casei* DPC5298 may explain the higher amount of FFAs in cheese A.

Numerous volatile compounds were significantly (*P* < 0.05) associated to cheese A and B, especially those particularly characterised by strong aroma notes, such as some specific carboxylic acids, alcohols, esters, ketones and sulphur compounds, suggesting that the smear treatments have modified the aroma profile of Cheddar cheese curd in only 35 days of ripening (Table 2).

The metabolism of FAAs in cheese A and B in this study was responsible for the development of specific branched alcohols and branched chain acids detected (3-methyl-1-butanol, phenylethyl-alcohol, 3-methyl-butanoic acid). *D. hansenii* has been identified as a possible producer of alcohols in previous studies (Arfi, Spinnler, Tache, & Bonnarme, 2002; Gori, Sørensen, Petersen, Jespersen, & Arneborg, 2012; Leclercq-Perlat, Corrieu, & Spinnler, 2004b), while the production of carboxylic acids has been previously attributed to both yeasts and smear bacteria (*Geotrichum candidum* and *B. linens*) (Jollivet, Bézenger, Vayssier, & Belin, 1992; Jollivet, Chataud, Vayssier, Bensoussan, & Belin, 1994).
The high amount of esters detected in cheese A (ethyl acetate, ethyl octanoate, methyl hexanoate, 3-methylbutyl acetate and isopentyl hexanoate) is likely related to the high FFA content and the presence of alcohols in cheese A, considering they originate from the esterification or alcoholysis of alcohols with carboxylic acids. While information on the biosynthesis of esters by corynebacteria is sparse, numerous studies reported ester production by staphylococci isolated from fermented foods, including *S. saprophyticus* strains (Talon, Chastagnac, Vergnais, Montel, & Berdagué, 1998). The formation of esters in cheese A, not detected in high amount in cheese B, is likely due to the metabolic activity of *S. saprophyticus* DPC5671 rather than by *D. hansenii* DPC6258.

Other products of FFA metabolism such as ketones and alcohols were detected in all cheeses. However 2-pentanone, 2-hexanone, 2-nonanone and 2-decanone were particularly associated with the control cheese and not with cheese A and B, suggesting an involvement of LAB rather than the smear cultures. It is known that methylketones result from the β-oxidation of FFA, by lipolytic enzymes due to autolysis of the LAB during ripening (Collins, McSweeney, & Wilkinson, 2003), although it is also postulated that methylketones can be produced by the heating of milk or directly from esterification of β-keto acids (Alewijn, 2006; Forss, 1979).

Sensory analysis showed different results between cheese A, cheese B and the control cheese. The sensory panel was not influenced by the colour of the cheese as the surface was removed before sensory analysis. “Mould Cheese Flavour” and “Pungent Flavour” are descriptors associated with smear-ripened cheeses and they can be correlated with a range of volatiles with strong aroma notes (Table 2) detected on the surface and core of both cheese A and B, namely butanoic, octanoic acid (originated from lipolysis of lipids), 2-heptanol (from reduction of ketones), 2-methyl-propanoic, 3-methyl-butanoic, 2-methyl-butanoic, pentanoic acid, 3-methyl-butanol, 2-methyl-butanol, phenylethyl-alcohol, 3-methyl-butanal (from
metabolism of branched chain amino acids or possibly phenylalanine for phenylethyl-alcohol, methanethiol, dimethyldisulphide and dimethyldisulphide (from metabolism of sulphur amino acids). As expected these descriptors were significantly associated ($P <0.05$) with cheese A and/or B, suggesting that the activities of yeast and Gram-positive bacteria on the cheese conferred a typical smear cheese flavour, not perceived in the control. The descriptors significantly associated ($P <0.05$) with cheese B, such as “Sweaty/Sour Aroma”, “Pungent Aroma” and “Off-Aroma”, are considered as “unclean” and off-odorants and were associated with some compounds detected in abundance on the surface and core of cheese B, such as 3-methyl-butanoic acid, octanoic acid, methanethiol and particularly 8-nonen-2-one (originated from $\beta$-oxidation of fatty acids) which was identified only in cheese B.

Sensory analysis showed the smear cultures on the cheese surface affected the cheese ripening giving strong and intense aroma and flavour to cheese A and B, while the control cheese was characterised by mild aroma and flavour. In a short ripening time of 35 days, the smear treatments induced the development of different aroma profiles.

Overall, the bacterial strains in conjunction with $D. hansenii$ may have the potential to modify cheese colour and produce novel cheeses with diverse aromas using a Cheddar cheese curd.

5. Conclusion

The cheese-making method described in this paper gives a new approach for the production of novel smear cheeses starting from a Cheddar cheese curd. Both the yeast and bacterial cultures were able to establish themselves on the surface of the cheese and become the dominant microbiota on the cheese surface, producing a cheese variety with acceptable appearance and novel flavour aroma profiles. The method proposed could be used as model
to produce novel cheese types with a range of flavours and aromas through the growth of combinations of yeast and bacterial cultures on the surface using cheese curd produced on a traditional Cheddar cheese plant.
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Figure legends

**Fig. 1.** Enumeration of cfu g⁻¹ of the cheese surface of cheese A and cheese B during the ripening time; yeasts total count in cheese A (●) and in cheese B (⊗), smear bacteria total count in cheese A (∆) and in cheese B (□). Values presented are the means and standard deviations from three replicate trials.

**Fig. 2.** Change in pH overtime; control cheese (●), surface of cheese A (∆), core of cheese A (▲), surface of cheese B (□) and core of cheese B (■). Values presented are the means and standard deviations from three replicate trials.

**Fig. 3.** Urea-PAGE electrophoretogram of sodium caseinate (lane C), control cheese at day 0 (lane 1), control cheese at day 35 (lane 2), core of cheese B at day 35 (lane 3), surface of cheese B at day 35 (lane 4), core of cheese A at day 35 (lane 5) and surface of cheese A at day 35 (lane 6). The urea-PAGE was performed on the basis of fixed weight protein for all three replicate trials.

**Fig. 4.** Individual free amino acids content of (A) the control (■), core cheese A (□), surface cheese A (〓), and (B) the control (■), core cheese B (〓) and surface cheese B (〓) at day 35. The values presented are the means of the three replicate trials; values within an amino acid group with different letters are significantly different (P <0.05).

**Fig. 5.** Individual free fatty acids content of (A) the control (■), core cheese A (□) and surface cheese A (〓), and (B) the control (■), core cheese B (〓) and surface cheese B (〓) at
day 35. The values presented are the means of the three replicate trials; values within an amino acid group with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

**Fig. 6.** Colour development on the surface of the cheeses during the ripening. The colour values ($L^*, a^*, b^*$) of the control cheese (●), of cheese A (▲) and of cheese B (□). Values presented are the means and standard deviations from three replicate trials.

**Fig. 7.** Sensory affective (hedonic) analysis performed in duplicate on cheese A (▲), cheese B (■) and control (●) at 35 days of ripening. Letters after an attribute descriptor denote: (a) control significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than cheese A and B; (e) cheese A and control significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than cheese B.

**Fig. 8.** Ranking descriptive analysis performed in duplicate on cheese A (▲), cheese B (■) and control (●) at 35 days of ripening. Letters after an attribute descriptor denote: (a) control significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than cheese A and B; (b) cheese A and B significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than control; (c) cheese B significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than cheese A and control; (d) cheese A significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than cheese B and control.
Table 1
Composition of the control at day 0 and 35 and composition of the surface and core of cheese A and cheese B at day 35. a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Control d0</th>
<th>Control d35</th>
<th>Surf A d35</th>
<th>Core A d35</th>
<th>Surf B d35</th>
<th>Core B d35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%, w/w)</td>
<td>38.80±0.84</td>
<td>38.32±0.62</td>
<td>39.71±1.20</td>
<td>40.49±0.86</td>
<td>39.61±1.43</td>
<td>41.27±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%, w/w)</td>
<td>30.45±0.33</td>
<td>30.41±0.27</td>
<td>28.30±0.14</td>
<td>30.09±0.69</td>
<td>28.01±0.25</td>
<td>29.80±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%, w/w)</td>
<td>24.87±0.21</td>
<td>25.17±0.11</td>
<td>24.28±0.57</td>
<td>24.5±0.83</td>
<td>24.94±0.32</td>
<td>24.72±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNFS (%, w/w)</td>
<td>55.79±1.44</td>
<td>55.07±1.02</td>
<td>55.39±1.77</td>
<td>57.89±1.33</td>
<td>55.02±2.13</td>
<td>58.79±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDM (%, w/w)</td>
<td>49.77±1.16</td>
<td>49.32±0.80</td>
<td>46.97±1.13</td>
<td>50.51±1.33</td>
<td>46.41±1.44</td>
<td>50.74±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/M (%, w/w)</td>
<td>4.02±0.10</td>
<td>4.17±0.26</td>
<td>3.74±0.20</td>
<td>3.81±0.33</td>
<td>3.58±0.10</td>
<td>3.88±0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt (%, w/w)</td>
<td>1.56±0.02</td>
<td>1.60±0.09</td>
<td>1.48±0.11</td>
<td>1.55±0.12</td>
<td>1.42±0.08</td>
<td>1.60±0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The compositional values are for moisture, fat, protein, MNFS (moisture in non-fat substances), FDM (fat in dry matter), S/M (salt in moisture) and salt of control at day 0 (Control d0), for control at day 35 (Control d35), for the surface of cheese A at day 35 (Surf A d35), for the core of cheese A at day 35 (Core A d35), for the surface of cheese B at day 35 (Surf B d35) and for the core of cheese B at day 35 (Core B d35). Values presented are the means±standard deviations of three replicate trials; values with different superscript letters differ significantly (P <0.05).
Table 2

Volatile compounds detected with SPME-GCMS in cheese A, cheese B and control, and relative aroma notes.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volatile compound</th>
<th>CAS number</th>
<th>Aroma note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aldehydes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-butanal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>590-86-3</td>
<td>Malty, powerful, cheese, green, dark chocolate (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl butanal&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>96-17-3</td>
<td>Malty, dark chocolate, almond, cocoa (Qian et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2003; Urbach, 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Dry, dust, alcohol (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Butanol&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78-92-2</td>
<td>Sweet, fruity, Fusel oil, wine-like (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-1-butanol&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>123-51-3</td>
<td>Fresh cheese, breath-taking, alcoholic, fruity, grainy (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-1-butanol&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>137-32-6</td>
<td>Malty, wine, onion (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Butanediol&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>513-85-9</td>
<td>Fruity (Singh et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Heptanol&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>543-49-7</td>
<td>Fruity, earthy, green, sweetish, dry (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Ethyl-1-hexanol&lt;sup&gt;ae&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>104-76-7</td>
<td>Animal, cardboard (Thomsen et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylethyl-alcohol&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60-12-8</td>
<td>Unclean, rose, violet-like, honey, floral (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ketones</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Butanedione&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>431-03-8</td>
<td>Buttery, strong (Kubičková &amp; Grosch, 1997; Singh et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Pentanone&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>107-87-9</td>
<td>Orange peel, sweet, fruity (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-2-pentanone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>565-61-7</td>
<td>Minty-camphoraceous, sharp (Barron et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Hexanone&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>Floral, fruity (Qian et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Nonen-2-one&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5009-32-5</td>
<td>Animal, stinky (Poveda et al., 2008; Varming et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Nonanone&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>821-55-6</td>
<td>Malty, rotten fruit, hot milk, green, earthy (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Decanone&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>693-54-9</td>
<td>Fruity, musty (Qian et al., 2006; Varming et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64-19-7</td>
<td>Vinegar, peppers, green, fruity, floral (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-propanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>79-31-2</td>
<td>Rancid butter, sweaty, sweet, apple-like (Curioni &amp; Bosset, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>107-92-6</td>
<td>Sweaty, butter, cheese, strong, acid (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-butanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>503-74-2</td>
<td>Cheesy, sweaty, socks, rancid, rotten fruit (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-butanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>116-53-0</td>
<td>Fruity, waxy, sweaty (Singh et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>109-52-4</td>
<td>Rain, wood, vegetable, spicy, nutty, grain, Swiss cheese, stable, sweaty, sheep (Curioni &amp; Bosset, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>111-14-8</td>
<td>Soapy, fatty, goaty, rancid (Curioni &amp; Bosset, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>124-07-2</td>
<td>Cheesy, rancid, pungent, sweat (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Decanoic acid&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>334-48-5</td>
<td>Stale, butter, sour, fruity, pungent (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Esters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Solvent, pineapple, fruity (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl propionate&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>105-37-3</td>
<td>Pineapple, solvent, fruity (Barron et al., 2005; Qian et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methylbutyl acetate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>123-92-2</td>
<td>Fruity, banana, candy, sweet (Barron et al., 2005; Curioni &amp; Bosset, 2002; Qian et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64-19-7</td>
<td>Vinegar, peppers, green, fruity, floral (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanol&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78-92-2</td>
<td>Sweet, fruity, fusel oil, wine-like (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-1-butanol&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>123-51-3</td>
<td>Fresh cheese, breath-taking, alcoholic, fruity, grainy (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-1-butanol&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>137-32-6</td>
<td>Malty, wine, onion (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Butanediol&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>513-85-9</td>
<td>Fruity (Singh et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Heptanol&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>543-49-7</td>
<td>Fruity, earthy, green, sweetish, dry (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Ethyl-1-hexanol&lt;sup&gt;ae&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>104-76-7</td>
<td>Animal, cardboard (Thomsen et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylethyl-alcohol&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60-12-8</td>
<td>Unclean, rose, violet-like, honey, floral (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sulphur compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionol&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>505-10-2</td>
<td>Orange (Carpino et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanethiol&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>74-93-1</td>
<td>Rotten cabbage, cheese, vegetative, sulphur (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylsulphide&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>624-92-0</td>
<td>Green, sour, onion (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylsulphide&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3658-80-8</td>
<td>Vegetable-like, sulphurous, garlic, putrid, cabbage-like (Kilcawley, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aromatic hydrocarbons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzaldehyde&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100-52-7</td>
<td>Bitter almond, sweet cherry (Singh et al., 2003; Smit et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzeneacetaldehyde&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>122-78-1</td>
<td>Honey-like, rose, violet-like, hyacinth, green (Kubičková &amp; Grosch, 1997; Qian et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2003; Smit et al., 2005; Varming et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pyrazines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Ethyl-2,5-dimethyl-pyrazine&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13360-65-1</td>
<td>Roasted, baked (Qian &amp; Reineccius, 2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Superscript letters denote that volatile compounds are significantly different (<i>P</i>&lt;0.05) and positively correlated to: (<i>a</i>) the surface of cheese A; (<i>b</i>) the surface of cheese B; (<i>c</i>) the core of cheese A; (<i>d</i>) the core of cheese B; (<i>e</i>) the control cheese.
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