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The relation between maternal work, ambulatory blood pressure, and pregnancy hypertension

J R Higgins, J J Walshe, R M Conroy, M R N Darling

Study objective: The purpose of the study was to determine the relations between maternal work, ambulatory blood pressure in mid-pregnancy, and subsequent pregnancy outcome.

Design: Data were studied on 933 healthy normotensive primigravidas who had been enrolled into a study on the predictive value of ambulatory blood pressure measurement performed between 18 and 24 weeks gestation. They were classified into three groups depending on whether they were at work (working group, n=245), not working (not working group, n=289), or normally employed but chose not to work (ENK group, n=399), on the day monitoring was performed.

Setting: The Rotunda Hospital (a large maternity hospital), Dublin, Ireland.

Main results: Adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking, drinking, and marital status, women at work had higher mean daytime systolic (p<0.01) and diastolic (p<0.01) and 24 hour systolic pressures (p=0.03) compared with those not working. The rate of subsequent development of pre-eclampsia was significantly higher (odds ratio 4.1, 95% CI 1.1 to 15.2, p=0.03) among those at work compared with those not working. The association between pre-eclampsia and maternal work remained significant (odds ratio 5.5, 95% CI 1.1 to 27.8, p=0.04) even after allowing for the confounding factors of age, smoking, body mass index, and marital status. When daytime systolic and diastolic blood pressure were added to the regression analysis the risk ratios for pre-eclampsia remained high but did not quite reach statistical significance (odds ratio 4.7, 0.90 to 24.8, p=0.066). Birth weight and placental weight were not predicted by work status or blood pressure.

Conclusions: A significant independent relation was found between maternal work and ambulatory blood pressure levels in mid-pregnancy. In addition, it was found that maternal work was significantly associated with the subsequent development of pre-eclampsia.
933 women. The ENK group were self selected in that at recruitment, during their booking visit (12 weeks gestation) women were informed that the monitors were designed to be worn during a normal working day. The main focus of our study is the comparison between the working and not working groups. The women were followed up throughout pregnancy for the development of hypertensive complications (pre-eclampsia and gestational hypertension) defined in accordance with International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy. Hypertension is defined as one diastolic blood pressure reading of greater than or equal to 110 mm Hg or two consecutive diastolic blood pressure readings of greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg at least four hours apart. Significant proteinuria is defined as greater than or equal to 300 mg total protein in a 24 hour urine collection, or if this is not available 1+ proteinuria by dipstick on two consecutive occasions at least four hours apart. Gestational proteinuric hypertension (pre-eclampsia) is defined as hypertension in combination with proteinuria developing after 20 weeks’ gestation in a previously normotensive, non-proteinuric woman.

Data were analysed using DataDesk Version 6 (Data Description Inc, Ithaca, NY) and Stata Release 5 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA). Adjusted means and confidence intervals were calculated using a regression based approach described by Garrett in which covariates (including binary variables) are set to their mean levels. Factors included in this analysis included age, body mass index, gestation at monitoring, smoking, alcohol intake, marital status, and work status. Multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression was used to estimate the relation between working and the occurrence of either gestational hypertension or pre-eclampsia, and to adjust this estimate for possible confounders including age, smoking, body mass index, and marital status. These relations are expressed as odds ratios compared with the unemployed group.

Work was classified using the job title into three job categories (“Standing”, “Active” or “Sedentary”) as previously described. One way analysis of variance followed by Scheffe post hoc tests were used to assess the effect of job category on blood pressure levels in the at work group.

RESULTS

Demography

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics at the time of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. Women who were not working were significantly younger than women who worked. They also had significantly higher smoking prevalence and were more likely to be unmarried (all p<0.01). There was no significant difference in gestation at monitoring or maternal body mass index between the three groups.

Table 1 Work status and patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demography</th>
<th>Not working (n=289)</th>
<th>Working (n=245)</th>
<th>ENK (n=399)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>22.3 (4.9)</td>
<td>27.0 (4.1)*</td>
<td>25.5 (4.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation (weeks)</td>
<td>20.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>20.3 (1.6)</td>
<td>20.2 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (%)</td>
<td>144 (50%)</td>
<td>71 (29%)*</td>
<td>135 (34%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinker (%)</td>
<td>98 (34%)</td>
<td>92 (37%)</td>
<td>126 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>54 (19%)</td>
<td>162 (67%)*</td>
<td>207 (52%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.5 (3.5)</td>
<td>24.6 (2.9)</td>
<td>25.0 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery</th>
<th>Not working (n=289)</th>
<th>Working (n=245)</th>
<th>ENK (n=399)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gestation (weeks)</td>
<td>39.3 (2.2)</td>
<td>39.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>39.3 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3347 (577)</td>
<td>3395 (552)</td>
<td>3431 (608)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction of labour</td>
<td>22 (7.7%)</td>
<td>37 (15.2%)</td>
<td>40 (10.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>3 (1.0%)</td>
<td>10 (4.0%)*</td>
<td>9 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational hypertension</td>
<td>11 (3.8%)</td>
<td>15 (6.1%)</td>
<td>32 (8.0%)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Ambulatory blood pressure levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daytime Systolic</th>
<th>Not working (n=289)</th>
<th>Working (n=245)</th>
<th>ENK (n=399)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime Systolic</td>
<td>115.7 (114.9 to 116.5)</td>
<td>111.0 (114.2 to 115.9)</td>
<td>116.5 (115.6 to 117.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nighttime Systolic</td>
<td>99.7 (98.9 to 100.5)</td>
<td>99.1 (98.3 to 100.0)</td>
<td>98.2** (97.4 to 99.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daytime Diastolic</th>
<th>Not working (n=289)</th>
<th>Working (n=245)</th>
<th>ENK (n=399)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime Diastolic</td>
<td>69.3 (68.7 to 69.9)</td>
<td>69.4 (68.7 to 70.1)</td>
<td>70.8* (70.1 to 71.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nighttime Diastolic</td>
<td>53.8 (53.2 to 54.4)</td>
<td>54.0 (53.4 to 54.6)</td>
<td>53.6 (53.0 to 54.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.01 versus the not working group. Data, are mean (SD) or number [%]. Missing data: smoking, alcohol, marital status 8 cases; body mass index 21 cases.
Ambulatory blood pressure levels
Daytime diastolic blood pressure was significantly higher in the working group (p<0.05) compared with the not working group. In addition, night time systolic in the working group (p<0.01) and daytime diastolic in the ENK group (p<0.01) were significantly lower than the not working group (table 2). However, when adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking, drinking, and marital status, the persistent significant differences were that women at work had higher mean daytime systolic (p<0.01) and diastolic (p<0.01) and 24 hour systolic pressures (p=0.03) compared with those not working (table 2). In addition, this approach also identified that maternal age was a inversely related to daytime systolic (p<0.001), night time systolic (p<0.001) and 24 hour systolic (p<0.001) blood pressure. This inverse relation was still present when stratified analysis by work group was performed (data not shown). Body mass index was a significant positive predictor of daytime systolic (p<0.001) and diastolic (p<0.001) and 24 hour systolic (p<0.001) blood pressure. Smoking was a significant positive predictor of daytime (p=0.001) and 24 hour systolic (p=0.015) blood pressure. Importantly, there were no significant differences in blood pressure levels between the not working group and the ENK group.

Pregnancy outcomes
There were no significant differences between the three work status groups with regards to gestation at delivery, birth weight or rate of caesarean section or induction. The rate of subsequent development of pre-eclampsia was higher (odds ratio 4.1, 95% CI 1.1 to 15.2, p=0.03) in those women at work compared with those not working. In addition, the rate of subsequent development of gestational hypertension was significantly higher (odds ratio 2.2, 95% CI 1.1 to 4.5, p=0.02) in the ENK group compared with those not working (table 1). The association between pre-eclampsia and maternal work remained significant (odds ratio 5.5, 95% CI 1.1 to 27.8, p=0.04) even after allowing for the confounding factors of age, smoking, body mass index, and marital status. When daytime systolic and diastolic blood pressure were added to the regression analysis the odds ratio for pre-eclampsia remained high but did not quite reach statistical significance (odds ratio 4.7, 0.90 to 24.8, p=0.066). In contrast, after allowance for confounders the odds ratios for gestational hypertension were close to unity (table 3). Birth weight and placental weight were not predicted by work status or blood pressure levels.

Job category
The proportions of women in the working group who were in jobs classified as sedentary, standing or active were 21%, 21%, 58% respectively was significantly different from those in the ENK group—22%, 44%, 34% (χ²=15.2, p< 0.001). Within the working group women who had jobs classified as active had significantly higher mean daytime diastolic (p=0.065), night time diastolic (p=0.04) and 24 hour diastolic (p=0.02) blood pressure compared with women in jobs classified as sedentary (table 4). There was no relation between job category and hypertensive outcome during pregnancy (χ²=0.58, p=0.96).

DISCUSSION
We have shown a significant independent relation between maternal work and ambulatory blood pressure levels in mid-pregnancy. In addition, we found that maternal work was significantly associated with the subsequent development of pre-eclampsia. After adjustment for possible confounders, women in the working group, were still almost five times more likely to develop pre-eclampsia than those not working. In contrast, there were no significant blood pressure differences between women in the ENK group and those not working.

The cohort we have studied was drawn from the antenatal clinics of the Rotunda Hospital Dublin. The proportion of women who developed pre-eclampsia was 2.2% and is similar to that reported elsewhere in a healthy primigravid population. The homogeneous nature of this cohort (all white, all primigravid), the exclusion of women with...
important blood pressure confounders (pre-existing hypertension, renal disease, and diabetes mellitus) and the size of the study decrease the chance that the associations described are attributable to bias. However, an important limitation of our data is that the work status of the women was defined at the time of monitoring (18–24 weeks). This may not reflect their work status throughout pregnancy. In addition, the ENK group were self selected in that, despite being informed that the monitors were designed to be worn on a work day, they chose to absent themselves from work. The different pattern of job categories compared with the working group and the fact that their ambulatory blood pressure readings reflected a non-working day means that the data from the ENK group are more difficult to interpret. We have therefore focused on the comparison between the working and not working groups but included the ENK group results for completeness.

We have confirmed the previously reported positive relation of both body mass index and smoking with blood pressure levels.\textsuperscript{16}–\textsuperscript{18} We did not, however, confirm the results of many studies that have observed that non-smokers are at higher risk of developing pre-eclampsia.\textsuperscript{19}–\textsuperscript{21} In addition, we observed a strong inverse relation between age and systolic blood pressure. To our knowledge, this is the first time that this relation has been noted. In contrast, several studies in non-pregnant populations have reported that ambulatory blood pressure increases with age.\textsuperscript{22} We can only speculate that this finding may be specific to pregnancy but the underlying mechanism is not apparent.

Our findings are in keeping with other reports that maternal work in pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of pre-eclampsia.\textsuperscript{23}–\textsuperscript{25} It has been suggested that the association is strongest in those who are employed in high stress jobs, defined in the widely used occupational database developed by Karasek, as jobs with a high psychological demand and low decision latitude.\textsuperscript{26,27} Our study design did not allow us to define which features of work predispose to pre-eclampsia. Nor could we allow for important socioeconomic factors that may have confounded our results. While job categorisation by title did show some significant effects on absolute blood pressure levels much more detailed information about the precise physical activity levels and the perceived stress levels would be required to isolate factors within work likely to predispose to the development of pre-eclampsia. This may also explain why we did not find an association between birth or placental weight and work. It is now widely accepted that it is specifically heavy strenuous physical work, especially if there is prolonged standing, that is associated with low birth weight.\textsuperscript{28,29} The explanation for the association between work and pre-eclampsia is unknown, but it has been suggested that the stress of work leads to an increased release of catecholamines and a daylong sympathetic response that increases blood pressure.\textsuperscript{29} Sympathetic overactivity has recently been reported in pre-eclampsia.\textsuperscript{30} It is, therefore, an important observation of our study that this association was independent of mid-pregnancy blood pressure (table 3). The different pattern of results noted for gestational hypertension (hypertension alone) compared with pre-eclampsia (proteinuric hypertension) further emphasises the need to clearly distinguish these two outcomes. In contrast with pre-eclampsia, gestational hypertension is associated with little or no excess morbidity during pregnancy. However, on long term follow up, it is clear that gestational hypertension a marker for the development of essential hypertension.\textsuperscript{31} This is in keeping with our observation, that after allowance for confounders, the relative risk ratios for gestational hypertension were close to unity suggesting that any effect of work on the development of gestational hypertension may be blood pressure mediated.

The demonstration of an increase in absolute blood pressure levels in association with maternal work mirrors the findings of studies on the effect of work on blood pressure levels in non-pregnant populations. The absence of any blood pressure difference between women in the ENK group compared with those in the not working group suggests that the relation may be both causal and reversible. While, the differences in absolute blood pressure levels between the groups were small it is important to note that our measurements were taken at 18–24 weeks when blood pressure levels are at their nadir. In keeping with the study of Churchill et al, we did not find a relation between ambulatory blood pressure levels in mid-pregnancy and birth weight.\textsuperscript{32} In contrast, Churchill et al did report that there is a continuous inverse relation between fetal growth and maternal ambulatory blood in the latter part of pregnancy.\textsuperscript{33} Thus, even within the normal blood pressure range differences between work and non-work blood pressures in late pregnancy may impact on pregnancy outcome.

We believe that the association we have demonstrated between maternal work and increased blood pressure levels may have clinical implications for the management of blood pressure in late pregnancy. In the third trimester, the physical burden of pregnancy is more marked, vascular reactivity is higher\textsuperscript{34} and blood pressure levels are closer to threshold values requiring increased surveillance or intervention. For clinical management, it would be important to be able to compare blood pressure on a work day with a non-work day in an individual woman. Ambulatory blood pressure measurement makes an assessment of these differences a practical clinical option.

Our study provides information about one aspect of work in pregnancy. Further studies using ambulatory blood pressure are now warranted. They should focus on the third trimester and attempt to define the characteristics of work that alter blood pressure and the characteristics of work that predispose to pre-eclampsia. They should include multiparous women to allow for the effect of work at home. Ultimately these data may be important to allow the clinician to optimise the management of hypertension in pregnancy.
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