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**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>Analysis of Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Cystic Fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFQ-R</td>
<td>Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTR</td>
<td>Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane conductance Regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Computed Tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>Forced Expiratory Volume in one second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Interleukin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWCF</td>
<td>Patients with cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abstract

Background: Ivacaftor produces significant clinical benefit in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) with the G551D mutation. Prevalence of this mutation at Cork CF Centre is 23%. This study assessed the impact of CFTR modulation on multiple modalities of patient assessment.

Methods: Thirty three patients with the G551D mutation were assessed at baseline and prospectively three monthly for one year post initiation of ivacaftor. Change in ultra-low dose chest CT, blood inflammatory mediators, and sputum microbiome were assessed.

Results: Significant improvements in FEV$_1$, BMI and sweat chloride were observed post-ivacaftor. Improvement in ultra-low dose CT scores were observed after treatment with significant mean reductions in total Bhalla score ($p<0.01$), peri-bronchial thickening ($p = 0.035$) and extent of mucus plugging ($p<0.001$). Reductions in circulating inflammatory markers, including IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-8 were demonstrated. There was a 30% reduction in the relative abundance of *Pseudomonas* spp. and an increase in the relative abundance of bacteria associated with more stable community structures. Post-treatment community richness increased significantly ($p = 0.03$).

Conclusions: Early and sustained improvements on ultra-low-dose CT scores suggest it may be a useful method of evaluating treatment response. It was paralleled improvement in symptoms, circulating inflammatory markers, and changes in the lung microbiota.
Introduction

Ivacaftor is the first treatment which treats the underlying cause of cystic Fibrosis (CF) by enhancing cystic fibrosis conductance transmembrane regulatory (CFTR) function in the setting of class III and IV mutations (1-3). In class III mutations, the CFTR channel is located at the correct site at the cell surface but fails to open and close normally. The G551D mutation is the most common class III mutation with a worldwide prevalence of 4-5% (4). There is significant regional variation (5). Prevalence of the G551D mutation in Cork CF Centre is 23%, making it uniquely placed to offer a single centre insight on treatment response. Ivacaftor treatment results in significant improvement in lung function (FEV\textsubscript{1}), increase in body mass index (BMI), improved respiratory symptoms, reduction in sweat chloride concentration, and reduction in pulmonary exacerbations in patients with CF with the G551D mutation (1, 2).

Chest CT changes including mild bronchiectasis can be demonstrated in people with CF (PWCF) who have a normal FEV\textsubscript{1}. CT evidence of disease progression has been observed in individuals whose FEV\textsubscript{1} has remained stable over time. A small study using standard CT protocols before and after one year of ivacaftor demonstrated improvement (6). Low dose CT scanning has not been used prospectively to evaluate treatment effect. We assessed the effect of ivacaftor on low dose chest CT.

Microbiology studies have suggested subtle changes in the lung microbiome after commencement of ivacaftor (7), thus we assessed the lung microbiota after treatment. Recent data have demonstrated no significant change in sputum cytokines after commencement of ivacaftor. We assessed change in circulating inflammatory markers after treatment. We hypothesis that CFTR modulatory therapy may result in improvement in low dose chest CT, circulating inflammatory mediators and changes in the lung microbiota.
Methods

All patients with CF aged six years or older with at least one copy of the G551D mutation attending Cork CF centre were started on Ivacaftor post March 2013 and followed prospectively, for a mean period of follow-up of 12 months. Prevalence of the G551D mutation at Cork CF centre is 23%, with 51 of 220 patients carrying at least one copy of the G551D mutation. Thirty three patients with the G551D mutation consented to participate. Eight children were too young to receive ivacaftor, 6 patients had already commenced ivacaftor either as part of a clinical trial or on a named patient (compassionate use) basis, two patients were post lung transplantation and three were undecided as to whether they wished to start treatment. According to the UK CF trust annual report 2015, there are 32 patients with the G551D in Northern Ireland, representing 8% of the CF population. According to the CF Registry of Ireland annual report there are 93 patients with the G551D mutation in the Republic of Ireland, representing 11.3% of the CF population. Thus this patient group represents approximately one quarter of patients with the G551D mutation on the island of Ireland. Ethical approval was obtained for the CORK (Clinical Outcome in Real-world Kalydeco) study, from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork Teaching Hospitals. Ivacaftor-naive patients with CF attended for assessment when clinically stable. Spirometry was performed according to ERS/ATS guidelines using CareFusion MicroLab™ spirometer which is calibrated on a regular basis (8). Sweat-testing was performed using a Macroduct™ system in accordance with manufacturer’s guidelines. Modified shuttle walk test was performed at visits. Patients aged 14 and older completed Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire Revised (CFQ-R) and for patients aged 6 -14 parents completed care-giver CFQ-R (9). Participants were assessed on a three monthly basis after ivacaftor. The numbers
of courses of intravenous antibiotic (IV) for pulmonary exacerbations were recorded prospectively for 12 months after ivacaftor and compared with the number of courses of IV antibiotics 12 months before commencing ivacaftor.

**Radiology**

Adapted ultra-low dose chest CT were performed (mean effective radiation dose 0.08 mSv), using a previously validated protocol (10), at baseline and after 3, 6 and 12 months of treatment on participants aged 16 and older. Chest CT scans were scored by consensus of two experienced consultant radiologists using a Bhalla scoring system (11).

**Sputum**

Adult patients produced a sputum sample at baseline when clinically stable, before commencing ivacaftor and at three monthly clinic review post ivacaftor when able to expectorate.

**Blood samples**

Blood samples were collected on all patients in bottles containing sodium citrate with the plasma layer separated and stored at -80 °C. Circulating inflammatory markers - including *IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-α and CRP*- were measured using a multiplex enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (MesoScale Discovery (MSD) platform) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines (12, 13).
**Molecular detection - Illumina MiSeq sequencing**

Processing of sputum samples and sequence library preparation is described in detail within the Online Supplement. 16S rRNA marker gene sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, CA, USA) targeting the V4 the hyper-variable region of the 16S rRNA gene as previously described (14). Intra-sample similarities/differences were assessed through relative abundance changes in microbial taxa between visit time-points, sample richness (number of counted taxa) and diversity (Shannon Wiener Index).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data was analysed using SPSS version 22.0. A mean post follow up value was calculated for each parameter for each patient (mean of available 3, 6, 9 and 12 month values) and this post value was compared to the value before commencing ivacaftor. Blood inflammatory marker data was log$_{10}$ transformed before analysis. Paired sample t test was used to evaluate mean change from baseline for normally distributed variables. Paired Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to evaluate the change in non-normally distributed data. Repeated measures ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons were used to compare changes in chest CT score. Pearson's and Spearman’s Rank correlation coefficients, as appropriate to the distribution, were used to evaluate correlation between clinical parameters, blood biomarkers and chest CT scores.
Results

Clinical

Twenty adults and 13 paediatric patients participated. Table 1 summarises baseline characteristics. The mean age of the cohort was 21.6 years and 70% of participants were male. The mean baseline FEV$_1$ % predicted was 75.21% (SD 20.7). Mean baseline sweat chloride was 101 mmol/l (SD 14.7). The majority (85%) of patients had the F508del mutation as their second mutation. One patient was homozygous for the G551D mutation. All other patients had a class I or II mutation as their second mutation.

After commencement of therapy a 10.3% mean increase in FEV$_1$ % predicted was observed ($p<0.001$) and a 58 mmol/l mean reduction in sweat chloride were observed after treatment ($p<0.001$) (Figure 1). After 1 year of treatment 83% of patients had a sweat chloride below the level considered diagnostic for CF (60 mmol/l) (supplemental data e-figure 2). No significant relationship was observed between the magnitude of change in FEV$_1$ and the magnitude of change in sweat chloride after 3, 6, 9 or 12 months of treatment (e-figure 3). A 76% reduction in pulmonary exacerbations requiring intravenous antibiotics was observed in the first year of therapy compared to the year before treatment; with a reduction in the mean number of exacerbations per patients from 0.88 to 0.21 ($p=0.006$). A significant 109 metre mean increase in modified shuttle walk test ($p=0.001$) and a 1.2 kg/m$^2$ significant mean increase in BMI ($p<0.001$) were observed after ivacaftor (Figure 2). These results are in keeping with those observed in previous studies (1, 7).

A 17.5 point mean increase in adult ($p<0.001$) and an 8.8 point mean increase in caregiver completed CFQ-R Respiratory Domain ($p=0.08$) were observed (e-Figure 4). Changes in other domains of the CFQ-R can be found in the online supplement (e-Table 1).
**Radiology**

Eighteen adults had a low dose chest CT performed before ivacaftor and after 3, 6 and 12 months of treatment, with CT findings summarised in Table 2. In this group a 12% mean increase in FEV$_1$ % predicted ($p<0.01$), 58 mmol/l mean reduction in sweat chloride ($p < 0.01$) and 1.6 Kg/m$^2$ mean increase in BMI were observed. Repeat measures ANOVA demonstrated significant mean reductions in total Bhalla score ($p < 0.01$), peri-bronchial thickening ($p = 0.035$), and extent of mucus plugging ($p < 0.01$) with treatment. There was no statistically significant change in the severity and extent of bronchiectasis, number of bullae, emphysema, presence of sacculation or abscesses and the generations of bronchial divisions involved in bronchiectasis and plugging (Table 2). Post hoc testing using a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons demonstrated significant improvement from baseline in total Bhalla score ($p < 0.01$) and mucus plugging ($p < 0.01$) after 3, 6 and 12 months. E-Images 1 and 2 illustrate representative chest CT’s before and after treatment.

**Culture independent analysis**

Analysis of airway microbial community composition was performed for a subset of patients (n=14) who provided a sputum for pre- and at least one post-treatment sputum sample. For patients with more than one post-treatment sample, we analysed the last sample collected representing the change from baseline (pre-treatment sample). Five main taxa, which accounted for >1% of total sequence reads in each of the corresponding samples, dominated the overall community in both pre- and post-treatment sample groups (Figure 3a). Following treatment, there was a 30% (68% to 53%) reduction in the relative proportion of *Pseudomonas* spp. within the community. In contrast, there was an overall increase in the
relative proportion of *Streptococcus* spp., *Rothia* spp., *Haemophilus* spp. and *Prevotella* spp., ranging from 19% to 80% post-treatment (Figure 3a).

Taxonomic richness (i.e. the number of taxa present in a sample at a particular taxonomic level) increased significantly following treatment ($p = 0.031$; paired Student's t-test) (Figure 3b). We observed an increase in community diversity post-treatment but this did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.069$; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 3c).

**Circulating inflammatory markers**

Significant reductions in circulating $\log_{10}$ IL-6 ($p < 0.01$), $\log_{10}$ IL-8 ($p < 0.01$), $\log_{10}$ IL-10 ($< 0.01$), $\log_{10}$ IL-1β ($p < 0.01$) and $\log_{10}$ CRP ($p = 0.015$) were observed after treatment (e-figure 6). A non-significant reduction in $\log_{10}$ TNF-α was observed after commencement of treatment ($p = 0.06$).

**Discussion**

This is the first study to utilise ultra-low dose chest CT (mean dose 0.08 mSv per scan) to serially examine the ivacaftor response over a one year period, allowing an assessment of the key mechanisms underlying clinical response and assessing its utility in a clinical setting to monitor CFTR modulatory therapy response. Significant improvements in ultra-low dose chest CT were observed early, after three months of treatment, with further improvements noted after 1 year of therapy. The greatest improvements on chest CT were in the extent of mucus plugging. This is in consistent with *in vitro* studies where ivacaftor enhanced airway surface liquid and ciliary beat frequency (15, 16). The limitation of using FEV$_1$ to assess treatment response in particular in patients with relatively preserved lung function is well
recognised (17). Thus we suggest ultra-low dose chest CT may represent a biomarker of early and sustained treatment response post CFTR modulation. It may be a useful potential new outcome measure in clinical care with broad application across CF sites. The growing awareness that patients with CF are at increased risk of certain neoplasms supports using low dose CT to reduce this risk (18, 19).

There was no statistically significant change in bronchiectasis on chest CT after ivacaftor therapy in contrast to a previous smaller study which included adults and children (6). Our study supports that in established lung damage bronchiectasis is not reversible with CFTR modulatory therapy. It remains to be established if treatment with ivacaftor will delay or prevent the development of bronchiectasis in those who start treatment early.

We observed significant improvements in lung function, weight, walk test, and CFQ-R after commencement of ivacaftor. The improvement in lung function was in keeping with that observed in the clinical trials and larger than that observed in other observational studies in people on treatment outside of clinical trials (7). This may be due to the fact that our cohort had a lower baseline FEV₁ than those in other observational studies. The improvement in respiratory domain of the CFQ-R was larger than that observed in the clinical trials (1, 2). In keeping with previous work (n=24) no correlation was observed between the change in FEV₁ % predicted and change in sweat chloride at any of the time points suggesting it is a poor marker of treatment response (20).

We have demonstrated that following ivacaftor treatment, significant changes occur in the microbial community composition. The reduction in the relative abundance for members of Pseudomonas spp. is in keeping with previous data (7). The increase in Streptococcus spp., as
well as in the obligate anaerobic taxa Prevotella spp. and Veillonella spp., demonstrates a potentially important clinical trend. The increase taxonomic richness and movement towards increased community diversity post-treatment is important given previous work demonstrating a relationship between greater lung microbial diversity and better lung function (21). Significant changes in the community composition of the airway microbiota in patients with CF have been shown to occur during the progression of the disease (22). These changes include the narrowing in the spectrum of bacterial taxa, associated with a reduction in taxonomic richness and community diversity. The reason behind such a change in the community composition has been hard to elucidate and multifactorial, though increased patient age and antimicrobial treatment burden in chronically colonized patients (23) has been shown to play a significant part (21, 23). The subtle reversal towards a more "stable" microbiota similar to a “healthier” CF lung microbiome is important and may reflect disease reversal. That said a significant 76% reduction in intravenous antibiotic requirements was observed in the year after ivacaftor which also would contributed to this finding. Changes within the lung environment may alter the lung environment sufficiently to driving such change (24).

We observed significant reduction in a number of circulating inflammatory markers. This ‘real-world’ finding is consistent with the results from a group of patients in the clinical trials which demonstrated a significant reduction in circulating neutrophil count, IL-8, CRP and IgG after 24 weeks of treatment (25). That said another real-world cohort failed to demonstrate a significant change in sputum cytokines in patients with CF treated with ivacaftor (7). Inflammation in the CF lung is characterised by an excess influx of polymorph nuclear neutrophils (PMNs) (26-29). Exaggerated inflammation develops early in the course of CF, with elevated levels of inflammatory markers being demonstrated in the lung of
infants with CF in the absence of overt infection (26, 27, 30-33). Previous studies have
demonstrated elevated levels of circulating inflammatory markers, including IL-2, IL 6, IL-8
and TNF-α in patients with CF compared to control cohorts (34-39). Similarly some studies
have demonstrated reduction in circulating inflammatory markers after antibiotic therapy for
pulmonary exacerbations (40). (Whether the reduction seen in our cohort is due solely to a
decrease in pulmonary exacerbations observed in our cohort or augmented by potential
changes in immune function post restoration of CFTR function remains to be evaluated given
that studies have demonstrated improved neutrophil function after ivacaftor therapy (41, 42).

Given the sustained improvements in chest CT observed from 3 months after
commencement of ivacaftor - in particular improved mucus plugging and peri-bronchial
thickening we suggest that enhanced mucus clearance is a key mechanism underlying the
increase in lung function and reduction in pulmonary exacerbations observed in patients
treated with ivacaftor. This is consistent with in vitro studies where ivacaftor resulted in
improved airway surface liquid and ciliary beat frequency (15, 16).

The subsequent improvement in symptoms, reduction in circulating inflammatory
markers, and subtle changes in the lung microbiome are likely secondary factors contributing
to this improvement.

Limitations

A limitation of this study is that it is observational in nature without a control group who did
not receive ivacaftor treatment. However, the benefits of ivacaftor relative to placebo have
been well demonstrated in existing trials, the observed improvements are similar to those
reported in the above trials and would be disproportionate to changes expected in untreated
cohort. We avoided recruitment sample related variability given that we included the entire
cohort of patients attending our designated referral centre for the region. All eligible patients received the drug as it was funded by the state and not dependant on health insurance status.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates sustained improvement after ivacaftor across multiple modalities of assessment including multiple clinical parameters, ultra-low dose chest CT, blood inflammatory markers and lung microbiome. It suggests the potential utility of ultra-low dose chest CT as an approach for assessing treatment response.
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Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N = 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% male)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% predicted)</td>
<td>75.21 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>51.26 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk test (metres)</td>
<td>1002 (320)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweat test (mmol/l)</td>
<td>101 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G551D/F508del</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G551D/G551D</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G551D/3028delA</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G551d/1717-1G → A</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G551D/E56K</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G551D/R553x</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Chest CT Bhalla Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bhalla score (range)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean score (SD)</td>
<td>Mean score (SD)</td>
<td>Mean score (SD)</td>
<td>Mean score (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 18</td>
<td>N = 18</td>
<td>N = 18</td>
<td>N = 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bhalla score (0-25)</td>
<td>12.56 (4.2)</td>
<td>10.94 (3.6)</td>
<td>10.22 (3.4)</td>
<td>10.33 (3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peri-bronchial thickening (0-3)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of bronchiectasis (0-3)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.11 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of bronchiectasis</td>
<td>2.83 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.83 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.78 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.83 (0.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacculation or abscesses (0-3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generations of bronchial divisions involved (0-3)</td>
<td>2.44 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.44 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bullae (0-3)</td>
<td>0.67 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema (0-2)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse/consolidation (0-2)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Change in FEV1 % predicted and sweat chloride concentration at baseline and after 1 year of ivacaftor therapy
Figure 2 Change in BMI and modified shuttle walk test after ivacaftor
Figure 3 (a) Stacked bar representing the relative abundance of genera accounting for the total sequence count in each group, (b) taxonomic richness and (c) Shannon Wiener Index of diversity. The top and bottom boundaries of each box indicate 75th and 25th quartile values, respectively, with the blue line inside each box representing the median (50th quartile). The ends of the whiskers indicate the 95% CI around the median. Difference between normally distributed variables (richness) was evaluated using a paired t-test. Difference between non-normally distributed variables (Shannon Wiener diversity) was assessed using Mann-Whitney test. P < 0.05 denotes statistical significance.
e-Appendix 1.

Radiology

CT technique and image reconstruction

All studies were acquired using a 64-slice multidetector CT scanner (General Electric Discovery CT 750 HD; GE Healthcare, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA) without intravenous contrast material.

7-section low-dose protocol

A modified 7-section, low-dose axial CT protocol previously validated at our institution was used for the pre-treatment and first 12-month quarterly studies.17

Single anteroposterior and mediolateral localizer radiographs were used to identify 5 levels, evenly spaced, at which images were acquired. Images were obtained with the patient at end-inspiration through the lung apices, aortopulmonary window, carina, and at the widest cardiac and thoracic diameters. 2 further images were obtained with the patient in full expiration at the aortopulmonary window and at the widest cardiac diameter. The following parameters were used: tube voltage of 120 kV; gantry rotation time of 0.4 seconds; field of view (FOV) of 32cm; and z-axis automatic tube current modulation with minimum and maximum tube current thresholds set at 10 and 100 mA with a tolerated noise index of 29HU. Images were acquired at each of the 7 levels at a slice thickness of 0.625mm and reconstructed to a slice thickness of 1.25 mm with the standard departmental protocol employing hybrid IR: 70% filtered back projection and 30% adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction (ASIR)(GE Healthcare, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, USA).

Full-volume low-dose protocol

A low-dose volumetric protocol was used to acquire the 24-month surveillance CT. the following technical parameters in combination with model-based iterative reconstruction (MBIR); Veo (GE Healthcare, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, USA) were used: tube voltage of 80 kV; tube current of 20mA; gantry rotation time of 0.4 seconds; pitch factor of 1.375; and FOV of 32cm.
Scanning was performed at end-inspiration from the lung apices to the bases, including the costophrenic recesses. No additional expiratory phase imaging was performed. Images were acquired at a slice thickness of 0.625 mm and reconstructed at a final slice thickness of 1.25 mm.

Quantification of lung disease

Disease severity was scored independently by two readers (MMM and OJOC) using a validated scoring system (Bhalla score). Both readers had significant prior experience of MBIR-reconstructed images. To minimize the effects of recall bias, all datasets were anonymized and reviewed in a random order. In addition, a 6-week delay was instituted between the review of the baseline and 1-year LD-ASIR studies and the 2-year LD-MBIR studies. Images were reviewed on lung window settings (window width, 1500 HU; window level: -500 HU) on the picture archiving and communication system using axial reformations (Impax 6.5.3; Agfa healthcare, Morstel, Belgium).

The presence and severity of 9 morphological changes were evaluated including: severity of bronchiectasis; peribronchial thickening; extent of bronchiectasis (number of bronchopulmonary segments); extent of mucus plugging (number of lung segments); abscesses or sacculations (number of lung segments); generations of the bronchial divisions involved; number of bullae; air trapping (number of lung segments); and collapse/consolidation. A score of 0 to 3 (0: absent; 1: mild; 2: moderate; 3: severe) was assigned to each category to give a total score ranging from 0 to 25. A score of 0 indicated that no abnormality was detected.

Online supplements are not copyedited prior to posting and the author(s) take full responsibility for the accuracy of all data.
e-Figure 1. Interval resolution of right middle lobe medial segmental consolidation and collapse and reduction in the degree of peribronchial wall thickening and foci of mucous plugging in the left and right lower lobes. Persistent residual mucous plugging in the lingula anteriorly.
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e-Figure 2. Interval reduction in degree of peribronchial wall thickening, mucous plugging and tree in bud opacification, with the degree of bronchiectasis remaining unchanged.

![Image](image3)

![Image](image4)
**Blood inflammatory mediators**

Blood samples were diluted 1:1 with PBS and separated using a ficoll banding. The plasma was frozen immediately at -80°C. Plasma samples were subsequently thawed on ice. Circulating inflammatory mediators were measured using a mesoscale discovery (MSD) platform in keeping with manufacturer’s guidelines.

**Sputum Microbiome**

Sputum processing for microbiological analysis

Frozen sputum samples were thawed and any sputum plugs were separated from any saliva like material for analysis. For pre-lysis of sputum plugs transfer ~100mg of sputum plugs to a sterile Eppendorf tube and mixed with an equal volume of 10% dithiothreitol (DTT, Sputolysin®, Calbiochem, CA, USA). Each sample was mixed by vigorous vortexing and incubated at room temperature (R°T) for 30 minutes on a thermo-shaker at 2000 RMP. Next add 200 µl lysis buffer (5 mg/mL lysozyme in BLB [Roche Bacteria Lysis Buffer]), vortex thoroughly and incubate for 30 minutes at 37°C in an orbital thermo-shaker at 2000 RMP. Transfer the total volume to a glass bead tubes (matrix A) and homogenize on the FastPrep®-24 instrument (MP Biomedical, CA, USA) at speed setting 6.0 for 40 seconds. Remove the sample tubes from the FastPrep®-24 instrument and centrifuge at 13,000 x g for 1 minute. To the homogenized sample add 32 µl of proteinase K (20 mg/mL, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and mix thoroughly by vortexing. Incubate at 65°C for 10 minutes on a heated thermo-shaker at 1500 RMP. Next add 150µl of nuclease free water, place the tube in the FastPrep®-24 instrument (MP Biomedical, CA, USA) and homogenize at speed setting 6.0 for 40 seconds. Incubate the homogenized sample at 95°C for 10 minutes on a heated thermo-shaker at 1000 RMP. Finally, centrifuge at 10,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C and transfer 200 µl to a sterile Eppendorf tube for storing at -80°C until further use.

Extraction of gDNA from sputum samples

Pre-lysed samples were extracted on the Roche MagNA Pure extraction system (Roche Diagnostics Limited, West Sussex, UK) according to manufacturer’s instructions.
Library preparation for the Illumina MiSeq amplicon sequencing

Sample processing and library generation was performed as previously described by Lundberg et al. (1) Briefly, the library generation was as follows: PCR 1: Pre-amplification of 16S rRNA marker gene region is necessary for potentially low biomass template in order to carry enough tagged amplicon through to the final indexing-amplification steps. Perform PCR using ~200 ng of gDNA from each sample. Using non-modified primers targeting positions 515F and 806R within the V4 region of the 16S rRNA marker gene prepare a mastermix solution [5µl 5x Phusion Hifi Buffer, 0.5µl (10 mM) dNTP, 1 µl (10 µM) V4 primer mix; 0.25 µl Phusion HS II polymerase and make to 25 µl per reaction using DEPC water] and amplify using the following condition: 98°C for 30 seconds (x1) à98°C for 10 seconds + 52°C for 30 seconds + 72°C for 20 seconds (10 cycles) à72°C for 5 minutes àhold at 4°C for ¥. Next clean-up the PCR products from PCR 1 using AxyPrep Mag PCR Clean-up kit as follows; vortex magnetic beads well before use to resuspend any magnetic beads that may have settled. Aliquot 15 µl of Axygen beads to 10 µl of PCR product into a sterile 96 well plate. Mix well by repeated pipetting and incubate at R°T for 5 minutes. Place the reaction plate onto the IMAG magnetic separation device and wait until the liquid turns clear. Remove the clear liquid from the plate and discard. Next add 180 µl of 70% EtOH to each well of the reaction plate and incubate for 30 seconds at R°T. Remove the 70% EtOH from each well and discard. Repeat the previous step once. Air dry the beads at R°T for no more than 5 minutes and be careful not to over dry the magnetic beads as this will cause the beads to crack and lead to decreased elution efficiency. Ensure that all the ethanol has been removed from each well. Add 11 µl of molecular grade H2O to each well. Remove reaction plate from the IMAG separation device and mix well by gentle vortexing. Place the reaction plate onto the IMAG separation device for 1 minute to separate the beads from the solution. Transfer 10 µl of the cleaned up PCR product to a sterile 96 well plate for the next PCR step. PCR 2: Reverse Tagging Step using the cleaned product from PCR 1 using equimolar mixture of the reverse frame-shift (FS) primers 808R_f1, 808R_f2, 808R_f3, 808R_f4, 808R_f5, 808R_f6). Primers are combined into a working stock of 0.5 µM. Perform 1 cycle PCR using 10µl of product from PCR 1. Prepare a mastermix solution [5 µl 5x Phusion Hifi Buffer, 0.5 µl (10 mM) dNTP, 2 µl (0.5 µM, Reverse_MT_tag Primer mix); 0.25 µl Phusion HS II polymerase and 7.25 µl DEPC water] and amplify using the following condition: 98°C for 60 seconds (x1) à98°C for 10 seconds + 50°C for 30 seconds + 72°C for 60 seconds (1 cycle) àhold at 4°C for ¥. Next clean-up the PCR products from PCR 1 using AxyPrep Mag PCR Clean-up kit as follows; vortex magnetic beads well before use to resuspend any magnetic beads that may have settled. Aliquot 15 µl of Axygen beads to 10 µl of PCR product into a sterile 96 well plate. Mix well by repeated pipetting and incubate at R°T for 5 minutes. Place the
reaction plate onto the IMAG magnetic separation device and wait until the liquid goes clear. Remove the clear liquid from the plate and discard. Next add 180 µl of 70% EtOH to each well of the reaction plate and incubate for 30 seconds at R°T. Remove the 70% EtOH from each well and discard. Repeat the previous step once. Air dry the beads at R°T for no more than 5 minutes and be careful not to over dry the magnetic beads as this will cause the beads to crack and lead to decreased elution efficiency. Ensure that all the ethanol has been removed from each well. Add 11 µl of DEPC water to each well. Remove reaction plate from the IMAG separation device and mix well by gentle vortexing. Place the reaction plate onto the IMAG separation device for 1 minute to separate the beads from the solution. Transfer 10 µl of the cleaned up PCR product to a sterile 96 well plate for the next PCR step. 

PCR 3: Forward-Tagging Step using the cleaned product from PCR 2 using equimolar mixture of the forward frame-shift (FS) primers 515F_f1, 515F_f2, 515F_f3, 515F_f4, 515F_f5, 515F_f6. Primers are combined into a working stock of 0.5 µM. Perform 1 cycle PCR using 10 µl of product from PCR 2. Prepare a mastermix solution [5 µl 5x Phusion Hifi Buffer, 0.5 µl (10 mM) dNTP, 2 µl (0.5 µM, Reverse_MT_tag Primer mix); 0.25 µl Phusion HS II polymerase and 7.25 µl DEPC water] and amplify using the following condition: 98°C for 60 seconds (x1) à 98°C for 10 seconds + 50°C for 30 seconds + 72°C for 60 seconds (1 cycle) à hold at 4°C for ¥. Next clean-up the PCR products from PCR 3 using AxyPrep Mag PCR Clean-up kit as follows; vortex magnetic beads well before use to resuspend any magnetic beads that may have settled. Aliquot 17.5 µl of Axygen beads to 10 µl of PCR product into a sterile 96 well plate. Mix well and incubate at R°T for 5 minutes. Next place the reaction plate onto the IMAG magnetic separation device and wait until the liquid goes clear. Remove the clear liquid from the plate and discard. Add 180 µl of 70% EtOH to each well of the reaction plate and incubate for 30 seconds at R°T. Remove the 70% EtOH from each well and discard. Repeat previous step once. Air dry the beads at R°T for no more than 5 minutes, be careful not to over dry the magnetic beads as this will cause the beads to crack and lead to decreased elution efficiency. Ensure that all the ethanol has been removed from each well. Add 16 µl of DEPC water to each well and remove the reaction plate from the IMAG magnetic separation device and mix well to resuspend the magnetic beads. Next place the reaction plate onto the IMAG separation device for 1 minute to separate the beads from the solution. Transfer 15 µl of the cleaned up PCR product to a sterile 96 well plate for the next PCR step. 

PCR 4: Nextera-Adapter/Indexing Amplification step by performing a 34 cycle PCR, targeting the V4 region of the 16S rRNA marker gene, using 15 µl of the cleaned reverse and forward tagged product from step PCR 3. Each reaction will have the same forward primers and a unique reverse primer which acts as the index (barcode) for each sample. The forward and reverse primers are typically diluted to a working stock of 5 µM
and can be added separately to each reaction (the forward primer is universal and could be added to any master-mixes instead), or the forward primer can be added to each reverse primer in a working stock in a plate for further use. Prepare a mastermix solution [10 µl 5x Phusion Hifi Buffer, 1µl (10 mM) dNTP, 2.5 µl forward primer (SEQ_V4_F; AATGATACGGGACACCGAGATCTACACAGCCTCCCTCGCCACATCAGATGTG); 2.5µl reverse primer (INDEX_R_bc1 to bc96; CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATXXXXXXX GTGACTGGAGTTACAGACGTGCTC); 0.5 µl Phusion HS II polymerase and 7.25 µl DEPC water] and amplify using the following condition: 98°C for 30 seconds (x1) à98°C for 10 seconds + 63°C for 30 seconds + 72°C for 30 seconds (34 cycle) àhold at 4°C for ¥. Next run 5 µl of each reaction on a 1% agarose gel to visually confirm presence of products (~453bp). Clean the PCR products from step PCR 4 with AxyPrep Mag PCR Clean-up kit as follows; vortex magnetic beads well before use to resuspend any magnetic beads that may have settled. Aliquot 35 µl of Axygen beads and the entire PCR product into a sterile 96 well plate and mix well and incubate at R°T for 5 minutes. Next place the reaction plate onto the IMAG magnetic separation device and wait until the liquid goes clear. Remove the clear liquid from the plate and discard. Add 180 µl of 70% EtOH to each well of the reaction plate and incubate for 30 seconds at R°T. Remove the 70% EtOH from each well and discard. Repeat the previous step once. Air dry the beads at R°T for no more than 5 minutes, avoiding to not over drying the magnetic beads as this will cause the beads to crack and lead to decreased elution efficiency. Next add 50 µl of DEPC water to each well and remove reaction plate from the IMAG magnetic separation device and mix well. Place the reaction plate back onto the IMAG magnetic separation device for 1 minute to separate the beads from the solution. Transfer all of the cleaned up PCR product to a sterile 96 well plate. Next quantify products using Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay kit (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) in a 96 well plate using 2 µl of cleaned product. Pool equimolar amounts from each sample adding no more than 20 µl of each reaction to the final pool. Typically, only reactions that failed will need to be added at this volume (the pool will not be equimolar for them). Gel purify the pool by running it on a 1% agarose gel and gel extracting the correct size band (~453bp) using the QIAEX II kit (Qiagen, Manchester,UK) according to manufactures instructions, removing as much of the excess agarose gel as possible. The final sample pool was quantified in triplicate using the Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay kit (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) and the concentration converted to nM (minimum 4 nM required). Samples were stored at -20°C/-80°C until submission for Illumina MiSeq sequencing.
Molecular detection - Illumina MiSeq data processing

Paired-end Illumina MiSeq sequences were processed using QIIME (Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology; version 1.8.0), (2) by joining the corresponding paired-end reads, removing the Illumina adapters and barcode sequences. We removed sequences with length less than 200 and longer than 400 nucleotides, as well as sequences with an average quality score (Phred score) of <Q30. Sequences lacking an exact match to a 5' primer were also removed from the dataset along with sequences that contained any mismatches in the barcode sequence. Following this initial processing step, we removed potential chimeric sequences from downstream processing through the implementation of Chimera Slayer. (3) Sequences were then clustered into their representative OTUs based on the 97% sequence identity using the UCLUST algorithm, (4) aligned against full length 16S rRNA marker gene sequences from the Greengenes reference alignment (version 13.8) by PyNAST (5) and assigned their taxonomic identities according to the Ribosomal Database Project Classifier Tool (v 2.2) (6) using a open reference OTU picking as implemented within QIIME. A number of taxa were detected in the background of the negative control, however this community lacked a strong dominance by any single taxon. Furthermore, singletons (i.e. taxa represented by a single read over all the samples) and OTUs representing potential human sequences, Archaea, Cyanobacteria, unassigned OTUs and those found in the background of the negative control were filtered out and treated as contaminating sequences prior to all downstream analysis. The resulting dataset was then converted to a final quality filtered OTU table and presented either normalised absolute counts or relative abundance.
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Supplemental Results

e-Figure 3. Mean Liver Function Test before and after treatment.
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e-Figure 4. Percentage of patients in each sweat chloride category.
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e-Figure 5. Relationship between change in FEV1 (% predicted) and change in sweat chloride (mmol/l).
## Change in Adult and Paediatric CFQ-R after commencement of ivacaftor

### e-Table 1 Adult CFQ-R (N = 20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFQ-R Domain</th>
<th>Mean baseline score (SD)</th>
<th>Mean change (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eating</td>
<td>92.78 (15.8)</td>
<td>2.036 (8.7)</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>86 (17.6)</td>
<td>4.35 (12.6)</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>66.25 (15.87)</td>
<td>5.5 (13.5)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion</td>
<td>79.76 (15.6)</td>
<td>2.4 (7.88)</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment burden</td>
<td>67.24 (18.56)</td>
<td>5.6 (14.9)</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health perception</td>
<td>74.46 (19.78)</td>
<td>6.1 (18.7)</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>78.33 (14.95)</td>
<td>0.88 (12.78)</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Image</td>
<td>69.46 (25.21)</td>
<td>8.8 (22.17)</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>86.26 (18.2)</td>
<td>1.66 (8.66)</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>58.34 (37.27)</td>
<td>22.63 (31.22)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive</td>
<td>86.12 (16.69)</td>
<td>3.06 (10.78)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
e-Figure 6. Change in CFQ-R respiratory domain.
### e-Table 2 Parent/care-giver CFQ-R (N = 11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFQ-R Domain</th>
<th>Mean baseline score (SD)</th>
<th>Mean change (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>94.96 (5.6)</td>
<td>1.74 (8.42)</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion</td>
<td>85.5 (12.3)</td>
<td>1.26 (12.79)</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>73.3 (17.75)</td>
<td>1.11 (10.67)</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>78.73 (17.36)</td>
<td>2.38 (13.3)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating</td>
<td>86.11 (18.57)</td>
<td>-0.236 (19.26)</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Image</td>
<td>75.9 (28.76)</td>
<td>13.73 (18.65)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Burden</td>
<td>62.97 (21.38)</td>
<td>-2.0 (17.5)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health perception</td>
<td>84.28 (12.9)</td>
<td>-0.7 (18.69)</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive</td>
<td>80.58 (16.48)</td>
<td>7.7 (15.5)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>63.89 (33.22)</td>
<td>21.76 (33)</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>