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Abstract

Exercise-Induced Bronchoconstriction (EIB) is an acute, transient airway narrowing occurring after exercise which may impact athletic performance. Studies report 10\% of the general population and up to 90\% of asthmatics experience EIB. Ninety-two players from three elite hurling squads underwent a spirometric field-based provocation test with real-time heart rate monitoring and lactate measurements to ensure adequate exertion. Players with a new diagnosis of EIB and those with a negative field-test but with a previous label of EIB or asthma underwent further reversibility testing and if negative, methacholine challenge. Eight (8.7\%) of
players had EIB, with one further athlete having asthma with a negative field test. Interestingly, only three out of 12 players who had previously been physician-labelled with EIB or asthma had their diagnosis objectively confirmed. Our study highlights the role of objective testing in EIB.

Introduction

Exercise Induced Bronchoconstriction (EIB) is an acute, transient airway narrowing defined as a 10% or more decline in FEV₁ that occurs after exercise. Usual symptoms include wheezing, shortness of breath, cough, or chest tightness during or after exercise. Symptoms usually occur during strenuous exercise and typically peak 5-10 minutes after exercise. Despite this, self-reported symptoms are poor predictors of EIB because several other conditions can mimic symptoms. Conversely, athletes with no respiratory symptoms can have a bronchoconstrictive response to exercise. Therefore, symptoms taken in isolation are unreliable when attempting to diagnose EIB. Exercise-Induced Bronchoconstriction is more common in endurance sports and sports that require high minute ventilation. More than 10% of the general population and up to 90% of persons previously diagnosed with asthma experience EIB. The reported prevalence of EIB in athletes varies widely between sports and ranges from 7 to 50%, although it approaches 90% in athletes with asthma.

The underlying mechanisms involved in this bronchoconstrictive response to exercise have not been fully elucidated with both airway cooling resulting from conditioning of inspired air and post-exercise rewarming of airways. The airway response in EIB is believed to be initiated by airway dehydration with subsequent subtle changes in osmolarity resulting in changes in airway cells and ensuing inflammation.

Hurling is the national sport of Ireland. It is played by two opposing teams of 15 players (squads of 30 players) on a grass surface and is considered to be one of the fastest team field sports (with the ball travelling up to 180km/hour), requiring a combination of anaerobic and aerobic stamina. Its combination of short bursts of speed are equivalent to those seen in ice-hockey but the size of the field (135–145 meters long and 80–90 meters wide) make the overall aerobic requirements similar to that of soccer. The sport is played all year round but with the main competitions played over the summer months. Despite being a national sport there is a paucity of research pertaining to exercise or medical related issues, with the majority of published research examining the epidemiology of sporting related injuries. In order to more accurately reflect the typical exercise required for hurling we performed a field test in the team’s own training environment. We modified the field test to incorporate facets of a laboratory-based test to ensure that the exercise performed would be vigorous enough to potentially provoke a bronchoconstrictive response.
Methods.

Ninety-two players from three senior inter-county hurling teams (Cork, Kilkenny and Tipperary) were recruited for the study in the period February-April 2015, during preseason training but prior to the commencement of the Senior Hurling Championship. This study was carried out in GAA Grounds as chosen by individual team coaches. This study was conducted with the approval of the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork Teaching Hospitals. All players underwent initial assessment comprising: a validated asthma control questionnaire if previously diagnosed with asthma\textsuperscript{11}; spirometry testing pre and post a standardised field-based provocation test; blood testing for total IgE level and RAST (radioallergosorbent test) testing (grass, house dust mite, cat and dog) and post-exercise capillary sampling for lactate. The exercise protocol utilized in this study involved each player performing two minutes of high intensity hurling manoeuvres incorporating the basic skills of the game.

These manoeuvres were immediately followed by at least four minutes high intensity running-extended as needed to maintain a heart rate $\geq 80\%$ predicted for four minutes. After this serial spirometry was performed to assess for a drop in FEV\textsubscript{1} in accordance with standard exercise provocation protocols\textsuperscript{1,12}. Pre-exercise and post-exercise spirometric data were measured using a calibrated, computerized, neumotachograph spirometer (Microlab Mark 8 Spirometer, Micro Direct, Inc. Lewiston, ME).

During the test, live heart rate monitoring was performed using the Polar H7 Heart rate sensor (Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland) in conjunction with Polar Team Sport application on the Apple\textregistered iPad (San Cupertino, California, USA). Using this device we were able to test 10 athletes simultaneously. Immediately after exercise a capillary blood lactate measurement was performed using the Simplified Blood Lactate Test Meter Lactate Pro2 LT-1730 (Arkay Inc. Kyoto, Japan). A qualified physician was present for all exercise testing. The ability to monitor heart rate in real-time is a unique facet of our field test, being more typical for a laboratory based test. This, in addition to on-site measurement of lactate insured an adequate test.

All eligible players consented to inclusion (any active member of the hurling squads). Those players with a prior diagnosis of either asthma or EIB were asked to withhold their medication in line with standard testing protocol\textsuperscript{4}. No exclusion criteria were applied. Players previously labeled with asthma and/or EIB, who had a negative field provocation test, subsequently underwent laboratory-based pulmonary function tests with a bronchodilator challenge and/or methacholine challenge in order to either formalize or out-rule a diagnosis of asthma\textsuperscript{13, 14}. Spirometry with reversibility testing was also subsequently performed in those players who had a positive field provocation test. Players with a new diagnosis, or whose diagnosis was confirmed, or whose diagnosis was not confirmed were
formally reviewed at a dedicated asthma clinic prior to either discontinuing or instigating therapy. Data were analysed using standard statistical methods with IBM SPSS Statistics v21. A p value less than 0.05 was deemed significant.

**Results**

Ninety-two players were tested, of whom 12 players (13%) had previously been diagnosed with asthma or EIB (see Table 1). All players had elevated lactate levels at cessation of exercise indicative of supra-anaerobic threshold activity (normal value ≤4mmol). Ten players previously diagnosed with asthma/EIB were on regular treatment. Their medication was withheld in accordance with standard testing guidelines. We identified EIB or asthma in 9/92 (9.8%) of our player cohort. However only three of the 9 diagnosed had a previous diagnosis of asthma/ EIB, and only two/12 of those previously labelled with EIB/asthma had a positive field based test result, while an additional subject had a negative field test but a positive bronchodilator response to inhaled Beta agonist (see figure 1).

**Figure 1:**

Subsequent laboratory-based testing on the 8 patients with EIB elicited a positive bronchodilator response in four...
players, including two subjects with, and two without a prior diagnosis of Asthma/EIB (see figure 1). It was found that in those players who had a negative field test, that anaerobic exercise precipitated a small (50ml) but statistically significant increase in average FEV$_1$. One player with a prior physician diagnosis of EIB had a subsequent negative field test and no bronchodilator response but was unable to attend for a methacholine challenge. All other players in this category (8/9 players) had a methacholine challenge in addition to their field test and assessment for bronchodilator response, to insure that they were truly negative before ceasing therapy.

### Table 1: Player anthropometry and clinical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All (n=92)</th>
<th>Negative Field Test (n=84)</th>
<th>Positive Field Test (n=8)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (sd)</td>
<td>25.5 (3.4)</td>
<td>25.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>24.6 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m), mean (sd)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.19)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>85.5 (7.4)</td>
<td>85.5 (7.6)</td>
<td>85.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE (U/ml), median (IQR)$^1$</td>
<td>32.4 (15.7, 122.3)</td>
<td>32.0 (15.2, 120.0)</td>
<td>97.9 (27.0, 865.0)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate (mmol), mean (sd)</td>
<td>11.3 (+2.3)</td>
<td>11.3 (+2.1)</td>
<td>11.2 (+2.3)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spirometry: litres ±SD

| FEV$_1$ pre-exercise mean (sd) | 4.81 (0.56) (104.1% predicted) | 4.82 (0.55) (104.3% predicted) | 4.65 (0.67) (101.2% predicted) | 0.49 |
| FEV$_1$ post-exercise mean (sd) | 4.81 (0.76) (104.1% predicted) | 4.87 (0.55) (105.3% predicted) | 4.15 (0.51) (86.2% predicted) | 0.005** |
| RAST positive; Grass | n=17 | n=15 | n=2 | 0.67 |
| RAST positive; Dust | n=28 | n=24 | n=4 | 0.24 |

$^1$FEV$_1$, forced expiratory volume in 1 second

A raised IgE was utilised as a surrogate for atopy (an IgE level of >80 units/ml) and was high in 32.5% of the players. Subjects previously labelled as asthmatic had a significantly higher IgE level (median IgE 28.8 U/ml vs. 242.0 U/ml, p=0.02). Although there was a trend towards a raised IgE in those diagnosed with EIB, this did not reach significance (97.9 U/ml vs. 32 U/ml, p=0.11) Allergen testing revealed that overall 17/92 players had raised allergen titres for grass pollen, with 28/92 players having raised titres against dust mite.

**Discussion.**

Our study achieved its primary target, which was to accurately determine the prevalence of EIB in a group of inter-county hurlers. The three team, 92-player cohort in this study reflects approximately 20% of those playing the sport at this elite level in Ireland. The player numbers are similar to those utilized in studies to determine EIB in other
sports. The finding of asthma or EIB in 9.8% of our cohort is in line with reported prevalence of asthma in Ireland. We believe that the 8.7% prevalence of EIB reported in our study supports a role for routine testing of those playing hurling. Furthermore, 6/8 of the EIB diagnoses were new while only 17% of players previously labeled with either EIB or asthma retained their diagnosis following the formal testing performed in this study. Similar findings amongst English professional soccer players were reported by Ansley et al. In that study sixty-five players with a physician diagnosis of asthma/EIB were referred for pulmonary function assessment. Of the 65 players assessed, 57 (88%) indicated regular use of asthma medication. Respiratory symptoms during exercise were reported by 57 (88%) players. Only 33 (51%) of the players tested had a positive bronchodilator or bronchial provocation test. Taken together, these results suggest that a group of players are being over-treated unnecessarily while a further group are being inadequately treated due to failure to diagnose the condition properly. Our results and those of others therefore highlight the requirement for objective testing to support a symptom-based diagnosis of asthma/EIB in athletes.

The estimated prevalence of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) varies from approximately 5 to 20 percent in the general population. The prevalence of EIB appears to be higher among elite athletes. In a study of 659 Italian Olympic athletes, the prevalence of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction was 14.7%. Rates of 25% were reported amongst a group of elite long distance runners in Brazil. In a Canadian group of athletes, 60% of swimmers, 29% of winter sport athletes, and 17% of controls had evidence of EIB. In a study of varsity college athletes, 107 athletes from 22 sports participated, with 42 of 107 athletes (39%) found to be EIB positive. In that particular study eucapnic voluntary hyperpnea test (EVH) was used as an indirect bronchoprovocation challenge, a method that can also be used to diagnosis EIB. Recent research by the same group demonstrated only 4 of 144 (2.7%) athletes were EIB-positive after EVH testing. There is however a large discrepancy with regards to how EIB was classified and the testing criteria involved across these studies with EVH testing used in some instances but no formal field-testing being used in any of the studies. The high prevalence in winter sports athletes has been well documented where it is well known that cold air exposure over a prolonged period of time can act as an additional airway irritant.

With over 32% of players in our study demonstrating evidence to support atopy and a significantly higher IgE level in those with a previous diagnosis of Asthma/EIB, it may be that symptoms associated with atopy e.g. rhinitis and hay fever and their associated negative effect on sporting performance may have played a role in the over diagnosis of asthma/EIB by physicians. However, in contrast there was a trend towards raised IgE levels in those with EIB on formal testing. Furthermore, 17/92 players had raised allergen titers against grass pollen. This is of particular importance to this cohort as the game of hurling is typically played in the summer on grass fields when pollen counts are at their highest. One might therefore postulate that the results of our study may have been different if it had been performed during the summer rather than prior to the commencement of the main playing season (this was...
necessary to enable us to have access to the players). Field-testing of our cohort during the summer months may have yielded different results due to different pollen counts or possibly due to different ambient air temperature. Further studies examining the impact of performing player assessments at differing time points during the year are now required to answer this question. Given the contrasting signal from raised IgE levels this cannot currently be recommended to aid screening for EIB.

An early diagnosis of asthma or exercise induced bronchoconstriction in an athlete may prevent impaired performance that can ensue if preventative measures are not taken\(^23\). With drops in FEV\(_1\) of as much as 30% going undiagnosed in elite amateur athletes in this study, recognition and treatment of both pathologies would clearly be beneficial in maximizing player potential. Spirometry with reversibility and/or inhalation challenge may prove useful where exercise challenge testing is non-diagnostic but players' symptoms suggest asthma/EIB. It has previously been suggested that sports-specific exercise (as demonstrated in this field test) that produces the symptoms is most relevant for testing elite athletes\(^24\). As of yet there is no validated questionnaire available that may ease the screening burden\(^25\).
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