

Title	Assessment of the biological activity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates using in vitro model systems
Authors	Heffernan, Shauna;Giblin, Linda;O'Brien, Nora
Publication date	2021-04-20
Original Citation	Heffernan, S., Giblin, L. and O'Brien, N. (2021) 'Assessment of the biological activity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates using in vitro model systems', Food Chemistry, 359, 129852 (18pp). doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129852
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129852
Rights	© 2021, Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license. - https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Download date	2024-04-18 22:36:32
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/11399



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Assessment of the biological activity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates using *in vitro* model systems

Shauna Heffernan¹, Linda Giblin², and Nora O'Brien^{1*}

¹ School of Food and Nutritional Science, University College Cork, T12 YN60 Cork, Ireland

² Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, P61 C996 Cork, Ireland

*Corresponding Author: Professor Nora M. O'Brien, School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland. Tel: +35321 4902884. E-mail: nob@ucc.ie

Abstract

The generation of biologically active fish protein hydrolysates (FPH) is a useful technique to produce value-added products with potential application in the functional food and nutraceutical industries. Fish muscle is an attractive substrate for the production of protein hydrolysates due to its rich protein content, containing 15-25% of total fish protein. This paper reviews the production of protein hydrolysates from fish muscle, most commonly via enzymatic hydrolysis, and their subsequent bioactivities including anti-obesity, immunomodulatory, antioxidant, angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitory, anti-microbial, and anti-cancer activities as measured by *in vitro* testing methods. Disease prevention with FPH potentially offers a safe and natural alternative to synthetic drugs. Small molecular weight (MW) FPHs generally exhibit favourable bioactivity than large MW fractions via enhanced absorption through the gastrointestinal tract. This review also discusses the relationship between amino acid (AA) composition and AA sequence of FPH and peptides and their exhibited *in vitro* bioactivity.

Keywords: fish protein hydrolysates; enzymatic hydrolysis; *in vitro*; bioactivity

Abbreviations: AA, amino acid; AAPH, 2,2-azobis-(2-amidino- propane) dihydrochloride; ABTS, 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid; ACE, angiotensin-1-converting enzyme; BMI, body mass index; BWPH; blue whiting protein hydrolysate; CAT, catalase; CCK, cholecystokinin; C/EBP α , CCATT/enhancer binding protein α ; CFU, colony forming unit, COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; DH, degree of hydrolysis; DPPH, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; EC₅₀, concentration corresponding to half-maximal activity; E/S ratio, enzyme/ substrate ratio, FPH, fish protein hydrolysates; FOSHU, Foods for Specific Health Use; FRAP, ferric reducing antioxidant power; GCB, graphitized carbon black; GI, gastrointestinal; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; GSH, glutathione; GSH-Px, glutathione peroxidase; GPH, goby protein hydrolysate; H₂O₂, hydrogen peroxide; IL, interleukin; LPH, lanternfish protein hydrolysates; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MIC, minimum inhibition concentration; MW, molecular weight; NF, nuclear factor; NO, nitric oxide; O₂⁻, superoxide anion; ORAC, oxygen radical absorbance capacity; OH, hydroxyl; PPAR γ , peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ ; PGE₂, prostaglandin E₂; PYY, peptide YY; RPH, ray protein hydrolysate; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RP-HPLC, reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography; RSM, response surface methodology; SGID, simulated gastrointestinal digestion; SOD, superoxide dismutase; SPH, sardinella protein hydrolysate; SREBP-1, sterol regulatory element binding protein; TNF- α , tumour necrosis factor- α ; ZPH, zebra blenny protein hydrolysate.

1 Introduction

Fish is a rich source of protein, ranging from 10-25% depending on species, with 15-25% of total protein located in fish muscle (Petricorena, 2015). Fish muscle proteins can be divided into 3 groups, namely structural protein (approximately 70-80% of total protein content), myofibrillar protein and sarcoplasmic protein. The three groups contain all essential amino acids (AA); specifically, Lys accounts for 8.8%, Trp 1.0%, His 2.0%, Phe 3.9%, Leu 8.4%, Ile 6.0%, Thr 4.6%, Met-Cys 4.0% and Val at 6.0% (Hayes & Flower, 2013). Marine organisms are reported to produce a variety of potent bioactive compounds as they are forced to live in a complex environment which is exposed to extreme conditions of salinity, pressure, temperature and illumination (Hamed et al., 2015). Bioactive peptides purified from fish sources have garnered considerable interest in recent times with potential applications in both food and pharmaceutical industries. Bioactive fish protein hydrolysates (FPH) and peptides are desirable functional food ingredients due to their natural availability, relatively low-cost extraction methods and their ability to exert a beneficial effect on human health by exhibiting antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative, anti-hypertensive, and cardio-protective bioactivities (Suleria et al, 2016). The introduction of the 'landing obligation' policy by the European Commission in 2019 has maximized protein harvest from low-value fish species, thereby presenting a profitable source of bioactive peptides.

Bioactive peptides which are usually inactive in the parent protein molecules can be released via enzymatic hydrolysis, chemical hydrolysis or fermentation. These biofunctional peptides generally range in size from 2-20 AA residues. However, the molecular weight (MW) and size of the peptides and the AA composition and sequence of the peptide ultimately influences their bioactive properties.

In vitro testing is often utilized for preliminary research, prior to testing via *in vivo* model systems, due to its cost-efficiency and ability to yield rapid and reproducible data. Although non-cellular bioassays are used to investigate some bioactivities including angiotensin-1-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibition and anti-microbial activity, cellular model systems are useful for investigating various bioactivities as well as unravelling the biological pathways activated upon contact of the bioactive compound with the target cells. Although *in vitro* studies provide a controlled environment for experimentation, cellular bioassays involve maintaining cells outside of the living organism; therefore, results must be interpreted carefully due to the innate complexity of organ systems *in vivo* (Jain et al., 2018). Bioactive FPH for oral consumption face the challenge of surviving the hydrolytic conditions of the GI tract, so that absorption through the gut barrier and contact with target cells is achieved.

This paper will critically review current knowledge emerging from *in vitro* model systems on the bioactive potential of protein hydrolysates and peptides isolated from various fish muscle sources (Figure 1). We highlight limitations of studies, as well identify gaps in the existing knowledge of bioactive fish peptides which has enabled us to recommend future research opportunities.

2 Production of FPH

The bioactivity of food-derived protein hydrolysate ultimately depends on peptide and AA composition. However, the composition of the resulting fraction is highly influenced by the protein source, method of hydrolysis, hydrolysis conditions and degree of hydrolysis (DH). Protein hydrolysates can be produced via (a) enzymatic hydrolysis with proteases sourced from various commercial animal, microbial and plant sources, (b) fermentation with proteolytic microorganisms, or (c) chemical hydrolysis with either alkali or acid. Chemical hydrolysis is the least common method of hydrolysis due to non-specific cleavage of peptide bonds resulting

in high variability in hydrolysate bioactivity. It is also known to yield products with reduced nutritional value due to destruction of Cys, Arg, Thr, Ser, and Lys residues (Nasri, 2017; Provansal et al., 1975). However, it does play a role in bioactive peptide release during gastrointestinal (GI) digestion of dietary protein (Dallas et al., 2017). Fermentation with proteolytic microorganisms utilises starter and non-starter cultures available commercially within the fermented food sector. Although *Bacillus* species are most commonly used for fermentation of FPHs (Godinho et al., 2016; Jemil et al., 2014), Bkhairia and colleagues (2016) reported poor efficiency of proteases from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* A2 on hydrolysis of golden grey mullet protein. Fermentation is the cheapest proteolysis process; however, enzymatic hydrolysis is the most common method for producing bioactive protein hydrolysates as specific proteases and conditions can be selected to produce hydrolysates of desired size, sequence and bioactivity (Bhandari et al., 2020). The various proteolytic enzymes and hydrolysis conditions employed for generation of bioactive FPH are presented in Table 1.

2.1. Microbial enzymes

Microbial enzymes commonly used for the release of bioactive protein hydrolysates from fish include Flavourzyme® (*Aspergillus oryzae*), Alcalase® (*Bacillus licheniformis*), Neutrase® (*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*), and Protamex® (*Bacillus licheniformis* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*). Fish muscle of *Collichthys niveatus* was rinsed, ground, freeze-dried and sieved through a 120-mesh screen (125 micron) prior to hydrolysis with a commercial microbial enzyme, either Flavourzyme®, Alcalase®, Neutrase® or Protamex® at the same activity levels (10.103 U). Alcalase® hydrolysis induced the highest DH (17.03%) compared with Neutrase® (15.04%), Protamex® (12.98%) and Flavourzyme® (5.82%) (Table 1) (Shen et al., 2012). DH is defined as the percentage of the number of peptide bonds cleaved divided by the total number of peptide bonds in a protein. Seniman et al. (2014) also reported

catfish (*C.batrachus*) protein to be more susceptible to hydrolysis with Alcalase® than plant-derived enzyme papain, demonstrating a direct correlation between DH and peptide content (Table 1). In contrast, Fonseca et al. (2016) reported Cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*) meat protein showed greater susceptibility to Protamex® hydrolysis exhibiting a DH value of 25.94% after 760 min compared to Alcalase® (10% after 300 min) and Flavourzyme® (12% after 420 min).

2.2. Animal-derived enzymes

Digestive enzymes from bovine and porcine GI tracts such as pepsin, trypsin, and chymotrypsin are also commonly used for production of biologically active protein hydrolysates from various fish sources (Bkhairia et al. 2016; Chi et al. 2014; Darewics et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2014; Kim & Byun, 2012; Ko et al., 2013; Naqash & Nazeer, 2010; Sung et al., 2012). DH values of bioactive hydrolysates were not always reported. Peptic rainbow trout muscle protein hydrolysate exhibited a higher DH value (49.12%) and subsequent ACE inhibitory activity than hydrolysates prepared with trypsin (DH 30.52%), or α -chymotrypsin (DH 28.75%). However, all rainbow trout muscle protein hydrolysates showed greater susceptibility to digestive proteases than Alcalase®, Neutrase®, or papain (Kim & Byun, 2012). Chi et al. (2014) reported that the most influential hydrolysis parameters on DH of trypsin-prepared monkfish protein hydrolysates were temperature, pH, enzyme/substrate (E/S) ratio and time, respectively. The maximum DH ($19.83 \pm 0.82\%$) of monkfish protein hydrolysate was obtained when hydrolysis conditions for trypsin were 40°C, pH 8.0, E/S 2% with 4 h hydrolysis period (Table 1). Under-utilised skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) protein was highly susceptible to hydrolysis with either trypsin or Protamex® exhibiting DH values of 71.68% and 78.33%, respectively, however, trypsin was chosen for future hydrolysis of skipjack tuna protein due to its more attractive price point (Liu et al., 2015). A study by

Darewicz et al. (2014) compared *in vitro* and *ex vivo* hydrolysis of salmon myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic proteins and reported *in vitro* hydrolysis with porcine pepsin or pepsin and Corolase PP was more efficient than human gastric or gastric and duodenal juices, respectively, as less intact protein was measured in *in vitro* hydrolysed fractions, indicating further hydrolysis and production of small MW peptides. This study demonstrates the complexity of the human digestive system and confirms that *in vitro* results may not always predict *in vivo* results.

2.3. Plant-derived enzymes

Some studies found plant-derived proteases induced a higher DH in FPHs than alternatively sourced proteolytic enzymes. Catfish (*Pangasius hypophthalmus*) meat protein hydrolysed with papain and bromelain exhibited DH values of 31.16% and 29.36%, respectively, compared to a DH of 13.3% for the hydrolysate produced with the microbial enzyme Neutrase® (Table 1) (Ha et al., 2017). Hydrolysis with bromelain increased the free AA content most effectively from 28.00 g/kg protein to 58.02 g/kg protein compared with papain and Neutrase® hydrolysis, however, bromelain hydrolysis had no effect on the antioxidant activity of catfish protein. Both papain and Neutrase® hydrolysates demonstrated increased radical scavenging activity compared with the non-hydrolysed control, potentially owing to the reduced hydrolysis of bioactive peptides.

2.3. Production challenges

The shortcomings associated with enzymatic hydrolysis of food proteins include solubility and bitterness, both of which are highly influenced by DH, proteolytic enzyme and substrate employed. Bitterness and solubility issues impose sensory and processing challenges, respectively, and must be managed for the practical application of protein hydrolysates.

Hydrolysis of *Collichthys niveatus* protein with Neutrase® generated a hydrolysate with a higher content of sweet and umami taste AAs (116.07 µg/ mL) namely Ala, Asp, and Glu and less hydrophobic, bitter AA, Phe, than Alcalase® hydrolysis (Shen et al., 2012). Hydrophobic peptides are associated with bitter taste due to the presence of two functional units, the binding unit and the stimulating unit, responsible for binding with the bitter taste receptor and determining site for bitterness, respectively (Ishibashi et al., 1988). Dauksas and colleagues (2004) reported that hydrolysates obtained by use of Alcalase® were more bitter than hydrolysates obtained using Flavourzyme® as measured by sensory analysis. However, a secondary treatment with Flavourzyme® did not further reduce bitterness of the fraction, whereas, treatment of the FPH with n-butanol and cholestyramine resin did indeed reduce bitterness via extraction of bitter bile compounds (Dauksas et al., 2004).

Although it is reported that a high DH is related to improved solubility due to changes in MW, hydrophobicity and polar groups (Leni et al., 2020), Liu et al. (2015) reported hydrolysis of under-utilised skipjack tuna protein for 5 h completely degraded small MW peptides to AA and the highest soluble protein content (80%) was observed after 2.5 hr hydrolysis with trypsin or Protamex. Glycation of the trypsin hydrolysate with alginate significantly improved solubility ($p < 0.05$) compared with the non-glycated fraction in the pH range of 2-10 possibly due to the glycation-induced shift of isoelectric point towards a more acidic pH. It is well known that hydrolysates generally show low solubility at their isoelectric points, therefore it is probable that the basic pH of the trypsin hydrolysate influenced its solubility.

3 Biological activity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates and peptides as reported *in vitro* model systems

3.1 Inflammation modulatory activity

Table 2 details studies investigating the ability of fish muscle hydrolysates to modulate signals within inflammatory response pathways. The human body initiates inflammation in response to various stimuli including infections, injury, and toxins in an attempt to heal itself. Activation of macrophages is essential for initiation and continuation of defensive reactions as macrophages release various pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-1 β and inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) and nitric oxide (NO) which improve tissue repair (Je & Kim, 2012). However, prolonged or excessive inflammation is associated with a wide range of diseases, including chronic asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel disease, psoriasis, and cancer.

Kangsanant et al. (2015) identified a novel anti-inflammatory peptide from Flavourzyme® hydrolysed tilapia muscle protein via gel filtration chromatography and reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) with an AA sequence of AFAVIDQDKSGFIEDELKLFLQNFSAGARAGDSDGDGKIGVDEFAALVK (MW: 6309.49 Da) (Table 2). The peptide (20 mg protein/mL) reduced NO production by 40.9 \pm 0.2% in the murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 48h; which was 100 fold higher than that of the crude hydrolysate. The presence of hydrophobic AAs residues in the purified peptide, namely, Ala, Phe, Leu, Val and Ile was hypothesized to play a significant role in its NO-inhibitory activity. Although further explanation regarding the relationship between hydrophobic AAs and their function in inflammatory modulation is required, it has been reported that the presence of hydrophobic

AAs enhance attraction and reactivity with the cell membrane and possibly promote downstream signalling pathways with anti-inflammatory effects (Sangtanoo et al., 2020). In a previous study, Kangsanant et al. (2014) demonstrated that ultrasonic pre-treatment of tilapia protein hydrolysate prior to Flavourzyme® hydrolysis demonstrated superior NO inhibitory activity than non- pre-treated hydrolysates ($p<0.05$). It was suggested that ultrasonic pre-treatment promoted protein unfolding, thereby increasing enzyme accessibility to its cleavage sites. Anti-inflammatory protein hydrolysates purified from Argentine croaker were reported to be rich in AAs Glu, Asp, Lys, Leu, Arg, and Ala (Da Rocha et al., 2018). These hydrolysates were produced from Argentine croaker isolate and Argentine croaker myofibrillar protein with varying DH (DH: 10-20%) using either Alcalase® or Protamex® (Table 2). Interestingly, as DH increased from 10 to 20%, MW distribution decreased and the content of hydrophobic AAs increased ($p<0.05$). The hydrolysate produced from Argentine croaker myofibrillar via Protamex® hydrolysis with DH 10% at 5.0 mg/mL exhibited greater NO inhibitory activity in LPS- activated RAW264.7 cells (24h incubation) than all other hydrolysates tested ($p<0.05$) suggesting a role for peptides in its bioactivity rather than just free AA content. Hydrolysates prepared from Argentine croaker isolate and myofibrillar also demonstrated *in vitro* antioxidant activity and microbial-inhibitory activity in *Brochothrix thermosphacta*, *Listeria innocua*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

However, the ability of FPHs to regulate NO should not be used as the only indicator of anti-inflammatory activity. The effects of hydrolysates on cytokine and immunoglobulin levels should be included to shed light on the specific biochemical interaction through which the hydrolysate induced its immunomodulatory effect. Sturgeon protein-derived peptides Lys-Ile-Trp-His-His-Thr-Phe, Val-His-Tyr-Ala-Thr-Val-Asp-Tyr, and His-Leu-Asp-Asp-Ala-Leu-Arg-Gly-Gln-Glu which reduced NO concentration in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells ($p<0.05$), also inhibited the production of cytokine IL-1 β at all concentrations tested (12.5-50.0

243 μM) (Gao et al., 2020). Treatment of LPS-induced RAW264.7 cells with peptides Val-His-
 244 Tyr-Ala-Thr-Val-Asp-Tyr (25.0 μM and 50.0 μM), and His-Leu-Asp-Asp-Ala-Leu-Arg-Gly-
 245 Gln-Glu (12.5 μM and 25 μM) also inhibited generation of IL-6 ($p<0.05$). Further investigation
 246 revealed that it is probable that these peptides induced anti-inflammatory activity via
 247 suppression of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathway through
 248 down-regulation of phosphorylation of the biomarkers JNK and p38. The activation of
 249 inflammatory factors is closely associated with the generation of intracellular reactive oxygen
 250 species (ROS). Interestingly, sturgeon peptides also increased antioxidant enzyme superoxide
 251 dismutase (SOD) activity in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells compared with the LPS control
 252 ($p<0.01$).

253 Sweetfish protein hydrolysates (200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) prepared with pepsin, trypsin, or α -
 254 chymotrypsin for 12h significantly reduced NO production in LPS-challenged RAW264.7 cells
 255 after 24h exposure compared with the LPS-control ($p<0.05$) (Sung et al., 2012) (Table 2).
 256 However, only trypsin and α -chymotrypsin hydrolysates successfully inhibited production of
 257 pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF- α and IL-6, and inflammation mediator PGE₂. Both
 258 hydrolysates effectively attenuated mRNA expression levels of inducible nitric oxide synthase
 259 (iNOS) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) via downregulation of nuclear factor (NF)- κB
 260 ($p<0.05$), thereby implicating the MAPK pathway. The α -chymotrypsin hydrolysate appeared
 261 to suppress the phosphorylation signal from ERK-1/2, although no statistical analysis was
 262 reported (Sung et al., 2012). Ko and Jeon (2015) also investigated the NO-inhibitory effect of
 263 club tunicate (*Styela clava*) protein hydrolysates prepared with digestive proteases, however,
 264 reported superior NO inhibiting activity for Protamex® hydrolysed club tunicate protein. The
 265 study was expanded to include information on the anti-inflammatory potential of three
 266 individual fractions with various MWs; $>10\text{ kDa}$ (SFTPH-I), $5\text{--}10\text{ kDa}$ (SFTPH-II) and <5
 267 kDa (SFTPH-III). SFTPH-I (200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) significantly reduced production levels of

inflammation mediators NO and PGE₂ (p<0.05) and pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-1 β and TNF- α (p<0.01) after 24h exposure to LPS-challenged RAW264.7 compared with macrophage exposed to LPS alone. It was determined that SFTPH-I inhibited production of pro-inflammatory mediators via reducing protein expression levels of iNOS and COX-2 and attenuating phosphorylation of MAPKs (ERK, p38 and JNK) in activated macrophages.

FPHs have also demonstrated pro-inflammatory activity *in vitro*. Activation of pro-inflammatory cytokines can enhance host defence against infection in immunodeficiency patients. Tilapia mince protein hydrolysate (100 and 800 μ g/ mL) produced by purified enzyme from *V.halodenitificans* SKI-3-7 significantly increased gene expression of IL-1 β and COX-2 in the human monocyte leukaemia cell line THP-1 stimulated with LPS, after 6h incubation (p<0.05) (Toopcham et al., 2017) (Table 2). Similarly, a low MW fraction (<1 kDa), labelled NJP, isolated from papain hydrolysed *Nibea Japonica* protein (200 μ g/ mL) significantly upregulated protein expression of iNOS and production of NO in LPS-activated RAW264.7 cells (p<0.01) (Zhang, Hu, et al., 2019). At this concentration, it also increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 β and activated the NF- κ B signalling pathway by upregulating NF- κ B pathway-related proteins including I κ B kinase (Ikk)- α and IKK- β . The neutral red internalization model was employed to demonstrate that NJP increased phagocytosis rate in RAW264.7 cells in a concentration-dependent manner; this initiated the innate immune response via clearance of apoptotic cells or cellular debris.

Although the majority of *in vitro* immunomodulatory studies focus on regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and TNF- α in LPS-stimulated macrophage, additional possible mechanisms are elucidated in *in vivo* studies, including the promotion of natural killer cells, stimulation of lymphocytes such as T cells and B cells, and stimulation of secretory immunoglobulin A (S-IgA), thereby enhancing levels of mucosal immunity in the gut. Additional clinical trials are necessary to understand the true effect of specific FPHs on the

immune system. A study on the immunomodulatory potential of FPHs in humans reported salmon protein hydrolysate (Amizate) orally administrated (3 or 6g/ day, 4 months) to malnourished Indian school children induced no effect on serum immunoglobulins IgG, IgM or IgA or CD4/ CD8 lymphocyte ratio (Nesse et al., 2011).

3.2 ACE inhibitory activity

ACE inhibition by fish-derived protein hydrolysates represents a safer alternative than synthetic hypertensive drugs (i.e., captopril; IC₅₀ value 0.004 mg/mL) for the therapeutic management and treatment of cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis, myocardial infarction, and stroke. Suetsuna and Osajima (1986) were the first to confirm the presence of ACE inhibitory protein hydrolysates in fish which they purified from sardine and hairtail muscle via enzymatic hydrolysis with denazyme (Table 3). Wijesekara and colleagues (2011) compared the effect of various proteases on ACE-inhibitory activity of hydrolyzed seaweed pipefish muscle protein and reported Alcalase® hydrolyzed seaweed pipefish muscle hydrolysates induced the greatest inhibitory effect on ACE followed by trypsin, papain, pepsin, Neutrase® and pronase (Wijesekara et al., 2011). Peptides Thr-Phe-Pro-His-Gly-Pro (MW: 744 Da) and His-Trp-Thr-Gln-Arg (MW: 917 Da) subsequently purified from the Alcalase® hydrolysate via chromatographic methods exhibited IC₅₀ values of 0.62 and 1.44 mg/mL, respectively (Table 3). A study by Jiang et al. (2019) offered insight into the molecular binding of ACE-inhibitory seaweed pipefish peptides to ACE protein. Both peptides effectively interacted with ACE through hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions with AAs at the active site of ACE, thereby inhibiting the catalytic activity of ACE. The authors proposed that the superior ACE-inhibitory activity of peptide Thr-Phe-Pro-His-Gly-Pro over His-Trp-Thr-Gln-Arg, owed to the formation of hydrophobic interactions with key ACE AAs Glu384 and

Glu41. Additionally, the ACE-Thr-Phe-Pro-His-Gly-Pro complex showed favourable total binding energy (-167.599 ± 49.637 kJ/mol), as well as van der Waals and electrostatic energy than the ACE-His-Trp-Thr-Gln-Arg complex (total binding energy (-141.342 ± 41.245 kJ/mol), indicating formation of stronger complexes with ACE. The peptides are also reported to act via non-competitive inhibition, therefore both peptide and substrate can both be bound to the enzyme at any given time, forming enzyme-substrate-inhibitor and enzyme-inhibitor complexes to inhibit ACE activity. Other fish sources of non-competitive ACE inhibitors include seaweed pipefish, bigeye tuna, upstream chum salmon (Balti et al., 2015; Qian et al., 2007; Ono et al., 2006).

Peptic rainbow trout muscle hydrolysate exhibited superior ACE inhibitory activity (IC_{50} value of 0.61 mg/mL) compared with hydrolysates prepared with trypsin (IC_{50} value of 1.09 mg/mL) and α -chymotrypsin (IC_{50} value of 1.51 mg/mL) (Kim & Byun, 2012) (Table 3). Fraction A with AA sequence Lys-Val-Asn-Gly-Pro-Ala-Met-Ser-Pro-Asn-Ala-Asn (1220 Da) purified from the peptic hydrolysate inhibited ACE activity by 50% at a concentration of 63.9 μ M. However, this peptide was demonstrated via Lineweaver-Burk plots to act as a competitive inhibitor against ACE, i.e., competed with substrate Hippuryl-Histidyl-Leucine for the binding sites of ACE. Competitive ACE inhibitory peptides were also found in grass carp, snakehead fish and upstream chum salmon (Chen et al., 2012; Ghassem et al., 2014; Ono et al., 2006; Samaranayaka et al., 2010). Nakajima et al. (2009) also employed digestive proteases for the hydrolysis of FPHs and compared the ACE-inhibitory activities of resulting fractions. Atlantic salmon and Coho salmon hydrolysed with thermolysin demonstrated enhanced ACE inhibitory activity (IC_{50} values of 47.3 and 86.6 μ g protein/mL, respectively) than pepsin plus pancreatin hydrolysates (IC_{50} values of 791 and 466 μ g protein/mL, respectively) (Nakajima et al., 2009). Subsequent ultrafiltration of the thermolysin hydrolysates followed by size exclusion chromatograms deemed 380-920 Da fractions responsible for exhibited ACE-

inhibitory activity, possibly due to the presence of ACE inhibiting di- to penta- peptides rich in AAs Ala, Met, Leu, Tyr, Phe and Trp.

In general, low MW fractions of FPHs demonstrate superior ACE-inhibitory activity than large MW fractions. Goby muscle protein hydrolysate produced with crude protease extract from smooth hound intestines demonstrated increased ACE-inhibitory activity with increasing DH ($p < 0.05$) due to the generation of small MW peptides (Nasri et al., 2014). Furthermore, fractionation of ACE-inhibiting Pacific hake FPH prepared via autolysis (10^7 *K. paniformis* spores/g fish mince) generated a low MW fraction (1-3 kDa) which exhibited superior ACE inhibition ($66.91 \pm 4.38\%$ at 0.286 mg/mL) than the intact hydrolysate ($55.06 \pm 0.66\%$ at the same concentration) (Samaranayaka et al., 2010) (Table 3). Most inhibitory peptides in the fraction were reported to be short-chained, polar and containing few hydrophobic AAs in their sequence. Chen et al. (2012) observed similar results with the <3 kDa fraction of Alcalase® grass carp meat hydrolysate inducing the greatest inhibitory effect on ACE and the >10 kDa fraction showing the lowest anti-ACE activity. A single tripeptide Val-Ala-Pro purified from the <3 kDa fraction was subsequently observed to exhibit remarkable ACE inhibitory activity inducing 50% inhibition at 0.00534 ± 0.00003 mg/mL. Interestingly, the tripeptide Val-Ala-Pro was also purified from an enzymatic hydrolysate of bovine casein (IC_{50} value of 2.0 μ M) (Maruyama et al., 1987). Various ACE inhibitory di- and tripeptides were also purified from salmon muscle tissue prepared with pepsin and Corolase PP and fermented (*Bacillus* sp. SM98011) shark meat protein hydrolysates (Darewicz et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2008) (Table 3). Although the 3-5 kDa fraction purified from red lionfish protein exhibited the highest ACE inhibitory activity (43.57%) of the five fractions tested (>10 , 5-10, 3-5, 1-3, <1 kDa), it was proposed that the superior anti-ACE activity of the 3-5 kDa fraction was related to a higher content of hydrophobic AAs (40.33%) compared to the other fractions (Chel-Guerrero et al., 2020). Indeed, several of these peptides have been shown to

survive gut transit via simulated gastrointestinal digestion (SGID) suggesting ACE-inhibiting ability will be maintained when administered orally (Balti et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2012; Elavarasan et al., 2016; Ghassem et al., 2014).

It is well known that not only peptide size and chain length influences ACE- inhibitory activity, but also type and order of AAs in the sequence. Potent anti-ACE peptides produced from cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) muscle proteins via hydrolysis using crude enzymes from *B. mojavensis* A2 and cuttlefish hepatopancreas, gel filtration chromatography and RP- HPLC were identified as Val-Glu-Leu-Tyr-Pro, Ala-Phe-Val-Gly-Tyr-Val-Leu-Pro and Glu-Lys-Ser-Tyr-Glu-Leu-Pro via tandem mass spectrometry with corresponding IC₅₀ values of 5.22, 18.02 and 14.41 µM, respectively (Balti et al., 2015) (Table 3). It was proposed that the presence of hydrophobic AAs and Pro at the C-terminal may influence the ACE inhibitory activity of the peptide. ACE-inhibitory peptides containing Pro at the C-terminal were also observed in seaweed pipefish muscle hydrolysates (Wijesekara et al., 2011) and Alcalase® protein hydrolysates from snakehead fish sarcoplasmic extract (Ghassem et al., 2014). Gómez-Ruiz and colleagues (2006) reported that the rigid structure of Pro can maintain the conformation of the carboxyl group at the C-terminal in a way that favours ACE-inhibitory activity. Peptides rich in Pro are also resistant to GI digestion increasing the likelihood of efficacy *in vivo* (Segura-Campos et al., 2011).

The position of Trp residues is also important for ACE-inhibition and inhibition mechanism of the peptides. Peptides with Trp at the C-terminal residue, namely Ala-Trp, Val-Trp, Met-Trp, Ile-Trp, Leu-Trp with IC₅₀ values of 6.4, 2.5, 9.8 and 17.4 µM, respectively, showed non-competitive inhibition (Table 3). Whereas, reversed sequence peptides with Trp at the N terminal including Trp-Ala, Trp-Leu, Trp-Met showed reduced ACE inhibitory activity and acted via competitive inhibition (Ono et al., 2006). Similarly, Phe-Leu showed non-competitive ACE inhibitory activity with an IC₅₀ value of 13.6 µM. However, Leu-Phe

showed competitive ACE inhibitory activity with an IC₅₀ value of 383.3 μM. A study by Enari et al. (2008) purified 20 active di- and tripeptides from salmon muscle papain hydrolysate and demonstrated the strongest ACE inhibition by Ile-Trp with an IC₅₀ value of 1.2 μM.

Overall, the evidence for ACE-inhibiting peptides derived from fish muscle is strong although the inhibitory mechanism is yet to be fully established owing to its complexity and/or multi-target nature (Manzanares et al., 2019). Although there is evidence of fish-derived ACE-inhibiting peptides inducing anti-hypertensive effects *in vivo*, the majority of anti-hypertensive peptides are derived from animal products and plants (Lee & Hur, 2017).

3.3 Antioxidant activity

Antioxidant FPHs serve as valuable ingredients in functional foods considering their ability to extend shelf-life, as well as induce health benefits via promoting cellular redox balance. Several studies reported the antioxidant activity of FPHs and their ability to modulate oxidative stress pathways *in vitro* (Table 4). Oxidative stress is associated with many diseases including cancer, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic inflammation, and numerous neurodegenerative diseases (Kumar et al., 2017). The antioxidant activity of marine hydrolysates and peptides has mainly been assessed via scavenging activity of free radicals and ROS in non-cellular *in vitro* assays, namely, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity, 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS), oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC), hydroxyl (OH) radical scavenging activity and superoxide anion (O₂⁻) radical scavenging activity. Other common non-cellular *in vitro* antioxidant assays include metal chelating activity and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP).

It is well known that protease and proteolysis conditions employed ultimately determines the size and AA composition of the resulting fraction, and thereby, plays a crucial

role in the generation of antioxidant hydrolysates. Bashir et al. (2018) prepared a number of hydrolysates from red muscle and white muscle of Pacific chub mackerel (*Scomber japonicus*) using varying proteolytic enzymes (Protamex® or Neutrase®) and observed significant differences between antioxidant activities of resulting hydrolysates. Pacific chub mackerel red muscle protein hydrolysed by Protamex® (50°C, 120 min), white muscle protein hydrolysed by Protamex® (50°C, 120 min), and white muscle protein hydrolysed by Neutrase® (50°C, 30 min) exhibited the highest DPPH radical scavenging activity (71.69%), SOD-like activity (32.84%) and ABTS radical scavenging activity (95.39%), respectively (Table 4). Optimum hydrolysis conditions for generation of antioxidant protein hydrolysates from small spotted catshark and stonefish were determined via response surface methodology (RSM), a statistical method that optimises processes involving many variables (Vázquez et al., 2017; Auwal et al., 2017). Under optimal hydrolysis conditions predicted by RSM, bromelain stonefish protein hydrolysates scavenged DPPH radical and chelated Fe²⁺ by 48.94% and 25.12%, respectively (Auwal et al., 2017). However, radical scavenging activities were much lower for small spotted catfish protein hydrolysates produced with Alcalase® (DPPH 12.4%, ABTS 5.1%) or esparase (DPPH 16.0%, ABTS 7.3%) (Vázquez et al., 2017) (Table 4). Free AA compositional analysis, MW size distribution, DH% or peptide sequence identification were not reported in these studies.

Bkhairia et al. (2016) also investigated the effects of various proteases on antioxidant activity on golden grey mullet (*Liza aurata*) protein hydrolysates and expanded the study to include AA analysis of resulting fractions. Golden grey mullet hydrolysates were prepared with enzymatic preparations from *P. aeruginosa* A2 and *Bacillus subtilis* A26, crude enzyme from *L. aurata*, trypsin or esperase and subsequent antioxidant assays deemed the hydrolysate prepared with *B. subtilis* A26 proteases (rich in Glx, Gly, and Phe) exhibited the highest DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activity (IC₅₀ values of 3.80 mg/mL and 0.47 mg/mL,

respectively) and the hydrolysate prepared with *P. aeruginosa* A2 proteases (rich in Arg) induced the highest reducing power as determined by its ability to react with potassium ferricyanide and ultimately ferric chloride to form ferric–ferrous complex (absorbance of 1.061 ± 0.11 at 5 mg/mL). These hydrolysates (0.1 to 10 mg/mL) did not induce haemolysis of human erythrocytes, indicating their non-toxic effect and thereby, may be suitable for nutraceutical application. Washing and membrane removal pre-treatments and ultrasonic treatment of alkaline proteinase prepared grass carp hydrolysates did indeed improve antioxidant capacity as measured by radical scavenging activities (DPPH and ABTS) as well as FRAP, without diminishing its nutritive value (Zhang, Yang, et al., 2018). The removal of oxidized compounds by washing and membrane removal pre-treatments also modified the colour of the lyophilized hydrolysate from a slight yellowish to white, thereby broadening its potential application in food.

Numerous studies have also reported the relationships between DH and antioxidant activity of fish muscle hydrolysates. Although Li et al. (2012) and Klompong et al. (2007) reported antioxidant hydrolysates prepared from grass carp protein and yellow stripe trevally to exhibit reduced radical scavenging activity and reducing power and higher Fe^{2+} -chelating activity with increasing DH, Rabiei et al. (2019) and Da Rocha et al. (2018) reported an increase in radical scavenging activity of hydrolysates produced from Klunzinger's mullet and Argentine croaker muscles with increasing DH. Alcalase® hydrolysed Argentine croaker isolate (DH 20%) rich in aromatic AA Tyr and charged acidic AA Asp demonstrated higher ABTS radical scavenging activity and metal chelating activity than Protamex® Argentine croaker isolate and Argentine croaker myofibrillar protein hydrolysates produced with Alcalase® or Protamex® ($p < 0.05$). Protamex® Argentine croaker myofibrillar protein hydrolysate (DH 20%) rich in the aromatic AA Phe exhibited the highest FRAP ($p < 0.05$) (Da

Rocha et al., 2018) (Table 4). Aromatic AAs are reported to improve the radical scavenging activity of peptides via hydrogen donation to electron-deficient radicals (Wang et al., 2014).

Relative to DH, low MW fractions generally exhibit enhanced antioxidant properties than large MW fractions. Low MW fractions, fraction 2 (985-2379 Da) and fraction 3 (658-923 Da), prepared from Flavourzyme® freshwater carp *Catla catla* showed highest DPPH scavenging activity and FRAP, respectively ($p < 0.05$) (Elavarasan & Shamasundar, 2017). Subsequent AA analysis identified fraction 2 to be rich in AAs Gly, Pro and Tyr with a final ratio of total hydrophobic AAs to total AA content of 42.31:1 (Table 4). A high proportion of hydrophobic AAs has been reported in peptides/hydrolysates with high antioxidant activity; including monkfish pentapeptides Glu-Trp-Pro-Ala-Gln, Phe-Leu-His-Arg-Pro and Leu-Met-Gly-Gln-Trp (Chi et al., 2014), and peptides Gly-Ala-Ala, Gly-Phe-Val-Gly, Gly-Ile-Ile-Ser-His-Arg, Glu-Leu-Leu-Ile, and Lys-Phe-Pro-Glu purified from spotless smoothhound (*Mustelus griseus*) muscle (Wang et al., 2014), as well as pentapeptides Phe-Trp-Lys-Val-Val and Phe-Met-Pro-Leu-His isolated from papain hydrolysed miiuy croaker muscle (He et al., 2019) (Table 4). AA analysis also determined antioxidant whitemouth croaker muscle hydrolysates to be rich in hydrophobic AAs Ala, Pro, Tyr, Val, Met, Ile, Leu and Phe (Lima et al., 2019). Hydrophobic AAs are well known to act as protein donors or electron/lipid radical scavengers.

Low MW fractions from round scad protein hydrolysate (< 5 kDa) and cod protein hydrolysate (< 3 kDa) exhibited higher radical scavenging activity and reducing power than larger fractions (> 10 kDa and > 5 kDa, respectively) (Jiang et al., 2014; Sabeena Farvin et al., 2014) (Table 4). Peptides His-Asp-His-Pro-Val-Cys and His-Glu-Lys-Val-Cys were purified from the < 5 kDa fraction of round scad muscle protein hydrolysate and effectively scavenged DPPH radicals (EC_{50} values of 0.068 ± 0.001 and 0.031 ± 0.001 mM, respectively) and O_2^- radicals (EC_{50} values of 0.374 ± 0.002 and 0.382 ± 0.002 mM, respectively) (Jiang et al.,

2014). The antioxidative activities of the peptides were proposed to be enhanced by the participation of hydrophobic AAs and one or more residues of His, Pro and Cys. The imidazole group and thiol group of His and Cys residues, respectively, promotes proton donation, thereby stabilising ROS. In particular, Cys is one of 3 AA in glutathione (GSH), a potent endogenous antioxidant in mammalian cells. Hydrolysates that are rich in Cys are likely to boost GSH cellular pathways. It is possible that antioxidant activity not only depends on the presence of a specific AA but also its quantity and position within the peptide sequence. The presence of His in the centre of the His-Asp-His-Pro-Val-Cys sequence may have been responsible for its superior antioxidant activity over His-Glu-Lys-Val-Cys (Jiang et al., 2014). Low MW fractions (5-10, 1-3, and <1 kDa) rich in His residues were also purified from Alcalase[®] hydrolysed red lionfish protein and demonstrated high antioxidant activity, with copper-chelating activity of approximately 88%, as well as inhibiting hydrophobic β -carotene discolouration by 80% versus the negative control. His residues are indeed reported to have a strong binding affinity for copper ions, thereby preventing copper toxicity (Chel-Guerrero et al., 2020).

Interestingly, goby FPH which exhibited significant antioxidant activity as measured by DPPH radical scavenging activity, lipid peroxidation inhibition, β -carotene bleaching inhibition, and metal chelating activity also effectively inhibited lipid peroxidation of turkey meat sausage by 50% by storage day 3 compared with the control (Nasri et al., 2013). As a result, it is possible that incorporation of goby protein hydrolysate as powder with turkey meat sausage may prevent oxidative deterioration and increase shelf life as a result.

FPHs have also been shown to enhance antioxidant defence systems in various cell model systems. Protease N hydrolysed lanternfish protein hydrolysates (LPH) were demonstrated to prevent hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2)-induced oxidative cell damage in human neuroblastoma cells (SHSY5Y) (Chai et al., 2013). MTT assay demonstrated that the viability of cells exposed to H_2O_2 (400 μ M) increased (67.2-82.3%) in a concentration-dependent

manner upon addition of LPH (0.10-1.44 mg/mL) over 24h. DNA fragmentation of H₂O₂-treated SHSY5Y was also reduced dose-dependently when exposed to LPHs (0.37–0.73 mg/mL) for 24h as measured by agarose gel electrophoresis (Table 4). Tripeptide Phe-Tyr-Tyr and dipeptide Asp-Trp were subsequently identified as the antioxidant peptide fractions from LPH. The position of Tyr and Trp at the C-terminus was also reported in antioxidant tripeptides derived from canola protein hydrolysate indicating Tyr and Trp positioning is important for bioactivity (Cumby et al., 2008). Antioxidant peptides Val-Cys-Ser-Val and Cys-Ala-Ala-Pro purified from flounder fish muscle protein hydrolysates also demonstrated dose-dependent (12.5–100 µg/ mL) cytoprotective effects against 2,2-azobis-(2-amidino- propane) dihydrochloride (AAPH)-induced oxidative stress in kidney epithelial Vero cells after 24h incubation (Ko et al., 2013). Both peptides dose-dependently decreased DNA fragmentation and total ROS (Table 4). Similarly, but at a higher concentration of 0.5 mg/mL, Alcalase® hydrolysed European seabass protein hydrolysate reduced AAPH-induced oxidation in canine kidney MDCK1 cells by 12.8 ± 4.5% compared with cells treated with AAPH alone (Altinelataman et al., 2019). In the same study, Alcalase® hydrolysed gilthead seabream muscle hydrolysates also reduced AAPH-induced oxidation but only to 91.60% compared with treated control (100%) albeit statistical analysis was not performed.

Large yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*) protein hydrolysate (MW <3 kDa) which effectively scavenged DPPH and O₂⁻ radicals, also regulated the antioxidant enzyme defence system via dose-dependently (50–300 µg/mL) increasing levels of glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), SOD and catalase (CAT) in H₂O₂-treated liver HepG2 cells (Zhang et al., 2016) (Table 4). Antioxidant peptides Ser-Arg-Cys-His-Val and Pro-Glu-His-Trp were subsequently isolated via ion exchange chromatography, gel chromatography and RP-HPLC. Peptides Glu-Asp-Ile-Val-Cys-Trp, Met-Glu-Pro-Val,Trp and Tyr-Trp-Asp-Ala-Trp (50 µM) isolated from monkfish protein hydrolysate prepared via *in vitro* GI digestion with pepsin and trypsin

protected antioxidant enzymes SOD, CAT, and GSH-Px in in H₂O₂-stressed Hep-G2 cells (Hu et al., 2020). Again, it is possible that the high content of hydrophobic AA and presence of Trp at the C-terminal of peptide sequences played a role in the antioxidant capacities of these peptides.

Of note is that immortalised cells are routinely used in these *in vitro* assays but may be inherently oxidatively stressed due to their cancerous origins, compromising any antioxidant readouts. Overall, it is evident that the antioxidant activity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates is mostly studied via non-cellular *in vitro* testing, whereas studies which employed cellular models are limited. Although numerous antioxidant fish muscle protein hydrolysates have been identified via *in vitro* testing, very few studies exist investigating the antioxidant activity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates *in vivo* (Nazeer, Kumar, & Ganesh, 2012, Bashir et al., 2018).

3.4 Anti-microbial activity

Similar to antioxidant activity, this bioactivity widens the uses of hydrolysates beyond health enhancement to extending shelf life of foods. Hydrolysis of fish proteins can produce various small MW peptides some of which have been shown to exert remarkable antimicrobial activity depending on their AA composition and structural characteristics. Most anti-microbial peptides are amphipathic with a positively charged, hydrophobic face and thereby, defend against bacterial activity directly via electrostatic interactions with the anionic bacterial membrane. Anti-microbial peptides can exhibit pore-forming action in bacterial membrane evoking leaking of intracellular contents or infiltrate the cell entrapped in macropinosomes which are subsequently released into the host cytoplasm resulting in bacterial destruction (Valero et al., 2020). Peptide fractions prepared from yellowfin tuna muscle hydrolysed via SGID were subsequently fractionated via either solid-phase extraction on C18 or graphitized carbon black

(GCB) sorbent for purification of medium-sized peptide and short-sized peptide fractions, respectively (Cerrato et al., 2020). The C18 digested fraction exhibited greater antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* bacteria with a minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) value of 1.0 ± 0.1 mg/mL than the GCB fraction (MIC value of 3.5 ± 0.1 mg/mL) (Table 5). Although a total of 403 peptides from medium-sized peptide fraction and 572 peptides from the short-sized peptide fraction were identified, none of these peptides pre-existed on BIOPEP or PeptideDB databases. Interestingly, medium-size peptides were mostly hydrophilic with intermediate polarity and small-sized peptide fractions were composed of mainly hydrophobic, less anionic peptides, however, the combination of several anti-microbial peptides in the fraction may induce a synergistic effect, inhibiting *S. aureus* activity more effectively than a single peptide.

Fraction 12 (MW not reported) purified from a bromelain hydrolysate of leatherjacket (*Meuschenia* sp.) muscle protein by size using a RP-HPLC C-18 preparative column demonstrated antimicrobial activities against gram-positive bacteria *Bacillus cereus* and *S. aureus* with a MIC of 4.3 mg/mL (Table 5) (Salampessy et al., 2010). A study by Da Rocha et al. (2018) included the anti-bacterial effect of Argentine croaker muscle protein hydrolysates on both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Argentine croaker muscle protein hydrolysates produced with either Alcalase® or Protamex® with DH 10% or 20%, all inhibited gram-positive bacteria *B. thermosphacta*, *L. innocua*, and *S. aureus*. However, only Alcalase® hydrolysates inhibited gram positive bacteria *Listeria monocytogenes*, and gram-negative bacteria *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Alcalase® treated hydrolysates had a lower MW distribution (<1285 Da), a higher content of hydrophobic AAs and as a result, more pronounced inhibition zones than Protamex® treated hydrolysates. Alcalase® hydrolysates dose-dependently increased inhibition zones in *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *B. thermosphacta*, *Debaryomyces hanseii*, and *L. innocua* (1.25-7.5 mg/mL), with Alcalase® hydrolysed Argentine croaker protein isolate at 10% DH inducing the greatest inhibition of *D. hanseii* (2.00-2.75 cm) and *L.*

innocua (1.25-1.50 cm) at 7.50 mg/mL (Table 5). According to Najafian and Babji (2012), antimicrobial peptides are usually chains of less than 50 AAs in length of which nearly half are hydrophobic with MW less than 10 kDa. Low MW fractions from tuna by-products were also found to exhibit superior antimicrobial compared with larger fractions from the same source (Gomez-Guillén et al., 2010, Pezeshk et al., 2019). Jemil et al. (2014) reported enhanced resistance of gram-negative bacteria compared with gram-positive bacteria upon exposure to FPHs. Fermented protein hydrolysates from sardinelle (*Sardinella aurita*) (SPH), zebra blenny (*Salaria basilisca*) (ZPH), goby (*Zosterisessor ophiocephalus*) (GPH), and ray (*Dasyatis pastinaca*) (RPH) and their antimicrobial activity against four gram-positive bacteria (*S. aureus*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *B. cereus* and *Enterococcus faecalis*) and five gram-negative bacteria (*E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Salmonella enterica* and *Salmonella typhi*) was evaluated at 200 mg/mL (Table 5). SPH induced the greatest antibacterial effect of the 4 hydrolysates with inhibition zones in all gram-positive bacteria and *E. coli* ranging from 10-24 mm. *E. coli* was also inhibited by ZPH, GPH and RPH; however, none of the hydrolysates were successful in inhibiting any of the other four gram-negative bacteria. In general, gram-negative bacteria have enhanced resistance to antimicrobial components due to the presence of an outer membrane.

Antimicrobial FPHs/peptides present potential alternatives to conventional antibiotics due to their broad-spectrum of activity and development of little to no pathogenic resistance (Wang et al., 2016). They may also be suitable as bio-preservatives in food systems with the aim of enhancing shelf life. The peptide Lys-Val-Glu-Ile-Val-Ala-Ile-Asn-Asp-Pro-Phe-Ile-Asp-Leu identified from Protamex® Atlantic mackerel hydrolysates was subsequently synthesised and demonstrated anti-bacterial activity against food spoilage organisms *Listeria ivanovii* and *L. monocytogenes* (MIC of 0.131 mM for both) (Offret et al., 2019). Although the peptide also had an inhibitory effect on common human organisms *M. luteus*, *Listeria*

acidophilus, and *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, MICs were half that of *Listeria* strains; therefore, at equal concentrations, the peptide can prevent *Listeria* growth without impacting normal human flora.

3.5 Anti-cancer

A limited number of studies have been published on the potential anti-cancer activity of hydrolysates derived from fish muscle protein by assaying for anti-proliferative effect on immortal cell lines. European seabass hydrolysate (1 mg/mL) prepared with chymotrypsin protease reduced cell viability in the human colon adenocarcinoma cell line, HT-29, by $39.6 \pm 12.8\%$ (Altinelataman et al., 2019). Similar antiproliferative activity was observed for blue whiting protein hydrolysate (BWPH) (1mg/ mL) produced via hydrolysis with either Protamex® or Alcalase® which induced a maximum 30% reduction and 27% reduction in proliferation of breast cancer cells MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7/6 cells, respectively, after 72h (Picot et al., 2006). Size exclusion chromatography confirmed the large MW distribution (100 Da-7 kDa) of BWPH indicating the presence of both free AAs and peptides which may have been responsible for the superior anti-proliferative effect of BWPH over hydrolysates prepared from salmon, emperor, pollack or siki. Similarly, solitary tunicate protein hydrolysates (1 mg/mL) inhibited growth of 3 human cancer cell lines; AGS (stomach cancer), DLD-1 (colon cancer), and HeLa (cervical cancer). Solitary tunicate protein hydrolysate prepared with Alcalase® demonstrated superior anti-proliferative activity than hydrolysates prepared with thermoase or pepsin exhibiting IC_{50} values of 1731.4 and 2922.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for AGS cells and HeLa cells, respectively. The Alcalase® hydrolysate was subsequently fractionated with the resulting low MW fraction (fraction F₂: 3.6 kDa, rich in hydrophobic AAs (78.1%)) inhibiting cell growth of AGS, DLD-1 and HeLa cells with IC_{50} values of 577.1, 1163.3, and 887.2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively (Jumeri & Kim, 2011). It is possible that low MW peptides have enhanced

interactions with cancer cell components via enhanced cell mobility and diffusivity than large MW components, thereby improving anti-cancer activity. Song and colleagues (2011) also reported that thermal treatment (121°C, 30 min) of pepsin hydrolysate derived from half-fin anchovy increased free AAs Val, Leu, Phe, His and Arg, increased the number of peptides with MW distribution of 3000-5000 Da and <300 Da ($p<0.005$), and ultimately, increased anti-proliferative activity against DU-145 human prostate cancer cells, 1299 human lung cancer cells, and 109 human oesophagus cancer cells ($p<0.05$) compared to the non-heat sterilised hydrolysate.

Hydrophobic AA residues are essential for the formation of a hydrophobic tail in the COOH-terminal region, an attribute important for anti-cancer peptides. A recent review discusses the classifications and structure-activity relationship of anti-cancer peptides in more detail (Chiangjong et al., 2020). In short, in contrast to healthy cells, cancer cells possess phosphatidylserine, a negatively charged phospholipid, allowing for electrostatic attraction between cationic peptides and cancer cells. Upon electrostatic interaction with the membrane surface of cancer cells, peptides arrange in either an α -helix or β -sheet, resulting in cell membrane disruption. Anti-cancer peptides can be classified as i) molecularly targeted peptides, ii) binding peptides, or iii) cell-stimulating peptides. Hydrophobic, positively charged Lys- and Arg-rich peptides can induce cancer cell toxicity via disruption and penetration of anionic, hydrophobic cancer cell membranes; a mechanism known as ‘snorkeling’. The peptide Tyr-Ala-Leu-Pro-Ala-His was subsequently purified from the heat-treated pepsin hydrolysate of half-fin anchovy. Although this peptide inhibited prostate cancer PC-3 cells by 50% at 11.4 mg/mL, modification of the peptide to Tyr-Ala-Leu-Arg-Ala-His improved its inhibitory activity (IC_{50} value of 8.1 mg/mL). The enhanced cell permeation efficacy of Arg-rich peptides may be due to the hydrogen-bond formation of guanidine moiety in Arg with phosphates, sulfates, and carboxylates on cellular components (Song et al., 2014). Peptides Leu-Pro-His-

667 Val-Leu-Thr-Pro-Glu-Ala-Gly-Ala-Thr and Pro-Thr-Ala-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Tyr-Met-Val-Thr
668 purified from tuna dark muscle byproduct hydrolysates prepared with papain and Protease
669 XXIII induced a dose-dependent anti-proliferative effect on MCF-7 cells with IC₅₀ values of
670 8.1 and 8.8 μ M, respectively (Hsu et al., 2011).

671 Further work on the isolation, identification, and elucidation of mechanism of action of
672 fish derived anti-cancer peptides is required. Furthermore, studies to-date used various cancer
673 cell lines to demonstrate the chemoprotective abilities of fish muscle protein hydrolysates and
674 peptides *in vitro*, however the majority of these studies lacked the inclusion of a non- cancerous
675 cell line controls. *Nemipterus japonicus* and *Exocoetus volitans* muscle hydrolysate fraction
676 rich in Glu, Lys, Gly, and Thr induced a dose-dependent cytotoxic effect in the human
677 hepatoblastoma cell line, HepG2, with IC₅₀ values of 48.5 μ g/mL and 21.6 μ g/mL (Naqash &
678 Nazeer, 2010). Interestingly, neither fraction induced a cytotoxic effect in Vero (kidney
679 epithelial non-cancerous) cells.

680 If a peptide derived from fish is intended for use as a treatment for cancer, then similar to
681 all other peptide drugs it may face numerous limitations including peptide instability, poor
682 membrane permeability and poor oral bioavailability. Potential solutions to tackle these
683 challenges include conjugation of therapeutic peptides with cell-penetrating peptides to
684 enhance transport across cellular membrane or conjugation with lipids, promoting
685 amphiphilicity, in turn, enhancing bioavailability, selectivity, potency, and membrane
686 penetration of peptide (Marqus et al., 2017). Peptide engineering via AA substitution or
687 combination of peptides with each or other anti-cancer drugs may also promote improve
688 efficacy and efficiency of chemotherapy.

3.6 Anti-obesity potential

Although enlargement of adipocytes is the main mechanism of weight gain in adults, obese people generally have a higher amount of adipocytes than non-obese people. However, adipocyte number is altered during childhood and adolescence and remains constant during adulthood in both obese and lean people (Spalding et al., 2008). Adipogenesis is regulated by various transcription factors including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ), sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP)-1 and CCATT/enhancer binding protein α (C/EBP α) (White & Stephens, 2010). After a thorough search of the relevant literature, no adipocyte-modulating hydrolysate from a fish source was found. However, a peptide derived from desalinated boiled tuna extract (Asp-Ile-Val-Asp-Lys-Ile-Glu-Ile) (5 mg/mL) reduced triglyceride accumulation significantly ($p < 0.05$) in differentiated adipocytes (3T3-L1 cell line) compared with differentiated cells treated with media alone (Kim, Choi, Lee, & Nam, 2015). This peptide also reduced expression levels of C-EBP α and PPAR γ , and expression levels of adipogenic and lipogenic genes in differentiated 3T3-L1 cells. Pentapeptides Val-Ile-Asp-Pro-Trp and Ile-Arg-Trp-Trp-Trp (100 μ M) purified from papain hydrolysed miiuy croaker muscle (pH 7.5, 50°C, enzyme dose of 1.5%, 5h) significantly reduced oleic acid-induced lipid accumulation in human liver carcinoma cells (HepG2) after 24h exposure ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively) compared to the oleic acid model control (Wang et al., 2020). These pentapeptides (100 μ M) also reduced intracellular triglyceride levels ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively), total cholesterol levels ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively), expression of lipogenesis genes (SREBP-1c, SREBP-2, fatty acid synthase (FAS), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), and 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme-A reductase (HMGR)) and increased the expression levels of lipolysis genes (PPAR α , acyl-CoA oxidase 1 (ACOX-1), and carnitine palmitoyltransferase-1 (CPT-1)) compared with cellular oleic acid model control. A database search using BIOPEP-UWM revealed no sequence homology with known anti-adipogenic

peptides (<http://www.uwm.edu.pl/biochemia/index.php/en/biopep>). In addition, a peptide structure-activity study by Pak et al. (2005) reported that the presence of Pro residues, Glu, Thr, and Tyr side groups and hydrophobic regions promotes hypocholesterolemia via stabilisation of a 'turn' conformation and formation of hydrogen bonds to the binding site of HMGR, a known rate limiting enzyme in cholesterol biosynthesis. Moreover, peptide hydrophobicity is also correlated to their ability to bind to bile acids which may inhibit the absorption of bile acids in the ileum and ultimately, decrease serum cholesterol levels (Pak et al., 2005).

Alkaline protease hydrolysate (pH 11, 39°C, enzyme dosage 122 U/ mL) from water-soluble protein of crucian carp muscle increased inhibition of porcine pancreas lipase activity *in vitro* as hydrolysis time increased, reaching a maximal value of $53.21 \pm 1.07\%$ at 10h (Liu et al., 2013). Pancreatic lipase is the enzyme responsible for hydrolysis of 50-70% of total dietary fat into monoglycerides, free fatty acids and other small molecules that are easily absorbed by the intestine. Therefore, inhibition of pancreatic lipase activity can potentially control energy intake. The alkaline protease hydrolysate from crucian carp also reduced α -amylase inhibitory activity by $20.07 \pm 0.87\%$.

In the quest to manage weight gain and reduce the incidence of obesity, food-derived compounds have been identified that influence food intake pathways. Satiety hormones such as Cholecystokinin (CCK), Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and Peptide YY (PYY) which are released from enteroendocrine cells in response to food digestion have been shown to suppress appetite and reduce food intake via activation of various signalization pathways. Murine STC-1, murine GLUTag and human NCI-H716 cell lines are commonly used as enteroendocrine models to screen for food components capable of inducing secretion of satiety hormones.

Incubation of STC-1 cells for 2h with BWPH (hydrolysis conditions not reported) at 0.2% (w/v) and 1.0% (w/v) increased levels of CCK to 49.5 and 122.0 pM, respectively, compared with STC-1 basal CCK levels (4.0 pM) (10 mM glucose) (Cudennec et al., 2008). Although CCK-stimulating peptides were partially purified and characterised via size exclusion chromatography to have an apparent MW ranging from 1000 to 1500 Da, the study did not identify peptide sequences which may have influenced bioactivity. A follow-up study determined BWPH (1.0% (w/v) for 2h incubation) also induced a 25-fold increase in GLP-1 concentration over basal (10 mM glucose) (Cudennec et al., 2012). In a study investigating the antidiabetic activity of BWPH, Harnedy et al. (2018) also reported the ability of a BWPH (prepared with Alcalase 2.4L and Flavourzyme 500L, pH 7.0, 50 °C, E/S ratio 0.74% (w/w)) and a SGID digest to increase levels of GLP-1 significantly in GLUTag cells compared to the glucose control (2 mM) ($p<0.01$ and $p<0.001$, respectively). An observation worth noting, however, is that studies examining the satiety inducing effect of FPHs *in vitro* failed to include assessment of the possible signalling mechanisms involved. Whereas, many studies investigating milk and meat protein hydrolysates reported whether satiety hormone secretion was induced via stimulation of the cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP) pathway or calcium signalling (Kondrashina et al., 2018; O'Halloran et al., 2018; Reimer, 2006). It should also be noted that, to date, no study to the best of our knowledge, has identified and characterised the peptide responsible for the satiating effect of FPHs. This information is prerequisite for elucidating structure-function relationships and determining exact mechanisms of action. In contrast, a number of GLP-1 and/ or CCK stimulating peptides have been identified from milk and meat sources (Domenger et al., 2017; Komatsu et al., 2019; Tulipano et al., 2011).

Albeit the majority of *in vitro* studies published to-date investigating the anti-obesity activity of protein hydrolysates have focused on milk protein, it is difficult to compare the anti-

obesity potential of FPHs to other protein sources due to the methodological variation between studies i.e., cell type, cell density, exposure time, hydrolysate concentration. However, a recent review by Sharkey et al. (2020) concluded that many FPHs have potential to reduce body weight and improve body composition *in vivo* and in clinical studies. The Norwegian Tromsø Study is an epidemiological study with the focus of investigating the relationship between fish consumption and the subsequent beneficial effects on metabolic syndrome. Data collected after a 13-year follow-up period (1994-1995, $n = 23,907$ to 2007–2008, $n = 12,981$) revealed that consumption of lean fish once a week or more was associated with decreased future metabolic score, decreased triglyceride content, and increased high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, whereas decreased waist circumference and blood pressure was identified only for men (Tørris et al., 2017).

4 Applications, challenges and future perspectives

FPHs represent desirable functional food ingredients owing to their beneficial impact on both health and food quality. Addition of antioxidant or anti-microbial FPHs to a food system may inhibit lipid peroxidation or growth of food spoilage microorganisms, respectively, thereby potentially extending shelf life of supplemented products. Not only do FPH have use as preservative ingredients, but some FPH are also commercialised functional food ingredients with health promoting claims. BWPH which induced CCK and GLP-1 secretion in STC-1 cells, was subsequently demonstrated to increase plasma concentrations of CCK and GLP-1, improve body composition and reduce body weight upon oral administration (1.4 g) to 120 overweight ($25 \text{ kg/m}^2 \leq \text{body mass index (BMI)} < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) adults over 90 days. BWPH is now commercialised and marketed as Slimpro® (Nobile et al., 2016). In addition, peptides purified from dried bonito (*katsuobushi*) via thermolysin digestion exhibiting ACE-inhibitory activities

787 *in vitro* were also shown to exhibit anti-hypertensive effects in spontaneously hypertensive rats
788 and borderline (high normal) and mildly hypertensive adults (1.4 g/ day orally administrated
789 over 5 weeks) (Fujita et al., 2001; Yokoyama et al., 1992). *Katsuobushi* oligopeptide received
790 official approval as Foods for Specific Health Use (FoSHU) in 1999 by the Ministry of Health
791 and Welfare in Japan for prevention of hypertension in at-risk individuals.

792 Although this review discusses only six potential bioactivities of fish muscle protein
793 hydrolysates *in vitro*, numerous novel bioactivities have emerged in recent years. FPHs have
794 recently been shown to enhance cognitive memory, promote skin repair and regeneration, and
795 increase post-exercise aminoacidemia, as well as the ability to increase bone mass with
796 potential to treat osteoporosis and bone loss (Cordeiro et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2019; Zhang,
797 Zhang, et al., 2018; Zhang, Su, et al., 2019). There are now vast possible applications for
798 bioactive FPHs which are garnering more and more interest from food, pharmaceutical and
799 cosmetic industries.

800 Although bioactive fish hydrolysates and peptides are generally not as potent as
801 synthetic drugs, they could provide a safe and natural alternative for the prevention more than
802 the treatment of disease. However, for bioactive efficacy in a functional food offering, fish
803 hydrolysates similar to other food hydrolysates must overcome several hurdles not least of
804 which includes issues of processing, food formulation, sensory acceptance, survival during GI
805 digestion and bioavailability.

806 A systematic approach for optimization of the numerous parameters which influence
807 the production of bioactive peptides is now advised compared to ‘one factor at a time’ or ‘trial
808 and error’ approaches which should now be deemed obsolete (Chakrabarti et al., 2018). The
809 development of bioinformatics analysis in recent years has promoted a highly useful approach
810 for the generation of bioactive peptides via the utilization of computational data to predict

peptide sequences likely to induce specific bioactivities and elucidate structure-function relationships. Peptide databases should be exploited to save time and expenses involved in purifying fish peptides and testing various potential bioactivities. These databases also provide information about peptide structure-function relationships, molecular docking, and peptide-receptor interactions, which are essential for the development of therapeutic products.

Although *in vitro* investigations offer great insight into the potential bioactivities of FPH, for FPHs to have use as bioactive agents with the aim to improve human health, more clinical trials are required in order to determine FPH bioavailability and absorption through the GI barrier ensuring eventual contact with target cells. In addition, future studies should elucidate mechanisms of action of bioactive fish muscle protein hydrolysates, as well as, identify individual bioactive peptides from fish protein fractions so that peptide structure-function relationships can be further understood.

5 Conclusion

This review has discussed a plethora of biologically active protein hydrolysates (and bioactive peptides) prepared from muscle of various fish species and highlighted the relationship between peptide structure and exhibited *in vitro* bioactivity. Croaker fish (Sciaenidae family) muscle appears to be the most common substrate for generation of antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-microbial protein hydrolysates and peptides; whereas, the majority of ACE-inhibitory hydrolysates discussed were prepared from salmon muscle. Microbial proteases including Alcalase®, Protamex® and Flavourzyme® are generally the enzymes of choice to produce potent fish muscle protein hydrolysates. Alcalase® hydrolysed

Argentine croaker myofibrillar protein hydrolysate represented the most multifunctional fish muscle hydrolysate demonstrating antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-microbial activities (Da Rocha et al., 2018). Due to the range of biofunctionalities exhibited, the hydrolysate possesses enhanced potential as a value-added ingredient for application in functional foods and nutraceutical products. However, the peptide responsible for exhibited bioactivities must be identified prior to commercialisation. It is possible that free AAs released during hydrolysis may also influence bioactivity. Short-chain peptides commonly exhibit bioactivity *in vivo* as they are too small to act as a substrate for digestive proteases, thereby have heightened resistance to GI digestion and increased probability of crossing the intestinal barrier to elicit their biological function. However, similar to other food-derived protein hydrolysates, fish hydrolysates have also failed efficacy assessments in follow-up *in vivo* trials (Chai et al., 2016; Giannetto et al., 2020)

This review offers a comparison of studies investigating the cellular *in vitro* bioactivity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates and has collated numerous data informing the reader of suitable protein sources, enzymes, and processing conditions for the generation of bioactive hydrolysates. This review thereby may be a useful data base when designing future studies on fish muscle protein hydrolysates/peptides *in vitro* or *in vivo* as no database listing exclusively bioactive peptides derived from fish sources currently exists.

Overall, ACE inhibition appears to be the most promising bioactivity of fish muscle protein hydrolysates/ peptides of the six bioactivities discussed in this review. Although hydrolysates from other protein sources such as milk, meat and plant show similar inhibitory activity, fish is now an abundant source of protein due to the ‘landing obligation’ policy which was introduced by the European Commission with the aim of progressive elimination of discards in all EU fisheries. Fish protein now represents an affordable alternative to milk proteins which are currently the main source of bioactive peptides.

859 **Acknowledgements**

860 This work was supported by the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (FIRM
861 project 17 F 260). Linda Giblin is funded by Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) and the
862 Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine on behalf of the Government of Ireland under
863 Grant Number 16/RC/3835 VistaMilk.

864

865 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

866 The authors declare no conflict of interest

867

868 **References:**

869

870 Altmelataman, C., Koroleva, O., Fedorova, T., Torkova, A., Lisitskaya, K., Tsentalovich, M., . . .

871 Çelik, U. (2019). An in vitro and in silico study on the antioxidant and cell culture-based

872 study on the chemoprotective activities of fish muscle protein hydrolysates obtained from

873 European seabass and gilthead seabream. *Food Chem*, 271, 724-732.

874 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.004>.

875 Auwal, S. M., Zarei, M., Abdul-Hamid, A., & Saari, N. (2017). Response Surface Optimisation

876 for the Production of Antioxidant Hydrolysates from Stone Fish Protein Using Bromelain.

877 *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*, 2017, 4765463.

878 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/4765463>.

879 Balti, R., Bougatef, A., Sila, A., Guillochon, D., Dhulster, P., & Nedjar-Arroume, N. (2015). Nine

880 novel angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptides from cuttlefish (*Sepia*

881 *officinalis*) muscle protein hydrolysates and antihypertensive effect of the potent active

882 peptide in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Food Chem*, 170, 519-525.

883 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.03.091>.

884 Bashir, K. M. I., Park, Y.-J., An, J. H., Choi, S.-J., Kim, J.-H., Baek, M.-K., . . . Choi, J.-S. (2018).

885 Antioxidant Properties of *Scomber japonicus* Hydrolysates Prepared by Enzymatic

886 Hydrolysis. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 27(1), 107-121.

887 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10498850.2017.1407013>.

888 Bhandari, D., Rafiq, S., Gat, Y., Gat, P., Waghmare, R., & Kumar, V. (2020). A Review on

889 Bioactive Peptides: Physiological Functions, Bioavailability and Safety. *International*

890 *Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics*, 26(1), 139-150.

891 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10989-019-09823-5>.

892Bkhairia, I., Ben Slama Ben Salem, R., Nasri, R., Jridi, M., Ghorbel, S., & Nasri, M. (2016). In-
 893 vitro antioxidant and functional properties of protein hydrolysates from golden grey mullet
 894 prepared by commercial, microbial and visceral proteases. *Journal of food science and*
 895 *technology*, 53(7), 2902-2912. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-016-2200-5>.

896Cerrato, A., Capriotti, A.L., Capuano, F., Cavaliere, C., Montone, A.M.I., Montone, C.M.,
 897 Piovesana, S., Zenezini Chiozzi, R. and Laganà, A. (2020). Identification and
 898 Antimicrobial Activity of Medium-Sized and Short-Peptides from Yellowfin Tuna
 899 (Thunnus albacares) Simulated Gastrointestinal Digestion. *Foods*, 9(9), p.1185.
 900 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091185>

901Chai, H.-J., Chan, Y.-L., Li, T.-L., Shiau, C.-Y., & Wu, C.-J. (2013). Evaluation of lanternfish
 902 (Benthoosema pterotum) hydrolysates as antioxidants against hydrogen peroxide induced
 903 oxidative injury. *Food Research International*, 54(2), 1409-1418.
 904 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.09.052>.

905Chai, H.-J., Wu, C.-J., Yang, S.-H., Li, T.-L., & Sun Pan, B. (2016). Peptides from hydrolysate of
 906 lantern fish (Benthoosema pterotum) proved neuroprotective in vitro and in vivo. *Journal of*
 907 *Functional Foods*, 24, 438-449. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2016.04.009>.

908Chakrabarti, S., Guha, S., & Majumder, K. (2018). Food-Derived Bioactive Peptides in Human
 909 Health: Challenges and Opportunities. *Nutrients*, 10(11).
 910 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10111738>.

911Chi, C.-F., Wang, B., Deng, Y.-Y., Wang, Y.-M., Deng, S.-G., & Ma, J.-Y. (2014). Isolation and
 912 characterization of three antioxidant pentapeptides from protein hydrolysate of monkfish
 913 (Lophius litulon) muscle. *Food Research International*, 55, 222-228.
 914 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.11.018>.

915Chiangjong, W., Chutipongtanate, S. and Hongeng, S. (2020). Anticancer peptide:
 916 Physicochemical property, functional aspect and trend in clinical application. *International*
 917 *Journal of Oncology*, 57(3), 678-696. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2020.5099>

918Chel-Guerrero, L., Estrella-Millán, Y., Betancur-Ancona, D., Aranda-González, I., Castellanos-
 919 Ruelas, A. and Gallegos-Tintoré, S. (2020). Antioxidant, chelating, and angiotensin-
 920 converting enzyme inhibitory activities of peptide fractions from red lionfish (*Pterois*
 921 *volitans* L.) muscle protein hydrolysates. *International Food Research Journal*, 27(2).

922Chen, J., Wang, Y., Zhong, Q., Wu, Y., & Xia, W. (2012). Purification and characterization of a
 923 novel angiotensin-I converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptide derived from enzymatic
 924 hydrolysate of grass carp protein. *Peptides*, 33(1), 52-58.
 925 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2011.11.006>.

926Cordeiro, E. M., de Oliveira, G. V., Volino-Souza, M., Velozo, O. d. C., & Alvares, T. S. (2020).
 927 Effects of fish protein hydrolysate ingestion on postexercise aminoacidemia compared with
 928 whey protein hydrolysate in young individuals. *Journal of Food Science*, 85(1), 21-27.
 929 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14970>.

930Cudennec, B., Fouchereau-Peron, M., Ferry, F., Duclos, E., & Ravallec, R. (2012). In vitro and in
 931 vivo evidence for a satiating effect of fish protein hydrolysate obtained from blue whiting
 932 (*Micromesistius poutassou*) muscle. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 4(1), 271-277.
 933 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2011.12.003>.

934Cudennec, B., Ravallec-Plé, R., Courois, E., & Fouchereau-Peron, M. (2008). Peptides from fish
 935 and crustacean by-products hydrolysates stimulate cholecystokinin release in STC-1 cells.
 936 *Food Chemistry*, 111(4), 970-975.
 937 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.05.016>.

938 Cumby, N., Zhong, Y., Naczki, M. and Shahidi, F., 2008. Antioxidant activity and water-holding
 939 capacity of canola protein hydrolysates. *Food chemistry*, 109(1), pp.144-148.
 940 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.12.039>

941 Da Rocha, M., Alemán, A., Baccan, G. C., López-Caballero, M. E., Gómez-Guillén, C., Montero,
 942 P., & Prentice, C. (2018). Anti-Inflammatory, Antioxidant, and Antimicrobial Effects of
 943 Underutilized Fish Protein Hydrolysate. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*,
 944 27(5), 592-608. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10498850.2018.1461160>.

945 Dallas, D. C., Sanctuary, M. R., Qu, Y., Khajavi, S. H., Van Zandt, A. E., Dyandra, M., . . . German,
 946 J. B. (2017). Personalizing protein nourishment. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*, 57(15), 3313-
 947 3331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1117412>.

948 Darewicz, M., Borawska, J., Vegarud, G. E., Minkiewicz, P., & Iwaniak, A. (2014). Angiotensin
 949 I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity and ACE inhibitory peptides of salmon
 950 (*Salmo salar*) protein hydrolysates obtained by human and porcine gastrointestinal
 951 enzymes. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 15(8), 14077-14101.
 952 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms150814077>.

953 Dauksas, E., Slizyte, R., Rustad, T. and Storro, I. (2004). Bitterness in fish protein hydrolysates
 954 and methods for removal. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 13(2), pp.101-
 955 114. https://doi.org/10.1300/J030v13n02_09

956 Domenger, D., Caron, J., Belguesmia, Y., Lesage, J., Dhulster, P., Ravallec, R., & Cudennec, B.
 957 (2017). Bioactivities of hemorphins released from bovine haemoglobin gastrointestinal
 958 digestion: Dual effects on intestinal hormones and DPP-IV regulations. *Journal of*
 959 *Functional Foods*, 36, 9-17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2017.06.047>.

960 Elavarasan, K., & Shamasundar, B. A. (2017). Antioxidant and emulsion properties of freshwater
 961 carps (*Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita*, *Cirrhinus mrigala*) protein hydrolysates prepared using

962 flavorzyme. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 26(5), 1169-1176.
 963 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-017-0154-7>.
 964 Elavarasan, K., Shamasundar, B. A., Badii, F., & Howell, N. (2016). Angiotensin I-converting
 965 enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity and structural properties of oven- and freeze-dried
 966 protein hydrolysate from fresh water fish (*Cirrhinus mrigala*). *Food Chemistry*, 206, 210-
 967 216. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.03.047>.
 968 Enari, H., Takahashi, Y., Kawarasaki, M., Tada, M., & Tatsuta, K. (2008). Identification of
 969 angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptides derived from salmon muscle and their
 970 antihypertensive effect. *Fisheries Science*, 74(4), 911-920. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-2906.2008.01606.x)
 971 [2906.2008.01606.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-2906.2008.01606.x).
 972 Fonseca, R. A. S., Silva, C. B. M., Fernandes, G., & Prentice, C. (2016). Enzymatic hydrolysis of
 973 cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*) meat and wastes using different microbial enzymes.
 974 *International Food Research Journal*, 23, 152-160.
 975 Fujita, H., Yamagami, T., & Ohshima, K. (2001). Effects of an ace-inhibitory agent, katsuobushi
 976 oligopeptide, in the spontaneously hypertensive rat and in borderline and mildly
 977 hypertensive subjects1 1Abbreviations: KO: katsuobushi oligopeptide; ACE: angiotensin
 978 I-converting enzyme; SHR: spontaneously hypertensive rat; IC50: 50% inhibitory
 979 concentration; LKPNM: Leu-Lys-Pro-Asn-Met; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP:
 980 diastolic blood pressure; HHL: hippuryl-histidyl-leucine. *Nutrition Research*, 21(8), 1149-
 981 1158. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0271-5317\(01\)00333-5](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0271-5317(01)00333-5).
 982 Gao, R., Shu, W., Shen, Y., Sun, Q., Bai, F., Wang, J., Li, D., Li, Y., Jin, W. and Yuan, L. (2020).
 983 Sturgeon protein-derived peptides exert anti-inflammatory effects in LPS-stimulated
 984 RAW264. 7 macrophages via the MAPK pathway. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 72,
 985 p.104044. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2020.104044>

986Ghassem, M., Babji, A. S., Said, M., Mahmoodani, F., & Arihara, K. (2014). Angiotensin I–
 987 Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Peptides from Snakehead Fish Sarcoplasmic Protein
 988 Hydrolysate. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 38(2), 140-149.
 989 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12031>.

990Giannetto, A., Esposito, E., Lanza, M., Oliva, S., Riolo, K., Di Pietro, S., . . . Macrì, F. (2020).
 991 Protein Hydrolysates from Anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) Waste: In Vitro and In Vivo
 992 Biological Activities. *Marine drugs*, 18(2), 86. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md18020086>.

993Godinho, I., Pires, C., Pedro, S., Teixeira, B., Mendes, R., Nunes, M. L., & Batista, I. (2016).
 994 Antioxidant Properties of Fish Protein Hydrolysates Prepared from Cod Protein
 995 Hydrolysate by *Bacillus* sp. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*, 178(6), 1095-1112.
 996 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1931-5>.

997Gomez-Guillén, G., López-Caballero, M.E., Alemán, A., López de Lacey, A., Giménez, B., &
 998 Montero, P. (2010). Antioxidant and antimicrobial peptide fractions from squid and tuna
 999 skin gelatin, in E. Le Bihan, (Ed.) *Sea By-Products as Real Material: New Ways of*
 1000 *Application*. Kerala: Transworld Research Network. pp.89-115

1001Gómez-Ruiz, J.Á., Taborda, G., Amigo, L., Recio, I. and Ramos, M. (2006). Identification of ACE-
 1002 inhibitory peptides in different Spanish cheeses by tandem mass spectrometry. *European*
 1003 *Food Research and Technology*, 223(5), pp.595-601. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-005-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-005-0238-0)
 1004 [0238-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-005-0238-0)

1005Ha, N. C., Hien, D. M., Thuy, N. T., Nguyen, L. T., & Devkota, L. (2017). Enzymatic Hydrolysis
 1006 of Catfish (*Pangasius hypophthalmus*) By-Product: Kinetic Analysis of Key Process
 1007 Parameters and Characteristics of the Hydrolysates Obtained. *Journal of Aquatic Food*
 1008 *Product Technology*, 26(9), 1070-1082. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10498850.2017.1376027>.

1009Hamed, I., Özogul, F., Özogul, Y., & Regenstein, J. M. (2015). Marine Bioactive Compounds and
 1010 Their Health Benefits: A Review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food*
 1011 *Safety*, 14(4), 446-465. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12136>.

1012Harnedy, P. A., Parthsarathy, V., McLaughlin, C. M., O'Keeffe, M. B., Allsopp, P. J., McSorley,
 1013 E. M., . . . FitzGerald, R. J. (2018). Blue whiting (*Micromesistius poutassou*) muscle protein
 1014 hydrolysate with in vitro and in vivo antidiabetic properties. *Journal of Functional Foods*,
 1015 40, 137-145. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2017.10.045>.

1016Hayes, M. and Flower, D. (2013). Bioactive peptides from marine processing byproducts, in B.
 1017 Hernández-Ledesma, & M. Herrero (Eds.), *Bioactive Compounds from Marine Foods:*
 1018 *Plant and Animal Sources* (pp.57-71). Chicago: John Wiley & Sons.

1019He, Y., Pan, X., Chi, C.-F., Sun, K.-L., & Wang, B. (2019). Ten new pentapeptides from protein
 1020 hydrolysate of miiuy croaker (*Miichthys miiuy*) muscle: Preparation, identification, and
 1021 antioxidant activity evaluation. *LWT*, 105, 1-8.
 1022 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.01.054>.

1023Hsu, K.-C., Li-Chan, E. C. Y., & Jao, C.-L. (2011). Antiproliferative activity of peptides prepared
 1024 from enzymatic hydrolysates of tuna dark muscle on human breast cancer cell line MCF-
 1025 7. *Food Chemistry*, 126(2), 617-622.
 1026 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.11.066>.

1027Hu, X. M., Wang, Y. M., Zhao, Y. Q., Chi, C. F., & Wang, B. (2020). Antioxidant Peptides from
 1028 the Protein Hydrolysate of Monkfish (*Lophius litulon*) Muscle: Purification, Identification,
 1029 and Cytoprotective Function on HepG2 Cells Damage by H₂O₂. *Marine drugs*, 18(3).
 1030 <https://doi.org/10.3390/md18030153>.

1031Ishibashi, N., Kouge, K., Shinoda, I., Kanehisa, H., & Okai, H. (1988). A mechanism for bitter
 1032 taste sensibility in peptides. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 52(3), 819–827.
 1033 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1988.10868743>

1034Jain, A. K., Singh, D., Dubey, K., Maurya, R., Mittal, S., & Pandey, A. K. (2018). Chapter 3 -
1035 Models and Methods for In Vitro Toxicity. In A. Dhawan & S. Kwon (Eds.), *In Vitro*
1036 *Toxicology* (pp. 45-65): Academic Press.

1037Je, J. Y., & Kim, S. K. (2012). Chitosan as potential marine nutraceutical. *Adv Food Nutr Res*, 65,
1038 121-135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-416003-3.00007-x>.

1039Jemil, I., Jridi, M., Nasri, R., Ktari, N., Ben Slama-Ben Salem, R., Mehiri, M., . . . Nasri, M. (2014).
1040 Functional, antioxidant and antibacterial properties of protein hydrolysates prepared from
1041 fish meat fermented by *Bacillus subtilis* A26. *Process Biochemistry*, 49(6), 963-972.
1042 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2014.03.004>.

1043Jiang, H., Tong, T., Sun, J., Xu, Y., Zhao, Z., & Liao, D. (2014). Purification and characterization
1044 of antioxidative peptides from round scad (*Decapterus maruadsi*) muscle protein
1045 hydrolysate. *Food Chemistry*, 154, 158-163.
1046 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.074>.

1047Jiang, Z., Zhang, H., Bian, X., Li, J., Li, J., & Zhang, H. (2019). Insight into the binding of ACE-
1048 inhibitory peptides to angiotensin-converting enzyme: A molecular simulation. *Molecular*
1049 *Simulation*, 45(3), 215-222

1050Jumeri, & Kim, S. M. (2011). Antioxidant and anticancer activities of enzymatic hydrolysates of
1051 solitary tunicate (*Styela clava*). *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 20(4), 1075.
1052 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-011-0146-y>.

1053Kangsanant, S., Murkovic, M., & Thongraung, C. (2014). Antioxidant and nitric oxide inhibitory
1054 activities of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) protein hydrolysate: effect of ultrasonic
1055 pretreatment and ultrasonic-assisted enzymatic hydrolysis. *International Journal of Food*
1056 *Science & Technology*, 49(8), 1932-1938.
1057 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12551>.

1058Kangsanant, S., Thongraung, C., Jansakul, C., Murkovic, M., & Seechamnaturakit, V. (2015).
 1059 Purification and characterisation of antioxidant and nitric oxide inhibitory peptides from
 1060 Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) protein hydrolysate. *International Journal of Food Science*
 1061 *& Technology*, 50(3), 660-665. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12680>.

1062Kim, S., & Byun, H.-G. (2012). The Novel Angiotensin I Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Peptide
 1063 from Rainbow Trout Muscle Hydrolysate. *Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 15, 183-190.
 1064 <https://doi.org/10.5657/FAS.2012.0183>

1065Kim, Y.-M., Kim, I.-H., Choi, J.-W., Lee, M.-K., & Nam, T.-J. (2015). The anti-obesity effects of
 1066 a tuna peptide on 3T3-L1 adipocytes are mediated by the inhibition of the expression of
 1067 lipogenic and adipogenic genes and by the activation of the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling
 1068 pathway. *International journal of molecular medicine*, 36(2), 327-334.
 1069 <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2015.2231>.

1070Klompong, V., Benjakul, S., Kantachote, D., & Shahidi, F. (2007). Antioxidative activity and
 1071 functional properties of protein hydrolysate of yellow stripe trevally (*Selaroides leptolepis*)
 1072 as influenced by the degree of hydrolysis and enzyme type. *Food Chemistry*, 102(4), 1317-
 1073 1327. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.07.016>.

1074Ko, J. Y., Lee, J. H., Samarakoon, K., Kim, J. S., & Jeon, Y. J. (2013). Purification and
 1075 determination of two novel antioxidant peptides from flounder fish (*Paralichthys olivaceus*)
 1076 using digestive proteases. *Food Chem Toxicol*, 52, 113-120.
 1077 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.10.058>.

1078Ko, S. C., & Jeon, Y. J. (2015). Anti-inflammatory effect of enzymatic hydrolysates from *Styela*
 1079 *clava* flesh tissue in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages and in vivo
 1080 zebrafish model. *Nutr Res Pract*, 9(3), 219-226. <https://doi.org/10.4162/nrp.2015.9.3.219>.

1081Komatsu, Y., Wada, Y., Izumi, H., Shimizu, T., Takeda, Y., Hira, T., & Hara, H. (2019). Casein
 1082 materials show different digestion patterns using an in vitro gastrointestinal model and

different release of glucagon-like peptide-1 by enteroendocrine GLUTag cells. *Food Chemistry*, 277, 423-431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.10.123>.

Kondrashina, A., Papkovsky, D., & Giblin, L. (2018). Physiological Gut Oxygenation Alters GLP-1 Secretion from the Enteroendocrine Cell Line STC-1. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 62(3), 1700568. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201700568>.

Kumar, J., Teoh, S. L., Das, S., & Mahakknaukrah, P. (2017). Oxidative Stress in Oral Diseases: Understanding Its Relation with Other Systemic Diseases. *Frontiers in physiology*, 8, 693-693. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00693>.

Lee, H. J., Jang, H. L., Ahn, D. K., Kim, H. J., Jeon, H. Y., Seo, D. B., . . . Kang, S. S. (2019). Orally administered collagen peptide protects against UVB-induced skin aging through the absorption of dipeptide forms, Gly-Pro and Pro-Hyp. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*, 83(6), 1146-1156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2019.1580559>.

Lee, S. Y., & Hur, S. J. (2017). Antihypertensive peptides from animal products, marine organisms, and plants. *Food Chemistry*, 228, 506-517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.02.039>.

Leni, G., Soetemans, L., Caligiani, A., Sforza, S., & Bastiaens, L. (2020). Degree of hydrolysis affects the techno-functional properties of lesser mealworm protein hydrolysates. *Foods*, 9(4), 381. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9040381>

Li, X., Luo, Y., Shen, H., & You, J. (2012). Antioxidant activities and functional properties of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) protein hydrolysates. *J Sci Food Agric*, 92(2), 292-298. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4574>.

Lima, M.M., Vanier, N.L., Dias, A.R.G., Zavareze, E., Prentice, C., & Moreira, A.D.S. (2019). Whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*) protein hydrolysates: chemical composition, molecular mass distribution, antioxidant activity and amino acid profile. *International Food Research Journal*, 26(1), pp.247-254.

1108Liu, J., Lyu, F., Zhou, X., Wang, B., Wang, X., & Ding, Y. (2015). Preparation of Skipjack Tuna
 1109 (Katsuwonus pelamis) Protein Hydrolysate Using Combined Controlled Enzymatic
 1110 Hydrolysis and Glycation for Improved Solubility and Emulsifying Properties. *Journal of*
 1111 *Food and Nutrition Research*, 3(7), 471-477. <https://doi.org/10.12691/jfnr-3-7-9>.

1112Liu, L., Wang, Y., Peng, C., & Wang, J. (2013). Optimization of the preparation of fish protein
 1113 anti-obesity hydrolysates using response surface methodology. *International journal of*
 1114 *molecular sciences*, 14(2), 3124-3139. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14023124>.

1115Manzanares, P., Gandía, M., Garrigues, S., & Marcos, J. F. (2019). Improving Health-Promoting
 1116 Effects of Food-Derived Bioactive Peptides through Rational Design and Oral Delivery
 1117 Strategies. *Nutrients*, 11(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11102545>.

1118Marqus, S., Pirogova, E. and Piva, T.J., 2017. Evaluation of the use of therapeutic peptides for
 1119 cancer treatment. *Journal of biomedical science*, 24(1), 1-15.
 1120 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-017-0328-x>

1121Maruyama, S., Mitachi, H., Tanaka, H., Tomizuka, N., & Suzuki, H. (1987). Studies on the Active
 1122 Site and Antihypertensive Activity of Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors
 1123 Derived from Casein. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 51(6), 1581-1586.
 1124 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1987.10868244>.

1125Najafian, L., & Babji, A. S. (2012). A review of fish-derived antioxidant and antimicrobial
 1126 peptides: Their production, assessment, and applications. *Peptides*, 33(1), 178-185.
 1127 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2011.11.013>.

1128Nakajima, K., Yoshie-Stark, Y., & Ogushi, M. (2009). Comparison of ACE inhibitory and DPPH
 1129 radical scavenging activities of fish muscle hydrolysates. *Food Chemistry*, 114(3), 844-
 1130 851. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.10.083>.

1131Naqash, S. Y., & Nazeer, R. A. (2010). Antioxidant Activity of Hydrolysates and Peptide Fractions
 1132 of *Nemipterus japonicus* and *Exocoetus volitans* Muscle. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product*
 1133 *Technology*, 19(3-4), 180-192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10498850.2010.506256>.

1134Nasri, M. (2017). Chapter Four - Protein Hydrolysates and Biopeptides: Production, Biological
 1135 Activities, and Applications in Foods and Health Benefits. A Review. In F. Toldrá (Ed.),
 1136 *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research* (pp. 109-159): Academic Press.

1137Nasri, R., Jridi, M., Lassoued, I., Jemil, I., Ben Slama-Ben Salem, R., Nasri, M., & Karra-
 1138 Châabouni, M. (2014). The influence of the extent of enzymatic hydrolysis on antioxidative
 1139 properties and ACE-inhibitory activities of protein hydrolysates from goby (*Zosterisessor*
 1140 *ophiocephalus*) muscle. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*, 173(5), 1121-1134.
 1141 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-014-0905-3>.

1142Nasri, R., Younes, I., Jridi, M., Trigui, M., Bougatef, A., Nedjar-Arroume, N., . . . Karra-
 1143 Châabouni, M. (2013). ACE inhibitory and antioxidative activities of Goby (*Zosterisessor*
 1144 *ophiocephalus*) fish protein hydrolysates: Effect on meat lipid oxidation. *Food Research*
 1145 *International*, 54(1), 552-561.
 1146 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.07.001>.

1147Nazeer, R. A., Kumar, N. S., & Ganesh, R. J. (2012). In vitro and in vivo studies on the antioxidant
 1148 activity of fish peptide isolated from the croaker (*Otolithes ruber*) muscle protein
 1149 hydrolysate. *Peptides*, 35(2), 261-268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2012.03.028>

1150Nesse, K. O., Nagalakshmi, A. P., Marimuthu, P., & Singh, M. (2011). Efficacy of a fish protein
 1151 hydrolysate in malnourished children. *Indian journal of clinical biochemistry : IJCB*, 26(4),
 1152 360-365. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-011-0145-z>.

1153Nobile, V., Duclos, E., Michelotti, A., Bizzaro, G., Negro, M., & Soisson, F. (2016).
 1154 Supplementation with a fish protein hydrolysate (*Micromesistius poutassou*): effects on

body weight, body composition, and CCK/GLP-1 secretion. *Food Nutr Res*, 60, 29857.
<https://doi.org/10.3402/fnr.v60.29857>.

O'Halloran, F., Bruen, C., McGrath, B., Schellekens, H., Murray, B., Cryan, J. F., . . . Giblin, L. (2018). A casein hydrolysate increases GLP-1 secretion and reduces food intake. *Food Chem*, 252, 303-310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.107>.

Offret, C., Fliss, I., Bazinet, L., Marette, A., & Beaulieu, L. (2019). Identification of A Novel Antibacterial Peptide from Atlantic Mackerel belonging to the GAPDH-Related Antimicrobial Family and Its In Vitro Digestibility. *Marine drugs*, 17(7).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/md17070413>.

Ono, S., Hosokawa, M., Miyashita, K., & Takahashi, K. (2003). Isolation of Peptides with Angiotensin I-converting Enzyme Inhibitory Effect Derived from Hydrolysate of Upstream Chum Salmon Muscle. *Journal of Food Science*, 68(5), 1611-1614.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2003.tb12300.x>.

Ono, S., Hosokawa, M., Miyashita, K., & Takahashi, K. (2006). Inhibition properties of dipeptides from salmon muscle hydrolysate on angiotensin I-converting enzyme. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 41(4), 383-386.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2005.01080.x>.

Pak, V. V., Koo, M., Lee, N., Kim, M. S., & Kwon, D. Y. (2005). Structure—Activity Relationships of the Peptide Ile-Ala-Val-Pro and Its Derivatives Revealed Using the Semi-Empirical AM1 Method. *Chemistry of natural compounds*, 41(4), 454-460.

Petricorena, Z. C. (2015). Chemical Composition of Fish and Fishery Products. In P. C. K. Cheung (Ed.), *Handbook of Food Chemistry* (pp. 1-28). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Pezeshk, S., Ojagh, S. M., Rezaei, M., & Shabanpour, B. (2019). Fractionation of Protein Hydrolysates of Fish Waste Using Membrane Ultrafiltration: Investigation of Antibacterial

1180 and Antioxidant Activities. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins*, 11(3), 1015-1022.
 1181 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-018-9483-y>.

1182 Picot, L., Bordenave, S., Didelot, S., Fruitier-Arnaudin, I., Sannier, F., Thorkelsson, G., . . . Piot,
 1183 J. M. (2006). Antiproliferative activity of fish protein hydrolysates on human breast cancer
 1184 cell lines. *Process Biochemistry*, 41(5), 1217-1222.
 1185 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.11.024>.

1186 Provansal, M.M., Cuq, J.L. and Cheftel, J.C., 1975. Chemical and nutritional modifications of
 1187 sunflower proteins due to alkaline processing. Formation of amino acid crosslinks and
 1188 isomerization of lysine residues. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 23(5), pp.938-
 1189 943. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60201a030>. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60201a030>

1190 Qian, Z. J., Je, J. Y., & Kim, S. K. (2007). Antihypertensive effect of angiotensin i converting
 1191 enzyme-inhibitory peptide from hydrolysates of Bigeye tuna dark muscle, *Thunnus obesus*.
 1192 *J Agric Food Chem*, 55(21), 8398-8403. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0710635>.

1193 Rabiei, S., Rezaei, M., Asgharzade, S., Nikoo, M., & Rafieia-kopai, M. (2019). Antioxidant and
 1194 cytotoxic properties of protein hydrolysates obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis of
 1195 Klunzinger s mullet (*Liza klunzingeri*) muscle. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical*
 1196 *Sciences*, 55. [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1984-](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1984-82502019000100569&nrm=iso)
 1197 [82502019000100569&nrm=iso](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1984-82502019000100569&nrm=iso).

1198 Reimer, R. A. (2006). Meat hydrolysate and essential amino acid-induced glucagon-like peptide-
 1199 1 secretion, in the human NCI-H716 enteroendocrine cell line, is regulated by extracellular
 1200 signal-regulated kinase1/2 and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases. *J Endocrinol*,
 1201 191(1), 159-170. <https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.1.06557>.

1202 Sabeena Farvin, K. H., Andersen, L. L., Nielsen, H. H., Jacobsen, C., Jakobsen, G., Johansson, I.,
 1203 & Jessen, F. (2014). Antioxidant activity of Cod (*Gadus morhua*) protein hydrolysates: In

1204 vitro assays and evaluation in 5% fish oil-in-water emulsion. *Food Chemistry*, 149, 326-
1205 334. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.03.075>.

1206 Salampessy, J., Phillips, M., Seneweera, S., & Kailasapathy, K. (2010). Release of antimicrobial
1207 peptides through bromelain hydrolysis of leatherjacket (*Meuschenia* sp.) insoluble proteins.
1208 *Food Chemistry*, 120(2), 556-560.
1209 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.10.054>.

1210 Samaranayaka, A. G., Kitts, D. D., & Li-Chan, E. C. (2010). Antioxidative and angiotensin-I-
1211 converting enzyme inhibitory potential of a Pacific Hake (*Merluccius productus*) fish
1212 protein hydrolysate subjected to simulated gastrointestinal digestion and Caco-2 cell
1213 permeation. *J Agric Food Chem*, 58(3), 1535-1542. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9033199>.

1214 Sangtanoo, P., Srimongkol, P., Saisavoey, T., Reamtong, O., & Karnchanatat, A. (2020). Anti-
1215 inflammatory action of two novel peptides derived from peanut worms (*Sipunculus nudus*)
1216 in lipopolysaccharide-induced RAW264.7 macrophages. *Food & Function*, 11(1), 552-
1217 560. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9FO02178G>.

1218 Segura-Campos, M., Chel-Guerrero, L., Betancur-Ancona, D., & Hernandez-Escalante, V. M.
1219 (2011). Bioavailability of Bioactive Peptides. *Food Reviews International*, 27(3), 213-226.
1220 <https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2011.563395>.

1221 Seniman, M. S. M., Yusop, S. M., & Babji, A. S. (2014). Production of enzymatic protein
1222 hydrolysates from freshwater catfish (*Clarias batrachus*). *AIP Conference Proceedings*,
1223 1614(1), 323-328. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4895216>.

1224 Sharkey, S. J., Harnedy-Rothwell, P. A., Allsopp, P. J., Hollywood, L. E., FitzGerald, R. J., &
1225 O'Harte, F. P. M. (2020). A Narrative Review of the Anti-Hyperglycemic and Satiating
1226 Effects of Fish Protein Hydrolysates and Their Bioactive Peptides. *Molecular Nutrition &*
1227 *Food Research*, 64(21), 2000403. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.202000403>.

1228Shen, Q., Guo, R., Dai, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2012). Investigation of Enzymatic Hydrolysis Conditions
 1229 on the Properties of Protein Hydrolysate from Fish Muscle (*Collichthys niveatus*) and
 1230 Evaluation of Its Functional Properties. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
 1231 60(20), 5192-5198. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf205258f>.

1232Song, R., Wei, R.B., Luo, H.Y. and Yang, Z.S. (2014). Isolation and identification of an
 1233 antiproliferative peptide derived from heated products of peptic hydrolysates of half-fin
 1234 anchovy (*Setipinna taty*). *Journal of Functional Foods*, 10, 104-111.
 1235 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2014.06.010>

1236Song, R., Wei, R., Zhang, B., Yang, Z. and Wang, D. (2011). Antioxidant and antiproliferative
 1237 activities of heated sterilized pepsin hydrolysate derived from half-fin anchovy (*Setipinna*
 1238 *taty*). *Marine Drugs*, 9(6), pp.1142-1156. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md9061142>

1239Suetsuna, K. and Osajima, K. (1986). The inhibitory activities against angiotensin I-converting
 1240 enzyme of basic peptides originating from sardine [*Sardinops metanosticta*] and hair tail
 1241 [*Trichiurus lepturus*] meat. *Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries*, 52(11),
 1242 pp.1981-1984.

1243Suleria, H. A. R., Gobe, G., Masci, P., & Osborne, S. A. (2016). Marine bioactive compounds and
 1244 health promoting perspectives; innovation pathways for drug discovery. *Trends in Food*
 1245 *Science & Technology*, 50, 44-55.
 1246 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.01.019>.

1247Sung, N.-Y., Jung, P.-M., Yoon, M., Kim, J.-S., Choi, J.-i., Jeong, H. G., . . . Kim, J.-H. (2012).
 1248 Anti-inflammatory effect of sweetfish-derived protein and its enzymatic hydrolysate on
 1249 LPS-induced RAW264.7 cells via inhibition of NF- κ B transcription. *Fisheries Science*,
 1250 78(2), 381-390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-011-0461-5>.

1251Toopcham, T., Mes, J. J., Wichers, H. J., Roytrakul, S., & Yongsawatdigul, J. (2017).
 1252 Bioavailability of angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptides derived from

1253 Virgibacillus halodenitrificans SK1-3-7 proteinases hydrolyzed tilapia muscle proteins.
 1254 *Food Chem*, 220, 190-197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.09.183>.

1255 Toopcham, T., Mes, J. J., Wichers, H. J., & Yongsawatdigul, J. (2017). Immunomodulatory
 1256 activity of protein hydrolysates derived from Virgibacillus halodenitrificans SK1-3-7
 1257 proteinase. *Food Chem*, 224, 320-328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.12.041>.

1258 Tørris, C., Molin, M., & Småstuen, M. C. (2017). Lean fish consumption is associated with
 1259 beneficial changes in the metabolic syndrome components: a 13-year follow-up study from
 1260 the Norwegian Tromsø study. *Nutrients*, 9(3), 247. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9030247>
 1261

1262 Tulipano, G., Sibilia, V., Caroli, A. M., & Cocchi, D. (2011). Whey proteins as source of dipeptidyl
 1263 dipeptidase IV (dipeptidyl peptidase-4) inhibitors. *Peptides*, 32(4), 835-838.
 1264 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2011.01.002>.

1265 Valero, Y., Saraiva-Fraga, M., Costas, B. and Guardiola, F.A. (2020). Antimicrobial peptides from
 1266 fish: Beyond the fight against pathogens. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 12(1), 224-253.
 1267 <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12314>

1268 Vázquez, J. A., Blanco, M., Massa, A. E., Amado, I. R., & Pérez-Martín, R. I. (2017). Production
 1269 of Fish Protein Hydrolysates from Scylliorhinus canicula Discards with Antihypertensive
 1270 and Antioxidant Activities by Enzymatic Hydrolysis and Mathematical Optimization Using
 1271 Response Surface Methodology. *Marine Drugs*, 15(10).
 1272 <https://doi.org/10.3390/md15100306>.

1273 Wang, B., Gong, Y.-D., Li, Z.-R., Yu, D., Chi, C.-F., & Ma, J.-Y. (2014). Isolation and
 1274 characterisation of five novel antioxidant peptides from ethanol-soluble proteins
 1275 hydrolysate of spotless smoothhound (Mustelus griseus) muscle. *Journal of Functional*
 1276 *Foods*, 6, 176-185. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2013.10.004>.

1277Wang, S., Zeng, X., Yang, Q., & Qiao, S. (2016). Antimicrobial Peptides as Potential Alternatives
 1278 to Antibiotics in Food Animal Industry. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 17(5).
 1279 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17050603>.

1280Wang, Y.-M., Xin, P., He, Y., Changfeng, C., & Bin, W. (2020). Hypolipidemic Activities of Two
 1281 Pentapeptides (VIAPW and IRWW) from Miiuy Croaker (*Miichthys miiuy*) Muscle on
 1282 Lipid Accumulation in HepG2 Cells through Regulation of AMPK Pathway. *Applied*
 1283 *Sciences*, 10, 817.

1284White, U. A., & Stephens, J. M. (2010). Transcriptional factors that promote formation of white
 1285 adipose tissue. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, 318(1-2), 10-14.
 1286 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2009.08.023>.

1287Wijesekara, I., Qian, Z.-J., Ryu, B., Ngo, D.-H., & Kim, S.-K. (2011). Purification and
 1288 identification of antihypertensive peptides from seaweed pipefish (*Syngnathus schlegeli*)
 1289 muscle protein hydrolysate. *Food Research International*, 44(3), 703-707.
 1290 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.12.022>.

1291Wu, H., He, H.-L., Chen, X.-L., Sun, C.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Z., & Zhou, B.-C. (2008). Purification and
 1292 identification of novel angiotensin-I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptides from shark
 1293 meat hydrolysate. *Process Biochemistry*, 43(4), 457-461.
 1294 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2008.01.018>.

1295Yokoyama, K., Chiba, H., & Yoshikawa, M. (1992). Peptide inhibitors for angiotensin I-
 1296 converting enzyme from thermolysin digest of dried bonito. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*,
 1297 56(10), 1541-1545. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.56.1541>.

1298Zhang, L., Zhang, S., Song, H., & Li, B. (2018). Effect of Collagen Hydrolysates from Silver Carp
 1299 Skin (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) on Osteoporosis in Chronologically Aged Mice:
 1300 Increasing Bone Remodeling. *Nutrients*, 10(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10101434>.

1301Zhang, N., Zhang, C., Chen, Y., & Zheng, B. (2016). Purification and Characterization of
 1302 Antioxidant Peptides of *Pseudosciaena crocea* Protein Hydrolysates. *Molecules (Basel,*
 1303 *Switzerland)*, 22(1), 57. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22010057>.

1304Zhang, Q., Su, G., Zhao, T., Wang, S., Sun, B., Zheng, L., & Zhao, M. (2019). The memory
 1305 improving effects of round scad (*Decapterus maruadsi*) hydrolysates on sleep deprivation-
 1306 induced memory deficits in rats via antioxidant and neurotrophic pathways. *Food &*
 1307 *Function*, 10(12), 7733-7744. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9FO00855A>.

1308Zhang, X., Yang, F., Jiang, Q., Xu, Y., & Xia, W. (2018). Improvement of Antioxidant Activity
 1309 of Grass Carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) Protein Hydrolysate by Washing and Membrane
 1310 Removal Pretreatments and Ultrasonic Treatment. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product*
 1311 *Technology*, 27(5), 580-591. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10498850.2018.1461155>.

1312Zhang, Z., Hu, X., Lin, L., Ding, G., & Yu, F. (2019). Immunomodulatory Activity of Low
 1313 Molecular-Weight Peptides from *Nibea japonica* in RAW264.7 Cells via NF- κ B Pathway.
 1314 *Marine drugs*, 17(7), 404. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md17070404>.

1315