

Title	Continuous powder feeding: Equipment design and material considerations
Authors	Kerins, Brian M.;Crean, Abina M.
Publication date	2022-04-07
Original Citation	Kerins, B. M. and Crean, A. M. (2022) 'Continuous powder feeding: Equipment design and material considerations', in Fytopoulos, A., Ramachandran, R. and Pardalos, P. M. (eds) Optimization of Pharmaceutical Processes. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, 189, pp. 171–191. Springer, Cham. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-90924-6_7
Type of publication	Book chapter
Link to publisher's version	10.1007/978-3-030-90924-6_7
Rights	© 2022, the Authors, under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90924-6_7
Download date	2025-08-16 21:11:35
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/13123



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

# 1 Chapter X

# 2 Continuous powder feeding: Equipment design and

- **material considerations**
- 4

n

6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	Abstract
15	The continuous feeding of raw materials is a fundamental initial step in the continuous production of
16	solid dosage forms. The continuous feeding process is considered critical as deviations or
17	disturbances in individual feeders may produce compositional variability in the following mixing
10	steps. This variability may then impact downstream unit operations and result in a detrimental change to the guality attributes of the final product. To design a robust feeding process and optimise
20	the feeding performance, it is essential to understanding feeder design and the underlying
20	relationships between the material properties, feeder tooling configuration, and feeding process
22	narameters. In this chapter a brief overview of continuous feeding equipment and feeder operation
23	modes is provided. The chapter describes the equipment and process considerations for the design
24	of a continuous feeding process, with a primary focus on loss-in-weight (LIW), twin-screw feeders.
25	primarily employed during the continuous production of pharmaceutical solid dosage forms. The
26	chapter finishes with a description of modelling approaches employed to investigate feeder

chapter finishes with a description of modelling approaches employed to investigate feeder
 performance and the integration of the feeding process to the subsequent steps of the overall

28 continuous manufacturing process.29

#### 31 1. Introduction

32 Continuous feeding of raw materials is a critical step of all continuous manufacturing (CM)

33 processes. The function of the feeder is to transfer material into the following operation using an

34 accurate and reliable feed rate. In the case of solid oral dosage forms, the predominant materials fed

are active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and excipient powders. If there is variability in the

36 feeding process, there is a risk that downstream processes will be impacted, leading to the material

critical quality attributes (CQAs) being outside the specified limits (Berthiaux et al. 2008). All feeders
 share this primary function to control the rate of powder flow, however the underlying feeding

39 mechanism varies depending on the equipment design. The most common feeder types employed in

40 the pharmaceutical industry are based on one of the following moving elements: screw, vibratory

channel, belt, or rotary valve (Coperion 2021; Gericke 2021; Schenck Process 2021). Feeder selection
 is carried out by assessing the compatibility with several key aspects of the CM process.

43 The material properties of fed API and excipients can vary significantly (Van Snick et al. 2018a;

44 Escotet-Espinoza et al. 2018). Therefore, it is important to employ a suitable feeder design to

45 minimise unwanted powder flow patterns. Table 1 outlines some of the main points for feeder-

46 material compatibility. Feeder design also impacts the degree of feed rate control. For example,

47 twin-screw feeders can better regulate powder flow in comparison to single-screw configurations.

48 This is because twin-screws tend to dispense material in smaller pulses (Messmer 2013). Closed-loop

49 feedback control is often incorporated into pharmaceutical feeders to further reduce feed rate

variability and is used in loss-in-weight (LIW) systems which are discussed in more detail in section
 3.2. The maximum volumetric capacity of a feeder is dependent on the moving element used. To

3.2. The maximum volumetric capacity of a feeder is dependent on the moving element used. To
 ensure a feeder is compatible with the CM process, the feed rate required in the next unit operation

- 53 must be comfortably within the operational limits of the chosen feeder.
- 54

55 **Table 1** Overview of material compatibility with feeder types (Messmer 2013; Nowak 2015)

56

Feeder Design		
Screw	Vibratory Channel	Belt
<ul> <li>Various screw types available which allows the feeder to handle a wide range of materials.</li> <li>Available in single-screw or twin-screw setups.</li> <li>Single-screw feeders may encounter issues when dispensing fine/cohesive powders as they can build-up on the screw and decrease feeder efficiency. Certain twin- screws designs can overcome this by using screws which intermesh, providing a self- cleaning function.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gently handles powders.</li> <li>The vibrations may generate dust for low-density materials.</li> <li>The vibrations may promote powder segregation. This is particularly relevant if feeding blends.</li> <li>Adhesive powders can build up on the feeder tube or on the tray.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gently handles powders.</li> <li>Ideally want the powder to form a stable bed on the belt, which may make it suitable for low-density materials that aerate and form dust.</li> <li>Adhesive material may stick to the belt which can produce feed rate variability and affect the belt tracking.</li> </ul>

57

58

#### 60 2. Overview of Feeding Fundamentals

61 Pharmaceutical feeders may vary in design; however, the core elements of the feeding process

- remain the same. This section will discuss these shared fundamentals and outline how they impactfeeding control.
- 64

#### 65 2.1 Volumetric Feeding

Conventional volumetric feeders operate using open loop control where there is no feedback signal
 integrated into the process. In relation to screw feeders, this means the screws will rotate at a
 constant speed unless the operator manually intervenes. While running in this fixed manner, there
 is often variability in the produced feed rate. Investigations into the volumetric feeding process have
 highlighted physical mechanisms behind these mass flow deviations, with several examples being

71 discussed in the chapter.

11 If fluctuations are present, it suggests that the mass of powder being conveyed by the screws is inconsistent. Screw design will be discussed in more detail in section 2.4, but in brief, the screws transport material within channels between the screw flights. The first source of variability may be

75 identified here as the volume of material contained within these pockets may not be consistent.

76 There are several root causes that could contribute to this. Cohesive material may adhere to the

77 screws, form stagnant zones, and limit the volumetric transport capacity (Hanson 2018).

78 Alternatively, with each screw rotation a uniform volume of powder may struggle to flow into the

screw flights from the hopper. This is called inconsistent flight filling which again is more commonlyseen in poorly flowing and cohesive materials. Powder bridging is one form of flow obstruction

81 which can cause this non-uniform filling (Engisch and Muzzio 2012).

82 Next it must be considered if the volume of material being transported remains constant (i.e.

consistent flight filling). If the mass flow is still not stable, this suggests that the bulk density of the

84 material is variable. One possible cause for fluctuating powder density is the hopper refilling process.

85 Compressive forces generated by the incoming material can result in the densification of powder in

the lower portions of the hopper. An increase in density would allow a greater mass of material to

be transported within the screws resulting in higher feed rates (Bostijn et al. 2019).

88 For these reasons outlined, volumetric feeding has an increased risk of mass flow variability.

Accordingly, this type of feeder is usually used if feed rate accuracy and precision is not critical which
 is seldom applicable for pharmaceutical manufacturing.

91

#### 92 2.2 Gravimetric Feeding

93 Feeding complications which occur during volumetric feeding similarly occur when using gravimetric, loss in weight (LIW) feeders. However, in LIW feeders there is an in-built gravimetric system which 94 95 enables minimisation of feed rate deviations. LIW feeders can consist of the same volumetric feeding 96 component, but also require a weighing platform and a control module (Fig. 1). The control module 97 is often a type of proportional integral derivative (PID) controller and is the primary enabler for the 98 closed-loop gravimetric system. During operation, the load cell within the platform continuously 99 monitors the net weight of the material in the feeding unit. This sensory data is transferred back to 100 the control module to calculate the instantaneous feed rate. If there is any disparity between the 101 actual feed rate and the setpoint (i.e. the input feed rate target selected by the operator), the 102 controller will determine a desired actuator output. This will signal the motor to adjust the screw speed and to minimise this deviation from the defined setpoint. 103

- 104 The main advantage of this gravimetric system is that the feeder can self-regulate the screw speed
- 105 to compensate for feeding inconsistencies. For example, if the material density increases, the
- 106 controller will detect the higher feed rate produced, and it will signal the motor to reduce the screw
- speed. Conversely, if screw flight filling worsens, the controller will detect a lower feed rate, and
- 108 increase the screw speed.



**Commented [MOU1]:** The authors should also discuss how the concept of 'feed factor' fits into their chapter. There has been work on this in the literature in terms of predicting feeder performance.

**Commented [KBM2R1]:** Feed factor term explained in below sub section

#### 110

#### 111 Fig. 1 Schematic of a LIW system for a twin-screw feeder

112 113

### 114 2.3 Hopper Refill Procedure

During the feeding operation the hopper depletes and when it reaches a predefined level, the feeder controller initiates a refill cycle to replenish the material back to a preset upper fill level. The fresh powder entering the hopper can create compressive forces, causing densification of the lower portion of material (Hopkins 2006). With a greater density, a higher mass of powder can enter the screws flights and cause a spike in the feed rate. Refilling also has the potential to aerate the

120 powder, an unwanted effect, as the material may then behave more like a liquid and flush

121 uncontrollably through the screws, again causing over-feeding (Engisch and Muzzio 2015).

As discussed above, gravimetric feeding uses a feedback signal (derived from the net weight changes

123 in the hopper) to regulate the screw speed, thereby reducing feed rate deviations. This feedback

system is compromised during hopper refill as material is entering and leaving the feeder at the same time, obscuring the weight readings, and as a result the feed rate cannot be calculated.

same time, obscuring the weight readings, and as a result the feed rate cannot be calculated.Without a feedback signal, the feeder temporarily switches from gravimetric to volumetric mode.

127 Operating in volumetric mode the process is essentially blind to the density changes that can occur

which can result in temporary feed rate deviations. It will remain operating in this manner for the

duration of the refill and for a short post-refill delay, after which it will return to gravimetric feeding.

- 130 Once the feeder switches back to gravimetric mode, it can recognise the feed rate deviation and
- begin to regulate the screw speed. However, this can lead to an abrupt speed change which can
- 132 further contribute to feeding variability (Nowak 2016). Feed rate variability during refills can impact
- downstream processes as shown by Berthiaux et al. (2008) where the refilling procedure produced
- 134 feed rate deviations which negatively affected the following mixing operation.

135

Commented [MOU3]: Refill perhaps could be mentioned earlier?

Commented [KBM4R3]: Moved earlier

**Commented [CA5R3]:** Revised to flow better with preceding sections

136 While establishing a hopper refill procedure, several factors must be considered to optimise the 137 process and minimise any unwanted effects. These factors include: the frequency/size of refills; the 138 material properties; the post-refill delay duration; and the refilling device. All these factors cannot be decided in isolation as they are highly interlinked. Two refill procedures which differ in the 139 140 frequency/size of refills is shown in Fig. 2. Larger, low frequency refills (a) mean that less refills are 141 needed in total and that the feeder doesn't have to revert to volumetric mode as often. The 142 downside is that a greater mass of refill material is added to a shallower bed of bulk powder. This 143 can accentuate the alteration to the powder properties. In contrast, smaller, high frequency refills 144 (b) minimise this effect, although as more refills are required, the gravimetric system is more 145 regularly disengaged.

Engisch and Muzzio (2015) investigated the impact of refill scheduling for a zinc oxide powder and a acetaminophen/silica blend. In both cases the feed rate deviation was highest using the larger, less frequent refills, and improved as the refills became smaller. Although the same trend was observed for each material, the mechanism behind the deviation was different. The over-feeding for the zinc oxide was primarily caused by powder densification due to compressive effects. In contrast, the deviations for the acetaminophen/silica blend were due to fluidisation and flushing of materials through the feeder screws.

153 During the refill process, there are vibrational disturbances created which distort the net weight readings of the load cell. Accordingly, in LIW feeders there is often a post-refill delay function which 154 designates a waiting period once the refill has completed before switching back to gravimetric 155 156 mode. The post-refill delay function allows time for the vibrational disturbances to dissipate before 157 the weight readings are utilised for the feedback loop. Engisch and Muzzio (2015) compared a 5 and 158 10 s post-refill delay. No improvement was observed using the longer delay which indicated that the 159 majority of the deviation occurred while the hopper was physically being refilled, rather than the 160 period directly after.

The refilling device is another important factor as it controls how the new material is transferred into the hopper. Various options are available such as gate vales, rotary valves, pneumatic receivers, and volumetric screw feeders. Ideally the rate of the refilling should be quick to reduce the time spent in volumetric mode. Although, adding material too quick and with too much force can result in greater feed rate deviations by altering the powder density (Engisch and Muzzio 2015). Therefore, the selection of a suitable refill device should be tailored to the properties of the fed material and the acceptable level of feed rate deviation of the feeding process.

As discussed, the overall problem with the hopper refill process is that the feeder switches to volumetric mode and the screw speed is fixed for the duration of the refill. To directly address this issue, more advanced approaches are being developed to improve control during this period. One

example of this is the "Refill Array" function (Nowak 2016). In brief, this method allows the screw

speed to change during the refill based on previously stored feeding data which can reduce feed rate

173 deviations and lead to a smoother transition back to gravimetric feeding.



176

## 175

177 2.4 Volumetric Capacity & Feed Factor 178 The screws in pharmaceutical feeders are often flood fed from the hopper (Bates 2000). Flood

179 feeding the screws means material flows directly into the screw conveyor by gravity without 180 assistance from additional pneumatic or conveyor systems. The volume of powder that the screws 181 can transport is dependent on the screw design and its dimensions. Several of the primary screw 182 design features are illustrated in Fig. 3. Material entering from the hopper flows into the channels 183 between the screw flights. As the screws rotate, the material is then conveyed through the barrel. 184 The pitch (distance between adjacent screw flights) and the flight depth are among the key factors 185 that dictate the size of these pockets, which then controls the volumetric transport capacity (Dai et 186 al. 2012). Selection of an appropriate screw configuration is essential during process design as the 187 feed rate required by the next unit operation must be within the screws volumetric range.

188 An important parameter used to describe the transport efficiency of screws is the feed factor. Feed 189 factor represents the mass of powder delivered per revolution of the feeder screw (g/rev) (Tahir et 190 al. 2020). Feed factor can be affected by several equipment and process variables which range from Commented [KBM6]: New section – Aimed at explaining vol. capacity & feed factor

Commented [MOU7]: Please explain this little more.

#### Commented [KBM8R7]: Addressed.

If this statement causes more confusion vs benefit I'm happy to remove it

191 the physical design of the screw, the screw speed, the hopper fill level, and by the bulk properties of

192 the fed material. In regard to screw design, a study by Yadav et al. (2019) highlighted that screws

with a larger pitch produced higher feed factors due to larger channels within the flights to
 accommodate material. Moreover, the magnitude of difference in feed factor due to the pitch sizes

195 was dependent on the material being fed. Using a multivariate approach, Bostijn et al. (2019)

correlated the maximum feed factor for 15 pharmaceutical powders with the raw material

197 properties. The resulting analysis highlighted that the feed factor was linked to the bulk density and

198 flowability of the materials. Additionally, this study demonstrated how the feed factor decreases as

199 the hopper gradually depletes. The feed factor can also be used to help detect feeding complications

200 as fluctuations may be indicative of inconsistent flight filling and material density changes.





202

203 Fig. 3 Diagram of the typical screw geometries

204 205

### 206 3. Twin-Screw Feeders - Equipment Considerations

Twin-screw feeders are commonly used during pharmaceutical continuous manufacturing and offer a range of designs and tooling configuration options. Therefore, a specific feeder can be tailored to the process and material requirements to improve feeding performance. In this section, several of these equipment options will be discussed such as screw type, discharge screen type, and hopper/agitators.

. .

212

213

Commented [MOU9]: Please see comment above on feed factor.

Commented [KBM10R9]: More added about feed factor

#### 214 3.1 Screw Type

215

216 While selecting an appropriate screw type for a feeding process there are two primary

217 considerations: (i) the feed rate required to the next unit operation, and (ii) the properties of the

218 powder being fed. As discussed in section 2.4, the volumetric capacity of the screws is impacted by

the screw design. Several twin-screw setups are displayed in Fig. 4. Note that the primary difference

220 between the fine and coarse screws is the pitch size, with the coarse design having a greater

221 capacity. To optimise the screw configuration to a specific material a thorough characterisation of its

222 physical properties is required. Feeding behaviour of material is linked to its flow, which in turn is

related to powder properties such as: particle size and shape, bulk and true density, electrostatic charge, moisture content, and surface texture (Faqih et al. 2007; Wong et al. 2015; Jager et al. 2015;

224 Charge, moisture conter 225 Garg et al. 2018).

226 Cohesive, low-density, and poorly flowing powders can be some of the most difficult to feed. Firstly, 227 these powder particles have a greater tendency to adhere to available surfaces. If this occurs on the 228 screws, it can lead to the formation of stagnant powder zones. Throughout the feeding process, this 229 can cause the feeder efficiency to gradually decrease resulting in a reduced volumetric capacity 230 (Hanson 2018). Cartwright et al. (2013) encountered a similar issue where a low density API 231 compacted within the barrel housing. To compensate, the LIW feeder progressively increased the 232 motor torque to meet the feed rate setpoint. This eventually led to the feeder shutting down as the 233 upper torque limit was reached. These unwanted flow patterns also raises concerns regarding 234 material traceability and degradation (Engisch and Muzzio 2014). Auger screw types have been 235 shown to be particularly prone to these issues and therefore should be used with care if processing 236 very cohesive materials (Engisch and Muzzio 2012). Due to the design of concave screws, in a twin-237 screw setup they are capable of a self-cleaning ability which helps to reduce material build-up 238 (Engisch and Muzzio 2014). An additional consideration if using concave screws with a cohesive 239 material is the size of the screw pitch. A study by Engisch and Muzzio (2014) found that colloidal 240 silicon dioxide, which is a low-density and highly cohesive powder, had difficulty fully filling the 241 flights of the fine concave screws. Therefore, the screw configuration was unable to reach the 242 desired feed rate capacity. One method which was found to improve the flight filling was the use of 243 a larger feeder with bigger screws.

244

## **Commented [KBM11]:** Removed the start of this section to move to section 2: vol. capacity & feed factor



#### 246 Fig. 4 Example of twin-screw types

247 In contrast to cohesive powders, the feeding performance of free flowing materials is less

dependent on screw type (Wang et al. 2017). However, if powders are allowed to flow too freely
through the screws it can impact feed rate control and lead to increased variability. In this scenario
auger screw types offer less control in comparison to concave screws. Concave screws have smaller
pockets to convey the material and as a result can dispense powder in smaller pulses which reduces
the tendency of material to flush through the screws, thereby improving the performance (Engisch
and Muzzio 2014).

254

#### 255 3.2 Screen Type

In some twin-screw feeder models, there is an option to place a discharge screen at the outlet directly after the screws. Like the screws, by providing tooling choices it grants the operator more flexibility to optimise the process based on the fed material. There are two primary functions of the screen component: to help regulate flow, and to break up powder aggregates (Engisch and Muzzio 2014). An example of two screens which can be placed at the outlet of a K-Tron MT12 twin-screw feeder is shown in Fig. 5. The size and shape of the screen gratings impact its ability for flow regulation, with smaller gratings providing increased resistance. Feeders can also be operated

263 without a screen in place.

Materials, particularly those with good flowability, may flush out of the screws too freely if the feeder configuration lacks control. This can result in increased feed rate variability. A good example of this was shown by Engisch and Muzzio (2014). In this study, a free-flowing excipient, Prosolv HD90, was gravimetrically fed using various tooling configurations. It was found that the feed rate variability could be reduced with the inclusion of a screen at the outlet. In a subsequent study, the additional flow control gained with the screen was shown to help reduce feed rate fluctuations caused by hopper refills (Engisch and Muzzio 2015). 271 Screens may be beneficial for some cohesive materials as they can help to break up powder clumps, 272 however they can also cause other issues. If the powder is prone to adhering to the equipment, the 273 addition of a screen will provide extra available surface which could accentuate the problem of 274 material adherence. Material may accumulate on the screen and then fall off periodically causing 275 feed rate fluctuations (Engisch and Muzzio 2014). The flow regulation of the screen occurs by forcing 276 the powder to pass through the gratings. Poorly flowing material may struggle to get through finer 277 screen gratings which can lead to the powder building up and compacting before the screen. The 278 powder compaction causes the feed rate to drop and due to the gravimetric feedback system, the 279 controller then increases the screw speed to compensate for the drop off in feed rate. As more and 280 more powder compacts, the torque needed by the motor to rotate the screws increases. If an upper 281 torque threshold is met, the motor can shut down, halting the feeding process (Engisch and Muzzio 282 2012).

283



284 285

### 286

287 3.3 Hopper & Agitator Flow patterns in the hopper are an important aspect of continuous feeding as it controls how the 288 289 material transitions into the screws. There are two primary modes of flow seen within the hopper: 290 mass flow and funnel flow. Mass flow is the desired behaviour and works under the principle of first-291 in, first-out (Fig. 6). In this mode, all particles in the hopper are in a uniform motion which provides a 292 steady discharge. Funnel flow, which is often described as first-in, last-out, occurs when a 293 preferential flow channel develops directly over the hopper outlet (Søgaard et al. 2017). During 294 funnel flow the material in the centre flows faster versus the material at the edges, which can also 295 lead to the formation of stagnant zones. Ratholing is a term used to describe extreme cases of 296 funnel flow where the material nearer the walls is completely stationary and only the central 297 material is discharged (Polizzi et al. 2016). Ratholing was observed by Santos et al. (2018) which 298 resulted in a feed rate reduction for a poorly flowing material. Funnel flow can also have other 299 negative effects on the bulk powder. It has the potential to induce powder segregation into nonuniform fractions (Ketterhagen et al. 2009). Additionally, it raises concerns regarding materialresidence times.

Aside from funnel flow, powder bridging is another undesirable flow issue which can occur in the hopper (Fig. 6). Obstruction to flow due to bridging arises when a stable arch forms over the hopper outlet, which prevents material from being discharged (Polizzi et al. 2016). The obstruction to flow would have a significant impact on the overall CM process as it would starve the feeder screws, and

the continuous stream of material into the next unit operation would cease.

Similar to screw and screen selection, thorough material characterisation is recommended prior to
 selecting a hopper design. Choosing a suitable design can help mitigate the flow issues of

troublesome materials. The shape of the hopper, including the wall slope and outlet width, can havea significant impact on powder flow behaviour (Schulze 2016). A rotating agitator can be installed

311 inside the hopper which can facilitate improved flow and screw flight filling. However, these

312 agitators may not be compatible in all processes. Cartwright et al. (2013) observed significant

ratholing during a feeding study and noted it was partly due to the agitator. The rotating blade aided

the compaction of the low-density API which required repeated operator intervention to resolve.

315 The solution used in this study was to select a feeder with a flexible hopper design. These specialised

316 hoppers allow the bulk powder to be gently massaged from the outside via external agitators,

317 thereby improving flow behaviour (Messmer 2013).

#### 318





320 Fig. 6 Flow patterns in the hopper

321

#### 322 4. Additional Process Considerations

#### 323 4.1 Time to Reach Steady State

The start-up procedure of a CM processes must be given careful consideration as the unit operation must be allowed to achieve a steady state. The time required is heavily dependent on factors such as the feed rate employed and the material being fed. While steady state can be achieved in a relatively

327 short time frame during the feeding process, it is important to understand this time frame as large

**Commented [KBM12]:** Clarified and expanded to address comment that "Usually steady state is achieved quickly".

328 fluctuations may occur prior to reaching steady state which can produce significant feed rate

329 variability. Simonaho et al. (2016) investigated this by monitoring the individual feeding of

330 microcrystalline cellulose and acetylsalicylic acid at 17.14 kg/hr and 2.86 kg/hr respectively. In both

cases it was shown that a steady state mass flow was achieved after 3 min from start-up.

Blackshields and Crean (2018) also studied the feeding of microcrystalline cellulose, although a much

lower feed rate of 0.25 kg/hr was used. Additionally, the definition of the time to reach steady state
 employed was the time taken until the feed rate remained within ± 3 standard deviations of the

335 gravimetric setpoint. In this study, approximately 12 min was needed for the mass flow to remain

336 within these limits. Ervasti et al. (2015) investigated a continuous process for manufacturing

337 extended release ibuprofen tablets. Within the experimental design, the API was fed at 3 different

mass flow rates: 0.070, 0.525 and 0.770 kg/hr. A settling time of under 5 min was seen for both the

higher feed rates. In contrast, the 0.070 kg/hr feed rate required approximately 10 min to stay
 within the ± 3 standard deviations limits.

340 Within the ± 3 standard deviations lir

#### 342 4.2 Powder Triboelectrification

341

354

355

356

343 Triboelectrification or tribo-charging is a charge transfer process which can occur when particle 344 contact involves frictional forces generated by rubbing, sliding, rolling or impaction (Wong et al. 345 2015). Triboelectrification can apply to anywhere in the manufacturing process where particles 346 frequently collide with other powder particles, or with the surfaces of equipment. Pharmaceutical 347 powders are often dielectric materials, giving them a greater tendency to tribo-charge (Pu et al. 2009). These insulating properties additionally mean the accumulated charge will decay slowly, 348 349 which may be of particular concern to CM processes where each unit operation connects directly to 350 the next (Beretta et al. 2020). The electrostatic charge generated can be quite problematic during 351 manufacturing as it can:

- Increase the risk of powder handling hazards such as creating an electrical spark or causing a dust explosion (Glor 2003)
  - Lead to powder agglomeration and segregation

Reduce powder flow

Increase particle adhesion

Due to the influence of electrostatic charges, there have been many studies investigating its impact
on unit operations such as feeding (Bostijn et al. 2019; Stauffer et al. 2019; Beretta et al. 2020;
Allenspach et al. 2021), and blending (Engers et al. 2006; Pu et al. 2009; Karner and Urbanetz 2012).
There has also been several reviews discussing tribo-charging in a pharmaceutical setting (Wong et
al. 2015; Naik et al. 2016; Sarkar et al. 2017).

Engisch and Muzzio (2014) encountered electrostatic issues when feeding colloidal silicon dioxide
 which lead to significant powder buildup at the feeder outlet. Using an electrostatic eliminator, the
 tendency for powder adhesion was reduced although not completely resolved.

A study by Beretta et al. (2020) investigated the tribo-charging of various pharmaceutical powders and found the magnitude of the charge generated was highly material dependent. Additionally, the particle charge density was compared after (i) allowing the powder to flow through a GranuCharge™ instrument, and (ii) feeding using a twin-screw feeder. There was a good correlation between these results which suggests that the tribo-charging may be mainly due to frictional interparticle forces rather than interaction with equipment surfaces.

371 While feeding controlled release grades of hypromellose, Allenspach et al. (2021) reported

372 significant electrostatic material buildup on the feeder barrel. The accumulated material occasionally

373 fell and caused feed rate fluctuations, which was reflected in the higher feed rate variability of those

374 samples. Another finding of this study was that the location of the material buildup changed when

the powder was fed directly from the feeder into another hopper. In this case, instead of

accumulating on the feeder barrel, the powder adhered to the output of the following hopper whichhighlights the additional considerations when integrating the feeder into a continuous process.

378

#### 379 5. Low-Dose Powder Feeding

380 Special consideration must be given to feeding processes which require very low feed rates. In relation to pharmaceutical manufacturing, this is most frequently seen with excipients, such as flow 381 aids and lubricants, that are only required in small quantities proportional to the overall formulation. 382 383 Low-dose feeding also applies for feeding highly potent active pharmaceutical ingredients. An 384 increasing number of highly potent active pharmaceutical ingredients have emerged from development in recent years (Wollowitz 2010). Fluctuations in the feed rate can occur with all 385 386 feeders, although when using lower feed rate setpoints this can become particularly troublesome 387 (Santos et al. 2018). One solution is to pre-blend the API or excipient with another material in the 388 formulation and then feed at a higher feed rate where there is greater control. The drawback of this 389 approach is that it necessitates an additional processing step. Alternatively, the issue can be directly 390 addressed by establishing a feeding process capable of dispensing a continuous and reliable powder 391 stream at lower feed rates.

392 Bostijn et al. (2019) investigated feed rates of 100 and 550 g/hr using a twin-screw LIW feeder. The 393 relative standard deviation (RSD) of the feed rate was significantly higher in the lower 100 g/hr runs. 394 Additionally, the powder properties were a critical factor which impacted the feed rate variability. A 395 micro-pump feeder setup has been utilised in several studies where accurate feed rates were 396 achieved at 1-25 g/hr (Besenhard et al. 2017), 1-15 g/hr (Fathollahi et al. 2020), and 1-5 g/hr (Sacher 397 et al. 2020). In this design the feed rate is primarily controlled by the displacement of the powder 398 from a cartridge via a pump/piston. Besenhard et al. (2016) assembled a vibratory sieve and chute 399 system which produced a stable flow from 4-90 g/hr. However, the powder had to be pre-processed 400 via sieving prior to feeding. 401

#### 402 6. Modelling to Predict Feeder Performance

The APIs and excipients used in pharmaceutical formulations can differ significantly in relation to their material properties. These differences affect the materials performance in all operations throughout the manufacturing process, including: blending (Vanarase et al. 2013), tableting (Van Snick et al. 2018b), granulating (Willecke et al. 2017), and feeding (Engisch and Muzzio 2014; Bostijn et al. 2019). Therefore, research which aims to investigate and further our understanding of these interactions is an indispensable tool during process design. The key benefits of this modelling are:

- 409 It can predict which tooling configurations would be most suitable.
- It can lead to a faster and more efficient drug development process.
- It can reduce the consumption of materials, which are often in limited supply during the
   early design phases.
- The first step in the modelling process is to create a database of the material properties. To improve
  the quality and reliability of the data, standardised characterisation methods should be used (Hlinak
  et al. 2006). From this material library a model can be produced using multivariate analysis
  techniques such as principal component analysis (PCA). In technical terms, PCA is a mathematical
- 417 algorithm which reduces the dimensionality of data while still retaining most of variation (Ringnér
- 418 2008). PCA can position the materials within a design space so the relationship between the
- 419 properties can be assessed. During process design, this method can also be used to identify a
- 420 surrogate material which shares the same critical material attributes.

421 Partial least squares (PLS) regression is another multivariate analysis technique which can be used.

422 While PCA determines the relationship between the material properties, PLS correlates the

423 independent variables (material properties) to output responses. In relation to continuous feeding,

424 the responses studied include the feed rate RSD, and deviation from the feed rate setpoint. The established correlation can be used to predict feeder performance based on the material properties. 425

426 Examples of studies using multivariate analysis methods are shown in Table 2.

427 Powder behaviour during the feeding process has also been investigated using discrete element 428

modelling (DEM) (Hou et al. 2014; López et al. 2020; Bhalode and Ierapetritou 2020). The DEM 429 modelling approach determines the trajectory of individual particles using simulations which focus

on particle-particle and particle-wall interactions. Important information regarding powder 430

431 behaviour can be extracted using these simulations while not consuming any physical material.

432

433

#### 434 Table 2 Examples of studies which used modelling to investigate the feeding process

Study	Brief outline
(Polizzi et al. 2016)	PLS was used to model the relationship between the material properties and the flow in conical hoppers.
(Wang et al. 2017)	Both PCA and PLS were used to create predictive correlations between material flow properties and feeder performance.
(Escotet- Espinoza et al. 2018)	PCA and hierarchical clustering were used to analyse a material library based on flow properties. The material clusters were linked to the performance in the characterised equipment.
(Van Snick et al. 2018a)	A material library was created using over 100 raw materials descriptors. It was then analysed with PCA to identify the relationship between the properties.
(Bostijn et al. 2019)	PLS was used to correlate several feeding responses to the material descriptors. Two volumetric (the maximum feed factor and its relative decay), and 2 gravimetric (the feed rate RSD and deviation from the setpoint) feeding responses were assessed.
(Wang et al. 2019)	PCA and hierarchical clustering were used to analyse a material library. The feeding performance of several materials were assessed to determine if samples within the clusters exhibited similar feeding behaviour.
(Yadav et al. 2019)	A PCA model was used to investigate the relationship between the material properties, the feeder tooling configuration, and the feeding performance.
(Stauffer et al. 2019)	PCA and PLS models were used to optimise the feeding performance of a blend and to identify the critical material properties.
(Tahir et al. 2020)	First, material specific PLS models were generated. Then PCA was used to cluster the materials, and a generic PLS model was developed for each cluster to predict the feed factor profile.

#### 436 7. Considerations for Feeder Integration into CM Lines

437 In a CM process, it is common for APIs and excipients to be fed using individual feeders, then 438 439 blended in the following operation. As previously discussed (section 3.2), gravimetric feeders can 440 improve feeding performance of materials. Further levels of control are also of interest when 441 integrating multiple feeders into a continuous process. Three types of control systems have been 442 described (Weinekötter and Gericke 2000) (Fig. 7): 443 1) Local control – Each feeder independently controls its feed rate. 2) Recipe control – The feed rate of each feeder is determined as a percentage of the main 444 445 recipe (i.e. overall combined feed rate) 446 3) Ratio control – One feeder is assigned as the master while the other feeders are assigned as 447 subordinates. The subordinate feeders determine their feed rate targets as a percentage of 448 the master feeders output. This means they can react to the actual mass flow of the master 449 feeder A study by Hanson (2018) compared feeding performance while using local and ratio control. It was 450 451 found that the variability of the fed concentrations of the API and excipients were lower while using 452 ratio control.

453 While designing the feeding step it is important to define the acceptable level of feed rate variability 454 that the following unit operations can tolerate without compromising the quality of the final 455 product. For example, variability in feed rate can result in subsequent blend variability and variability 456 in the final dosage uniformity of content. The frequency of feed rate fluctuations should be assessed, as continuous blenders can only offset short-term (i.e. high-frequency) fluctuations (Pernenkil and 457 458 Cooney 2006). Vanarase and Muzzio (2011) were able to reduce feeding variability by using higher 459 feed rates, however this did not improve blend uniformity after the blending step. It was suggested 460 that the variability due to the feeding process was almost completely filtered out by the continuous 461 mixing step. In contrast, Berthiaux et al. (2008) found that the feeding variability caused by the 462 hopper refill process resulted in the post-mixer blend being outside the specified uniformity limits. 463 In contrast to batch processing, material traceability is a key requirement and challenge for 464 integrated pharmaceutical CM processes. From patient safety and product quality perspectives, it is a regulatory requirement to identify the batches of raw materials input at the feeding stage which 465 466 compose a specific batch/lot of the final drug product. To trace materials through the CM process, 467 measurement of residence time distribution (RTD) is proposed (U.S. Food and Drug Administration 468 2019). RTD is defined as a probability distribution that describes the amount of time a mass or fluid 469 element remains in a process. Addition of a tracer compounds, the application of online

measurements of a specific material attribute (e.g. near infrared or Raman spectral properties) and
process modelling can be used to measure RTD (Pedersen et al. 2021). RTD profiles of materials in
LIW feeders is influenced by material feed rate but also powder flow patterns (i.e. mass flow versus
funnel flow and bridging) and feeder equipment design, configuration and settings.

474 A study by Van Snick et al. (2019) established a PLS regression model which linked the properties of 475 a range of pharmaceutical excipients and feeder process variables with RTD responses as outputs. 476 for two twin-screw feeders. RTDs for both feeders could be represented by a combination of plug-477 flow and mixed-flow. Material flow rate, hopper level and density of the material were identified as 478 critical factors for both feeders. Plug-flow and mixed-flow time were reduced to a similar extent by 479 an increase in powder flow and decrease in material density. However, difference in RTD profiles were noted for both screw feeder types. Therefore, feeder type, configuration and processing 480 parameters should be considered and investigated as critical process factors in relation to material 481 482 traceability for a pharmaceutical continuous process.



485 Fig. 7 Example of 3 feeder control systems using multiple LIW feeders

#### 486 8. Summary

487 Continuous feeding is an integral step in the continuous manufacturing of pharmaceutical products. 488 As highlighted in this chapter, there is no one feeder setup suitable for all APIs and excipients. For 489 this reason, many studies have been carried out to further our understanding of the underlying 490 feeding mechanisms, and to correlate feeding behaviour with elements of the process design. Of the 491 various considerations, the properties of the fed material have been identified as a highly influential 492 factor. It has been repeatedly shown that the feeder type, the relative tooling, and the process 493 parameters must be tailored to the material properties to optimise feeding. High emphasis is put on 494 this relationship in the pharmaceutical sector as highly accurate and precise feeding is required. A nonoptimal feeding process with high variability could cause failures of downstream processes, 495 496 reducing the quality of the final drug product. As the industry follows its current trajectory towards 497 an increased uptake of CM, it is essential that we continue to develop our understanding of the 498 mechanisms behind the feeding process. Research to date has been primarily focused on how the equipment and process parameters affect feeder performance. An area which may warrant more 499 500 research in future work is if the feeding process itself is affecting the physical properties of the fed 501 material, as this could impact the following unit operations.

#### 502

#### 503 References

504	Allenspach C, Timmins P, Lumay G, et al (2021) Loss-in-weight feeding, powder flow and electrostatic	
505	evaluation for direct compression hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) to support	
506	continuous manufacturing. Int J Pharm 596:120259.	
507	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.120259	
508	Bates L (2000) Guide to the design, selection, and application of screw feeders. Professional	
509	Engineering Pub, pp 19–37	
510	Beretta M, Hörmann TR, Hainz P, et al (2020) Investigation into powder tribo-charging of	
511	pharmaceuticals. Part I: Process-induced charge via twin-screw feeding. Int J Pharm	
512	591:120014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.120014	
513	Berthiaux H, Marikh K, Gatumel C (2008) Continuous mixing of powder mixtures with pharmaceutical	
514	process constraints. Chem Eng Process Process Intensif 47:2315–2322.	
515	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2008.01.009	
516	Besenhard MO, Fathollahi S, Siegmann E, et al (2017) Micro-feeding and dosing of powders via a	
517	small-scale powder pump. Int J Pharm 519:314–322.	
518	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.12.029	
519	Besenhard MO, Karkala SK, Faulhammer E, et al (2016) Continuous feeding of low-dose APIs via	
520	periodic micro dosing. Int J Pharm 509:123–134.	
521	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.05.033	
522	Bhalode P, lerapetritou M (2020) Discrete element modeling for continuous powder feeding	
523	operation: Calibration and system analysis. Int J Pharm 585:119427.	
524	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119427	
525	Blackshields CA, Crean AM (2018) Continuous powder feeding for pharmaceutical solid dosage form	
526	manufacture: a short review. Pharm Dev Technol 23:554–560.	
527	https://doi.org/10.1080/10837450.2017.1339197	
528	Bostijn N, Dhondt J, Ryckaert A, et al (2019) A multivariate approach to predict the volumetric and	
529	gravimetric feeding behavior of a low feed rate feeder based on raw material properties. Int J	
530	Pharm 557:342–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.12.066	
531	Cartwright JJ, Robertson J, D'Haene D, et al (2013) Twin screw wet granulation: Loss in weight	
532	feeding of a poorly flowing active pharmaceutical ingredient. Powder Technol 238:116–121.	
533	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2012.04.034	

534 Coperion (2021) Feeders - Coperion. https://www.coperion.com/en/products-services/process-535 equipment/feeders. Accessed 20 Jan 2021 536 Dai J, Cui H, Grace JR (2012) Biomass feeding for thermochemical reactors. Prog Energy Combust Sci 537 38:716-736. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2012.04.002 538 Engers DA, Fricke MN, Storey RP, et al (2006) Triboelectrification of pharmaceutically relevant 539 powders during low-shear tumble blending. J Electrostat 64:826-835. 540 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elstat.2006.02.003 541 Engisch WE, Muzzio FJ (2012) Method for characterization of loss-in-weight feeder equipment. 542 Powder Technol 228:395-403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2012.05.058 543 Engisch WE, Muzzio FJ (2015) Feedrate deviations caused by hopper refill of loss-in-weight feeders. 544 Powder Technol 283:389-400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2015.06.001 545 Engisch WE, Muzzio FJ (2014) Loss-in-weight feeding trials case study: Pharmaceutical formulation. J 546 Pharm Innov 10:56-75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12247-014-9206-1 547 Ervasti T, Simonaho SP, Ketolainen J, et al (2015) Continuous manufacturing of extended release 548 tablets via powder mixing and direct compression. Int J Pharm 495:290–301. 549 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpharm.2015.08.077 550 Escotet-Espinoza MS, Moghtadernejad S, Scicolone J, et al (2018) Using a material property library to 551 find surrogate materials for pharmaceutical process development. Powder Technol 339:659-552 676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.08.042 553 Faqih AMN, Alexander AW, Muzzio FJ, Tomassone MS (2007) A method for predicting hopper flow 554 characteristics of pharmaceutical powders. Chem Eng Sci 62:1536–1542. 555 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2006.06.027 Fathollahi S, Sacher S, Escotet-Espinoza MS, et al (2020) Performance evaluation of a high-precision 556 557 low-dose powder feeder. AAPS PharmSciTech 21:301. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-020-558 01835-5 559 Garg V, Mallick SS, Garcia-Trinanes P, Berry RJ (2018) An investigation into the flowability of fine 560 powders used in pharmaceutical industries. Powder Technol 336:375-382. 561 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.06.014 Gericke (2021) Volumetric and gravimetric feeders. https://www.gerickegroup.com/feeding. 562 563 Accessed 20 Jan 2021 564 Glor M (2003) Ignition hazard due to static electricity in particulate processes. Powder Technol 135-565 136:223-233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2003.08.017 566 Hanson J (2018) Control of a system of loss-in-weight feeders for drug product continuous manufacturing. Powder Technol 331:236–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.03.027 567 Hlinak AJ, Kuriyan K, Morris KR, et al (2006) Understanding critical material properties for solid 568 569 dosage form design. J Pharm Innov 1:12-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02784876 570 Hopkins M (2006) LOSS in weight feeder systems. Meas Control 39:237-240. 571 https://doi.org/10.1177/002029400603900801 Hou QF, Dong KJ, Yu AB (2014) DEM study of the flow of cohesive particles in a screw feeder. Powder 572 573 Technol 256:529–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2014.01.062 Jager PD, Bramante T, Luner PE (2015) Assessment of pharmaceutical powder flowability using shear 574 575 cell-based methods and application of Jenike's methodology. J Pharm Sci 104:3804–3813. 576 https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.24600 577 Karner S, Urbanetz NA (2012) Arising of electrostatic charge in the mixing process and its influencing 578 factors. Powder Technol 226:261-268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2012.04.062 579 Ketterhagen WR, Curtis JS, Wassgren CR, Hancock BC (2009) Predicting the flow mode from hoppers using the discrete element method. Powder Technol 195:1–10. 580 581 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2009.05.002 582 López A, Vivacqua V, Hammond R, Ghadiri M (2020) Analysis of screw feeding of faceted particles by 583 discrete element method. Powder Technol 367:474-486. 584 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2020.03.064

585	Messmer T (2013) Technical article choosing a feeder.	
586	https://www.schenckprocess.com/brochures/technical-reports. Accessed 20 Jan 2021	
587	Naik S, Mukherjee R, Chaudhuri B (2016) Triboelectrification: A review of experimental and	
588	mechanistic modeling approaches with a special focus on pharmaceutical powders. Int J Pharm	
589	510:375–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.031	
590	Nowak S (2015) Optimizing feeding accuracy for your batch or continuous process. Powder Bulk Eng	
591	Nowak S (2016) Three ways to improve continuous loss-in-weight feeding accuracy. Powder Bulk Eng	
592	Pedersen T, Karttunen AP, Korhonen O, et al (2021) Determination of residence time distribution in a	
593	continuous powder mixing process with supervised and unsupervised modeling of in-line near	
594	infrared (NIR) spectroscopic data. J Pharm Sci 110:1259–1269.	
595	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xphs.2020.10.067	
596	Pernenkil L, Cooney CL (2006) A review on the continuous blending of powders. Chem Eng Sci	
597	61:720-742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.06.016	
598	Polizzi MA, Franchville J, Hilden JL (2016) Assessment and predictive modeling of pharmaceutical	
599	powder flow behavior in small-scale hoppers. Powder Technol 294:30–42.	
600	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2016.02.011	
601	Pu Y, Mazumder M, Cooney C (2009) Effects of electrostatic charging on pharmaceutical powder	
602	blending homogeneity. J Pharm Sci 98:2412–2421. https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.21595	
603	Ringnér M (2008) What is principal component analysis? Nat Biotechnol 26:303–304.	
604	https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0308-303	
605	Sacher S, Heindl N, Afonso Urich JA, et al (2020) A solution for low-dose feeding in continuous	
606	pharmaceutical processes. Int J Pharm 591:119969.	
607	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119969	
608	Santos B, Carmo F, Schlindwein W, et al (2018) Pharmaceutical excipients properties and screw	
609	feeder performance in continuous processing lines: a Quality by Design (QbD) approach. Drug	
610	Dev Ind Pharm 44:2089–2097. https://doi.org/10.1080/03639045.2018.1513024	
611	Sarkar S, Mukherjee R, Chaudhuri B (2017) On the role of forces governing particulate interactions in	
612	pharmaceutical systems: A review. Int J Pharm 526:516–537.	
613	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2017.05.003	
614	Schenck Process (2021) Products for pharmaceuticals and feeding.	
615	https://www.schenckprocess.com/products?industry=pharmaceuticals&technology=industrial-	
616	feeding-technology. Accessed 20 Jan 2021	
617	Schulze D (2016) Storage and discharge of bulk solids. In: Merkus H., Meesters G. (eds) Production,	
618	Handling and Characterization of Particulate Materials. Particle Technology Series, vol 25.	
619	Springer, Cham, pp 425–478	
620	Simonaho SP, Ketolainen J, Ervasti T, et al (2016) Continuous manufacturing of tablets with PROMIS-	
621	line - Introduction and case studies from continuous feeding, blending and tableting. Eur J	
622	Pharm Sci 90:38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2016.02.006	
623	Søgaard SV, Olesen NE, Hirschberg C, et al (2017) An experimental evaluation of powder flow	
624	predictions in small-scale process equipment based on Jenike's hopper design methodology.	
625	Powder Technol 321:523–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2017.08.006	
626	Stauffer F, Vanhoorne V, Pilcer G, et al (2019) Managing active pharmaceutical ingredient raw	
627	material variability during twin-screw blend feeding. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 135:49–60.	
628	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2018.12.012	
629	Tahir F, Palmer J, Khoo J, et al (2020) Development of feed factor prediction models for loss-in-	
630	weight powder feeders. Powder Technol 364:1025–1038.	
631	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2019.09.071	
632	U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2019) Quality considerations for continuous manufacturing	
633	guidance for industry. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.	
634	Van Snick B, Dhondt J, Pandelaere K, et al (2018a) A multivariate raw material property database to	
635	facilitate drug product development and enable in-silico design of pharmaceutical dry powder	

636 637 638 639 640	processes. Int J Pharm 549:415–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.08.014 Van Snick B, Grymonpré W, Dhondt J, et al (2018b) Impact of blend properties on die filling during tableting. Int J Pharm 549:476–488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.08.015 Van Snick B, Kumar A, Verstraeten M, et al (2019) Impact of material properties and process variables on the residence time distribution in twin screw feeding equipment. Int J Pharm
641	556:200–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.11.076
642	Vanarase AU, Muzzio FJ (2011) Effect of operating conditions and design parameters in a continuous
643	powder mixer. Powder Technol 208:26–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2010.11.038
644	Vanarase AU, Osorio JG, Muzzio FJ (2013) Effects of powder flow properties and shear environment
645	on the performance of continuous mixing of pharmaceutical powders. Powder Technol 246:63–
646	72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2013.05.002
647	Wang Y, Li T, Muzzio FJ, Glasser BJ (2017) Predicting feeder performance based on material flow
648	properties. Powder Technol 308:135–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2016.12.010
649	Wang Y, O'Connor T, Li T, et al (2019) Development and applications of a material library for
650	pharmaceutical continuous manufacturing of solid dosage forms. Int J Pharm 569:118551.
651	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.118551
652	Weinekötter R, Gericke H (2000) Mixing of Solids. Springer Netherlands, pp 118–124
653	Willecke N, Szepes A, Wunderlich M, et al (2017) Identifying overarching excipient properties
654	towards an in-depth understanding of process and product performance for continuous twin-
655	screw wet granulation. Int J Pharm 522:234–247.
656	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2017.02.028
657	Wollowitz S (2010) Managing high-potency active pharmaceutical ingredients-A drug sponsor's
658	guide. Drug Dev Res 71:420–428. https://doi.org/10.1002/ddr.20385
659	Wong J, Kwok PCL, Chan HK (2015) Electrostatics in pharmaceutical solids. Chem Eng Sci 125:225–
660	237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2014.05.037
661	Yadav IK, Holman J, Meehan E, et al (2019) Influence of material properties and equipment
662	configuration on loss-in- weight feeder performance for drug product continuous manufacture.
663	Powder Technol 348:126–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2019.01.071