Title	Development of an ex vivo method for multi-unit recording of microbiota-colonic-neural signaling in real time
Authors	Buckley, Maria M.;O'Malley, Dervla
Publication date	2018
Original Citation	Buckley, M. M. and O'Malley, D. (2018) 'Development of an ex vivo method for multi-unit recording of microbiota-colonic-neural signaling in real time', Frontiers in Neuroscience, 12, 112 (8pp). doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00112
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2018.00112/full - 10.3389/fnins.2018.00112
Rights	© 2018, O'Malley and Buckley. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. - https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Download date	2025-07-31 02:13:05
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/5936

Development of an *ex Vivo* Method for Multi-unit Recording of Microbiota-Colonic-Neural Signaling in Real Time

Maria M. Buckley [1,2] and Dervla O'Malley [1,2]

[1] *Department of Physiology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland, [2] APC Microbiome Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland*

Background and Objectives: Bidirectional signaling between the gastrointestinal tract and the brain is vital for maintaining whole-body homeostasis. Moreover, emerging evidence implicates vagal afferent signaling in the modulation of host physiology by microbes, which are most abundant in the colon. This study aims to optimize and advance dissection and recording techniques to facilitate real-time recordings of afferent neural signals originating in the distal colon.

New Protocol: This paper describes a dissection technique, which facilitates extracellular electrophysiological recordings from visceral pelvic, spinal and vagal afferent neurons in response to stimulation of the distal colon.

Examples of Application: Focal application of 75 mM KCl to a section of distal colon with exposed submucosal or myenteric nerve cell bodies and sensory nerve endings evoked activity in the superior mesenteric plexus and the vagal nerve. Noradrenaline stimulated nerve activity in the superior mesenteric plexus, whereas application of carbachol stimulated vagal nerve activity. Exposure of an *ex vivo* section of distal colon with an intact colonic mucosa to peptidoglycan, but not lipopolysaccharide, evoked vagal nerve firing.

Discussion: Previous studies have recorded vagal signaling evoked by bacteria in the small intestine. The technical advances of this dissection and recording technique facilitates recording of afferent nerve signals evoked in extrinsic sensory pathways by neuromodulatory reagents applied to the distal colon. Moreover, we have demonstrated vagal afferent activation evoked by bacterial products applied to the distal colonic mucosa. This protocol may contribute to our understanding of functional bowel disorders where gut-brain communication is dysfunctional, and facilitate real-time interrogation of microbiota-gut-brain signaling.

Keywords: vagus, microbiota-gut-brain axis, extracellular electrophysiology, microdissection, distal colon

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Communication between the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and the brain, or the "gut-brain axis" utilizes endocrine (Gareau et al., 2008; Rhee et al., 2009) and immune mediators (Öhman et al., 2015) and peripheral afferent and efferent nerves. Sympathetic and parasympathetic efferent nerves synapse with myenteric and submucosal neurons and innervate the submucosal and muscle layers, facilitating regulation of GI function (Koeppen and Stanton, 2009; Powley et al., 2011; Boron and Boulpaep, 2016). The sensory arm of the bidirectional gut-brain axis consists of extrinsic sensory neurons (vagal, pelvic, and thoracolumbar spinal afferent neurons). Vagal fibers innervate myenteric neurons, smooth muscle and mucosal layers, where they are well-positioned to sense chemonociceptive signals (Wang and Powley, 2000; Lamb et al., 2003; Powley et al., 2011). However, they are not thought to reach through the epithelium into the gut lumen. Mechanosensory spinal afferents terminate in the serosa, muscularis externa, myenteric and submucosal plexi, mucosa and around extramural and intramural blood vessels as well as collaterals in prevertebral (sympathetic) ganglia, and many visceral afferents are polymodal, responding to more than one stimulus modality (Su and Gebhart, 1998; Brierley et al., 2004). Vagal nerve cell bodies are found in the nodose ganglion and central terminals of the nucleus tractus solitarius in the brainstem. Spinal visceral afferent nerves have cell bodies in the dorsal root ganglia and central terminals of the superficial dorsal horn of the spinal cord. The vagus nerve is generally thought to innervate the upper intestinal tract, ascending and transverse sections of the colon (Buijs and Swabb, 2013) however, vagal afferents supplying the rat distal colon have also been identified in lipophillic tracer studies (Berthoud et al., 1997; Herrity et al., 2014). Moreover, a number of studies (Lowry et al., 1951; Tong et al., 2010; Herrity et al., 2014; Howland, 2014), including those investigating the capacity of commensal probiotic strains to modify centrally-regulated behaviors (Bercik et al., 2011; Bravo et al., 2011), support the existence of vagally-mediated signaling arising from the colon. This may be due to direct activation of colonic afferents or possibly via intrinsic primary afferent neurons (IPANs) (Perez-Burgos et al., 2014), which are located within the submucosal and myenteric plexi.

Recent focus on the capacity of luminal microbial and liver factors to signal to the central nervous system (CNS) (Bercik, 2011; Di Mauro et al., 2013; Schroeder and Bäckhed, 2016) has resulted in re-naming of the signaling pathway as the "microbiota-gut-brain axis." There are trillions of microbes, primarily bacteria, in the human gut with the highest density in the colon. The importance of luminal microbiota in the normal development of the immune, endocrine and nervous systems has been established using germ-free mice, and absence of microbiota causes changes in centrally-mediated behaviors (Clarke et al., 2014). The role of microbes in a neurally-mediated signaling pathway was elegantly demonstrated using electrophysiological studies which showed that IPANs are less excitable in germ-free mice (McVey Neufeld et al., 2015).

New methodological techniques such as high-throughput DNA sequencing, bioinformatics and gnotobiotic animal models have emerged in recent years (Blaser, 2014), resulting in large strides in our understanding of the microbial-host dialogue. The importance of the vagus in microbiota-gut-brain signaling (Bercik et al., 2011; Bravo et al., 2011), suggests the existence of a neural signaling mechanism from the distal gut, where the density of microbes is highest. However, our understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms of how colonic microbial moieties signal in real time to the CNS has been hampered by limitations in our physiological research techniques.

Recent studies have used electrophysiological techniques to record changes in activity in vagal afferents in the jejunum following stimulation with commensal bacterial strains (Perez-Burgos et al., 2013, 2015). Moreover, real-time recording of lumbar splanchnic and pelvic nerve activity in response to stimuli in the distal colon have been described (Brierley et al., 2004). The objectives of this study were to record afferent neurons in response to pharmacological stimulation of the distal colon. This technical development facilitates multi-unit extracellular recordings of real-time afferent signals arising in the distal colon and screening of microbial factors with neuro-modulatory actions. It may also be applied to determine the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying interactions between microbes and their mammalian hosts.

PROTOCOL

Ethical Approval

This study was carried out under national license in full accordance with European Union directives with the recommendation of the institutional (University College Cork Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee) animal ethics committee. The protocol was approved by University College Cork Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee.

Animals

Male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats (8–12 weeks, 200–300 g) were bred in the Biological Services Unit, University College Cork, and group housed 4 per cage and maintained on a 12/12-h dark-light cycle (08.00–20.00) with a room temperature of $22 \pm 1°C$. Rats had access to food and water *ad libitum*. Animals were sacrificed by CO_2 overdose and exsanguination.

Dissection Protocol

Preparation of the Vagal and Mesenteric Afferents

A vertical abdominal incision was made below the sternum to expose the small and large intestine with intact peripheral nerves. The diaphragm was separated from the sternum and ribs, and an incision made through the diaphragm to the esophagus. The right and left branches of the vagus nerve were apparent on the posterior and anterior aspects of the esophagus, respectively. A section of the esophagus was excised (between 1 and 3 mm superior to the stomach), while ensuring the posterior (right) vagus and its branches were kept intact. The celiac branch of the vagus was dissected to the level of the celiac ganglia, which is located posterior to the stomach, anterior to the crus of the diaphragm and superior to the superior mesenteric plexus corresponding to the first lumber vertebra (**Figure 1A**).

FIGURE 1 | Preparation of the distal colon with intact peripheral innervation. **(A)** The animation illustrates anatomical markers of where to excise the vagal nerve with intact distal colon. Cut lines are indicated by red dashed lines. **(B)** The *ex-vivo* tissue preparation incorporating the distal colon with mucosa either intact or removed to expose the enteric neurons and associated afferent endings pinned out in a Krebs saline-filled tissue bath and the intact peripheral nerve bundles fed through to the adjacent Krebs saline-filled nerve recording chamber, where neural activity was recorded on bipolar recording electrodes.

Preparation of the Isolated Distal Colon with Attached Neurovascular Bundle

A 5 cm section of the distal colon was excised (∼6 cm from the anal sphincter) taking care to maintain the innervation through the mesentary. The iliac arteries and veins were sectioned and tied off 1 cm inferior of the bifurcation of the abdominal aorta and inferior vena cava, to allow for excision of the abdominal aorta and inferior vena cava with intact mesenteric ganglia. The inferior mesenteric plexus, abdominal aorta and inferior vena cava were precisely excised at a level corresponding to the renal vessels. The renal vessels were sectioned and tied off 1 cm to the right and left of the right and left aorticorenal ganglia, respectively and the excision continued to 1 cm superior to the celiac plexus (**Figure 1A**).

The final tissue preparation included the distal colon, which may be opened out to expose the luminal mucosa or the mucosa may be removed to expose the underlying intrinsic neuronal networks and extrinsic nerve endings. The colon was opened longitudinally, off center to the mesentery border to orientate the intact nerve fibers to the edge of the opened tissue. The nerve fibers arising from the distal colon extended to the inferior and superior mesenteric ganglia, celiac ganglia, and to the vagus nerve, which remained attached to the esophagus.

Experimental and Recording Chambers
The nerve-gut recording rig consisted of two aligned Sylgard-(Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer kit, WPI, Sarasota FL, USA) lined polymethyl methacrylate (perspex) chambers. The chamber housing the colon (2.5 × 10 cm) was separated from the adjacent nerve chamber (1.5 × 10 cm, **Figure 1B**) by a 2 mm perspex barrier with an opening, through which the nerve fibers were threaded and the gap was sealed with petroleum jelly. To prevent damaging the neuronal cells, glass rods were used to manipulate the nerves and ganglia. Both chambers were superfused with 5% CO_2/95% O_2 bubbled Krebs saline comprised of (in mmol l^{-1}): NaCl, 117; KCl, 4.8; $CaCl_2$, 2.5; $MgCl_2$, 1.2; $NaHCO_3$, 25; NaH_2PO_4, 1.2 and D-glucose, 11, maintained at 37°C. Nifedipine (1 μM) an L-type calcium channel blocker, was present in all studies to suppress smooth muscle activity. The opened colon was pinned out in the dish with the mucosal side facing upwards with continuous saline flow. The serosal side of the tissue was also continuously irrigated from below with 5% CO_2/95% O_2 bubbled Krebs saline through perforated plastic tubing embedded in Sylgard. To expose the submucosal neurons, the mucosal layer was stripped away using fine dissection forceps. To expose myenteric neurons, the mucosa and submucosal layers were removed and the circular muscle fibers were peeled away using fine dissection forceps leaving a distal colonic longitudinal muscle myenteric plexus (LMMP) preparation and extrinsic nerve fiber endings. The distal colonic section was exposed to focally applied reagents. The studies were reproduced in at least three separate tissue preparations. The order in which drugs were applied was randomized to ensure that the responses were reproducible, and no form of tachyphylaxis contributed to the neural response evoked.

Electrophysiological Recordings from Neural Ganglia or Nerve Fibers
In the Kreb's saline-filled nerve recording chamber the superior mesenteric ganglia and teased apart vagal nerve bundles were covered with liquid paraffin. Neural activity was recorded using platinum bipolar recording electrodes (WPI, Sarasota, FL, USA) and data acquisition hardware (Power lab, AD Instruments, Oxford, UK). Paired recordings of nerve activity were taken from

the superior mesenteric plexus and celiac branch of the vagus concurrently. Extracellular recording signals were amplified using a BioAmp amplifier (AD Instruments), which has a high impedence and was electrically isolated with a mains filter. High- and low-pass filters were set at 0.2 and 2 KHz. The signal was sampled every 10 ms, digitized to enable generation of integrated nerve activity and stored on a hard drive for later offline analysis.

On completion of the experiment, background noise was recorded for a period of 15 min and subsequently subtracted from the raw data to enable analysis of the filtered responses. Traces reflect compound neural activity from multiple neurons within the superior mesenteric ganglia and multiple axons in the vagus nerve. The nerve activity was viewed and analyzed with Chart 7 (AD Instruments). Statistical analysis was carried out on the differences in area under the curve calculated from the filtered traces.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad prism for Windows (version 5). Changes in multi-unit neural activity in the superior mesenteric ganglia and vagal nerve are presented as area under the curve (AUC), from rectified traces. The data are represented as mean values $\pm$ the standard error of the mean. Paired t-test was used and $p \leq 0.05$ was considered significant.

Examples of Application of Colonic Nerve Recording

Neural Stimulation in the Distal Colon Evoked Nerve Activity in the Superior Mesenteric Plexus

The described dissection and recording technique facilitates real-time multi-unit electrophysiological recording of afferent neural signals originating in the distal colon sent to the superior mesenteric ganglia and the vagus nerve. This is an advancement on previously reported methods (Berthoud et al., 2001; Brierley et al., 2004; Perez-Burgos et al., 2013, 2015). Validation of the technique and examples of the results generated using this protocol are described below.

Small bursts of nerve firing were evident in simultaneous recordings from both the superior mesenteric plexus and the vagus nerve innervating a section of distal colon, where the mucosa has been removed to expose sensory endings of vagal and spinal afferents and submucosal neurons, which are important regulators of absorption and secretion. However, direct application of a depolarizing stimulus (75 mM KCl, 3 min) to the colonic tissue, elicited a rapid but transient increase in nerve activity at the superior mesenteric ganglia ($n = 3$ colonic-nerve tissue preparations, $p < 0.001$, **Figure 2A**). Application of noradrenaline (8 μM, 3 min), the primary neurotransmitter used by the sympathetic nervous system, to submucosal neurons and extrinsic sensory axon terminals also increased neural activity at the superior mesenteric ganglia ($n = 3$, $p < 0.01$, **Figure 2A**).

Focal application of 75 mM KCl (3 min) to a distal colonic LMMP tissue preparation, similarly resulted in a robust but transient increase in nerve activity in the superior mesenteric plexus ($n = 3$, $p < 0.01$, **Figure 2B**). Furthermore, application of noradrenaline (8 μM, 3 min) to this tissue preparation resulted

in a robust increase in neural activity in the superior mesenteric ganglion ($n = 3$, $p < 0.01$, **Figure 2B**).

Neural Stimulation in the Distal Colon Evoked Vagal Nerve Activity

Application of 75 mM KCl (3 min) to a section of distal colon with exposed submucosal neurons and sensory afferents also elicited an increase in vagal nerve firing ($n = 3$, $p < 0.01$), which persisted after the stimulus was removed (**Figure 3A**). Exposure of this distal colonic tissue preparation to an acetylcholine receptor agonist, carbachol (100 μM, 3 min) also immediately increased the frequency of nerve firing in the vagus nerve ($n = 3$, $p < 0.01$, **Figure 3A**).

Exposure of colonic LMMP tissue to 75 mM KCl (3 min) evoked a robust increase in vagal nerve activity ($n = 3$, $p < 0.001$, **Figure 3B**). Focal application of carbachol (100 μM, 3 min) to the LMMP colonic tissue similarly evoked a rapid but transient increase in vagal firing ($n = 3$, $p < 0.05$, **Figure 3B**).

Stimulation of Colonic Mucosa Evoked Vagal Nerve Activity

In a segment of distal colon with intact mucosa, application of peptidoglycan (1 μg/ml, 3 min), a major component of the wall of gram positive bacteria and a ligand for toll like receptor (TLR) 2, resulted in increased nerve firing in the vagus ($n = 4$, $p < 0.01$, **Figure 4A**). Lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 2 μg/ml, 3 min), the external coating of gram negative bacteria and a ligand for TLR4, had no effect on vagal nerve activity ($n = 4$, $p > 0.05$, **Figure 4B**).

DISCUSSION

The described dissection and recording technique represents an advancement on previous methods of electrophysiologically interrogating gut-to-brain signaling (Berthoud et al., 2001; Brierley et al., 2004; Perez-Burgos et al., 2013, 2015). This technique facilitates real-time recording of neural afferent signals originating in the distal colon. Given the importance of the bidirectional signaling pathways between the gut and brain both in the physiological regulation of digestion and excretion and also in disorders caused by brain-gut dysfunction, such as functional bowel disorders, this technique may be of great value in understanding the cellular and molecular events underlying these processes. Although limitations of this *ex vivo* technique include a lack of a functioning circulation and possible damage to the epithelial barrier, this method has the potential to screen bacterial products for neuromodulatory activity and allow investigation of cellular and molecular mechanisms of microbe-host communication.

It was previously generally accepted that the vagus nerve innervates the proximal and transverse colon but that the distal colon is exclusively innervated by sacral nerve fibers (Berthoud et al., 1990). Indeed, human anatomy textbooks report vagal innervation extends to the transverse colon but terminates at the left colic flexure (Standring, 2016). However, sympathetic and vagal fibers become "indistinguishably mixed" upon entry to the abdomen (Shoja et al., 2013) and the

FIGURE 2 | Nerve activity in the superior mesenteric plexus evoked by stimulation of the distal colon. **(A)** The bar charts and representative filtered and unfiltered traces illustrate nerve activity recorded from the superior mesenteric plexus in response to application of potassium chloride (KCl, 75 mM, $n = 3$) and noradrenaline (NA, 8 μM, $n = 4$) to submucosal neurons and afferent nerves in the distal colon. **(B)** The bar charts and representative filtered and unfiltered traces illustrate nerve activity at the superior mesenteric plexus in response to application of KCl (75 mM, $n = 3$) and noradrenaline (NA, 8 μM, $n = 4$) to longitudinal muscle myenteric plexus tissue from the distal colon. $**p < 0.01$ and $***p < 0.001$, respectively.

exact innervation of the distal colon is ambiguous. Retrograde tracers provide evidence that there are vagal afferents with sensory endings in the colon and cell bodies in the nodose ganglion (Herrity et al., 2014), leading to the suggestion that the vagus nerve is substantially involved in lower gastrointestinal function. Our findings support this assertion, as exposure of enteric neurons and afferent neuronal endings of the distal

colon to neuromodulatory reagents evoke a rapid increase in activity in neural afferents. 75 mM KCl, which induces neuronal depolarization, caused increased afferent nerve activity in both the superior mesenteric plexus and the vagus nerve. Seventy-five millimolar KCl induced similar results when applied to a section of distal colon with exposed submucosal neurons and extrinsic afferents terminating in this layer of the colon or

FIGURE 3 | Vagal nerve activity evoked by neural stimulation in the distal colon. **(A)** The bar charts and sample filtered and unfiltered traces show increased nerve firing in the vagus nerve in response to exposure of submucosal neurons and the extrinsic afferents of the distal colon to KCl (75 mM, $n = 3$) and carbachol (CCh, 100 μM, $n = 3$). **(B)** The bar charts and representative traces shows increased vagal nerve firing in response to application of KCl (75 mM, $n = 3$) and carbachol (CCh, $n = 3$) to longitudinal muscle myenteric plexus preparation from the distal colon. $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, and $***p < 0.001$, respectively.

exposed myenteric neurons with associated extrinsic afferent endings. There is some circumstantial evidence that nutrient-associated release of enteroendocrine hormones causes activation of ENS signaling, which in turn, activates vagal afferents which terminate in the dorsal vagal complex. CCK of neural origin was implicated in their studies and the authors suggest that this may have been released from the enteric neurons (Ritter et al., 1994). Moreover, the trypsin inhibitor, camostat, reduced food intake and increased c-Fos expression in the dorsal vagal complex and submucosal neurons via CCK. Subdiaphragmatic vagotomy

abolished c-Fos expression in the dorsal motor complex but also significantly reduced cFos expression in submucosal neurones (Raboin et al., 2008). Additionally, Perez-Burgos and colleagues have provided evidence that a commensal bacterial strain can activate vagal firing using an IPANs as intermediaries (Perez-Burgos et al., 2014). Nonetheless, direct stimulation of extrinsic afferents is the most likely route of vagal nerve and superior mesenteric plexus activation. An alternative possibility is activation of viscerofugal neurons, which may link enteric circuits with the sympathetic nervous system (Sharkey et al.,

FIGURE 4 | Gram positive bacterial product stimulates vagal firing. **(A)** The bar chart and representative vagal nerve traces illustrate that when peptidoglycan (1 µg/ml, $n = 4$), a gram positive bacterial coat protein, is applied to the mucosa of the distal colon, vagal nerve activity is increased. **(B)** In contrast, lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 2 µg/ml, $n = 4$), the gram negative bacterial coat protein, has no effect on vagal nerve activity. $**p < 0.01$.

1998) and can be activated by cholinergic agonists (Hibberd et al., 2012).

Application of noradrenaline to the colonic tissue preparation, with either submucosal or myenteric neurons and their associated afferents exposed, evoked increased nerve activity in the superior mesenteric plexus. Carbachol, the cholinergic agonist, evoked increased activity in the vagus nerve when applied to either tissue preparation, effects most likely to be mediated via direct neuronal activation of extrinsic afferents. Indeed, noradrenaline has been shown to activate sensory nerves (Jørum et al., 2007). Direct activation of smooth muscle or indirect activation via myenteric neurons could also stimulate visceral afferents. However, inclusion of the L-type calcium channel blocker, nifedipine in all solutions to inhibit smooth muscle contraction tends to make this possibility less likely.

Finally, we have demonstrated that a gram positive but not a gram negative bacterial wall component stimulates vagal afferent firing when applied to the mucosa. Given that afferent fiber endings are not thought to penetrate through the epithelial layer, this finding suggests that cellular or molecular intermediaries translate the bacterial signal across the gut barrier to the host peripheral nervous system.

This dissection and recording technique of the distal colon with intact nerve bundles is likely to aid in our understanding of the pathophysiology of functional bowel disorders, where brain-gut communication is disrupted. Perhaps most excitingly, this technique may be used to investigate cellular and molecular mechanisms by which moieties in the external environment of the colonic lumen, including bacteria, phages, fungi and digestive

and liver products, can signal to the host nervous system across the gut barrier leading to altered behaviors. These include anxiety and changes in sociability in addition to changes in physiological function, such as neuroinflammation, activation of the stress response, neurotransmission, and neurogenesis (Sherwin et al., 2017). Given the importance of the vagus in bacterial signaling from the gut lumen to the CNS (Bercik et al., 2011; Bravo et al., 2011; Malick et al., 2015), this technique together with pharmacological reagents has the potential to help clarify how microbes are communicating with their host, thus revealing mechanisms underlying the beneficial and detrimental effects of colonic microbes.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MB: Designed the study, analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript; DO: Designed the study and critically reviewed the manuscript.

FUNDING

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

Bercik, P. (2011). The microbiota-gut-brain axis: learning from intestinal bacteria? *Gut* 60, 288–289. doi: 10.1136/gut.2010.226779

Bercik, P., Park, A. J., Sinclair, D., Khoshdel, A., Lu, J., Huang, X., et al. (2011). The anxiolytic effect of Bifidobacterium longum NCC3001 involves vagal pathways for gut-brain communication. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 23, 1132–1139. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2011.01796.x

Berthoud, H. R., Jedrzejewska, A., and Powley, T. L. (1990). Simultaneous labeling of vagal innervation of the gut and afferent projections from the visceral forebrain with diI injected into the dorsal vagal complex in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 301, 65–79. doi: 10.1002/cne.903010107

Berthoud, H. R., Lynn, P. A., and Blackshaw, L. A. (2001). Vagal and spinal mechanosensors in the rat stomach and colon have multiple receptive fields. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 280, R1371–R1381. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.2001.280.5.R1371

Berthoud, H. R., Patterson, L. M., Neumann, F., and Neuhuber, W. L. (1997). Distribution and structure of vagal afferent intraganglionic laminar endings (IGLEs) in the rat gastrointestinal tract. *Anat. Embryol.* 195, 183–191. doi: 10.1007/s004290050037

Blaser, M. J. (2014). The microbiome revolution. *J. Clin. Invest.* 124, 4162–4165. doi: 10.1172/JCI78366

Boron, W. E., and Boulpaep, E. L. (2016). *Medical Physiology.* Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier.

Bravo, J. A., Forsythe, P., Chew, M. V., Escaravage, E., Savignac, H. M., Dinan, T. G., et al. (2011). Ingestion of Lactobacillus strain regulates emotional behavior and central GABA receptor expression in a mouse via the vagus nerve. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 16050–16055. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1102999108

Brierley, S. M., Jones, R. C., Gebhart, G. F., and Blackshaw, L. A. (2004). Splanchnic and pelvic mechanosensory afferents signal different qualities of colonic stimuli in mice. *Gastroenterology* 127, 166–178. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2004.04.008

Buijs, R. M., and Swaab, D. F. (2013). *Autonomic Nervous System,* Vol. *117 (Handbook of Clinical Neurology).* Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier.

Clarke, G., Stilling, R. M., Kennedy, P. J., Stanton, C., Cryan, J. F., and Dinan, T. G. (2014). Minireview: gut microbiota: the neglected endocrine organ. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 28, 1221–1238. doi: 10.1210/me.2014-1108

Di Mauro, A., Neu, J., Riezzo, G., Raimondi, F., Martinelli, D., Francavilla, R., et al. (2013). Gastrointestinal function development and microbiota. *Ital. J. Pediatr.* 39:15. doi: 10.1186/1824-7288-39-15

Gareau, M. G., Silva, M. A., and Perdue, M. H. (2008). Pathophysiological mechanisms of stress-induced intestinal damage. *Curr. Mol. Med.* 8, 274–281. doi: 10.2174/156652408784533760

Herrity, A. N., Rau, K. K., Petruska, J. C., Stirling, D. P., and Hubscher, C. H. (2014). Identification of bladder and colon afferents in the nodose ganglia of male rats. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 522, 3667–3682. doi: 10.1002/cne.23629

Hibberd, T. J., Zagorodnyuk, V. P., Spencer, N. J., and Brookes, S. J. (2012). Identification and mechanosensitivity of viscerofugal neurons. *Neuroscience* 225, 118–129. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.08.040

Howland, R. H. (2014). Vagus nerve stimulation. *Curr. Behav. Neurosci. Rep.* 1, 64–73. doi: 10.1007/s40473-014-0010-5

Jørum, E., Ørstavik, K., Schmidt, R., Namer, B., Carr, R. W., Kvarstein, G., et al. (2007). Catecholamine-induced excitation of nociceptors in sympathetically maintained pain. *Pain* 127, 296–301. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2006.08.022

Koeppen, B., and Stanton, B. (2009). *Berne and Levy Physiology.* Philadelphia, PA: Mosby/Elsevier.

Lamb, K., Kang, Y. M., Gebhart, G. F., and Bielefeldt, K. (2003). Gastric inflammation triggers hypersensitivity to acid in awake rats. *Gastroenterology* 125, 1410–1418. doi: 10.1016/j.gastro.2003.07.010

Lowry, O. H., Rosenbrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., and Randall, R. J. (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193, 265–275.

Malick, M., Gilbert, K., Daniel, J., Arseneault-Breard, J., Tompkins, T. A., Godbout, R., et al. (2015). Vagotomy prevents the effect of probiotics on caspase activity in a model of postmyocardial infarction depression. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 27, 663–671. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12540

McVey Neufeld, K. A., Perez-Burgos, A., Mao, Y. K., Bienenstock, J., and Kunze, W. A. (2015). The gut microbiome restores intrinsic and extrinsic nerve function in germ-free mice accompanied by changes in calbindin. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 27, 627–636. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12534

Öhman, L., Törnblom, H., and Simrén, M. (2015). Crosstalk at the mucosal border: importance of the gut microenvironment in IBS. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 12, 36–49. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2014.200

Perez-Burgos, A., Mao, Y. K., Bienenstock, J., and Kunze, W. A. (2014). The gut-brain axis rewired: adding a functional vagal nicotinic "sensory synapse". *FASEB J.* 28, 3064–3074. doi: 10.1096/fj.13-245282

Perez-Burgos, A., Wang, B., Mao, Y. K., Mistry, B., McVey Neufeld, K. A., Bienenstock, J., et al. (2013). Psychoactive bacteria *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (JB-1) elicits rapid frequency facilitation in vagal afferents. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 304, G211–G220. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00128.2012

Perez-Burgos, A., Wang, L., McVey Neufeld, K. A., Mao, Y. K., Ahmadzai, M., Janssen, L. J., et al. (2015). The TRPV1 channel in rodents is a major target for antinociceptive effect of the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938. *J. Physiol.* 593, 3943–3957. doi: 10.1113/JP270229

Powley, T. L., Spaulding, R. A., and Haglof, S. A. (2011). Vagal afferent innervation of the proximal gastrointestinal tract mucosa: chemoreceptor and mechanoreceptor architecture. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 519, 644–660. doi: 10.1002/cne.22541

Raboin, S. J., Reeve, J. R. Jr., Cooper, M. S., Green, G. M., and Sayegh, A. I. (2008). Activation of submucosal but not myenteric plexus of the gastrointestinal tract accompanies reduction of food intake by camostat. *Regul. Pept.* 150, 73–80. doi: 10.1016/j.regpep.2008.06.007

Rhee, S. H., Pothoulakis, C., and Mayer, E. A. (2009). Principles and clinical implications of the brain-gut-enteric microbiota axis. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 6, 306–314. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2009.35

Ritter, R. C., Brenner, L. A., and Tamura, C. S. (1994). Endogenous CCK and the peripheral neural substrates of intestinal satiety. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 713, 255–267. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1994.tb44073.x

Schroeder, B. O., and Bäckhed, F. (2016). Signals from the gut microbiota to distant organs in physiology and disease. *Nat. Med.* 22, 1079–1089. doi: 10.1038/nm.4185

Sharkey, K. A., Lomax, A. E., Bertrand, P. P., and Furness, J. B. (1998). Electrophysiology, shape, and chemistry of neurons that project from guinea pig colon to inferior mesenteric ganglia. *Gastroenterology* 115, 909–918. doi: 10.1016/S0016-5085(98)70263-X

Sherwin, E., Dinan, T. G., and Cryan, J. F. (2017). Recent developments in understanding the role of the gut microbiota in brain health and disease. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* doi: 10.1111/nyas.13416. [Epub ahead of print].

Shoja, M. M., Sharma, A., Mirzayan, N., Groat, C., Watanabe, K., Loukas, M., et al. (2013). Neuroanatomy of the female abdominopelvic region: a review with application to pelvic pain syndromes. *Clin. Anat.* 26, 66–76. doi: 10.1002/ca.22200

Standring, S. (2016). *Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice.* Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier.

Su, X., and Gebhart, G. F. (1998). Mechanosensitive pelvic nerve afferent fibers innervating the colon of the rat are polymodal in character. *J. Neurophysiol.* 80, 2632–2644. doi: 10.1152/jn.1998.80.5.2632

Tong, W. D., Ridolfi, T. J., Kosinski, L., Ludwig, K., and Takahashi, T. (2010). Effects of autonomic nerve stimulation on colorectal motility in rats. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 22, 688–693. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2009.01461.x

Wang, F. B., and Powley, T. L. (2000). Topographic inventories of vagal afferents in gastrointestinal muscle. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 421, 302–324. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(20000605)421:3<302::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-N