

Title	An epidemiological and economic simulation model to evaluate strategies for the control of bovine virus diarrhea in Germany	
Authors	Gethmann, Jörn;Probst, Carolina;Bassett, Jason;Blunk, Pascal;Hövel, Philipp;Conraths, Franz J.	
Publication date	2019-11-19	
Original Citation	Gethmann, J., Probst, C., Bassett, J., Blunk, P., Hövel, P. and Conraths, F. J. (2019) 'An Epidemiological and Economic Simulation Model to Evaluate Strategies for the Control of Bovine Virus Diarrhea in Germany', Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 6, 406 (14pp). doi: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00406	
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)	
Link to publisher's version	10.3389/fvets.2019.00406	
Rights	© 2019, Gethmann, Probst, Bassett, Blunk, Hövel and Conraths. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/	
Download date	2024-05-02 13:28:15	
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/9383	



## An epidemiological and economic simulation model to evaluate strategies for the control of bovine virus diarrhea in Germany

## Supplementary material

Table S1: Input parameters and distributions used in the Gross margin analysis (GMA)	
Table S2: Input parameters used in the animal valuation model to estimate the market value of PI animals (cows, young stock, calves)	
Table S3: Input parameters and equations used in the economic model.	
Table S4: Equations used in the benefit-cost analysis	
Table S5: Probability of transient BVDV infections per pregnancy stage (and overall probability).	12
Table S6: Prolongation of the calving interval (Ci) due to a transient BVDV infection on the foetus	12
Table S7: Results of the economic model: Mean total costs (million Euros), thereof direct and indirect costs (million Euros).	13

Table S1: Input parameters and distributions used in the Gross margin analysis (GMA).

Parameter	Unit	Notation	Calculation/ value/ distribution	References/ comments
Gross Margin for a dairy farm	Euro	GM	GM = R - VC	
Revenues	Euro	R	$R = R_{milk} + R_{ani} + R_{man}$	
Revenues for selling milk	Euro	R <sub>milk</sub>	$R_{milk} = my * ms * mp$	
annual <b>m</b> ilk <b>y</b> ield healthy animal	kg/cow	my	my = rnorm(10000,7087.25,136.11)	Normal distribution based on data from Federal
				statistical office (Destatis) on milk yield on district
				level 2011-2015
influence BVD infection on <b>m</b> ilk	kg/cow	imy	imy = my * rlnorm(10000, log(0.01), 0.008)	The decrease in milk yield was estimated at about 70
<b>y</b> ield				liters.
annual <b>m</b> ilk <b>y</b> ield <b>BVD</b> V infected	kg/cow	my <sub>BVD</sub>	$my_{BVD} = my * \frac{(Ci - 60)}{(Ci_{BVD} - 60)} - imy$	The annual milk yield for an infected animal includes
animal			$(Ci_{BVD}-60)$	the reduction of the milk yield due to acute
				symptoms as well as the reduction caused by a
				prolonged calving interval
proportion of milk sold	%		ms = 95	Destatis: milk sold on district level 2011-2015
Milk price	Euro/kg	Мр	mp = dUnif(0.289082, 0.360424)	Uniform distribution based on data from Federal
				Ministry of Food and Agriculture; BLE: monthly
				average milk prices on federal state level
Revenues for selling animals	Euro	R <sub>ani</sub>	$R_{ani} = R_{calf} + R_{cow}$	
Revenues from calf sale	Euro	R <sub>calf</sub>	$R_{calf} = CC * p_{mc} * r + CC * p_{fc} * (1 - r)$ $CC = \frac{365}{Ci} - \frac{365}{Ci * cm_y}$	
number of <b>c</b> alves per <b>c</b> ow	n	CC	$CC = \frac{365}{} = \frac{365}{}$	
			$CC = Ci = Ci * cm_y$	
price male calf	Euro	p <sub>mc</sub>	$p_{mc} = \text{dTri}(80.94, 121.41, 105.22)$	Betriebsplanung Landwirtschaft 2014/15, KTBL: price
<b>p</b> rice <b>f</b> emale <b>c</b> alf	Euro	p <sub>fc</sub>	$p_{fc} = dTri(30.35, 60.70, 44.52)$	for 14 days old calves
male/female ratio	ratio	R	r = 0.5	Estimated
Revenues from cow sale	Euro	R <sub>cow</sub>	$R_{cow} = (pC * wC - mC) * (1 - CM) * RR$	
<b>p</b> rice slaughter <b>c</b> ow	Euro/kg	pC	pC = 2.05	Bavarian State Research Centre for Agriculture,
average <b>w</b> eight of a slaughter <b>c</b> ow	kg	wC	wC = 326.46	Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft
marketing costs of a cow	Euro	mC	mC = 21	https://www.stmelf.bayern.de/idb/vergleiche.html
cow mortality	ratio	CM	CM = 0.054	
replacement rate	ratio	RR	RR = 0.33	Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft,
				https://www.stmelf.bayern.de/idb/vergleiche.html

calving interval healthy animal	days	Ci	Ci = dTri(376, 417, 458)	Triangle distribution based on data from HI Tier
calving interval with BVD infection	days	Ci <sub>BVD</sub>	$Ci_{BVD} = Ci + iCi_{BVD}$	
calf mortality	ratio	cm	cm = 0.1	fixed based on data from Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft, https://www.stmelf.bayern.de/idb/vergleiche.html
influence of BVD infection on calf mortality	ratio	icm	icm = 0.1	
calf mortality with BVD infection	ratio	cm <sub>BVD</sub>	$cm_{BVD} = cm + icm$	
increased calving interval (additional number of days) in case of transient BVD infection	days	iCi <sub>BVD</sub>	$iCi_{BVD} = lnorm(log(10.5), log(2))$	iCi <sub>BVD</sub> is assumed as log normal distribution to increase about 13 days on average. Estimated based on (Burgstaller et al., 2016; Viet et al., 2004).
Revenues for selling manure	Euro	R <sub>man</sub>	$R_{man} = 111.1$	Fixed (based on data of the Bavarian State Research Centre for Agriculture,  Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft;  https://www.stmelf.bayern.de/idb/vergleiche.html
Variable Costs	Euro	VC	$\begin{aligned} \textit{VC} &= \textit{VCR}_y + \textit{VCfe}_y + \textit{VCcr}_y + \textit{VCvet}_y + \textit{VCbio}_y \\ &+ \textit{VCins}_y + \textit{VCmach}_y + \textit{VCmisc}_y \end{aligned}$	
Variable Costs for restocking per year	Euro	VCR <sub>y</sub>	$VCR_y = (p_h + m_h) * RR$	
<b>p</b> rice for a <b>h</b> eifer	Euro	p <sub>h</sub>	$p_h = 1,950$	Fixed (based on data of the Bavarian State Research Centre for Agriculture,
marketing costs for a heifer	Euro	m <sub>h</sub>	$m_h = 33$	Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft;  https://www.stmelf.bayern.de/idb/vergleiche.html
Variable Costs for feed per year	Euro	VCfe <sub>y</sub>	$VC_{fe} = \frac{my - my_f}{ef_{con} * p_{con}}$	
milk yield from forage	kg	my <sub>f</sub>	$VC_{fe} = \frac{my - my_f}{ef_{con} * p_{con}}$ $my_f = \frac{(f_u * f_q - bmr)}{mf}$	
Forage uptake per year	kg	f <sub>u</sub>	$f_u = 4562.5$	Fixed (based on data of the Bavarian State Research
Forage quality	factor	fq	$f_q = 5.9$	Centre for Agriculture,
milk per kg forage	factor	mf	mf = 3.3	Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft;
<b>b</b> asal <b>m</b> etabolic <b>r</b> ate	MJ	bmr	bmr = 15300	https://www.stmelf.bayern.de/idb/vergleiche.html
efficiency of concentrate use	I /kg	ef <sub>con</sub>	$ef_{con} = rtri(niteration, 1.7, 2, 1.9)$	

<b>p</b> rice for feed <b>con</b> centrates	Euro/kg	p <sub>con</sub>	$p_{con} = 0.28$	Fixed (based on data of the Bavarian State Research
				Centre for Agriculture,
				Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft)
Variable Costs for calf rearing per	Euro	VCcr <sub>y</sub>	$VCcr_y = 57.66$	
<b>y</b> ear				
Variable Costs for veterinary	Euro	VCvet <sub>y</sub>	$VCvet_y = 165$	
treatment per <b>y</b> ear				
Variable Costs for water and	Euro	VCwa <sub>y</sub>	$VCwa_y = 75.63$	Fixed (based on data of the Bavarian State Research
electricity per <b>y</b> ear				Centre for Agriculture,
Variable Costs for artificial	Euro	VCins <sub>y</sub>	$VCins_y = 9.41$	Bayerische Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft;
insemination per year				https://www.stmelf.bayern.de/idb/vergleiche.html
Variable Costs for machines per	Euro	VCmach <sub>y</sub>	$VCmach_y = 58.82$	
<b>y</b> ear				
Variable Costs, miscelaneous (dues)	Euro	VCmisc <sub>y</sub>	$VCmisc_y = 36.13$	
per <b>y</b> ear				
Direct Costs per TI animal	Euro	DC <sub>TI</sub>	$DC_{TI} = GM_h - GM_{TI}$	The GM for a healthy animal (GM <sub>h</sub> ) and TI animal
				(GM <sub>TI</sub> ) are calculated using equation 1

Table S2: Input parameters used in the animal valuation model to estimate the market value of PI animals (cows, young stock, calves).

Parameter	Unit	Notation	Calculation/ value/ distribution	References/ comments
market <b>v</b> alue of a <b>c</b> ow	Euro	VCy	$vc_y = B \times (1 + bp + mp + ib + da + p + c) + m$	based on the data provided by the chamber of agriculture
				of North-Rhine-Westphalia
<b>B</b> asic value	Euro	В		
depreciation for not being	per cent	bp	bp = -10	
registered in a <b>b</b> reeding <b>p</b> rogram				
depreciation for not being included	per cent	mp	mp = -30	According to http://milchwirtschaft.de/, in 2014 88% of all
in a <b>m</b> ilk inspection <b>p</b> rogram				German dairy cows were included in the milk inspection
				program (Milchleistungsprüfung)
depreciation for not being free of	per cent	ib	ib = -10	
infectious bovine rhinotracheitis				
<b>d</b> epreciation according to the <b>a</b> ge	per cent	da	da = -1040	We used 1-month age groups. According to HIT, the age
of the animal				distribution was as follows: 65 % of the animals were 3-6
				years; 15 % 6-7 years; 7% 7-8 years; 5% 8-9 years; 0.07 >9
				years.
premium for <b>p</b> regnancy status	per cent	р	(0, < 4 months	we assumed that a cow will gain a bonus of 0.04 for 4
			$p = \begin{cases} 4, & \geq 4 \text{ months} \\ 8, & > 6 \text{ months} \end{cases}$	month per year (4-7 months pregnant) and 0.08 for two
			(o, $\geq$ o mondis	month per year (8-9 months pregnant).
premium for general body <b>c</b> ondition	per cent	С	c = 4	For each point above a body condition of 80
premium for <b>m</b> ilk protein	Euro	m	0, = average	4 € for each kg above-average, -4 € for each kg below-
			$m = \begin{cases} 4 \ per \ kg, & > \text{average} \\ -4 \ per \ kg, & < \text{average} \end{cases}$	average
market <b>v</b> alue of a calf or <b>y</b> oung	Euro	vyy	122 - 0.2 * (R * (1 + c) + m) + (1 + c)	B*(1+c)+m)-0.2*(B*(1+c)+m) × a
stock per <b>y</b> ear			$vy_y = 0.2 * (B * (1 + t) + m) + -$	af c ^ u
<b>a</b> ge at <b>f</b> irst calving of the mother	months	afc	afc = 28	fixed value
<b>a</b> ge of the animal	months	а		

Table S3: Input parameters and equations used in the economic model.

Parameter	Notation	Calculation/value/distribution	References/ comments
Total Costs of BVD for the 20-year	CT <sub>s</sub>	2030	
period 2011-2030 in each <b>s</b> cenario		$CT_{S} = \sum_{y=2011}^{2030} DC_{y,S} + IC_{y,S}$	
Total Costs of BVD per year in each	CT <sub>y,s</sub>	$CT_{y,s} = DC_{y,s} + IC_{y,s}$	
<b>s</b> cenario			
<b>D</b> irect <b>C</b> osts of BVD per <b>y</b> ear in each	DC <sub>y,s</sub>	$DC_{y,s} = DCPI_{y,s} + DCTI_{y,s}$	
<b>s</b> cenario			
Direct Costs incurred by PIs per	DCPI <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCPI_{y,s} = DCPIc_{y,s} + DCPIy_{y,s} + DCPIh_{y,s}$	Includes the market value of animals that die due to a persistent
<b>y</b> ear in each <b>s</b> cenario		$+ DCPIC_{y,s}$	BVDV infection (e.g. due to Mucosal disease), disposal costs of
			these dead animals, and veterinary costs.
Direct Costs PI calves	DCPIc <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCPIc_{y,s} = n_{PIcy,s} * C_{PI}$	
Direct Costs PI young cattle	DCPIy <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCPIy_{y,s} = n_{PIyy,s} * C_{PI}$	
Direct Costs PI heifers	DCPIh <sub>y,s</sub>	$CDPIh_{y,s} = n_{PIhy,s} * C_{PI}$	
Direct Costs PI cows	DCPIC <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCPIC_{y,s} = n_{PICy,s} * C_{PI}$	At the level of the national livestock sector, studies indicated a
			loss due to BVDV under endemic conditions of € 15-20 per cow
			present (Anonymus, 2001)
<b>n</b> umber of PI <b>c</b> alves, <b>y</b> oung stock,	n <sub>Plcy,s</sub>		Calculated in the DSM (using 1-month age groups).
<b>h</b> eifers, and <b>c</b> ows respectively per	n <sub>Plyy,s</sub>		According to the age, animals were categorized as calves (0-6
<b>y</b> ear in each <b>s</b> cenario	n <sub>Plhy,s</sub>		months), young cattle (7-18 months), heifers (19-28 months),
	n <sub>PICy,s</sub>		and cows (older than 29 months).
average direct costs per PI animal	C <sub>PI</sub>	$C_{PI} = vet_{PI} + pd_{PI}$	
costs of <b>vet</b> erinary treatment of a <b>PI</b>	vet <sub>Pl</sub>	Triangle distribution (27.50; 36.10; 72.70)	Include travel costs, clinical examination and advice, one
animal			injection/ infusion, and antibiotic treatment.
			As per current legal framework (German veterinary fee
			schedule, Gebührenordnung für Tierärzte), veterinarians may
			charge travel costs of 2.30 € per double km (minimum of 8,60
			€). Examination and advice amount to 11.46 to 34.38 €,
			injection/ infusion to 3.44 to 10.32, and antibiotic treatment to
			4-5 €.

costs and lost value through	pd <sub>Pl</sub>	$pd_{PI} = v_c + d_c$	
premature death of a PI animal	1, 2, 1,	Full cont	
market <b>v</b> alue of a dead animal	Vc		Calculated in the animal valuation model
disposal costs (calf, young stock,	$d_c, d_y, d_c$	calf 7.80 €; young stock, heifer 35.10 €; cow 68.90 €	Fixed values
cow)			
Direct Costs incurred by TIs per year	DCTI <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCTI_{v,s} = DCTIc_{v,s} + DCTIy_{v,s} + DCTIh_{v,s}$	Include production losses
in each <b>s</b> cenario		$+ DCTIC_{y,s}$	
Direct Costs TI calves	DCTIc <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCTIc_{y,s} = n_{TIcy,s} * DCTIa_c$	
Direct Costs TI young cattle	DCTIy <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCTIy_{y,s} = n_{TIyy,s} * DCTIa_y$	
Direct Costs TI heifers	DCTIh <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCTIh_{y,s} = n_{TIhy,s} * DCTIa_h$	
Direct Costs TI cows	DCTIC <sub>y,s</sub>	$DCTIC_{y,s} = n_{TICy,s} * DCTIa_C$	
number of TI calves, young cattle,	n <sub>Ticy,s</sub>		Calculated in the DSM (using 1-month age groups).
heifers, and cows respectively per	n <sub>Tlyy,s</sub>		According to the age, animals were categorized as calves (0-6
<b>y</b> ear in each scenario	n <sub>TIhy,s</sub>		months), young cattle (7-18 months), heifers (19-28 months),
	n <sub>TICy,s</sub>		and cows (older than 29 months).
Direct Costs TI: average production	DCTIa <sub>c</sub>	Uniform distribution (0;10)	
losses incurred per calf			
Direct Costs TI: average production	DCTIa <sub>y</sub>	Uniform distribution (0;10)	
losses incurred per young cattle			
Direct Costs TI: average production	DCTIa <sub>h</sub>	Resampling from GMA results	Calculated in the GMA for a heifer (Resampling done randomly
losses incurred per heifer			with replacement).
Direct Costs TI: average production	DCTIa <sub>C</sub>	Resampling from GMA results	Calculated in the GMA for a cow (Resampling done randomly
losses incurred per <b>c</b> ow			with replacement).
Indirect <b>C</b> osts of BVD per <b>y</b> ear in	IC <sub>y,s</sub>	$IC_{y,s} = ICdi_{y,s} + ICva_{y,s} + ICps_{y,s} + ICtr_{y,s}$	
each <b>s</b> cenario			
Indirect Costs incurred by	ICdi <sub>y,s</sub>	$ICdi_{y,s} = ICdidt_{y,s} + ICdidb_{y,s} + ICindb_{y,s}$	
diagnostic measures per year in			
each <b>s</b> cenario			
Indirect Costs incurred by antigen	ICdidt <sub>y,s</sub>	$ICdidt_{y,s} = (n_{t1y,s} * C_{t1}) + (n_{t2y,s} * C_{t2})$	
analysis ( <b>di</b> rect detection) by <b>t</b> issue			
sampling (ear tag) per <b>y</b> ear in each			
<b>s</b> cenario			

number of animals tested (ear tag, first (1) application)	n <sub>t1y,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
Costs antigen detection ear tags, first (1) analysis	C <sub>t1</sub>	$C_{t1} = c_{t1} + c_p/5 + c_{sh} + c_{ELI1} + c_c$	
costs diagnostic ear tags, first (1) application	C <sub>t1</sub>	Triangle distribution (3;4;5)	According to Landeskontrollverband Baden-Württemberg: 1,12 € for two ear tags; 0.51 € shipping; 3.60 € laboratory testing; 1.80 € diagnostic material (Anonymus, 2010)
cost ear tag pliers	Ср	Triangle distribution (15; 20; 25) / 5	Costs for ear tag pliers according to Sächsischer Landeskontrollverband; We accounted for one fifth of the costs, since pliers can be used for several animals.
costs shipping	C <sub>sh</sub>	Triangle distribution (0.1; 0.2; 0.5)	
costs laboratory analysis (erns ELISA), first (1) test	C <sub>ELI1</sub>	Triangle distribution (3.5; 4.5; 5.5)	
costs communication of the test result	Cc	Triangle distribution (0.2; 0.4; 0.8)	
number of animals tested (ear tag, second (2) application)	n <sub>t2y,s</sub>		
Costs antigen detection ear tags, second (2) analysis	C <sub>t2</sub>	$C_{t2} = c_{t2} + c_{ELI2}$	
costs diagnostic ear tags, second (2) application	C <sub>t2</sub>	Uniform distribution (1.45; 2)	
costs laboratory analysis (erns ELISA), second (2) test	C <sub>ELI2</sub>	Fixed value (15)	
Indirect Costs incurred by antigen analysis (direct detection) by blood sampling per year in each scenario	ICdidb <sub>y,s</sub>	$ICdidb_{y,s} = (n_{dby,s} * C_{db}) + (n_{fdby,s} * C_{fb})$	
number of animals tested (direct detection) by blood (antigen)	n <sub>dby,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
Costs direct detection by blood (antigen) per animal	C <sub>db</sub>	$C_{db} = c_{bs} + c_{PCR}$	
Costs blood sampling	C <sub>bs</sub>	Triangle distribution (3;7;10)	According to the official scale of fees for veterinarians (Gebührenordnung für Tierärzte)
Costs laboratory analysis (PCR)	C <sub>PCR</sub>	Triangle distribution (5;8;11)	

number of farms sampled (for	n <sub>fdby,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
<b>d</b> irect detection in <b>b</b> lood samples)			
Costs per farm for blood sampling	C <sub>fb</sub>	$C_{fb} = c_{hf} + c_s + c_{sh} + c_c$	
costs herd fee	Chf	Triangle distribution (17;19;23)	Herd fee charged for blood sampling by veterinarians are
			Euros per callout and includes travel costs and veterinary advice
costs sample handling	Cs	Fixed (2)	
<b>c</b> osts sample <b>sh</b> ipping	C <sub>sh</sub>	Triangle distribution (0.1; 0.2; 0.5)	
costs communication of the test	C <sub>c</sub>	Triangle distribution (0.2; 0.4; 0.8)	
result			
Indirect Costs incurred by antibody	ICindb <sub>y,s</sub>	$ICindb_{y,s} = (n_{iby,s} * C_{ib}) + (n_{fiby,s} * C_{fb})$	
testing (indirect detection) by blood			
sampling per <b>y</b> ear in each <b>s</b> cenario			
number of animals tested (indirect	n <sub>iby,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
detection) by <b>b</b> lood (antibodies)			
Costs antibody testing (indirect	C <sub>ib</sub>	$C_{ib} = c_{bs} + c_{ELISA}$	
detection) by <b>b</b> lood per animal			
costs laboratory analysis (ELISA)	C <sub>ELISA</sub>	Triangle distribution (8; 9; 10)	
number of farms sampled (for	n <sub>fiby,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
indirect detection in <b>b</b> lood)			
Indirect <b>C</b> osts incurred by	ICva <sub>y,s</sub>	$ICva_{y,s} = (n_{vafy,s} * C_{vaf}) + (n_{vaiy,s} * n_{vi} * c_{va} + c_{vac})$	
vaccination per year in each			
<b>s</b> cenario			
number of vaccinated farms	n <sub>vafy,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
Costs for vaccination, farm level	C <sub>vaf</sub>	$C_{vaf} = c_{hf}$	Herd fee charged for vaccination by veterinarians are Euros
			per callout and includes travel costs and veterinary advice
number of vaccinated animals	n <sub>vaiy,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
number of vaccinations	n <sub>vi</sub>	Triangle distribution (1.2;1.25;1.33)	
(immunisations) per animal			
costs for one vaccine	C <sub>va</sub>	Triangle distribution (3;4;5)	Vaccine: (Pape, 2000)
<b>c</b> osts for <b>vac</b> cinating one animal	C <sub>vacc</sub>	Triangle distribution (1;1.4;1.8)	
Indirect <b>C</b> osts incurred by	ICps <sub>y,s</sub>	$ICps_{y,s} = (nsPIc_{y,s} * v_{PIc}) + (nsPIy_{y,s} * v_{PIy})$	
<b>p</b> reventive <b>s</b> laughter of PIs per <b>y</b> ear		$+ (nsPIC_{y,s} * v_{PIC})$	
in each <b>s</b> cenario			

<b>n</b> umber of preventively <b>s</b> laughtered	nsPlc <sub>y,s</sub>		calculated in the DSM
PI calves (0-6 months), young cattle	nsPly <sub>y,s</sub>		
(6-24 months), <b>c</b> ows (>24 months)	nsPIC <sub>y,s</sub>		
compensation (value) for	V <sub>Plc</sub>	Uniform distribution (18;75)	Based on the compensation for preventive slaughtering
preventive slaughtering a <b>PI c</b> alf			("Merzungsbeihilfe") provided by the animal health insurances
Compensation (value) for	V <sub>Ply</sub>	Uniform distribution (3;150)	(Tierseuchenkassen). Compensation depends among other
preventive slaughtering a <b>PI y</b> oung			factors, on the breed, gender and age of the animal.
cattle			
Compensation (value) for	V <sub>PIC</sub>	Uniform distribution (150;400)	
preventive slaughtering a PI Cow			
Indirect Costs incurred by trade	ICtr <sub>y,s</sub>	Triangular distribution (100;115;118)	Based on (Anonymus, 2016), we assumed that within 40 days of
restrictions per year in each			quarantine, each affected farm would want to move three
<b>s</b> cenario			pregnant and three non-pregnant animals. This implies the
			following costs for three pregnant and three non-pregnant
			animals respectively: travel 10 €, taking blood samples 10 €,
			handling and shipping samples 9 €, laboratory analysis 30 €
			(3x10 €). Hence, the movement ban would result in 118 €
			(2x59 €) additional veterinary costs per affected premise.

Anonymus, 2001. Position paper of the EU Thematic network on control of bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV).

Anonymus, 2010. Antwort auf die Kleine Anfrage Drucksache 17/3263 Ohrstanz-Gewebeprobe bei Rindern im Rahmen der BVD-Impfung.

Anonymus, 2016. Zweite Verordnung zur Änderung der BVDV-Verordnung. Drucksache 200/16 vom 20.04.16.

Burgstaller, J., Obritzhauser, W., Kuchling, S., Kopacka, I., Pinior, B., Köfer, J., 2016. The effect of bovine viral diarrhoea virus on fertility in dairy cows: two case-control studies in the province of Styria, Austria. Berl Münch Tierärztl Wochenschr 129, 103-110.

Pape, K.-R., 2000. BVD-Bekämpfung: Worüber die Experten streiten. Top agrar 6, 18-21.

Viet, A.F., Fourichon, C., Seegers, H., Jacob, C., Guihenneuc-Jouyaux, C., 2004. A model of the spread of the bovine viral-diarrhoea virus within a dairy herd. Prev Vet Med 63, 211-236.

Table S4: Equations used in the benefit-cost analysis

Parameter	Notation	Equation
Benefit-Cost Ratio in each scenario	BCR <sub>s</sub>	$BCR_{s} = rac{\sum_{y=2011}^{2030} B_{y,s}}{\sum_{y=2011}^{2030} IC_{y,s}}$
Present Value Benefit	PVB <sub>s</sub>	$PVB_{s} = \sum_{y=2011}^{2030} \frac{B_{y,s}}{(1+r)^{(y-2011)}}$
Benefit per year	B <sub>y,s</sub>	$B_{y,s} = DC_{y,s1} - DC_{y,s}$
Interest rate	r	r = 3%
Present Value Indirect Costs	PVIC <sub>s</sub>	$PVIC_S = \sum_{y=2011}^{2030} \frac{IC_{y,s}}{(1+r)^{(y-2011)}}$
Net value	NVs	$NV_{S} = \sum_{y=2011}^{2030} B_{y,S} - \sum_{y=2011}^{2030} IC_{y,S}$
Net present value	NPVs	$NPV_{s} = \sum_{y=2011}^{2030} \frac{B_{y,s}}{(1+r)^{(y-2011)}} - \sum_{y=2011}^{2030} \frac{IC_{y,s}}{(1+r)^{(y-2011)}}$

Table S5: Probability of transient BVDV infections per pregnancy stage (and overall probability).

effect		Post partum									
Circui	days 0	- 70	days	71 - 120	days 1	121 - 180	days	181 - 285	days 286 - 385		
birth of a PI calf	0.90	(0.16)	0.45	(0.06)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
abortion. stillbirth	0.10	(0.02)	0.15	(0.02)	0.20	(0.03)	0.05	(0.01)	0.00	(0.00)	
congenital defects. growth retardation	0.00	(0.00)	0.15	(0.02)	0.25	(0.04)	0.15	(0.04)	0.00	(0.00)	
Immune	0.00	(0.00)	0.25	(0.03)	0.55	(0.09)	0.80	(0.22)	0.00	(0.00)	
no effect	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	1.00	(0.26)	
total	1.00	(0.18)	1.00	(0.13)	1.00	(0.16)	1.00	(0.27)	1.00	(0.26)	

Table S6: Prolongation of the calving interval (Ci) due to a transient BVDV infection on the foetus

	days 0 - 70	days 71 - 120	days 121 - 180	days 181 - 285	days 286 - 385	total average prolongation of the Ci (days)
average prolongation of the Ci (days)	35	95	150	233	335	
probability of outcome of abortion.						
stillbirth (see table S5)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	
prolongation of the Ci (days)	0.64	1.85	4.68	3.17	0.00	10.33

Table S7: Results of the economic model: Mean total costs (million Euros), thereof direct and indirect costs (million Euros).

scenario	costs	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
sc1	total costs	129	129	132	122	122	120	118	117	117	115	113	108	106	105	103	104	99	100	100	100
SCI	direct costs	129	129	132	122	122	120	118	117	117	115	113	108	106	105	103	104	99	100	100	100
	total costs	195	146	117	109	99	92	87	86	87	88	86	84	83	82	82	82	83	82	81	80
sc 2	direct costs	105	55	31	26	19	14	11	10	11	11	9	8	7	6	6	7	7	6	5	5
	indirect costs	91	91	86	83	80	78	77	76	76	77	76	76	76	76	76	75	76	76	75	75
	total costs	195	146	117	109	99	91	87	86	87	86	85	83	81	81	81	79	79	80	79	79
sc 3	direct costs	105	55	31	26	19	13	10	10	10	10	9	7	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
	indirect costs	90	91	86	83	80	78	77	76	76	77	76	76	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
	total costs	195	146	117	108	99	91	79	53	78	71	46	37	39	39	36	35	36	38	35	36
sc 4	direct costs	104	56	31	26	19	13	17	53	78	71	46	37	39	39	36	35	36	38	35	36
	indirect costs	90	90	86	83	80	78	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	total costs	195	146	117	109	99	92	87	92	109	118	125	132	137	138	138	138	138	139	138	138
sc 5	direct costs	105	55	31	26	19	13	10	10	10	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	indirect costs	91	91	86	83	80	78	77	82	99	113	123	132	137	138	138	138	138	139	138	138
	total costs	195	147	118	109	99	91	89	310	283	256	238	221	209	200	195	190	184	177	174	171
sc 6	direct costs	104	56	32	26	19	13	12	10	11	11	11	10	8	7	6	6	5	5	4	5
	indirect costs	91	91	86	83	80	78	77	300	272	244	227	211	201	193	189	184	179	172	170	166
	total costs	195	146	118	109	99	92	89	178	162	152	141	130	122	119	116	113	112	109	105	104
sc 7	direct costs	104	56	32	26	19	13	12	10	10	11	11	9	7	6	6	6	6	5	4	4
	indirect costs	91	91	86	83	80	78	77	168	152	141	130	121	115	113	110	108	107	104	101	100
	total costs	195	146	117	109	99	91	87	297	378	437	491	516	527	534	533	534	533	535	535	534
sc 8	direct costs	104	56	31	26	19	13	10	10	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	indirect costs	90	90	86	83	80	78	77	287	370	433	491	516	527	534	533	534	533	535	535	534
sc 9	total costs	195	146	118	109	99	91	85	116	124	131	138	146	150	151	151	151	151	151	151	151
	direct costs	105	55	31	26	19	13	9	10	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	indirect costs	90	91	86	83	80	78	76	106	116	127	137	145	150	151	151	151	151	151	151	151
sc 10	total costs	195	146	117	109	99	91	56	243	222	183	150	134	134	136	130	116	106	105	105	104
50 10	direct costs	104	56	31	26	19	13	14	26	32	18	8	6	10	14	13	8	5	6	8	9

scenario	costs	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
	indirect costs	91	91	86	83	80	78	42	217	190	165	142	128	124	122	117	108	101	99	96	95
sc 11	total costs	194	146	117	109	99	91	58	55	94	99	84	81	82	81	76	72	71	69	68	66
	direct costs	104	55	31	26	19	13	16	50	71	62	36	23	19	18	13	8	7	5	4	3
	indirect costs	91	91	86	83	80	78	42	5	23	37	49	58	63	64	63	63	63	64	64	63
	total costs	194	145	117	108	99	91	87	59	63	81	84	76	78	75	73	70	68	67	66	65
sc 12	direct costs	104	56	31	26	19	13	10	15	41	44	36	19	15	12	10	7	5	4	3	2
	indirect costs	90	90	86	83	80	78	77	44	22	37	48	57	62	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
sc 13	total costs	195	146	117	109	99	91	54	62	142	155	153	156	158	159	159	158	158	159	158	158
	direct costs	104	56	31	26	19	13	11	16	27	16	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	indirect costs	90	90	86	83	80	78	43	47	115	139	149	155	158	159	159	158	158	159	158	158