

Title	Diversity in a simple co-crystal: racemic and kryptoracemic behaviour.
Authors	Khandavilli, Udaya Bhaskara Rao;Lusi, Matteo;Bhogala, Balakrishna R.;Maguire, Anita R.;Stein, Matthias;Lawrence, Simon E.
Publication date	2016-07
Original Citation	Khandavilli U. B. R., Lusi M., Bhogala B. R., Maguire A. R., Stein M. and Lawrence S. E. (2016) 'Diversity in a simple co- crystal: racemic and kryptoracemic behaviour', Chemical Communications, 52(53), pp. 8309-8312. doi:10.1039/c6cc04148e
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1039/c6cc04148e
Rights	© 2016, the Authors. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/
Download date	2025-02-10 07:02:41
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/2857



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

# Diversity in a Simple Co-crystal: Racemic and Kryptoracemic Behaviour

U. B. Rao Khandavilli, Matteo Lusi, Balakrishna R. Bhogala, Anita R. Maguire, M. Stein, Simon E. Lawrence\*

simon.lawrence@ucc.ie

## **Supporting Information**

	Page
IR spectra for Forms I and III	S2
Raman spectra for Forms I and III	S2
DSC data for Forms I and III	S3
Summary crystallographic data	S3
PXRD data for Forms I and III	S4
Selected torsion angles for the am ide molecules in Forms I, II and III	S5
Polymorph screen	S6
Microscopy images of Forms I and III	S6
Precession photos for Forms I and II	S7
Details of periodic DFT calculations	S9
Slurry Experiments	S10




Figure 1. IR spectra for Forms I (blue) and Form III (red): the green circle highlights an extra peak in Form I




Figure 2. Raman spectra for Forms I (blue) and Form III (red)




Figure 3. DSC data for Forms I (green) and Form III (red)

Table 1	l. Summary	crystall	ographic	data

	Form I	Form II	Form III
Crystal System	orthorhombic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space Group, Z	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> , 4	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> , 2	$P2_{1}/c, 4$
<i>a</i> , Å	10.0924(6)	9.882(4)	10.0661 (14)
<i>b</i> , Å	16.2467(12)	20.953(8)	21.081(3)
<i>c</i> , Å	21.2038(15)	16.215(6)	16.584(2)
α, °	90	90	90
<i>β</i> , °	90	93.913(11)	100.060(4)
γ, °	90	90	90
<i>V</i> , Å <sup>3</sup>	3476.8(4)	3350.0(2)	3465.1(8)
Dc gcm <sup>-3</sup>	1.174	1.251	1.209
Т, К	300	100	300




Figure 4. PXRD data for Form I: experimental (purple) and theoretical (blue)






Figure 5. PXRD data for Form III: experimental (purple) and theoretical (blue)



### Figure 6. Theoretical PXRD data for Forms I, II & III.

Table 2. Selected torsion angles for the amide molecules in Forms I, II and III



	Z'	Molecule	τ <sub>1</sub> C1-C2-C3-C4	τ <sub>2</sub> C6-C2-C3-C7	τ <sub>3</sub> C2-C3-C4-O5	τ <sub>4</sub> C2-C3-C4-O5
		R1	51.01	101.94	-88.20	-142.82
Form I	2	S2	-52.13	-105.18	82.68	138.41
		R1	55.51	106.93	-91.21	-143.77
	4	S2	-44.27	-92.75	96.55	150.43
Form II		R3	51.24	102.79	-76.49	-130.71
		S4	-55.72	-111.14	75.24	132.52
Form III	2	R1	53.66	106.63	-87.40	-143.36
		S2	-50.46	-102.04	92.61	148.74

Solvent(s)	Temperature: ~ 18 °C	Temperature: ~ 1 °C
Acetone	Form I	Form I
Acetonitrile	Form I	Form I
Diethyl ether	Form I	Form I
Methanol	Form I	Form I
Tetrahydrofuran	Form I	Form I
Toluene + Methanol	Form I	Form I
Ethanol	Form I	Form I + Form III
Ethyl acetate	Form I	Form I + Form III
Hexane	Form I	Form I + Form III
Chloroform + Methanol	Form I	Form I + Form-III
Hexane + Dichloromethane	Form I	Form I + Form III
Hexane + Methanol	Form I	Form I + Form III
Dichloromethane	Form I	Form III






Figure 7. Microscopic images of Form I, Form III and concomitant crystals respectively



**Figure 8.** Side to side comparison of the precession images for the cocrystal collected at 250 K for the 0kl (top), h0l (centre) and hk0 (bottom) planes. Left: before cooling to 100 K, Right: after cooling.



**Figure 9.** Side to side comparison of the precession images for the cocrystal at 150 K (left) and 100 K (right) for the 0kl (top), h0l (centre) and hk0 (bottom) planes.

#### **Details of periodic DFT calculations**

Periodic electronic structure methods for organic crystals based on density functional theory with an essential correction to account for dispersion interaction can generate a reliable energy ranking of different possible crystal structures. Here, the periodic DFT code BAND 2014.1<sup>1</sup>was used with Slater atomic orbitals and a TZP basis set for all atoms with the 1s orbitals frozen for oxygen, nitrogen and carbon atoms<sup>2</sup>.  $\gamma$ -point calculations were performed (k-space = 1). Initially, only the positions of the hydrogen atoms were optimized and later all atomic positions were unconstrained. The number of basis functions amounted to 4136 for each calculation.

The BP86<sup>3</sup> and the revPBE<sup>4</sup> exchange-correlation functionals both with Grimme's correction for van-der-Waals interactions<sup>5</sup> and Becke-Johnson damping were used. The PBE functional was shown to yield superior crystal energy landscapes for organic and pharmaceutical non-chiral and chiral structures.

Default convergence criteria of SCF energies, energy conversion and structural optimizations were used.

<sup>1</sup> a) BAND2014, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, http://www.scm.com. b) G. te Velde and E.J. Baerends, *Physical Review* B **44**, 7888 (1991).

<sup>2</sup> E. van Lenthe and E.J. Baerends, *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 24, 1142 (2003).

<sup>3</sup>a) A.D. Becke, *Physical Review* A 38, 3098 (1988). b) J.P. Perdew and Y. Wang, *Physical Review* B **33**, 8800 (1986).

<sup>4</sup>a) J.P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Physical Review Letters* **77**, 3865 (1996). b) Y. Zhang and W. Yang, *Physical Review Letters* **80**, 890 (1998).

<sup>5</sup>S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, and L. Goerigk, *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **32**, 1457 (2011).

Relative total lattice energies in	Form I	Form II	Form III
kcal/mol			
	Kryptoracemate, P <sub>212121</sub>	Kryptoracemate, P <sub>21</sub>	Mono-racemic, P <sub>21/c</sub>
BP86-D3(BJ)/TZP//single	+369.7	0.0	-67.5
crystal structure			
BP86-D3(BJ)/TZP//opt Hs	+34.7	0.0	+2.0
revPBE-D3(BJ)/TZP//BP86-	+31.3	+11.7	0.0
D3(BJ) opt Hs			
revPBE-D3(BJ)/TZP//revPBE-	+31.0	+8.3	0.0
D3(BJ)/TZP optHs			

### **Slurry Experiments**

Starting Composition	Product
Form I (pure)	Form I
Form I (80%) + Form III (20%)	Form III
Form I (20%) + Form III (80%)	Form III
Form III (pure)	Form III




Figure 10. Form I obtained from pure Form I starting composition.




Figure 11. Form III obtained from Form I : Form III 80% : 20% starting composition.




Figure 12. Form III obtained from Form I : Form III 20% : 80% starting composition




Figure 13. Form III obtained from pure Form III starting composition.