

Title	A structure activity-relationship study of the bacterial signal molecule HHQ reveals swarming motility inhibition in <i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i>
Authors	Reen, F. Jerry;Shanahan, Rachel;Cano, Rafael;O'Gara, Fergal;McGlacken, Gerard P.
Publication date	2015-04-16
Original Citation	REEN, F. J., SHANAHAN, R., CANO, R., O'GARA, F. & MCGLACKEN, G. P. 2015. A structure activity-relationship study of the bacterial signal molecule HHQ reveals swarming motility inhibition in <i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i> . <i>Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry</i> , 13, 5537-5541. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5OB00315F
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1039/C5OB00315F
Rights	© 2015, the Authors. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. - http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/
Download date	2026-08-30 21:29:34
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/2173



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh



Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 5537

A structure activity-relationship study of the bacterial signal molecule HHQ reveals swarming motility inhibition in *Bacillus atrophaeus*†

F. Jerry Reen,^a Rachel Shanahan,^b Rafael Cano,^b Fergal O'Gara^{*a,c} and Gerard P. McGlacken^{*b}

The sharp rise in antimicrobial resistance has been matched by a decline in the identification and clinical introduction of new classes of drugs to target microbial infections. Thus new approaches are being sought to counter the pending threat of a post-antibiotic era. In that context, the use of non-growth limiting small molecules, that target virulence behaviour in pathogens, has emerged as a solution with real clinical potential. We have previously shown that two signal molecules (HHQ and PQS) from the nosocomial pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* have modulatory activity towards other microorganisms. This current study involves the synthesis and evaluation of analogues of HHQ towards swarming and biofilm virulence behaviour in *Bacillus atrophaeus*, a soil bacterium and co-inhibitor with *P. aeruginosa*. Compounds with altered C6–C8 positions on the anthranilate-derived ring of HHQ, display a surprising degree of biological specificity, with certain candidates displaying complete motility inhibition. In contrast, anti-biofilm activity of the parent molecule was completely lost upon alteration at any position indicating a remarkable degree of specificity and delineation of phenotype.

Received 13th February 2015,
Accepted 9th April 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ob00315f

www.rsc.org/obc

Introduction

Quinolones are fused heterocyclic compounds that form the active structure of a wide range of potent broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents.¹ In fact, fluoroquinolones are the most successful non-natural product class of antibiotics.² Another important class of quinolones are the 2-alkyl-4-quinolones (AHQs) which are used as quorum sensing (QS) signaling molecules by pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,^{3,4} and certain *Burkholderia*,⁵ and *Alteromonas*⁶ strains. AHQ signaling pathways in these species have been shown to control production of multiple virulence determinants.^{7–9} This includes biofilm formation^{10,11} which is a structured community of bacterial cells enclosed in a self-produced polymeric matrix adhering to an inert or living surface.^{12,13} This mode of growth is particularly resistant to phagocytosis by white blood cells and to antibodies and antibiotics compared to planktonic cells.¹³ In multi-drug resistant

bacteria, biofilms play a key role in allowing the pathogen to overcome host defences and contribute to its virulence. The primary autoinducers of the *P. aeruginosa* AHQ signalling pathway are 2-heptyl-4-quinolone (referred to as the *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal, or PQS) and its biological precursor 2-heptyl-4-quinolone (HHQ) (Fig. 1)¹¹ and PQS has been shown to mediate biofilm formation in *P. aeruginosa*.⁷

Bacillus atrophaeus (also named *B. globigii* and previously *B. subtilis*) is a Gram positive bacterium which co-inhabits the soil environment with *P. aeruginosa*.¹⁴ It is indistinguishable from the typed *B. subtilis* strain except for the production of pigment, a feature that marks the organism as particularly useful in the phenotypic analysis described here. *Bacillus subtilis* species are an excellent and well-utilised model system for Gram positive bacteria, and a cross-species influence with *P. aeruginosa* has been shown.^{15–17} In light of the co-existence of both *B. atrophaeus* and *P. aeruginosa* in the soil, it is perhaps unsurprising that communication mechanisms

^aBIOMERIT Research Centre, Department of Microbiology, University College Cork, Ireland. E-mail: f.ogara@ucc.ie; Fax: +353 21 4903101

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Analytical & Biological Chemistry Research Facility (ABCRF), University College Cork, Ireland. E-mail: g.mcglacken@ucc.ie; Fax: +353 21 4274097

^cSchool of Biomedical Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6845, Australia

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ob00315f





Fig. 1 *P. aeruginosa* signalling molecules HHQ and PQS.



Table 1 2-Alkyl-4-quinolones studied



Compound	<i>n</i>	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	Yield ^a [%]
1	6	H	Me	H	H	31
2	6	H	<i>n</i> -Hex	H	H	35
3	6	H	H	-CH-CH-CH-CH-		46
4	6	H	H	H	OMe	12
5	6	H	H	OMe	H	33
6	6	H	OMe	H	H	34
7	6	H	Cl	H	H	21
8	6	H	H	H	Cl	19
9	8	H	H	H	H	23
10	8	H	OMe	H	H	28
11	8	H	F	H	H	16
12	8	H	H	-CH-CH-CH-CH-		51
13	8	H	<i>n</i> -Hex	H	H	16

^a % yields are isolated yields over two steps.

between both organisms would exist and this type of community dynamic and microbiome has received considerable attention.¹⁸ However, the extent of these networks and molecular mechanisms remain to be ascertained. From a microbial control point of view, suppression of key virulence phenotypes in bacteria, independent of growth inhibition, should be less susceptible to the build-up of resistance than with traditional antibiotic treatment as metabolic processes and bacterial growth are not directly targeted.

The SAR analysis presented in this study represents the preliminary steps in delineating the action of AHQs towards Gram positive bacteria, potentially providing a platform for future therapeutic developments.


Results and discussion

In light of the important roles played by PQS and HHQ in virulence, both molecules have been the subject of a number of structure–activity relationship (SAR) analyses in recent years.^{9,15,16,19} A study carried out by Hodgkinson's group showed evidence that the PQS regulator protein (PqsR), iron-chelating ability (specifically PqsR-independent production of pyoverdine) and membrane vesicle formation are altered upon changes to the PQS framework.¹⁹ For example, shortening or lengthening the C2 alkyl chain decreased activation of PqsR and substitution of the anthranilate ring compromised either the specific signalling, or membrane vesicle promotion. This indicates that there are multiple signalling mechanisms by which HHQ and PQS may possibly act. Antagonism of biofilm formation or any cross-species effects were not reported. A structure–activity investigation carried out by Reen *et al.*

focused on substitution of the C-3 position.¹⁶ HHQ was shown to inhibit biofilm formation in species that inhabit the same environment as *P. aeruginosa* whereas PQS and 3-halo-analogues did not. Very recently Steinbach and Hartmann also reported 'blocking' the C-3 position thus preventing hydroxylation to a PQS analogue.²⁰ Following on from their initial investigations, a simple quinolone was elegantly designed to target PqsR, and subsequently displayed antivirulence activity *in vivo*.

In the current work, we generated a diverse range of novel HHQ analogues by using derivatized anthranilates and, in some cases, by extension of the alkyl chain at C-2 from seven to nine carbons (Table 1). HHQ analogues were prepared using a procedure similar to that described by Somanathan *et al.*,²¹ and us,²² involving a Conrad–Limpach type cyclisation. Thus β-keto-esters were prepared by acylation of Meldrum's acid (2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione) with octanoyl chloride or decanoyl chloride, followed by methanolysis (Scheme 1). Condensation with a variety of anilines in the presence of acid using a Dean–Stark apparatus and subsequent cyclisation of the enamine at reflux in diphenyl ether gave the corresponding 2-heptyl- (1–8) or 2-nonyl-4-quinolone (9–13). During the course of this work, an alternative method for accessing the enamine intermediate was also used.²³ On occasion, this method provided a more efficient route. As mentioned earlier, *B. atrophaeus* was selected due to its co-existence with *P. aeruginosa* in the soil. Additionally, the organism is widely used as a surrogate for *B. anthracis* investigations in biodefense research,²⁴ as well as being used as a sterilisation control strain in industry.²⁵ *B. atrophaeus* also exhibits strong swarming and biofilm phenotypes that are characteristic of the multicellular behaviour underpinning virulence in many





Scheme 1 Synthesis of anthranilate-substituted 2-alkyl-4-quinolone.

pathogens.²⁶ Firstly, growth of *B. atrophaeus* was investigated in the presence of HHQ, PQS and the suite of analogues. Prepared in honeycomb 100-well plates, in TSB supplemented with 10 μ M of compound or equivalent volume of methanol as control, all readings were taken on a BioScreen Analyser.

Growth of *B. atrophaeus* was not significantly altered in the presence of any of the modified analogues (SM Fig. 1) and thus any virulence effects were likely to be growth independent. Swarming motility is a key multicellular behaviour in bacteria, considered a virulence phenotype in many organ-

isms²⁷ and underpinned by both intra and intercellular signalling. In the case of *B. atrophaeus*, swarming cells are joined in chain formations and the phenotype is promoted by the secretion of surfactant ahead of the swarm front.^{28,29} Previously, we showed that addition of PQS to swarm plates completely abolished swarming motility in *B. atrophaeus*, while addition of HHQ led to little reduction in this key virulence phenotype.¹⁵ However in the study reported herein, addition of HHQ analogues (1–13) to swarming media led to a significant alteration in activity compared to the parent compound HHQ. Remarkably, some analogues exhibited PQS like inhibition, while others had the effect of promoting swarming activity in the model organism (Fig. 2). Of the compounds tested, compounds 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 14 led to a phenotype statistically similar to that observed with the carrier control MeOH. Quinolones 2, 5, 9, 11 and 13 gave a moderate decrease in swarming, whereas quinolones 7 and 10 completely abrogated swarming activity in *B. atrophaeus* as shown in Fig. 2. Overall substitution at the 6-position afforded the most response. In compounds with a C-2 heptyl chain, an electron withdrawing group (7) gave a dramatic decrease in swarming. Interestingly, this is consistent with Hartmann's observation, where a nitro group at the 6-position gave antagonistic behaviour in *P. aeruginosa*.²⁰ When we prepared an analogue possessing an electron releasing group (6) no decrease in swarming was observed.





Fig. 2 Swarming motility of *B. atrophaeus* is modulated by HHQ, PQS and their analogues on 0.3% agar (w/v) TSA plates. Measurements reflect the total diameter of the swarm mass, and incorporates the point of inoculation which was performed by sterile toothpick. (A) is a visual representation of swarming motility in the presence of each analogue while (B) is a graphical representation of the combined data. All experimental datapoints represent the mean of at least 5 independent biological replicates. Error is presented as standard error of the mean and statistical analysis was performed using Student's *t*-test and Bootstratio analysis (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.005$, *** $p < 0.001$).





Scheme 2 Synthesis of *N*- and *O*-methylated compounds.

Remarkably, extension of the C-2 alkyl chain in the non-inhibitory analogue, afforded a molecule (**10**) capable of returning anti-motility behaviour.³⁰

4-Quinolones such as HHQ exist as two interconverting tautomeric forms (a 4-quinolone and a 4-hydroxyquinoline) and equilibrium tends towards the quinolone form under physiological conditions.³¹ We were intrigued to delineate these two structural isomers and elucidate if either structural form maintained activity if 'frozen out' by methylation at oxygen or nitrogen.

Preparation of the *N*- and *O*-methylated compounds was carried out by reaction of quinolone substrate **10** with methyl iodide in acetone and K_2CO_3 . The resulting isomeric mixture was purified by column chromatography to afford the desired products **14** (quinolone) and **15** (quinoline) in 14 and 42% respectively (Scheme 2). When tested on *B. atrophaeus*, both compounds had lost anti-swarming activity (Fig. 2) relative to the parent quinolone (**10**) but the quinoline form **15** did show a slight enhancement of anti-swarming activity relative to quinolone **14**. We also chose to carry out a similar investigation using quinolone **2**, which displayed enhanced suppression of swarming motility relative to MeOH (and HHQ itself). In this case the *N*-Me derivative **16** (isolated in 10%) again showed little or no variation. However, addition of the *O*-Me variant **17**

(isolated in 37%) led to significant enhancement of swarming motility, in direct contrast to the parent molecule.

Having established the influence of the analogues on swarming motility, it was expected that a similar profile would emerge from an investigation of their impact on biofilm formation. Swarming motility is associated with biofilm formation in many pathogens, sometimes in what is an inverse relationship. Therefore, each analogue was tested for its impact on pellicle formation and attachment in *B. atrophaeus*. Surprisingly, all analogues had lost their anti-pellicle and anti-biofilm formation activity with respect to the parent molecule HHQ. Modification of any part of the quinolone framework led to loss of activity, even for those where suppression of swarming motility was enhanced (Fig. 3).

Conclusions

In this study we prepared a number of analogues of known *P. aeruginosa* signalling molecule HHQ, present in complex multi-bacterial and multi-kingdom environments, and tested them for anti-swarming and anti-biofilm activity towards *B. atrophaeus*. Several of the novel analogues show potent suppression of swarming motility, which suggests some degree of freedom regarding the structural interaction between the quinolone core molecule and the receiving organism. However, the lack of anti-biofilm activity exhibited by all the analogues would suggest that the anti-biofilm activity exhibited by HHQ is highly sensitive to structural modification and may reflect a highly specific protein–ligand interaction.

Previously, HHQ itself has shown very minor anti-swarming activity in a range of organisms in contrast to PQS, which completely abolished swarming activity.¹⁵ Strikingly, modification of the quinolone backbone structure described here, resulted in quinolones possessing potent suppression of swarming, similar to that with PQS. While there is no evidence of a pqsH type-activity encoded in a genome outside of *P. aeruginosa*, and thus no known mechanism for the hydroxylation of our HHQ analogues to PQS analogues,¹⁵ other biosynthetic steps could be at play and our investigations in this area will be published in due course.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank David Woods for technical assistance. This research was supported in part by grants awarded to GMG by Science Foundation of Ireland (SFI) (SFI/12/TIDA/B2405, SFI/12/IP/1315, SFI/09/RFP/CHS2353 and SSPC2 12/RC/2275) and the Irish Research Council and to FOG (SFI: SSPC2 12/RC/2275; 13-TIDA-B2625; 07/IN.1/B948; 12/TIDA/B2411; 12/TIDA/B2405; 09/RFP/BMT 2350); the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (DAFF11/F/009 MabS; FIRM/RSF/CoFoRD; FIRM 08/RDC/629); the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA 2008-PhD/S-2); the Irish Research Council for Science, Engineering and Technology




Fig. 3 Biofilm formation by *B. atrophaeus* in the presence of 10 μ M of compound, compared with an equivalent volume of methanol as control. A visualisation of the pellicle formed in the presence of each compound is presented above the graph. Each datapoint, which is presented as fold change in Ab_{595nm} relative to methanol control, is the average of at least 4 independent biological replicates. Error is presented as standard error of the mean and statistical analysis was performed using Student's *t*-test and Bootstratio analysis (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.005$, *** $p < 0.001$).



(GOIPG/2014/647; PD/2011/2414; RS/2010/2413); the European Commission (H20/20 EU-634486; FP7-PEOPLE-2013-ITN, 607786; OCEAN2012, 287589; FP7-KBBE-2012-6, CP-TP 311975; FP7-KBBE-2012-6, CP-TP-312184; Marie Curie 256596); the Marine Institute (Beaufort award C2CRA 2007/ 082); Teagasc (Walsh Fellowship 2013), the Health Research Board (HRA/2009/146) and the Irish Thoracic Society via the 2014 MRCG/HRB scheme (MRCG/2014/6).

Notes and references

- 1 D. C. Hooper and E. Rubinstein, *Quinolone Antimicrobial Agents*, ASM Press, Washington D.C., 2003.
- 2 D. O'Hagan, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2010, **131**, 1071.
- 3 S. Swift, J. A. Downie, N. A. Whitehead, A. M. Barnard, G. P. Salmond and P. Williams, *Adv. Microb. Physiol.*, 2001, **45**, 199.
- 4 C. Fuqua, M. R. Parsek and E. P. Greenberg, *Ann. Rev. Genet.*, 2001, **35**, 439.
- 5 S. P. Diggle, P. Cornelis, P. Williams and M. Camara, *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.*, 2006, **296**, 83.
- 6 R. A. Long, A. Qureshi, D. J. Faulkner and F. Azam, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2003, **69**, 568.
- 7 S. P. Diggle, K. Winzer, S. R. Chhabra, K. E. Worrall, M. Camara and P. Williams, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2003, **50**, 29.
- 8 E. C. Pesci, J. B. J. Milbank, J. P. Pearson, S. McKnight, A. S. Kende, E. P. Greenberg and B. H. Iglewski, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1999, **96**, 11229.
- 9 L. Mashburn-Warren, J. Howe, K. Brandenburg and M. Whiteley, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2009, **191**, 3411.
- 10 J. F. Dubern and S. P. Diggle, *Mol. Biosyst.*, 2008, **4**, 882.
- 11 S. P. Diggle, S. Matthijs, V. J. Wright, M. P. Fletcher, S. R. Chhabra, I. L. Lamont, X. Kong, R. C. Hider, P. Cornelis, M. Camara and P. Williams, *Chem. Biol.*, 2007, **14**, 87.
- 12 J. W. Costerton, *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*, 1999, **11**, 217.
- 13 J. W. Costerton, P. S. Stewart and E. P. Greenberg, *Science*, 1999, **284**, 1318.
- 14 S. A. Burke, J. D. Wright, M. K. Robinson, B. V. Bronk and R. L. Warren, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2004, **70**, 2786.
- 15 F. J. Reen, M. J. Mooij, L. J. Holcombe, C. M. McSweeney, G. P. McGlacken, J. P. Morrissey and F. O'Gara, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 2011, **77**, 413.
- 16 F. J. Reen, S. L. Clarke, C. Legendre, C. M. McSweeney, K. S. Eccles, S. E. Lawrence, F. O'Gara and G. P. McGlacken, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 8903.
- 17 Y. Tashiro, S. Ichikawa, T. Nakajima-Kambe, H. Uchiyama and N. Nomura, *Microbes Environ.*, 2010, **25**, 120.
- 18 R. East, *Nature*, 2013, S18–S19, DOI: 10.1038/501S18a.
- 19 J. T. Hodgkinson, S. D. Bowden, W. R. Galloway, D. R. Spring and M. Welch, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2010, **192**, 3833.
- 20 C. Lu, C. K. Maurer, B. Kirsch, A. Steinbach and R. W. Hartmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1109.
- 21 R. Somanathan and K. M. Smith, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1981, **18**, 1077.
- 22 G. P. McGlacken, C. M. McSweeney, T. O'Brien, S. E. Lawrence, C. J. Elcoate, F. J. Reen and F. O'Gara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 5919.
- 23 A. V. Narsaiah, A. R. Reddy, B. V. S. Reddy and J. S. Yadav, *Open Catal. J.*, 2011, **4**, 43.
- 24 S. R. Kane, S. E. Létant, G. A. Murphy, T. M. Alfaro, P. W. Krauter, R. Mahnke, T. C. Legler and E. Raber, *J. Microbiol. Methods*, 2009, **76**, 278.
- 25 S. E. Létant, G. A. Murphy, T. M. Alfaro, J. R. Avila, S. R. Kane, E. Raber, T. M. Bunt and S. R. Shah, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2011, **77**, 6570.
- 26 S. T. Rutherford and B. T. Bassler, *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Med.*, 2012, **2**(11), 1, DOI: 10.1101/cshperspect.a012427. pii: a012427.
- 27 D. E. Kearns, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2010, **8**, 634.
- 28 K. Hamze, S. Autret, K. Hinc, S. Laalami, D. Julkowska, R. Briandet, M. Renault, C. Absalon, I. B. Holland, H. Putzer and S. J. S  ror, *Microbiol.*, 2011, **157**, 2456.
- 29 S. Heeb, M. P. Fletcher, S. R. Chhabra, S. P. Diggle, P. Williams and M. C  mara, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2011, **35**, 247.
- 30 One Referee suggested the synthesis and biological testing of compound **18** to delineate the requirement for alteration at the C6 position and the length of the alkyl chain. No anti-swarming activity was observed, further demonstrating the exquisite specificity of the quinolone structure. No anti-biofilm activity was observed.
- 31 P. K. Singh, A. L. Schaefer, M. R. Parsek, T. O. Moninger, M. Welch and E. P. Greenberg, *Nature*, 2000, **407**, 762.

