

Title	Constant mean curvature cylinders with irregular ends
Authors	Kilian, Martin;Schmitt, Nicholas
Publication date	2013-07
Original Citation	Kilian, M. and Schmitt, N. (2013) 'Constant mean curvature cylinders with irregular ends', Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 65(3), pp. 775-786. doi: 10.2969/jmsj/06530775
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.2969/jmsj/06530775
Rights	© 2013, Mathematical Society of Japan. Published in Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 65(3), pp. 775-786. doi: 10.2969/jmsj/06530775.
Download date	2026-09-05 15:31:22
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/15096

Constant mean curvature cylinders with irregular ends

By Martin KILIAN and Nicholas SCHMITT

(Received June 29, 2011)

(Revised Nov. 11, 2011)

Abstract. We prove the existence of a new class of constant mean curvature cylinders with an arbitrary number of umbilics by unitarizing the monodromy of Hill's equation.

Introduction.

Constant mean curvature (CMC) cylinders of revolution were classified by C. Delaunay [2] in 1841, and are called Delaunay surfaces. While the moduli space of CMC cylinders is not yet understood, many new classes of constant mean curvature cylinders, especially with umbilic points have been found in the last two decades [6], [8], [3]. In this paper we generalize the methods of [3] and prove the existence of a new class of CMC cylinders with an arbitrary number of umbilics. The generalized Weierstrass representation [5] involves solving a holomorphic complex linear 2×2 system of ordinary differential equations with values in a loop group. The solution is subsequently projected to a moving frame of the Gauss map, from which the associated family can be constructed. The input for this procedure is a *potential*, which in the case of cylinders lives on a Riemann sphere with two punctures.

The two obstacles to proving the existence of cylinders are the unitarity of the monodromy, and the closing conditions. While either one of these conditions can be encoded in the potential, the other one is then not immediate. Thus one can either ensure unitarity by working with skew-hermitian potentials [6], [8], [4], or one can work with potentials which guarantee the closing conditions but do not automatically have unitary monodromy [3], [7]. This article takes the second approach, and presents a very general class of potentials which naturally encode the closing conditions, and for which there exists a very simple unitarizer. The general form of our potentials is the same as those of k -noids [12], [13] with Delaunay ends, and higher genus surfaces with ends [7], and thus fits nicely into a general framework of potentials for non-compact CMC surfaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A10.

Key Words and Phrases. constant mean curvature, cylinder, Hill's equation.

The 2×2 first order system of ordinary differential equations is equivalent to a second order equation. For cylinders the most general form is equivalent to Hill's equation. The two singular points (usually taken to be $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$) in Hill's equation correspond to the two ends of the resulting cylinder. A regular singular point gives a Delaunay end [9], while higher order poles in the potential result in irregular ends. The potential for our new family of cylinders can be viewed as a superposition of a Delaunay potential with two potentials, each of which superimposes an irregular singularity. The resulting cylinders, some of which are shown in Figure 1, appear as Delaunay centerpieces with two irregular ends. The ends are reminiscent of ends of Smyth surfaces, which are CMC planes with umbilic points and an intrinsic rotational symmetry first investigated by B. Smyth [14], and commonly referred to as Smyth surfaces [15].

Our cylinder potentials are parameterized by a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If f is holomorphic at $z = 0$, then that end is asymptotic to a Delaunay surface [9], [8]. To ensure that the monodromy is conjugate to an element of the unitary loop group we impose symmetries on the function f . In particular we show that it suffices to prescribe reality conditions along the real line and the unit circle to ensure the existence of a diagonal unitarizer. Since all known existence proofs of cylinders require the imposition of some symmetries, it would be interesting to extend our construction to cylinders without symmetries.

1. The generalized Weierstrass representation.

Our conventions [13] for the generalized Weierstrass representation [5] of CMC surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are as follows:

1. On a Riemann surface Σ , let ξ be a holomorphic 1-form with values in the loop algebra of smooth maps $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$. Such 1-forms are called potentials. A potential ξ has to have a simple pole in its upper right entry in the loop parameter λ at $\lambda = 0$, and has no other poles in the open disk

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < 1\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Moreover, the coefficient of λ^{-1} in the upper-right entry of ξ is non-zero on Σ . Let Φ be a solution to the ordinary differential equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$. Then Φ is a holomorphic map on the universal cover of Σ with values in the loop group of $SL_2\mathbb{C}$.

2. Let $\Phi = FB$ be the pointwise Iwasawa decomposition on the universal cover: F is *unitary*, that is, at every point of the universal cover a map $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow SU_2$, and B is *positive*, that is, at every point of the universal cover it extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, B is normalized so that $B(\lambda = 0)$ is upper-




Figure 1. Parts of CMC cylinders with two irregular ends. Each cylinder can be thought of as a surface with a Delaunay centerpiece of one period and two irregular ends. In the first row, 2-legged irregular surfaces emerge from a Delaunay unduloid, cylinder and nodoid. The third surface is cut away to show the internal nodoidal structure. In the second row, the first two surfaces have 1-legged and 3-legged irregular ends respectively. For the first five, $f(z) = a + b(z^n + z^{-n})$ with (n, a, b) respectively

$$(2, 1/32, 1/1000), (2, 1/16, 1/100), (2, -4/32, 1/100), (1, 1/32, 1/50), (3, 1/32, 1/50).$$

The exceptional last surface with $f(z) = 1/32 + (1/50)(z^3 + z^{-3} + z^4)$, is asymmetric, with different leg counts 3 and 4.

triangular with real positive diagonal elements.

3. Then $\psi = F'F^{-1}$ is an associated family of CMC immersions of the universal cover into $\mathfrak{su}_2 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$. The prime denotes differentiation with respect to θ , where $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$.

The gauge action of positive g is $\xi.g = g^{-1}\xi g + g^{-1}dg$. If Φ satisfies $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$, then $\Psi = \Phi g$ satisfies $d\Psi = \Psi(\xi.g)$ and induces the same associated family as Φ .

Let Φ be a solution of $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$, and let τ be a deck transformation of the universal cover. The period problem cannot be solved simultaneously for the whole associated family, so we contend ourselves with solving it for the member of the associated family for $\lambda = 1$: A sufficient condition that $\psi|_{\lambda=1}$ is closed with respect to τ is that the monodromy $M = (\tau^*\Phi)\Phi^{-1}$ of Φ is unitary and satisfies the closing

conditions

$$M|_{\lambda=1} = \pm \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} M|_{\lambda=1} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

2. The cylinder potential.

We first present the potentials which construct new families of CMC cylinders with umbilics. The monodromies satisfy the closing conditions, but their unitarity is not automatically satisfied. By imposing symmetries on the potential we can ensure the existence of a unitarizer. The potential for our cylinders on the twice punctured Riemann sphere $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ is of the form

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} \\ (1/4)\lambda + (1-\lambda)^2 f(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z} \quad (2.1)$$

where $f : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary holomorphic function with Laurent series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad (2.2)$$

The scalar second order differential equation associated to ξ is Hill's equation [11]. The cylinder potential can be viewed as a superposition of an underlying Delaunay potential with two potentials having irregular singularities

$$\begin{aligned} \xi = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (1-\lambda)^2 f_-(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} \\ (1/4)\lambda + (1-\lambda)^2 f_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (1-\lambda)^2 f_+(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f = f_- + f_0 + f_+$ is the decomposition of f into negative, constant and positive Fourier modes. The middle term is a Delaunay potential. The umbilic points are located at the zeroes of the function f .

3. The diagonal unitarizer.

The map Φ satisfying the initial value problem $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ and $\Phi(1) = \mathbb{1}$ has monodromy M along the circle $|z| = 1$. Only if M is unitary and satisfies the closing conditions can we conclude that the resulting CMC immersion closes after

one traversal of the circle.

A smooth unitarizer on $\mathbb{S}^1$ can be constructed once the monodromy is shown to be pointwise unitarizable on $\mathbb{S}^1$. This is the content of Proposition 3.1 and is in the spirit of [7], [12], [13]. For cylinders, the monodromy unitarizer is not unique. Symmetries on the potential can be imposed to ensure the existence of a diagonal unitarizer. The benefit of a diagonal unitarizer is that it is easiest to construct, and that it induces symmetries on the surface.

A matrix $M \in SL_2\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm \mathbb{I}\}$ can be conjugated to SU_2 if and only if $\operatorname{tr} M \in (-2, 2)$. Let $\Delta \subset SL_2\mathbb{C}$ denote the subgroup of diagonal elements, which we sometimes write as $\operatorname{diag}(x, 1/x)$. An element $M \in SL_2\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm \mathbb{I}\}$ is unitarizable by an element of Δ if and only if it is unitarizable, its diagonal elements are complex conjugates of each other, and their product is less than 1.

A map $M : \mathcal{C}_r \rightarrow SL_2\mathbb{C}$ from the circle $\mathcal{C}_r$ of radius r is r -unitary if it extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{S}^1$ and is unitary there. The map M is r -unitarizable if it can be conjugated to an r -unitary map.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If $M : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow SL_2\mathbb{C}$ is pointwise unitarizable by elements of Δ , except at finitely many points on $\mathbb{S}^1$, then there exists a holomorphic map $V : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Delta$ which r -unitarizes M for every $r \in (0, 1)$. The map V is unique up to left multiplication by an element of $\Delta \cap SU_2$.*

PROOF. Let us write

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

By Lemma 9 in [13], there exist nonzero holomorphic maps $p, q : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with

$$pp^\dagger = cc^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad qq^\dagger = -bc, \quad (3.2)$$

where p, q extend analytically to $\mathbb{S}^1$, and $p^\dagger(\lambda) = \overline{p(1/\bar{\lambda})}$. Since p and q are non-zero on $\mathcal{D}$, they have single-valued square roots there, allowing us to define the map $V : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Delta$ by $V = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{p/q}, \sqrt{q/p})$. Then $P = VMV^{-1}$ is unitary on $\mathbb{S}^1$ away from the singular set of V . It follows by Lemma 10 in [13] that it extends holomorphically to all of $\mathbb{S}^1$, and is unitary there.

To show uniqueness, suppose V_1 and V_2 are two such unitarizers of M . Then $V_2V_1^{-1}$ is a diagonal unitarizer of the unitary map $V_1MV_1^{-1}$. This implies $V_2V_1^{-1}$ is diagonal and unitary. Since V_1 and V_2 are positive, then V_1 and V_2 coincide up to a constant phase. $\square$

4. Monodromy series.

Let M be the monodromy with respect to the curve $\gamma(s) = e^{is}$, $s \in [0, 2\pi]$ of Φ for the cylinder potential ξ with $\Phi(1) = \mathbb{1}$. Proposition 4.1 computes the series expansion of the monodromy with respect to λ at $\lambda = 1$, in terms of the coefficients of the Laurent series of f .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The series expansion of the monodromy is*

$$M = \mathbb{1} + A(\lambda - 1)^2 + O((\lambda - 1)^3) \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$A = -\frac{\pi i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_{-1} \\ a_1 & -a_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}. \quad (4.2)$$

PROOF. By the gauge

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{z} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/(2z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)$$

we obtain

$$\eta = \xi.g = \eta_0 + t\eta_1, \quad \eta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta_1 = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\alpha = dz \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = -\frac{4f(z)}{z^2} dz \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$t = -\frac{(\lambda - 1)^2}{4\lambda} = \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let $\Psi = \Psi_0 + \Psi_1 t + O(t^2)$ be the solution to the initial value problem

$$d\Psi = \Psi\eta, \quad \Psi(1) = \mathbb{1}, \quad (4.7)$$

and let $P = P_0 + P_1 t + O(t^2)$ be the monodromy of Ψ . To compute P_0 and P_1 ,

equate the coefficients of powers of t in

$$d\Psi_0 + d\Psi_1 t + O(t^2) = (\Psi_0 + \Psi_1 t + O(t^2))(\eta_0 + \eta_1 t) \quad (4.8)$$

to obtain the two equations

$$d\Psi_0 = \Psi_0 \eta_0, \quad \Psi_0(1) = \mathbb{1}, \quad (4.9a)$$

$$d(\Psi_1 \Psi_0^{-1}) = \Psi_0 \eta_1 \Psi_0^{-1}, \quad \Psi_1(1) = 0. \quad (4.9b)$$

The solution to (4.9a) is

$$\Psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.10)$$

where the path integral is along a path based at 1. Since $\int \alpha = z - 1$, then $\int_\gamma \alpha = 0$ for $\gamma(s) = e^{is}$, $s \in [0, 2\pi]$. Hence $M_0 = \mathbb{1}$. Solve (4.9b) by computing

$$\Psi_1 \Psi_0^{-1} = \int \Psi_0 \eta_1 \Psi_0^{-1} = \int \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \int \alpha & -(1 + \int \alpha)^2 \\ 1 & -(1 + \int \alpha) \end{pmatrix} = \int \beta \begin{pmatrix} z & -z^2 \\ 1 & -z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.11)$$

By the residue theorem we obtain

$$P_1 = \left(\int_\gamma (\Psi_0 \eta_1 \Psi_0^{-1}) \right) M_0 = 2\pi i \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_{-1} \\ a_1 & -a_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.12)$$

The series for the monodromy M of Φ now follows from $M = g(1)Pg^{-1}(1)$. $\square$

The following lemma is used to show that if the monodromy satisfies the closing conditions, then the monodromy after unitarization also does, even if the unitarizer is singular on $\mathbb{S}^1$. It also computes the weight associated to an end of a CMC immersion from the monodromy before unitarization.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $M : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow SL_2\mathbb{C}$ and $U : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow SU_2$ be analytic maps with equal traces. If $M = \mathbb{1} + M_2 t^2 + O(t^3)$ then $U = \mathbb{1} + U_2 t^2 + O(t^3)$ and $U_2^2 = M_2^2$.*

PROOF. Let $\tau = 1/2 \operatorname{tr} M = 1/2 \operatorname{tr} U$. Then $\tau \mathbb{1} = (1/2)(M + M^{-1}) = (1/2)(U + U^{-1})$. Let

$$M = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j t^j \quad \text{and} \quad U = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_j t^j. \quad (4.13)$$

Then

$$M^{-1} = \mathbb{1} - M_2 t^2 - M_3 t^3 + (M_2^2 - M_4) t^4 + O(t^5), \quad (4.14)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}(M + M^{-1}) = \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2} M_2^2 t^4 + O(t^5). \quad (4.15)$$

Looking at U we have

$$U^{-1} = \mathbb{1} - U_1 t + (U_1^2 - U_2) t^2 + O(t^3), \quad (4.16)$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{2}(U + U^{-1}) = \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2} U_1^2 t^2 + O(t^3). \quad (4.17)$$

Comparing with the series for $(1/2)(M + M^{-1})$, then $U_1^2 = 0$. Since $U_1 \in \mathfrak{su}_2$, then $U_1 = 0$. From

$$U^{-1} = \mathbb{1} - U_2 t^2 - U_2 t^2 - U_3 t^3 + (U_2^2 - U_4) t^4 + O(t^5), \quad (4.18)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(U + U^{-1}) = \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2} U_2^2 t^4 + O(t^5). \quad (4.19)$$

Comparing with the series for $(1/2)(M + M^{-1})$, we conclude that $U_2^2 = M_2^2$. $\square$

5. Cylinder construction.

With $\rho(z) = \bar{z}$ and $\sigma(z) = 1/\bar{z}$, we impose the symmetries

$$f = \overline{\rho^* f} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \overline{\sigma^* f}. \quad (5.1)$$

These ensure that the trace of the monodromy is real on $\mathbb{S}^1$, and that there exists a diagonal unitarizer. From the series expansion (4.1), the trace is 2 at $\lambda = 1$. The

inequality $a_0^2 - a_{-1}a_1 > 0$ guarantees that the trace along $\mathbb{S}^1$ is decreasing from 2 at $\lambda = 1$. By a technique in [7], a rescaling of the potential

$$\xi_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} \\ (1/4)\lambda + (1-\lambda)^2 cf(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z}, \quad (5.2)$$

with $c > 0$, ensures that the monodromy trace remains in the interval $[-2, 2]$ for all λ on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Proposition 3.1 constructs a smooth map which r -unitarizes the monodromy. This unitarizer as initial condition yields a family of CMC cylinders with umbilics. Because the unitarizer is diagonal, the symmetries of the potentials descend to ambient symmetries of the cylinders.

THEOREM 5.1. *Assume f has the symmetries (5.1) and $\kappa = a_0^2 - a_{-1}a_1 > 0$. For sufficiently small $c > 0$, the potential ξ_c gives rise to a CMC cylinder with umbilics at the roots of f , and two symmetry planes.*

PROOF. Let Φ_c satisfy $d\Phi_c = \Phi_c \xi_c$ and $\Phi_c(1, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}$, and let M_c be the monodromy of Φ_c along $|z| = 1$. Due to the form of ξ_c , M_c satisfies the closing conditions $M|_{\lambda=1} = \mathbb{1}$ and $(d/d\lambda)M|_{\lambda=1} = 0$.

Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda}, 1/\sqrt{\lambda})$. The symmetries on f imply the symmetries on the gauged potential

$$\eta = \Lambda^{-1} \overline{\rho^* \eta(1/\bar{\lambda})} \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \Lambda^{-1} h \overline{\sigma^* \eta(1/\bar{\lambda})} h^{-1} \Lambda \quad (5.3)$$

where $h = \text{diag}(i, -i)$. This gives the symmetries

$$\Phi = R \overline{\rho^* \Phi} \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = S \overline{\sigma^* \Phi} h^{-1} \Lambda \quad (5.4)$$

for some z -independent R, S . Evaluation at the fixed point $z = 1$ gives $R = \Lambda^{-1}$ and $S = \Lambda^{-1} h$. Hence the monodromy has the symmetries

$$M_c(\lambda) = \Lambda^{-1} \overline{M_c(1/\bar{\lambda})}^{-1} \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad M_c(\lambda) = \Lambda^{-1} h \overline{M_c(1/\bar{\lambda})} h^{-1} \Lambda. \quad (5.5)$$

This implies that the diagonal elements of M_c are equal, and real on $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Let $M = M_1$, and t as in (4.6). Due to the assumption $\kappa > 0$, we have that $\text{tr } M$ is decreasing in t at $t = 0$ from 2. Hence there exists $c_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\text{tr } M \leq 2$ for $t \in [0, c_0]$. It follows that $\text{tr } M_{c_0} \in [-2, 2]$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$, and is therefore unitarizable there. For similar uses of this technique see [7], [3].

The product of the diagonal elements of M is less than 1. Hence by Proposition 3.1 there exists a map $V : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $VM_{c_0}V^{-1}$ is unitary on $\mathbb{S}^1$.

By Lemma 13 in [13], the monodromy VMV^{-1} of $V\Phi_{c_0}$ extends to a holomorphic map $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow SU_2$. By Lemma 4.2, it satisfies the closing conditions (1.2). Hence the induced CMC immersion closes. The proof of the symmetry statement is deferred to the next section. $\square$

The *force* associated to an element in the fundamental group [10], [1] is the matrix $A \in \mathfrak{su}_2$ in the series expansion of the monodromy

$$M = \mathbb{1} + A\theta^2 + O(\theta^3) \quad (5.6)$$

where $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$. The force is a homomorphism from the fundamental group to $\mathfrak{su}_2 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$. Its length $|A| = \sqrt{\det A}$ is the *weight*. By Proposition 4.1, and Lemma 4.2, the weight of each of the CMC cylinders constructed in Theorem 5.1 is given by $(\pi/2)\sqrt{a_0^2 - a_{-1}a_1}$, where a_k are the Laurent coefficients of cf .

Graphics suggest that the ends of these cylinders might be asymptotic to Smyth surfaces. However, this is not the case because our cylinders in general have nonvanishing end weight, while the end weights of CMC planes always vanish.

6. Symmetry.

It remains to prove the symmetry statement in Theorem 5.1. We will show how the symmetries imposed on f descend to symmetries on the induced CMC immersion.

THEOREM 6.1. *All cylinders constructed in Theorem 5.1 have two perpendicular planes of reflective symmetry.*

PROOF. Let Ψ be the solution of $d\Psi = \Psi\xi$, $\Psi(1) = V$, with V diagonal such that Ψ has unitary monodromy. Let $\Psi = FB$ be the Iwasawa factorization of Ψ . With $\mu(z) = 1/z$ the symmetry $f = \overline{\mu^*f}$ induces the symmetry

$$\mu^*\xi = h\xi h^{-1}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $h = \text{diag}(i, -i)$. Hence Ψ has the symmetry $\mu^*\Psi = h\Psi h^{-1}$ for some map R . Evaluating at the fixed point $z = 1$ of μ yields $R = h$, which is unitary. Hence

$$\mu^*F \cdot \mu^*B = \mu^*\Psi = h\Psi h = hF \cdot Bh. \quad (6.2)$$

Identifying unitary and positive parts implies that

$$\overline{\mu^*F} = hF\delta, \quad (6.3)$$

where $\delta \in SU_2$ is λ -independent. Passing to the immersion $\psi = F'F^{-1}$, we have that

$$\mu^*\psi = h\psi h^{-1}, \quad (6.4)$$

which is an ambient rotation by π which exchanges the ends.

For the orientation-reversing symmetry $\sigma(z) = \bar{z}$, the symmetry $f = \overline{\sigma^*f}$ induces on the potential ξ the symmetry

$$\xi = \Lambda^{-1} \overline{\sigma^* \xi (1/\bar{\lambda})} \Lambda, \quad (6.5)$$

where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda}, 1/\sqrt{\lambda})$. Hence Ψ has the symmetry

$$R(\lambda)\Psi(\lambda) = \overline{\sigma^* \Psi (1/\bar{\lambda})} \Lambda \quad (6.6)$$

for some z -independent R . Evaluation at the fixed point 1 of σ yields $R(\lambda) = \overline{V(1/\bar{\lambda})} \Lambda(\lambda) V^{-1}(\lambda)$. Since V is diagonal, then R is a unitary.

In the notation below the transform $\lambda \mapsto 1/\bar{\lambda}$ is omitted. Then

$$\overline{\sigma^* F} \cdot \overline{\sigma^* B} = \overline{\sigma^* \Psi} = R\Psi = RF \cdot B. \quad (6.7)$$

Identifying unitary and positive parts gives

$$\sigma^* F = RF\delta, \quad (6.8)$$

where $\delta \in SU_2$ is λ -independent. Passing to the immersion $\psi = F'F^{-1}$ yields the orientation-reversing symmetry

$$\overline{\sigma^* \psi} = -R\psi R^{-1} - R'R^{-1}. \quad (6.9)$$

Since σ is an involution on the universal cover, then this symmetry is an involution, and hence a reflection in a plane which fixes each end.

The composition $\sigma \circ \mu = \mu \circ \sigma$ is a reflection in a plane which exchanges the ends. Since the Klein four-group generated by ρ and σ is abelian, the planes are perpendicular and the axis of the rotation is the intersection of the two planes. $\square$

References

- [1] A. I. Bobenko, Surfaces in terms of 2 by 2 matrices. Old and new integrable cases, In: Harmonic Maps and Integrable Systems, Aspects Math., **E23**, Friedr. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994, pp. 83–127.
- [2] C. Delaunay, Sur la surface de révolution dont la courbure moyenne est constante, J. Math. Pures Appl. Sér. 1, **6** (1841), 309–314.
- [3] J. Dorfmeister and G. Haak, Construction of non-simply connected CMC surfaces via dressing, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **55** (2003), 335–364.
- [4] J. Dorfmeister and S.-P. Kobayashi, Coarse classification of constant mean curvature cylinders, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **359** (2007), 2483–2500.
- [5] J. Dorfmeister, F. Pedit and H. Wu, Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **6** (1998), 633–668.
- [6] M. Kilian, Constant mean curvature cylinders, Ph.D. thesis, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 2000.
- [7] M. Kilian, S.-P. Kobayashi, W. Rossman and N. Schmitt, Constant mean curvature surfaces of any positive genus, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), **72** (2005), 258–272.
- [8] M. Kilian, I. McIntosh and N. Schmitt, New constant mean curvature surfaces, *Experiment. Math.*, **9** (2000), 595–611.
- [9] M. Kilian, W. Rossman and N. Schmitt, Delaunay ends of constant mean curvature surfaces, *Compos. Math.*, **144** (2008), 186–220.
- [10] N. Korevaar, R. Kusner and B. Solomon, The structure of complete embedded surfaces with constant mean curvature, *J. Differential Geom.*, **30** (1989), 465–503.
- [11] W. Magnus and S. Winkler, Hill’s Equation, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **20**, Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons New York, London, Sydney, 1966.
- [12] W. Rossman and N. Schmitt, Simultaneous unitarizability of $SL_n\mathbb{C}$ -valued maps, and constant mean curvature k -noid monodromy, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5), **5** (2006), 549–577.
- [13] N. Schmitt, M. Kilian, S.-P. Kobayashi and W. Rossman, Unitarization of monodromy representations and constant mean curvature trinoids in 3-dimensional space forms, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), **75** (2007), 563–581.
- [14] B. Smyth, A generalization of a theorem of Delaunay on constant mean curvature surfaces, In: Statistical Thermodynamics and Differential Geometry of microstructured Materials, Minneapolis, MN, 1991, (eds. H. Ted Davis and Johannes C. C. Nitsche), *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, **51** (1993), 123–130.
- [15] M. Timmerack, U. Pinkall and D. Ferus, Constant mean curvature planes with inner rotational symmetry in Euclidean 3-space, *Math. Z.*, **215** (1994), 561–568.

Martin KILIAN

Department of Mathematics
University College Cork
Ireland
E-mail: m.kilian@ucc.ie

Nicholas SCHMITT

Mathematisches Institut
Universität Tübingen
Germany
E-mail: nschmitt@mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de