

Title	The signature of fine scale local adaptation in Atlantic salmon revealed from common garden experiments in nature
Authors	O'Toole, Ciar;Reed, Thomas E.;Bailie, Deborah;Bradley, Caroline;Cotter, Deirdre;Coughlan, Jamie P.;Cross, Thomas F.;Dillane, Eileen;McEvoy, Sarah;Ó Maoiléidigh, Niall;Prodöhl, Paulo A.;Rogan, Ger;McGinnity, Philip
Publication date	2015-07-24
Original Citation	O'Toole, C. L., Reed, T. E., Bailie, D., Bradley, C., Cotter, D., Coughlan, J., Cross, T., Dillane, E., McEvoy, S., Ó Maoiléidigh, N., Prodöhl, P., Rogan, G. and McGinnity, P. (2015) 'The signature of fine scale local adaptation in Atlantic salmon revealed from common garden experiments in nature', Evolutionary Applications, 8(9), pp. 881-900. doi:10.1111/eva.12299
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1111/eva.12299
Rights	© 2015, the Authors. Evolutionary Applications published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/
Download date	2024-12-26 12:19:49
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/5707



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

## Appendix III: Results of the representation GLMMs

Results of the generalised linear mixed-models comparing progeny representation among groups and with respect to other explanatory variables. Group was a factor with four levels: Local<sub>female</sub> x Local<sub>male</sub>, Local<sub>female</sub> x Foreign<sub>male</sub>, Foreign<sub>female</sub> x Local<sub>male</sub> and Foreign<sub>female</sub> x Foreign<sub>male</sub>. Date planted was a factor with three levels: early, mid and late. Dam and sire life-history were each two level factors (1SW versus 2SW). Results are presented as an ANOVA table based on Type II tests, with non-significant terms removed sequentially until all remaining terms were significant at the 5% level. Random effects of dam and sire were included in all models (*Dam* = variance attributable to differences among dams; *Sire* = variance attributable to sires nested within dams; *Res* = residual variance). k = dispersion parameter from the negative binomial (where the variance =  $\mu + \mu^2/k$ ). All estimates are on log scale. Models were fitted using the glmmPQL function in the MASS library in R (Venables and Ripley 2002).

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Life stage	Fixed effect	NumDF	DenDF	F	Р	k	Dam	Sire	Res
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pre-flood	Intercept	1	23	371.00	< 0.001	1.28	0.57	0.71	< 0.01
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	dispersal	Group	3	23	3.61	0.029				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Date planted	2	23	831.73	< 0.001				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Non-significant terms								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\operatorname{Dam} L_F$	1	21	1.57	0.224				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Dam life-history	1	22	1.81	0.192				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sire life-history	1	21	2.48	0.131				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Eyed-egg diameter	1	22	0.31	0.581				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Flood	Intercept	1	26	3131	< 0.001	13.29	0.02	0.03	0.76
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	migration	Date planted	2	23	12.74	< 0.001				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Non-significant terms								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Group	3	23	1.81	0.173				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\operatorname{Dam} L_F$	1	21	1.66	0.212				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Dam life-history	1	22	1.02	0.324				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sire life-history	1	21	0.38	0.543				
parr1Date planted Non-significant terms2233.860.036Group3231.050.390Dam $L_F$ 1221.250.276Dam life-history1210.290.600Sire life-history1210.290.600Eyed-egg diameter1220.470.502PresmoltsIntercept126723<0.001		Eyed-egg diameter	1	22	1.02	0.323				
Date planted Non-significant terms2233.860.036Sroup3231.050.390Dam $L_F$ 1221.250.276Dam life-history1210.290.600Sire life-history1210.290.600Eyed-egg diameter1220.470.502PresmoltsIntercept126723<0.001		Intercept	1	26	2408	< 0.001	20.8	< 0.01		1.04
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Date planted	2	23	3.86	0.036				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Non-significant terms								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3	23	1.05	0.390				
Sire life-history1210.290.600Eyed-egg diameter1220.470.502PresmoltsIntercept126723<0.001 $5.30$ 0.59 $1.36$ <0.01+ smoltsDate planted223487.30<0.001 $5.30$ 0.59 $1.36$ <0.01Mon-significant terms $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Mathematical distribution $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Adult returnsIntercept121 $2.60$ $0.122$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Adult returnsIntercept125 $1.81$ $0.191$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Adult returnsIntercept118 $0.51$ $0.483$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Dam $L_F$ 118 $0.51$ $0.483$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Dam life-history1 $17$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Dam life-history1 $17$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ Dam life-history1 $C$ Adult returnsIntercept $1$ $18$ $0.51$ $0.483$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$		$\operatorname{Dam} L_F$	1	22	1.25	0.276				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Dam life-history	1	21	0.29	0.600				
Presmolts + smoltsIntercept126723<0.0015.300.591.36<0.01 $+$ smoltsDate planted223487.30<0.001		Sire life-history	1	21	0.29	0.600				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Eyed-egg diameter	1	22	0.47	0.502				
Non-significant terms221.560.001Group3221.560.228Dam $L_F$ 1220.510.481Dam life-history1212.600.122Sire life-history1211.590.221Eyed-egg diameter1251.810.191Adult returnsIntercept119253.5<0.001	Presmolts	Intercept	1	26	723	< 0.001	5.30	0.59	1.36	< 0.01
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ smolts	Date planted	2	23	487.30	< 0.001				
Dam $L_F$ 1220.510.481Dam life-history1212.600.122Sire life-history1211.590.221Eyed-egg diameter1251.810.191Adult returnsIntercept119253.5<0.001		Non-significant terms								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Group	3	22	1.56	0.228				
Sire life-history1211.590.221Eyed-egg diameter1251.810.191Adult returnsIntercept119253.5<0.001		$\operatorname{Dam} L_F$	1	22	0.51	0.481				
Eyed-egg diameter1251.810.191Adult returnsIntercept119253.5<0.001		Dam life-history	1	21	2.60	0.122				
Adult returns Intercept 1 19 253.5 <0.001 5.35 0.08 0.31 0.21   Group 3 9 15.90 <0.001		Sire life-history	1	21	1.59	0.221				
Group3915.90 $<0.001$ Non-significant termsDam $L_F$ 1180.510.483Dam life-history117 $<0.001$ 0.985		Eyed-egg diameter	1	25	1.81	0.191				
Non-significant terms   Dam $L_F$ 1 18 0.51 0.483   Dam life-history 1 17 <0.001	Adult returns		1	19		< 0.001	5.35	0.08	0.31	0.21
Non-significant terms   Dam $L_F$ 1 18 0.51 0.483   Dam life-history 1 17 <0.001		Group	3	9	15.90	< 0.001				
Dam $L_F$ 1180.510.483Dam life-history117<0.001		-								
Dam life-history 1 17 <0.001 0.985			1	18	0.51	0.483				
		Sire life-history	1	7	0.89	0.376				

## **References:**

Venables, W. N. & Ripley, B. D. (2002) Modern Applied Statistics with S. Fourth Edition. Springer, New York.