

Title	The impact of sugar particle size manipulation on the physical and sensory properties of chocolate brownies
Authors	Tyuftin, Andrey A.;Richardson, Aislinn M.;Kilcawley, Kieran N.;Gallagher, Eimear;O'Sullivan, Maurice G.;Kerry, Joseph P.
Publication date	2018-04-16
Original Citation	Richardson, A. M., Tyuftin, A. A., Kilcawley, K. N., Gallagher, E., O'Sullivan, M. G. and Kerry, J. P. (2018) 'The impact of sugar particle size manipulation on the physical and sensory properties of chocolate brownies', LWT - Food Science and Technology. doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2018.04.038
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1016/j.lwt.2018.04.038
Rights	© 2018, Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Download date	2024-04-25 10:12:31
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/5788



Accepted Manuscript

The impact of sugar particle size manipulation on the physical and sensory properties of chocolate brownies

Aislinn M. Richardson, Andrey A. Tyuftin, Kieran N. Kilcawley, Eimear Gallagher, Maurice G. O' Sullivan, Joseph P. Kerry

PII: S0023-6438(18)30344-X

DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.04.038

Reference: YFSTL 7049

To appear in: LWT - Food Science and Technology

Received Date: 8 December 2017

Revised Date: 12 April 2018 Accepted Date: 13 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Richardson, A.M., Tyuftin, A.A., Kilcawley, K.N., Gallagher, E., O' Sullivan, M.G., Kerry, J.P., The impact of sugar particle size manipulation on the physical and sensory properties of chocolate brownies, *LWT - Food Science and Technology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.04.038.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



The impact of sugar particle size manipulation on the physical and sensory properties of chocolate brownies

Aislinn M. Richardson¹, Andrey A. Tyuftin¹, Kieran, N. Kilcawley³, Eimear Gallagher⁴ Maurice G.O' Sullivan^{2*}, Joseph P. Kerry^{1*}

² Sensory group, School of Food & Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, College Road, Cork, Ireland

¹ Food packaging group, School of Food & Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, College Road, Cork, Ireland


³Teagasc, Moorepark, Fermoy, Cork, Ireland

⁴Teagasc, Ashtown, Dublin Ireland

^{*}Corresponding authors, Tel: +353 (0)21 4903798, Fax: +353 (0)21 4276318, E-mail:

¹Joe.Kerry@ucc.ie (J.P. Kerry) Tel: +353-(0)21-490-3544, E-mail:

²maurice.osullivan@ucc.ie (M.G. O'Sullivan).



Impact of sugar particle size on the physical properties and sensory attributes

Graphical abstract

- 1 The impact of sugar particle size manipulation on the physical and sensory
- 2 properties of chocolate brownies
- 3 Aislinn M. Richardson¹, Andrey A. Tyuftin¹, Kieran, N. Kilcawley³, Eimear Gallagher⁴
- 4 Maurice G.O' Sullivan^{2*}, Joseph P. Kerry^{1*}
- ¹ Food packaging group, School of Food & Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork,
- 6 College Road, Cork, Ireland
- ⁷ Sensory group, School of Food & Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, College
- 8 Road, Cork, Ireland
- ³Teagasc, Moorepark, Fermoy, Cork, Ireland
- 10 ⁴Teagasc, Ashtown, Dublin Ireland
- *Corresponding authors, Tel: +353 (0)21 4903798, Fax: +353 (0)21 4276318, E-mail:
- ¹Joe.Kerry@ucc.ie (J.P. Kerry) Tel: +353-(0)21-490-3544, E-mail:
- 13 <u>maurice.osullivan@ucc.ie</u> (M.G. O'Sullivan).

14

15

16

17

Highlights

- Small sugar particles increase sweetness intensity perception in chocolate brownies.
- Small sugar particles enhance the soft and moist texture of chocolate brownies.
- Sugar particle size manipulation significantly affects appearance, texture and colour
 liking of chocolate brownies.

23

24

18

Abstract

25 The overall objective of this research was to assess the effect of sugar particle size manipulation on the physical and sensory properties of chocolate brownies. A control sugar 26 (commercially available, 200-5181 µm) and four of its sieved sugar separates (mesh size of 27 28 710, 500, 355 and 212 µm) were determined by grinding and sieving. The particle diameter and diameter distributions of the control sugar and each sugar fraction were measured. As a 29 result, five sugar treatments were determined for chocolate brownie formulations; Control 30 (C_{200-5181 µm}), Large-particle replacement (LPR_{924-1877 µm}), Medium-particle replacement 31 (MPR_{627-1214 µm}), Small-particle replacement (SPR_{459-972 µm}) and a known MIX sample. 32 33 Samples were tested using sensory (hedonic & intensity), instrumental (texture and colour) 34 and compositional analyses (moisture and fat). Brownie samples containing the smallest 35 sugar fraction (SPR_{459-972 um}) were perceived as significantly sweeter than any other sample (p<0.05). Brownies containing this fraction were also the softest and moistest samples 36 (p<0.05). Texture liking was significantly associated with the LPR_{924-1877 μm} brownie 37 (p<0.05). Darkness of brownie samples increased (p<0.05) as sugar particle size decreased. 38 39 Therefore, sugar particle size alteration affects the physical and sensory properties of

- 40 chocolate brownies and could be used as a viable approach to reduce sugar in confectionery-
- 41 type products.

42

43

Keywords

44 Sugar fraction, sensory analysis, texture, colour, sieved sugar

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

1. Introduction

The consumption of free and refined sugar in the diet is one of the main causes for obesity in society today (Hu & Malik 2010; MacGregor & Hashem, 2014). Free and refined sugar include; monosaccharides and disaccharides added to foods and beverages by the manufacturer, cook or consumer, and sugars naturally present in honey, syrups, fruit juices and fruit juice concentrates, as defined by the World Health organisation (WHO, 2015). A recent review involving a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials and prospective cohort studies has established that intake of sugars is a determinant of body weight, with a clear positive association between higher intakes of sugars, body fat and long-term weight gain in adults (Te Morenga, Mallard, Mann & Morenga, 2013). In Ireland the Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance (IUNA, 2011) reported that free sugars account for 14.6% of the total energy intake of Irish adults who participated in the study. According to the Healthy Ireland survey (2015), 37% of adults that participated were overweight and a further 23% were obese. Obesity is a strong risk factor for type 2 diabetes (Chan, Rimm, Colditz, Stampfer & Willett, 1994; Rosner, Speizer & Manson, 1997) and the Slán (2007) study reported that the estimated prevalence of pre-diabetes among over 45 year olds in Ireland was 19.8% (Morgan et al. 2008). A new guideline was published by the WHO in March 2015

63 which strongly recommends that adults and children reduce their daily intake of free sugars to less than 10% of their total energy intake. A conditional recommendation was also made to 64 further reduce free sugar intake to below 5% of total energy intake (WHO 2015). 65 Confectionery and snacks account for 9% of carbohydrate intake in Irish adults, therefore 66 reducing sugar in these products would be a significant development in reducing the dietary 67 intake of sugar (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance (IUNA) 2011). However, sugar has a 68 huge part to play in the sensory properties of confectionery products, such as cake and cake-69 like products. Consequently, reducing sugar in these products presents a huge challenge for 70 71 the food industry. Sugar is responsible for the sweetness in cakes and muffins and sucrose is the most commonly used sugar in cake making (Bennion and Bamford, 2013). According to 72 Martínez-Cervera, Sanz, Salvador & Fiszman, 2012), sugar inhibits or reduces gluten 73 development during cake batter mixing by competing with gluten proteins for water and thus, 74 acts as a tenderiser of baked goods. The incorporation of air during batter creaming is 75 facilitated by the addition of sucrose (Shepard & Yoell, 1976). This lightens the batter and air 76 pockets formed during creaming expand and lift the batter, causing it to rise during baking. 77 Sugar binds moisture and moisture content varies between the different types of sugar, for 78 example liquid sugars contain more moisture than brown sugar and brown sugar contains 79 more moisture than crystalline white sugar (Manley, 2011). Therefore sugar is not only 80 responsible for the sweetness of cakes, but contributes significantly and positively to the 81 sensory and physical properties of cakes. 82 Recent reports indicate that the global sugar substitutes market is valued at around \$11.5 83 billion and it is expected to grow up to \$14 billion by 2019 (Markets and markets. 2015). The 84 inclination for combination of non-nutritive sugar substitutes with sugar alcohols to produce a 85 low-calorie bakery product has increased, with artificial sweeteners such as aspartame and 86 87 sucralose providing sweetness and sugar alcohols providing the bulking properties. However,

88	controversy exists over the use of artificial sweeteners in foods and beverages (Suez et al
89	2014; Azad et al. 2016). In a recent US Mintel survey it was found that 64% of respondents
90	indicated they were concerned about the safety of "artificial" sweeteners. (Gardner et al
91	2012). This is an important finding for the food industry if companies are to implement
92	replacement strategies using artificial sweeteners. Therefore, it is necessary to pursue other
93	strategies for sugar reduction/replacement in such products.
94	In this study, a new strategy of sugar reduction based on the manipulation of sugar particle
95	size is proposed. From extensive review of the scientific literature, we have not been able to
96	detect research investigating the effect of sugar particle size on sweetness perception and
97	overall acceptability of cakes. Sugar particle size has been shown to affect flour cookie
98	quality (Kissel, Marshall, Yamazaki, 1973). Manley, (2011) reported that sucrose crystal size
99	and their rate of dissolution affects the appearance and crunchiness of baked biscuits. Rama
100	et al. (2013) conducted a study on salt particle size manipulation and found that smaller sale
101	crystals increased salt perception in fried sliced potato crisps in a controlled chewing
102	environment. This proves that salt size manipulation can be used to reduce salt in crisp
103	products. Based on these findings, we hypothesise that smaller sugar particles increase
104	sweetness perception in chocolate brownies.
105	The primary objective of this study was to determine the effect of sugar particle size on the
106	sensory (hedonic, descriptive) and physical properties of chocolate brownies and to determine
107	if this approach might constitute an effective strategy for reducing sugar levels in
108	confectionery-type products.

109

110

2. Materials and methods

Food ingredients used in this trial, included; Light golden soft brown sugar (1.1% moisture, 98% sucrose, cane molasses and invert sugars, Siucra brand, UK); Irish Creamery butter (81% total fat, 65.4% of which were saturated, Dunnes stores, Ireland); Cream plain flour (1.4% fat, 82.7% carbohydrate, 2% of which sugars, 3.4% fibre, 11.7% protein and 0.81% salt, Odlums, Ireland); Free range eggs (Upton, Ireland); Dark chocolate (34.7% total fat, 55.8% carbohydrate, 97.2% of which sugars, 3.6% protein and 0.1% salt, Homecook wonder bar, Ireland). Food products were all purchased from a local supermarket and stored under refrigerated or cool, dry conditions where appropriate prior to sample preparation.

2.1 Sieving

Sugar was stored at ambient temperatures of 20°C prior to grinding and sieving. Sugar was dried at 70°C for one hour (h) in an oven (Binder, ED 115, Germany) to reduce moisture content for more effective sieving. Moisture content was obtained for the sugar, both before and after drying, using methodologies described below. Moisture content (%) was kept constant at 0.5% for all sugar fractions. Dried Sugar was ground by hand using rolling pins and mechanically sieved through a sequence of sieves (90, 180, 212, 355, 500, 710, 1,180 and 2,360 µm) set in a sieve shaker (Endeotts Octagon 200 London, England). Sieving was carried out in batches of 200g of sugar for 10 minutes (min) at 5-mm amplitude and particle size distributions of the sugar, both before and after grinding, were obtained using this method. For the purpose of the baking trials, four sugar-sieve separates were established; 212, 355, 500, and 710µm. The un-ground, un-sieved, commercially-available parent sugar was used as the control. Several separations were carried out until 1kg sugar quantities were available for all size ranges.

2.2 Measurement of particle size

Sugar-sieve separates obtained by milling and sieving were analysed by microscopy using a light microscope (Olympus BX-61 Tokyo, Japan) and cellSensTM standard software (version 510_UMA_Database_cellsens19-Krishna-en_00). The average particle size and distributions of the parent sugar and each separate (212, 355, 500 and 710 μm) were determined by obtaining and recording the 2D longest diameter of 100 particles per fraction in transparent light mode. Particle images within each fraction were captured using a microscope digital camera lens (Olympus DP73 Tokyo, Japan).

143

144

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

2.3 Chocolate brownie preparation

Three independent batches of brownies for all experimental treatments were manufactured in 145 the preparation area of the sensory science laboratory, University College Cork. For the 146 purpose of the experiment, samples were identified as follows; C_{200-5181 um} (Control), LPR₉₂₄-147 1877 μm (large-particle replacement), MPR_{627-1214 μm} (medium-particle replacement), SPR₄₅₉₋₉₇₂ 148 um (small-particle replacement) and MIX (mix of 50% SPR, 40% MPR and 10% of the finer 149 particle size captured by the 212 µm sieve mesh size). Dark chocolate (175g) and butter 150 (175g) were melted in a heat stable bowl for one min in a microwave oven. The melted 151 mixture was stirred for 30 seconds before sugar (250g) was added and stirred by hand for 152 another one min. Eggs (180g) were beaten in a separate bowl and added to the mixture. All of 153 the ingredients were stirred by hand for one min until the flour (115g) was sieved into the 154 mixture. Mixture was stirred by hand until smooth (two mins). The batter was poured into 155 tinfoil trays (16.5x24cm) and batches were baked for 30 min at 180°C in a Zanussi 156 convection oven (C. Batassi, Conegliano, Italy). Batches of brownies were left to set for 30 157 min in the tray before being removed and cut into Individual brownie pieces (45x45mm). 158

	recented with toperant
159	Chocolate brownies were placed on a rack for cooling for one hour before being removed and
160	placed into plastic containers for storage prior to testing.
161	
162	2.4 Sensory analysis
163	Sensory affective evaluation
164	Sensory acceptance testing (SAT) was carried out in the panel booths of the sensory science
165	laboratory, food science building, University College Cork according to international
166	standards (ISO 11136:2014). SAT took place over three separate days as three independent
167	trials were carried out for all five treatments. SAT was conducted according to the methods of
168	Stone, Bleibaum & Thomas, (2012a) using a total of 70 untrained assessors (n=70) all of
169	which were regular consumers of chocolate brownies and had experience with SAT. Samples
170	(2x2x2cm) were assigned a randomised three-digit code and presented in duplicate (Stone,
171	Bleibaum & Thomas, 2012b). Thus, each samples was evaluated 140 times (70 x 2). Sessions
172	were carried out at room temperature under white light and sensory evaluators were
173	instructed to use the water provided to cleanse their palates between tastings. Participants
174	used the Hedonic descriptors summarised in Table 1 to rate chocolate brownie samples.
175	Assessors were asked to indicate their degree of liking for samples on a 10cm continuous
176	line-scale ranging from 0 (extremely dislike) at the left to 10 (extremely like) at the right
177	(Rodrigue, Guillet, Fortin & Martine 2000; Fellendorf, O' Sullivan, Kerry, 2016). Overall
178	acceptability was also evaluated using the scale from 0 cm, extremely unacceptable to 10 cm,
179	extremely acceptable.
180	

Ranking descriptive analysis

Following sensory acceptance testing assessors were trained and participated in a separate
ranking descriptive analysis (RDA) according to the method of Richter, Almeida, Prudencio
& Benassi, 2010. Training and RDA took place three separate times as three independent
trials were carried out for all five treatments. Sensory descriptors were selected from panel
discussion as the most appropriate and reflected the main variation in the samples profiled.
The consensus list of sensory descriptors (Table 1, intensity), were measured on a 10 cm
continuous line scale with the term "none" used as the anchor point for the 0 cm end of the
scale to "extreme" for the 10 cm end of the scale (Fellendorf, O' Sullivan, Kerry, 2016).
Each trained panellist was asked to rank each sample for each attribute. RDA was carried out
in the panel booths of the sensory science laboratory, food science building, University
College Cork using 70 trained assessors in total over three separate sessions (25+25+20=70).
The samples (2x2x2cm) were served coded in randomised order and presented
simultaneously to assessors (Stone, Bleibaum & Thomas, 2012b) in duplicate.

- 2.5 Chocolate brownie images
- Photographs were taken of the chocolate brownie samples portioned out for sensory analysis using a digital camera (Nikon D3200, Japan).

- 200 2.6 Instrumental analysis
- 201 Texture

As outlined already three independent trials were carried out for all five treatments. Two chocolate brownies (45x45mm) from the centre of each batch tray were used for texture analysis ($3 \times 2 = 6$). Texture profile analysis (TPA) was carried out on samples using a

Texture Analyser 16 TA-XT2I (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK). A	50% double
compression test was carried out on each sample to a height of 2cm with a 75	mm diameter
flat-ended cylindrical probe (P/75), at a speed of 1mm/s with a 5 sec waiting	time between
the two cycles. This was carried out in accordance with the method of Mar	tínez-Cervera
Sanz, Salvador & Fiszman, (2012).	

Colour

Two chocolate brownies (45x45mm) from the top right of each batch tray were used for colour analysis. Crust and crumb colour characteristics were assessed by the CIE L*a*b* method. Lightness L* was defined by means of a Minolta CR-200B Chroma Meter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The L* parameter (L*=0 [Black], L*=100 [White]) for crust was measured at two separate points directly from the top of each individual brownie sample. The brownie samples were cut horizontally to remove the crust and crumb colour was measured directly at two separate points. As a result four measurements for crust colour and four measurements for crumb colour were taken for each treatment and as three trials were carried out for each treatment results for crust and crumb colour represent a mean of eight measurements.

2.7 Moisture and fat

Two chocolate brownies (45x45mm) from each independent trial (three) were used for moisture and fat determination ($3 \times 2 = 6$). Samples were homogenised for compositional analysis using Büchi Mixer B-400 (Büchi Labortechnik AG, Switzerland). Moisture content was determined using the CEM SMART system and fat was determined using the SMART Trac system (CEM GmbH, kamp-Lintfort, Germany). Two fibreglass pads were placed in the

drying chamber of the CEM SMART system and the weight of the pads were tared. Homogenised samples (2-4g) were weighed accurately on the fibreglass pads and afterwards one pad was placed over the sample and pressed together. Percent of fats was determined by wrapping the fibreglass pads with the sample in a sheet of Smart Trac film. Wrapped samples were placed in Smart Trac tube and positioned in the Smart Trac NMR unit. Percentage fat is displayed after roughly 5 min. Methods were carried out in accordance with that of Bostian, Fish, Webb & Arey, (1985) with slight modifications for confectionery samples.

2.8 Statistical analysis

As stated previously all chocolate brownie formulations were prepared in three independent batch trials and two independent samples for each experimental treatment from each of these batches were assessed for each parameter, providing a total of 6 independent samples, unless stated otherwise above. Raw data obtained from sensory evaluation was coded into Microsoft excel and analysed using ANOVA- Partial Least Squares Regression (APLSR) using Unscrambler software version 10.3 (CAMO ASA, Trondheim, Norway). The X-matrix was defined as the different sample treatments. The Y – matrix contained the sensory variables of the design. To achieve significant results for the relationships determined in the quantitative APLSR, regression coefficients were analyzed by jack-knifing which is based on cross-validation and stability plots (Martens & Martens, 2000). Statistical significance for sensory data was defined as P < 0.05 - 0.01 (significant), P < 0.01 - 0.001 (highly significant) and P < 0.001 (extremely significant). Texture and compositional data were presented as a mean of eight values \pm standard deviation. Colour (crust and crumb) data was presented as a mean of eight values \pm standard deviation. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the means of the data obtained from instrumental analysis and compositional analysis. Tukey's post-hoc test was

253	used to adjust for multiple comparisons between treatment means using SPSS statistics 20
254	software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Particle size distribution

Particle size distribution (PSD) of brown sugar, both before and after grinding, can be seen in
Fig 1. It is clear from this chart that significant particle size differences exist within the parent
sugar employed for this trial. Sugar particles captured by 710, 500 and 355 μm sieves
increased by 34.1%, 17.4% and 7.1%, respectfully after grinding. No particles >2,360 μm
were present after grinding and particles captured by the sieve with the second largest
aperture (1,180 $\mu m)$ decreased by 21.31%. Finer sugar particles <212 μm were present after
grinding. Visual representation of the particle diameter distribution of control sugar and
individual sugar separates can be seen in Fig 2. Particle size diameter differences between
control sugar and sugar separates were evident. Control sugar had the widest particle size
distribution as expected with particles ranging from 200-5181 μm . After grinding and
separation, particle size distribution within each fraction became smaller, in the range of 924-
$1877~\mu m$ for LPR, $627\text{-}1214~\mu m$ for MPR and $459\text{-}972~\mu m$ for SPR. Particle size ranges and
mean sizes for control sugar and each sieved sugar-separate are shown in Table 2.
Microscopic images for brown sugar particles with 2D diameters for different mesh sizes are
represented in Fig 3.

274 3.2 Sensory analysis

275	A total of 54.8% of the sensory evaluators who participated in this study were female and
276	45.2% were male. Ages of assessors ranged from 18-45. Significance of estimated regression
277	coefficients for the relationship of sensory terms and chocolate brownies are presented in
278	Table 3.
279	The control sample ($C_{200-5181 \mu m}$) was negatively associated with crust darkness, (p <0.001).
280	Brownie samples containing LPR $_{924\text{-}1877\mu\text{m}}$ were significantly positively associated with
281	colour liking (p<0.05) whereas brownie samples containing SPR _{459-972 μm} were negatively
282	associated (p<0.05).
283	Chocolate brownie samples with LPR $_{924-1877\mu m}$ were positively associated with texture liking
284	(p <0.01). Samples containing SPR _{459-972 µm} were extremely associated with having a moist
285	texture (p <0.001). These samples were also significantly negatively associated with texture
286	hardness (p <0.01). Therefore brownie samples containing this fraction (SPR _{459-972 μm}) were
287	perceived as the softest and moistest samples. In contrast control samples ($C_{200\text{-}5181\mu m}$) were
288	perceived as the hardest samples (p <0.05). It has been observed that replacement of sucrose
289	with different fibres increases crumb firmness in muffins (Struck, Gundel, Zahn & Rohm,
290	2016). The authors cite air cell incorporation as a contributing factor to mechanical
291	resistance. The presence of larger sugar particles in the $C_{200\text{-}5181\mu\text{m}}$ sample in this study could
292	have impacted upon air cell incorporation and could therefore be contributing to the increased
293	hardness observed in samples.
294	The chocolate brownie samples containing the smallest sugar fraction (SPR $_{459\text{-}972\mu m}$) were
295	perceived as significantly sweeter than any other sample (p <0.05). This finding is in
296	agreement with results obtained for salt crystal size manipulation, with smaller salt particles
297	being shown to increase saltiness perception in crisps (Rama et al., 2013).

Images of chocolate brownie samples divided out for sensory analysis can be seen in Fig 4. Visual variation in brownie texture was evident. In agreement with the sensory data presented in this study, brownie samples containing $SPR_{459-972\,\mu m}$ had the greatest moist appearances.

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

298

299

300

3.3 Texture and colour analysis

Texture profile analysis (TPA) results are shown in Table 4. In agreement with sensory data, chocolate brownie samples containing SPR_{459-972 um} were the softest samples with the force $(45.1 \pm 2.42 \text{ N})$ required to compress brownie samples being lower (p<0.05) than determined for any other sample. Contradictory to the sensory data, brownie samples containing LPR₉₂₄. $_{1877 \text{ um}}$ were the hardest samples (69.2 ± 2.12 N) (p<0.05). As sugar particle size decreased, hardness values decreased significantly (p<0.05) with the exception of the Control and Mix samples. These results are similar to mean cake strength results obtained by Dozan, Benković & Bauman (2014), who found that cake strength increased with increasing sugar particle size due to the force required for crystal breakage, as well as cake breakage. Similarly, Dozan, Benković & Bauman (2014) demonstrated that the force required to compress cakes with larger crystals was greater than the force required to compress cakes with smaller crystals. In our study, chewiness values (N-mm) varied significantly between samples. Chocolate brownies containing SPR_{459-972 μm} were found to have the lowest value (4.2 \pm 0.23 N-mm) for chewiness (chewiness hardness x cohesiveness x springiness) and different (p<0.05) from all other samples. Brownie samples containing MPR_{627-1214 um} presented the second lowest value (p<0.05) for chewiness $(5.0 \pm 0.50 \text{ N-mm})$ and samples containing a mix of sugar particle sizes (MIX) obtained the third lowest value (p<0.05) for chewiness 6.2 \pm 0.13 N-mm). Control_{200-5181 µm} and LPR_{924-1877 µm} brownie samples were not significantly different from each other with regards chewiness, but both samples presented the highest values (p<0.05).

The slightly higher chewiness values (9.8 ± 0.12 N-mm) associated with chocolate brownie samples containing LPR_{924-1877 um} could be a reason why these samples were liked so much in terms of texture and also may be a reason why these samples were not perceived correctly as the hardest samples as determined during sensory evaluation (see Table 3). No significant differences were observed between brownie samples with respect to other physical product parameters such as adhesiveness, springiness, cohesiveness, or resilience. In accordance with sensory data, control brownie samples had the lightest crust, which was different (p<0.05) from any other sample (Table 5). Trends showed that as sugar particle size decreased, darkness of crust colour increased. The control sample also had the lightest crumb colour (p<0.05) compared to all other brownie samples, with the exception of those samples containing LPR_{924-1877 um}. Trends showed that as sugar particle size decreased, darkness of crumb colour increased, with samples containing SPR_{459-972 um} having the darkest crumb colour (24.4 \pm 1.81). The darker crumb and crust colour can be associated with the lower melting point of smaller sugar crystals which can caramelize quicker than larger crystals. The darker crumb colour of SPR_{459-972 µm} could be a reason why this sample was negatively associated with colour liking as determined by sensory evaluation.

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

3.4 Moisture and fat content

As anticipated, fat (%) did not vary between samples as fat content remained constant in samples during baking. The average fat content determined in baked brownies ranged from 26.24 to 27.64% as shown in Table 6. However, moisture content varied significantly between samples. As sugar particle size decreased, moisture content increased in brownie samples, with the exception being that of the MIX sample. Control_{200-5181 μ m} and LPR₉₂₄₋₁₈₇₇ μ m brownie samples had the lowest moisture content and were different (p<0.05) from

samples containing MPR_{627-1214 μm} and SPR_{459-972 μm}, but not significantly different from the MIX sample. Chocolate brownie samples containing SPR_{459-972 μm} had the highest (p<0.05) moisture content (13.0 ± 0.84) compared to all other brownie samples, with the exception of samples containing MPR_{627-1214 μm} which had the second highest moisture content (p<0.05).

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

346

347

348

349

Conclusion

This work demonstrates that sugar particle size manipulation has a significant impact on the physical and sensory properties of chocolate brownies. Chocolate brownies formulated with LPR_{924-1877 um} received the highest scores for liking of texture, appearance and colour. Thus, replacement of the parent sugar with this experimental fraction improved acceptance of the final product. Therefore, sugar within this size range could be used to improve the texture and appearance of low-sugar or partially-replaced sugar in confectionery-type products. Chocolate brownies prepared with the smallest sugar particle size (SPR_{459-972 µm}) were the softest and moistest of all samples as supported by sensory, instrumental and compositional analysis. This is an important finding as sugar within this size range could be employed to retain moisture and softness in low sugar/low fat confectionery type products. Chocolate brownies formulated with the smallest sugar particles were perceived as the sweetest samples. Based on these findings sugar particle size reduction would permit sugar reduction as sweetness perception is increased in samples with smaller sugar particles. Further research needs to be carried out to demonstrate this finding further. In conclusion, sugar particle size reduction increases the sensory perception of sweetness in chocolate brownies and could be used as a viable technological approach to effectively reduce the sugar content of confectionery-type products and be of benefit to the baking industry in the formulation of low-calorie, clean-label baked goods.

370	Funding
371	The funding for this research was provided by the Food Institutional Research
372	Measure (FIRM), (Grant number 14F 812), administered by the Department of Agriculture,
373	Food and the Marine.
374	
375	Conflicts of interest
376	The authors declare that no conflicts of interests exist.
377	
378	References
379	Azad, M.B., Sharma, AK., de Souza, RJ., Dolinsky, VW., Becker, AB.,
380	Mandhane, PJ., Turvey, SE., Subbarao, P., Lefebvre, DL., Sears, MR.,
381	2016. Association between artificially sweetened beverage consumption
382	during pregnancy and Infant body mass index. JAMA Pediatrics, 170(7),
383	662–670.
384	Bennion, E.B. and Bamford, G.S., 2013. Sugars. In A.J Bent (Eds), The
385	technology of cake making. Aylesbury: L. Hill, 84–99.
386	Bostian, M. L., Fish, D. L., Webb, N. B., & Arey, J.J., 1985. Automated
387	methods for determination of fat and moisture in meat and poultry products
388	Collaborative study. Journal of the Association of Official Analytical
389	Chemists, 68(5), 876–880.

- Chan, J.M., Rimm, E.B., Colditz, G.A., Stampfer, M.J., Willett, W.C., 1994.
- Obesity, fat distribution, and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes
- in men. *Diabetes Care*, 17(9), 961–969.
- Dozan, T., Benković, M. & Bauman, I., 2014 Sucrose particle size reduction -
- Determination of critical particle diameters causing flowability difficulties.
- *Journal of Hygienic Engineering and Design*, 3–10.
- Fellendorf, S., O' Sullivan, M.G., Kerry, J.P., 2016. Effect of using replacers on
- the physiochemical properties and sensory quality of low salt and low fat
- white puddings. European Food Research and Technology, 242, 2105-
- 399 2118.
- Gardner, C., Wylie-Rosett, J., Gidding, S.S, Steffen, L.M., Johnson, R.k.,
- Reader, D., Lichtenstein, A.H. (2012). Nonnutritive sweeteners: Current use
- and health perspectives: A scientific statement from the American heart
- association and the American diabetes association. Circulation, 126(4),
- 404 509–519.
- Healthy Ireland, (2015). Healthy Ireland Survey 2015: Summary of Findings,
- Hu, F.B. & Malik, V.S., 2010. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of obesity
- and type 2 diabetes: Epidemiologic evidence. *Physiology and Behavior*,
- 408 100(1), 47–54.
- 409 Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance (IUNA), 2011. National Adult Nutrition

410	Survey (NANS) Summary Report., (March 2011), 37.
411	http://www.iuna.net/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/National-Adult-Nutrition-
412	Survey-Summary-Report-March-2011.pdf /Accessed April 5, 2017.
413	ISO, 2014. ISO 11136: 2014 https://www.iso.org/ics/67.240/x//Accessed July
414	18, 2017.
415	Kissel, L., Marshall, BD & Yamazaki, W., 1973. Effect of Variability in Sugar
416	Granulation on the Evaluation of Flour Cookie Quality. American
417	association of cereals chemists, 50, 10.
418	MacGregor, G.A. & Hashem, K.M., 2014. Action on sugar-lessons from UK
419	salt reduction programme. The Lancet, 383(9921), 929–931.
420	Manley, D., 2011. Sugars and syrups as biscuit ingredients. In D. Manley,
421	(Eds). Manleys's Technology of Biscuits, crackers and cookies 143–159.
422	Markets and markets., 2015. Sugar substituates market
423	http://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/sugar-substitute-
424	market-1134.html /Accessed July 20, 2017.
425	Martens, H., Martens. M., 2000. Modified Jack-knife estimation of parameter
426	uncertainty in bilinear modelling by partial least squares regression (PLSR)
427	Food Quality and Preference 11 (1) 5–16.
428	Martínez-Cervera, S., Sanz, T., Salvador, A., Fiszman, S.M., 2012. Rheological
4 29	textural and sensorial properties of low-sucrose muffins reformulated with

sucralose/polydextrose. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 45(2), 213– 430 220. 431 Te Morenga, L., Mallard, S., Mann, J., Morenga, L.T., 2013. Dietary sugars and 432 body weight: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised 433 controlled trials and cohort studies. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 346. 434 Morgan, K., McGee H., Watson D., Perry I., Barry M., Shelley E., Harrington 435 J., Molcho M., Layte R., Tully N., van Lente E., Ward M., Lutomski J., 436 Conroy R., Brugha R., 2008. SLAN 2007: Survey of lifestyle, attitudes & 437 nutrition in Ireland: main report, 438 http://epubs.rcsi.ie/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=psycholrep/ 439 Accessed 14 February 2016. 440 Rama, R., Chiu, N., Carvalho Da Silva, M., Hewson, L., Hort, J., Fisk, I.D., 441 2013. Impact of salt crystal size on in-mouth delivery of sodium and 442 saltiness perception from snack foods. Journal of Texture Studies, 44(5), 443 338-345. 444 Richter, V., Almeida, T., Prudencio, S., Benassi, M., 2010. Proposing a ranking 445 descriptive method. Food quality and preference, 21 (6), 611-620. 446 Rosner, B.A., Speizer, F.E. & Manson, J.E., 1997. Body Fat Distribution and 447 Risk of Non-Insulin-dependent Diabetes Mellitus in Women. American 448 *Journal of Epidemiology*, 145(7), 614–619. 449

Shepard, IS & Yoell, R., 1976. Cake emulsions. In <i>Food emulsions</i> .	Marcel
---	--------

- 451 Dekker, New York, 216–275.
- Stone, H., Bleibaum, R.N., Thomas, H.A., 2012a. Affective testing. In: Stone,
- 453 H., Bleibaum, R.N., Thomas, H.A (Eds.), Sensory Evaluation Practices,
- fourth ed. Elsevier Academic Press, USA, 291-325.
- Stone, H., Bleibaum, R., Thomas, H.A., 2012b. Test strategy and design of
- experiments. In: Stone, H., Bleibaum, R.N., Thomas, H.A. (Eds.), Sensory
- Evaluation Practices, fourth ed. Elsevier Academic Press, USA, 117-157.
- Struck, S., Gundel, L., Zahn, S., Rohm, H., 2016. Fiber enriched reduced sugar
- muffins made from iso-viscous batters. *LWT Food Science and*
- *Technology*, 65,32–38 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.07.053.
- Suez, J, Korem, T., Zeevi, D., Ziberman-Schapira, G., Thaiss, C.A., Mazza, O.,
- Israeli, D., Zmora, N., Gilad, S., Weinberger, A., Kuperman, Y., Harmelin,
- A., Kolodkin-Gal, I., Shapiro, H., Halpern, Z., Segal, E., Elinav, E., 2014.
- Artificial sweeteners induce glucose intolerance by altering the gut
- microbiota. *Nature*, 514(7521), 181–186.
- WHO, 2015. WHO Guideline: "Sugars intake for adults and children." Agro
- 467 *Food Industry Hi-Tech*, 26(4), 34–36.

 Table 1

 Hedonic and sensory descriptors used in sensory evaluation questionnaires

Descriptors	Scale	Definition
Hedonic		
Appearance	0 = extremely dislike 10 = extremely like	
Flavour	0 = extremely dislike 10 = extremely like	
Texture	0 = extremely dislike 10 = extremely like	
Colour	0 = extremely dislike 10 = extremely like	
Overall acceptability	0 = extremely unacceptable 10 = extremely accept	eptable
Intensity		
Appearance		
Crust darkness	0 = none 10 = extreme	Degree of darkness of crust
Texture		
Hardness	0 = none 10 = extreme	Force needed to compress the sample
Moisture	0= none 10=extreme	Moist/wet texture in mouth
Flavour		
Sweet taste	0=none 10=extreme	Flavour sensation associated with sucrose
Brownie flavour	0=none 10=extreme	Characteristic chocolate brownie flavour
Off flavour	0=none 10=extreme	Off-flavour (rancid)
Aftertaste	0=none 10=extreme	A taste remaining in the mouth after eating

Table 2

Particle size ranges of parent sugar and sugar sieve separates (μm)

Sugar-sieve separates (µm)	Sample	Particle size ranges (µm)	Average particle size (µm)
Parent sugar	Control (C)	200-5181	1533
710	LPR	924-1877	1276
500	MPR	627-1214	930
355	SPR	459-972	652
212	10% of MIX	330-700	479

MIX sample was made up of 50% SPR, 40% MPR and 10% of the finer particle size captured by the 212µm sieve mesh size

Table 3
Significance of estimated regression coefficients (ANOVA values) for the relationship of sensory terms (hedonic & intensity) and Chocolate Brownies prepared with varying sugar particle sizes

Sample	Appearance	Flavour	Texture	Colour	Overall	Crust	Hardness	Moisture	Sweet	Brownie	Off	Aftertaste
	Liking	Liking	Liking	Liking	acceptability	darkness			taste	Flavour	flavour	
Control _{200-5181 µm}	0.289	0.478	0.366	0.669	0.463	-0.003**	0.021*	0.061	0.519	0.695	0.574	0.886
LPR _{924-1877 μm}	0.041*	0.186	0.012**	0.050*	0.359	0.809	0.134	0.507	0.433	0.431	0.897	0.530
MPR _{627-1214 μm}	0.607	0.102	0.439	0.939	0.258	0.104	0.662	0.602	0.432	0.192	0.422	0.449
SPR _{459-972 μm}	0.187	0.657	0.134	-0.012**	0.877	0.413	-0.002**	0.001***	0.045*	0.850	0.370	0.502
MIX	0.521	0.996	0.720	0.439	0.655	0.595	0.980	0.544	0.105	0.272	0.805	0.413

Significance of regression coefficients*= $P \le 0.05$, **= $P \le 0.01$, ***= $P \le 0.001$. – indicates whether the correlation is negatively correlated. LPR; large particle replacement MPR; medium particle replacement and SPR; small particle replacement

Table 4

Texture profile analysis (TPA) values for Chocolate brownies made with decreasing sugar particle size.

				TPA		
Sample	Hardness (N)	Adhesiveness	Springiness (mm)	Cohesiveness (n/a)	Chewiness (N-mm)	Resilience (n/a)
Control _{200-5181µm}	54.5 ± 1.45^{b}	-0.0 ± 0.73^{a}	0.5 ± 0.74^{a}	0.3 ± 0.05^{a}	9.1 ± 0.80^{d}	0.1 ± 0.01^{a}
LPR _{924-1877µm}	69.2 ± 2.12^{c}	-0.0 ± 0.59^{a}	0.5 ± 0.06^{a}	0.3 ± 0.04^{a}	9.8 ± 0.12^{d}	0.1 ± 0.01^{a}
MPR _{627-1214μm}	$52.0 \pm 2.75^{\mathrm{b}}$	-0.0 ± 0.01^{a}	0.3 ± 0.04^{a}	0.3 ± 0.03^{a}	$5.0 \pm 0.50^{\rm b}$	0.1 ± 0.01^{a}
SPR _{459-972μm}	45.1 ± 2.42^{a}	-1.0 ± 1.17^{a}	0.3 ± 0.07^{a}	0.3 ± 0.05^{a}	4.2 ± 0.23^{a}	0.1 ± 0.02^{a}
MIX	53.4 ± 1.72^{b}	-0.0 ± 0.81^{a}	0.3 ± 0.04^{a}	0.3 ± 0.05^{a}	6.2 ± 0.13^{c}	$0.1\pm0.02^{\rm a}$

^{abc} mean values (\pm standard deviation) in the same row bearing different superscripts are significantly different, P < 0.05.

Table 5 Colour lightness values (L^*) for Chocolate brownies made with decreasing sugar particle size

Sample	Colour (L*)		
	Crust	Crumb	
$Control_{200-5181\mu m}$	39.8 ± 1.85^{a}	29.0 ± 1.51^{a}	
$LPR_{924-1877\mu m}$	$34.2 \pm 0.93^{\rm b}$	27.1 ± 1.82^{ab}	
$MPR_{627-1214\mu m}$	33.2 ± 1.22^{b}	25.1 ± 0.80^{b}	
$SPR_{459-972\mu m}$	33.1 ± 1.95^{b}	$24.4 \pm 1.81^{\rm b}$	
MIX	32.6 ± 0.80^{b}	25.4 ± 1.73^{b}	

^{abc} mean values (\pm standard deviation) in the same row bearing different superscripts are significantly different, P < 0.05.

Table 6Moisture and fat of chocolate brownie samples prepared with decreasing particle size

Sample	% Moisture	%Fat
Control _{200-5181µm}	9.2 ± 0.80^{a}	26.3 ± 1.87^{a}
$LPR_{924-1877\mu m}$	9.9 ± 1.08^{a}	27.6 ± 1.68^{a}
$MPR_{627-1214\mu m}$	$11.8 \pm 1.03^{\rm bc}$	$26.6 \pm 1.95^{\rm a}$
$SPR_{459-972\mu m}$	13.0 ± 0.84^{c}	26.9 ± 1.28^{a}
MIX	10.3 ± 0.70^{ab}	$26.2 \pm 0.77^{\mathrm{a}}$

^{abc} mean values (\pm standard deviation) in the same row bearing different superscripts are significantly different, P < 0.05




Fig 1. Particle size distribution of dried brown sugar (200g, 0.5% moisture) before (■) and after (■) grinding.




Fig 2. Particle diameter distribution of parent brown sugar before grinding: control () and sugar-sieve separates; 710 (), 500 (), 355 () and 212 μ m (\rightarrow) after grinding.









Fig 3. Microscopic images of brown sugar particles captured by different sieve apertures after grinding. From top left, $212\mu m$ and $355\mu m$ apertures and from bottom left $500\mu m$ and $710\mu m$ apertures. Red line across particle indicates diameter of the particle.



Fig 4. Cross section images of chocolate brownies (2 x 2 x 2 cm). Samples were taken from the upper right midsection of each batch tray. From left: Control, LPR, MPR, SPR and MIX sample.

Highlights

- Small sugar particles increase sweetness intensity perception in chocolate brownies.
- Small sugar particles enhance the soft and moist texture of chocolate brownies.
- Sugar particle size manipulation significantly affects appearance, texture and colour liking of chocolate brownies.