

|                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Title                       | Service evaluation of diabetes management during pregnancy in a regional maternity hospital: potential scope for increased self-management and remote patient monitoring through mHealth solutions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Authors                     | Alqudah, Abdelrahim;McMullan, Paul;Todd, Anna;O'Doherty, Conor;McVey, Anne;McConnell, Mae;O'Donoghue, John;Gallagher, Joe;Watson, Chris J.;McClements, Lana                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Publication date            | 2019-09-13                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Original Citation           | Alqudah, A., McMullan, P., Todd, A., O'Doherty, C., McVey, A., McConnell, M., O'Donoghue, J., Gallagher, J., Watson, C. J. and McClements, L. (2019) 'Service evaluation of diabetes management during pregnancy in a regional maternity hospital: potential scope for increased self-management and remote patient monitoring through mHealth solutions', BMC Health Services Research, 19(1), 662. (6pp.) DOI: 10.1186/s12913-019-4471-9                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Type of publication         | Article (peer-reviewed)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Link to publisher's version | <a href="https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-019-4471-9">https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-019-4471-9</a> - 10.1186/s12913-019-4471-9                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Rights                      | © The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ( <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a> ), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ( <a href="http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/">http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/</a> ) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. - <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a> |
| Download date               | 2025-02-10 10:34:14                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Item downloaded from        | <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/10468/8782">https://hdl.handle.net/10468/8782</a>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |




**University College Cork, Ireland**  
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



# Service evaluation of diabetes management during pregnancy in a regional maternity hospital: potential scope for increased self-management and remote patient monitoring through mHealth solutions

Abdelrahim Alqudah<sup>1,2</sup>, Paul McMullan<sup>3</sup>, Anna Todd<sup>3</sup>, Conor O'Doherty<sup>3</sup>, Anne McVey<sup>3</sup>, Mae McConnell<sup>3</sup>, John O'Donoghue<sup>4</sup>, Joe Gallagher<sup>5</sup>, Chris J. Watson<sup>1\*</sup> and Lana McClements<sup>1,6\*</sup> 

## Abstract

**Background:** Pre-gestational and gestational diabetes mellitus are common complications in pregnancy affecting one in six pregnancies. The maternity services are under significant strain managing the increasing number of complex pregnancies. This has an impact on patients' experience of antenatal care. Therefore, there is a clear need to address pregnancy care. One possible solution is to use home-based digital technology to reduce clinic visits and improve clinical monitoring.

**Methods:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the antenatal services provided to pregnant women with diabetes who were monitored at the joint metabolic and obstetric clinic at the Southern Health and Social Care Trust in Northern Ireland.

**Results:** The questionnaires were completed by sixty-three women, most of whom had gestational diabetes mellitus. Most of the participants were between 25 and 35 years of age (69.8%), had one or more children (65.1%) and spent over 2 h attending the clinics (63.9%); 78% of women indicated that their travel time to and from the clinic appointment was over 15 min. Over 70% of women used smartphones for health-related purposes. However, only 8.8% used smartphones to manage their health or diabetes. Less than 25% of the women surveyed expressed concerns about using digital technology from home to monitor various aspects of their health in pregnancy.

**Conclusions:** Overall, pregnant women who had or developed diabetes in pregnancy experience frequent hospital visits and long waiting times in the maternity clinics. Most of these pregnant women are willing to self-manage their condition from home and to be monitored remotely by the healthcare staff.

**Keywords:** Pregnancy, Diabetes, Gestational diabetes, Type 1 diabetes, Type 2 diabetes, Antenatal clinic, Home monitoring, Digital technology

\* Correspondence: [chris.watson@qub.ac.uk](mailto:chris.watson@qub.ac.uk); [lane.mcclements@uts.edu.au](mailto:lane.mcclements@uts.edu.au)

<sup>1</sup>The Wellcome-Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

## Background

Hyperglycaemia is the most common complication in pregnancy. It affects one in six pregnancies and it can lead to a number of complications such as miscarriage, still birth, pre-eclampsia, obstructed labour, increased risk of caesarean section, pre-term birth and the development of type 2 diabetes (T2D) in later life [1–3]. Diabetes in pregnancy includes pre-gestational diabetes, namely type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) or T2DM, and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). GDM is defined as glucose intolerance with onset and first recognition in pregnancy [4]. The latest guidelines on the diagnosis of GDM provided by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2013) include the following parameters: fasting blood glucose over 5.1 mmol/l (91.8 mg/dl), 1-h postprandial blood glucose above 10 mmol/l (180 mg/dl) and 2-h postprandial blood glucose of 8.5 mmol/l (153 mg/dl) [5]. However, there are notable differences between individual hospitals and countries in screening and diagnosis of GDM [6].

Globally, over 21 million women are affected by some form of hyperglycaemia in pregnancy; GDM accounting for over 85% of these cases [7]. The vast majority of these cases are in low and middle-income countries with limited access to antenatal care. The increased incidence of diabetes in pregnancy has also negatively affected the healthcare services globally, which are struggling to meet the demand of high and increased number of complex pregnancies. Telehealth solutions have been proposed to relieve the pressure on both pregnant women and the health care service providers. However, to date, these services offer no advantage over standard care [8, 9].

In this study, we carried out a service evaluation at the Southern Health and Social Care (HSC) Trust, one of five Trusts providing integrated health and social care services in Northern Ireland (UK). The Southern HSC Trust serves a population of over 400 pregnant women with diabetes every year. The aim of this study was to evaluate the service provided by this Trust, in relation to the management of diabetes in pregnancy, and to investigate the willingness of women to adapt home monitoring during pregnancy.

## Methods

This study was conducted at the joint metabolic and obstetric antenatal clinic within the Craigavon Area Hospital, part of the Southern HSC Trust. The evaluation of the service by pregnant women with diabetes undergoing standard diabetes care was performed over a 4-week period. The participants were randomly selected from the hospital based metabolic-obstetric outpatient clinic. The study protocol, questionnaire and patient information leaflet were approved by the Research Manager, Consultants and the Heads of Services at the Southern HSC Trust. This study

was carried out as Service Evaluation and the questionnaire was clearly entitled as “Service Evaluation – Improving Services for the Management of Diabetes in Pregnancy”. The documentation (Information for the Participants and Questionnaire) about the Service Evaluation was available at clinics for those interested to voluntarily and anonymously complete. Consent was Implied Consent in that those who completed the questionnaire did so voluntarily and anonymously on the basis of the information provided with the questionnaire. As this study was carried out as Service Evaluation it did not require ethical approval or research governance but the approval of the Heads of the Departments facilitated by the Research Manager at the Southern Health and Social Care Trust. This is according to the National Research Ethics Service Guidelines produced by the NHS Health Research Authority [10].

### Data collection: questionnaire

The questionnaire design (Supplementary file) was based on the previous work conducted by several of the authors (JG, CJW, JOD) to evaluate mHealth solutions. The questionnaire was completed in between 5 and 10 min and contained closed questions suitable for service evaluation purposes. The questionnaire consisted of 24 questions, including demographic descriptors, questions designed to determine time spent attending the antenatal clinics, information on the use of smartphones or tablets to manage health, acceptability of home monitoring of various parameters during pregnancy and remote management by the healthcare staff.

### Data analysis

The questionnaires were manually entered onto the Survey Monkey website, US. The data was analysed using the software integrated in the website.

## Results

Seventy women were approached to take part in the study. Seven women refused due to language barriers, sixty-two women completed the questionnaire independently and one participant was assisted by a clinic interpreter.

Participants' demographics and time spent on current management of diabetes are presented in Table 1. The vast majority of the participants were white Caucasian between 25 and 35 years of age (69.8%), had one or more children (65.1%), had GDM (68.2%) and spent over 2 h attending the clinics (63.9%); 44.5% travelled for more than 30 min each way to the hospital.

Smartphones and tablets are widely used among the participants; 93.5% of women were current users of smartphones, with almost an even distribution between iOS and Android users. The average time spent on using these mobile devices was between 1 and 5 h per day

**Table 1** Participants' demographics and time spent on current management of diabetes

|                                                                                              | (%)  | Responses (n) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------|
| Age                                                                                          |      |               |
| 15–20                                                                                        | 0    | 0             |
| 20–25                                                                                        | 11.1 | 7             |
| 25–30                                                                                        | 25.4 | 16            |
| 30–35                                                                                        | 44.4 | 28            |
| 35–40                                                                                        | 14.3 | 9             |
| 40–45                                                                                        | 4.8  | 3             |
| The number of children                                                                       |      |               |
| None                                                                                         | 34.9 | 22            |
| 1                                                                                            | 30.2 | 19            |
| 2 or more                                                                                    | 34.9 | 22            |
| Education level                                                                              |      |               |
| None                                                                                         | 0    | 0             |
| GCSE (school exit level)s                                                                    | 22.2 | 14            |
| A levels/AS level (further education)                                                        | 22.2 | 14            |
| Diploma                                                                                      | 25.4 | 16            |
| Degree                                                                                       | 30.2 | 19            |
| PhD                                                                                          | 0    | 0             |
| Type of diabetes                                                                             |      |               |
| Type 1 diabetes                                                                              | 22.2 | 14            |
| Type 2 diabetes                                                                              | 3.2  | 2             |
| Gestational Diabetes                                                                         | 68.2 | 43            |
| Other                                                                                        | 1.6  | 1             |
| Not sure                                                                                     | 4.8  | 3             |
| Mode of transport to the diabetes pregnancy clinic                                           |      |               |
| Car                                                                                          | 90.5 | 57            |
| Bus                                                                                          | 4.8  | 3             |
| Train                                                                                        | 1.6  | 1             |
| Walk                                                                                         | 0    | 0             |
| Other                                                                                        | 3.2  | 2             |
| Length of time it takes to reach the clinic                                                  |      |               |
| Less than 15 min                                                                             | 22.2 | 14            |
| 15–30 min                                                                                    | 33.3 | 21            |
| 30–60 min                                                                                    | 39.7 | 25            |
| More than 60 min                                                                             | 4.8  | 3             |
| Average waiting time in the clinic before first seeing any of the maternity or diabetes team |      |               |
| Less than 15 min                                                                             | 11.1 | 7             |
| 15–30 min                                                                                    | 49.2 | 31            |
| 30–60 min                                                                                    | 25.4 | 16            |
| More than 60 min                                                                             | 14.3 | 9             |
| Average time spent in the clinic                                                             |      |               |
| Less than 30 min                                                                             | 3.3  | 2             |
| 30 min –1 h                                                                                  | 4.9  | 3             |

**Table 1** Participants' demographics and time spent on current management of diabetes (Continued)

|                                                                                                                 | (%)  | Responses (n) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------|
| 1–2 h                                                                                                           | 27.9 | 17            |
| 2–3 h                                                                                                           | 50.8 | 31            |
| Greater than 3 h                                                                                                | 13.1 | 8             |
| Time typically spent on capturing each blood glucose measurement using the current paper based method every day |      |               |
| Less than 10 min                                                                                                | 71.2 | 42            |
| 10–20 min                                                                                                       | 22   | 13            |
| 20–30 min                                                                                                       | 0    | 0             |
| More than 30 min                                                                                                | 6.8  | 4             |
| Frequency of recording data in blood sugar monitoring diary                                                     |      |               |
| Each time you monitor a blood sugar level                                                                       | 59.3 | 35            |
| At the end of the day                                                                                           | 28.8 | 17            |
| At weekends                                                                                                     | 1.7  | 1             |
| At the end of the week                                                                                          | 11.9 | 7             |
| Prior to clinic                                                                                                 | 6.8  | 4             |

(73.3%). Over 70% of women using smartphones were already using the phones for health-related activities. However, only 8.8% used the smartphones to manage diabetes or health. Interestingly, around 40% of women did not record their blood glucose results immediately after measuring their blood glucose levels. Depending on what they would be required to input and test, between 78 and 90% of women found it acceptable to use a smartphone for managing their health in pregnancy. Most of the participants had no concerns about using smartphones or tablets in the context of managing their health or pregnancy (75.8%). More than 80% of women felt that it is acceptable to measure different clinical and lifestyle parameters related to their health at home and more than 60% of them are willing to discuss the results with clinic staff remotely. However, 91% of the participants would like to receive video, written, or face-to-face training. Further information from this survey is presented in Table 2.

## Discussion

This study clearly demonstrates that there is a need for more efficient management of diabetes in pregnancy. The majority of women taking part in this study spent more than 2 h every 1–2 weeks at the joint metabolic and obstetric antenatal clinic; this excludes the time spent getting to and from the clinic. According to the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines, women with diabetes in pregnancy are required to attend joint diabetic and obstetric clinics every 1–2 weeks from conception (T1DM and T2DM) or from GDM diagnosis (around week 24–28) for assessment of

**Table 2** Details of the self-management of diabetes in pregnancy

|                                                                                                         | (%)  | Responses (n) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------|
| Current usage of the smartphones                                                                        |      |               |
| Yes                                                                                                     | 93.5 | 95            |
| No                                                                                                      | 6.4  | 4             |
| Usage of the smartphones or tablets to obtain or manage health or pregnancy related information         |      |               |
| Yes                                                                                                     | 72.9 | 43            |
| No                                                                                                      | 27.1 | 16            |
| Health related activities that participants use their device(s) for                                     |      |               |
| Electronic communication (email, chat, text messages) with my doctor or midwife                         | 45.6 | 26            |
| Electronic communication with patient groups (group text messages/ Facebook/WhatsApp etc.)              | 29.8 | 17            |
| Electronic communication with other health care providers                                               | 14   | 8             |
| Finding doctors                                                                                         | 3.5  | 2             |
| Finding pharmacies                                                                                      | 5.3  | 3             |
| Managing my health data (blood sugar, heart rate, blood pressure)                                       | 8.8  | 5             |
| For obtaining information about symptoms and conditions                                                 | 66.7 | 38            |
| For obtaining information about diagnostic and therapeutic procedures                                   | 31.6 | 18            |
| For finding my own diagnosis                                                                            | 26.3 | 15            |
| For documenting and managing fitness related data (e.g. using blood glucose meters, Fitbit etc.)        | 19.3 | 11            |
| Other                                                                                                   | 14   | 8             |
| Concerns in relation to using smartphones/ tablets in the context of managing their health or pregnancy |      |               |
| None                                                                                                    | 75.8 | 47            |
| Concerns about data security                                                                            | 17.7 | 11            |
| Concerns about the technical reliability of the devices                                                 | 6.4  | 4             |
| Concerns about the reliability of the software                                                          | 9.7  | 6             |
| The devices are too complicated to use                                                                  | 1.6  | 1             |
| Not being able to attend as many hospital appointments/other concerns                                   | 3.2  | 2             |
| Participants willingness to manage diabetes remotely                                                    |      |               |
| Yes                                                                                                     | 66.7 | 42            |
| No                                                                                                      | 14.3 | 9             |
| Not sure                                                                                                | 19   | 12            |

blood glucose control and foetal growth [11]. Good glycaemic control in pregnant women with diabetes is key and it reduces complications associated with this condition in pregnancy [12–15]. The evidence that remote monitoring using digital technologies is acceptable to

pregnant women and superior to the standard of care is limited [16–19]. A recent study using a remote blood glucose monitoring system demonstrated safety, user satisfaction and superior data capture. However, no differences in the maternal glucose management were observed, whereas pre-term births and caesarean deliveries were less common in the intervention group using a mobile-phone-based real-time blood glucose management system [20]. There have been no health economic assessments of this approach compared to usual care which are important to consider in the future due to unprecedented increase in the number of women with diabetes in pregnancy [7]. In a separate study, one of the authors (CO) conducted a virtual clinic at the same joint metabolic and obstetric antenatal clinic for pregnant women with diabetes who did not require frequent obstetrics appointments. This method identified that, on average 6–8 women (10%) were suitable candidates for remote monitoring. These women are likely to be diagnosed with GDM rather than more complex pregnancies in the presence of T1DM or T2DM. In our study, most of the women had GDM (68.2%), therefore, our findings are more relevant to this population of pregnant women. The growing number of women with diabetes in pregnancy, particularly GDM, is a significant burden for the healthcare systems globally. Considering pregnant women are generally motivated to self-monitor their condition remotely and are smartphone literate, digital technology for home monitoring provides an option for this cohort of women. Nevertheless, glucose management is often influenced by the obstetrics advice based on the foetal growth, which if restricted, in some cases requires admission to the hospital for steroid treatment. These obstetrics requirements exclude certain pregnant women for being suitable candidates for home monitoring. As a result, identifying pregnant women who can be safely monitored from home is a challenge that is influenced by obstetrics' need.

The need for real-time data collection is also clear from this study. Indeed, only 60% of women record their blood glucose results at the time of monitoring (Table 1). Further studies are required to determine the clinical effectiveness of home monitoring interventions. Nonetheless, a systematic review of mobile phone-based interventions with clinical feedback showed that they improve glycaemic control (HbA1c) compared to standard care or other non-mHealth approaches by as much as 0.8% for T2M patients and 0.3% for T1D patients over the short-term ( $\leq 12$  months) [21]. This suggests a role for these devices during pregnancy. The main limitation of our study is the small sample size. Nevertheless our findings confirm the general consensus amongst the healthcare professionals and patients regarding the significant strain on specialist diabetic maternity services,



which often results in a poor patient experience. This area of important research has not been quantified and reported until now.

In this study, it was clear that women were not only willing to manage blood glucose remotely but that they were willing to monitor other health indicators during pregnancy. Most of women do not have any concerns about using digital technology to manage their health in pregnancy and are willing to have video or telephone conversations with the clinicians. The advantage of capturing the data remotely and in real-time is that it may increase data accuracy and reduces the time commitment and stress of frequent clinical appointments.

## Conclusions

Our service evaluation demonstrates that the self-management and home monitoring clearly appeals to pregnant women with diabetes and provides a good insight into the antenatal care provided in the UK. This is the first report that evaluates joint metabolic and obstetric antenatal care from the patients' perspective, particularly focusing on the management of GDM. This study also confirms the long waiting times at antenatal clinics and willingness of this highly motivated group of patients to self-manage their condition with remote support by the healthcare staff.

## Abbreviations

GDM: gestational diabetes mellitus; T1DM: type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; WHO: world health organisation

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the staff at the Metabolic and Obstetrics Clinic at the Craigavon Area Hospital for distributing questionnaires to the patients. We thank Irene Knox, Research Manager at the Southern Health and Social Care Trust for facilitating this service evaluation. Many thanks to the patients for taking the time to complete the questionnaires.

## Authors' contributions

AA collated, analysed, interpreted the results, and drafted the manuscript; LM, CJW, PM, MM and AM contributed to the conception and design of the study; AT and CO contributed substantially to the conception of the study and data acquisition; JO and JG, contributed to the study design. CJW and LM acquired, analysed and interpreted the data; LM drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

This service evaluation was carried out as part of the project funded by SBRI Health & Care: Department of Health Northern Ireland competition for development contracts: Project 'GEMS' - Gestational, Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes Empowering Mothers through Mobile Technologies. The funding body was not involved in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was carried out as Service Evaluation therefore ethical approval was not required according to the National Research Ethics Service Guidelines produced by the NHS Health Research Authority [10]. The documentation (Information for the Participants and Questionnaire) about

the Service Evaluation was available at Clinics for those interested to voluntarily and anonymously complete. Consent was Implied Consent in that those who completed the Questionnaire did so voluntarily and anonymously on the basis of the information provided with the Questionnaire.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

## Competing interests

None.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>The Wellcome-Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom. <sup>2</sup>School of Pharmacy, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan. <sup>3</sup>Craigavon Area Hospital, Southern Health and Social Care Trust, Craigavon, United Kingdom. <sup>4</sup>ASSERT Centre, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland. <sup>5</sup>gHealth Research group, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland. <sup>6</sup>School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

Received: 13 September 2018 Accepted: 27 August 2019

Published online: 13 September 2019

## References

- Hod M, Kapur A, Sacks DA, Hadar E, Agarwal M, Di Renzo GC, et al. The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Initiative on gestational diabetes mellitus: A pragmatic guide for diagnosis, management, and care. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* [Internet]. 2015 Oct [cited 2017 Aug 21];131 Suppl 3:S173–211. Available from: [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/S0020-7292\(15\)30007-2](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/S0020-7292(15)30007-2).
- McCance DR. Diabetes in pregnancy. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 May 17];29(5):685–699. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1521693415000772>
- FIGO INITIATIVE ON GESTATIONAL DIABETES. [cited 2017 May 17]; Available from: [http://www.figo.org/sites/default/files/uploads/PublicationsandResourcesFathallahfiles/Figo\\_InfographicsALL\\_092115.pdf](http://www.figo.org/sites/default/files/uploads/PublicationsandResourcesFathallahfiles/Figo_InfographicsALL_092115.pdf).
2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2018*. *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 8 [cited 2019 Aug 8]; 41(Supplement 1):S13–27. Available from: <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.2337/dc18-S002>
- Agarwal MM. Gestational diabetes mellitus: An update on the current international diagnostic criteria. *World J Diabetes* [Internet]. Baishideng Publishing Group Inc; 2015 Jun 25 [cited 2018 Jun 1];6(6):782–91. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26131321>
- Benhalima K, Mathieu C, Van Assche A, Damm P, Devlieger R, Mahmood T, et al. Survey by the European Board and College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology on screening for gestational diabetes in Europe. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* [Internet]. 2016 Jun [cited 2019 Aug 8];201:197–202. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27129745>
- International Diabetes Federation - Gestational Diabetes [Internet]. [cited 2018 Apr 19]. Available from: <https://www.idf.org/our-activities/care-prevention/gdm>
- Mastrogiannis DS, Igwe E, Homko CJ. The role of telemedicine in the management of the pregnancy complicated by diabetes. *Curr Diab Rep* [Internet]. 2013 Feb 16 [cited 2018 Jun 5];13(1):1–5. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11892-012-0352-x>
- Homko CJ, Deeb LC, Rohrbacher K, Mulla W, Mastrogiannis D, Gaughan J, et al. Impact of a telemedicine system with automated reminders on outcomes in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Technol Ther* [Internet]. Mary Ann Liebert, Inc; 2012 Jul [cited 2018 Jun 5];14(7):624–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22512287>
- Social UPF for H and SCR. Research Service Evaluation Clinical/Non-financial Audit Usual Practice (in public health including health protection). 2017; (October). Available from: [http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/research/docs/DefiningResearchTable\\_Oct2017-1.pdf](http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/research/docs/DefiningResearchTable_Oct2017-1.pdf)
- Diabetes in pregnancy overview - NICE Pathways [Internet]. [cited 2018 Jul 4]. Available from: <https://pathways.nice.org.uk/pathways/diabetes-in-pregnancy>
- Rowan JA, Gao W, Hague WM, McIntyre HD. Glycemia and Its Relationship to Outcomes in the Metformin in Gestational Diabetes Trial. *Diabetes Care*

- [Internet]. 2010 Jan 1 [cited 2016 Nov 28];33(1):9–16. Available from: <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.2337/dc09-1407>
13. HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, et al. Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2008 May 8 [cited 2017 Jul 26];358(19):1991–2002. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18463375>
  14. Holmes VA, Young IS, Patterson CC, Pearson DWM, Walker JD, Maresh MJA, et al. Optimal glycemic control, pre-eclampsia, and gestational hypertension in women with type 1 diabetes in the diabetes and pre-eclampsia intervention trial. *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2011 Aug [cited 2015 Apr 27];34(8):1683–8. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3142058&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
  15. Feig DS, Murphy K, Asztalos E, Tomlinson G, Sanchez J, Zinman B, et al. Metformin in women with type 2 diabetes in pregnancy (MiTy): a multi-center randomized controlled trial. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 19 [cited 2016 Nov 25];16(1):173. Available from: <http://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-016-0954-4>
  16. Hirst JE, Mackillop L, Loerup L, Kevat DA, Bartlett K, Gibson O, et al. Acceptability and user satisfaction of a smartphone-based, interactive blood glucose management system in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* [Internet]. 2015 Jan 30 [cited 2018 Jun 5];9(1):111–115. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25361643>
  17. Mackillop L, Hirst JE, Bartlett KJ, Birks JS, Clifton L, Farmer AJ, et al. Comparing the efficacy of a Mobile phone-based blood glucose management system with standard Clinic Care in Women with Gestational Diabetes: randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* [Internet]. 2018 Mar 20 [cited 2018 Apr 20];6(3):e71. Available from: <http://mhealth.jmir.org/2018/3/e71/>
  18. Pérez-Ferre N, Galindo M, Fernández MD, Velasco V, Runkle I, de la Cruz MJ, et al. The outcomes of gestational diabetes mellitus after a telecare approach are not inferior to traditional outpatient clinic visits. *Int J Endocrinol* [Internet]. Hindawi Limited; 2010 [cited 2018 Jul 4];2010:386941. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20628517>
  19. Carral F, Ayala M del C, Fernández JJ, González C, Piñero A, García G, et al. Web-based telemedicine system is useful for monitoring glucose control in pregnant women with diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther* [Internet]. 2015 May [cited 2018 Jul 4];17(5):349–354. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25629547>
  20. Mackillop L, Hirst JE, Bartlett KJ, Birks JS, Clifton L, Farmer AJ, et al. Comparing the efficacy of a Mobile phone-based blood glucose management system with standard Clinic Care in Women with Gestational Diabetes: randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* [Internet]. 2018 Mar 20 [cited 2018 Jul 4];6(3):e71. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29559428>
  21. Kitsiou S, Paré G, Jaana M, Gerber B. Effectiveness of mHealth interventions for patients with diabetes: An overview of systematic reviews. Li D, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jul 16];12(3):e0173160. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28249025>

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

**At BMC, research is always in progress.**

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

