

Title	Habitat segregation by breeding origin in the declining populations of European Robins wintering in southern Iberia				
Authors	de la Hera, Iván;Fandos, Guillermo;Fernández-López, Javier;Onrubia, Alejandro;Pérez-Rodríguez, Antón;Pérez-Tris, Javier;Tellería, José Luis				
Publication date	2017-10-21				
Original Citation	de la Hera, I., Fandos, G., Fernández-López, J., Onrubia, A.' Pérez-Rodríguez, A., Pérez-Tris, J. and Tellería, J. L. (2017) 'Habitat segregation by breeding origin in the declining populations of European Robins wintering in southern Iberia', Ibis, 160(2), pp. 355-364. doi:10.1111/ibi.12549				
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)				
Link to publisher's version	10.1111/ibi.12549				
Rights	© 2017, British Ornithologists' Union. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: de la Hera, I., Fandos, G., Fernández-López, J., Onrubia, A.' Pérez-Rodríguez, A., Pérez-Tris, J. and Tellería, J. L. (2017) 'Habitat segregation by breeding origin in the declining populations of European Robins wintering in southern Iberia', Ibis, 160(2), pp. 355-364. doi:10.1111/ibi.12549, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.12549. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.				
Download date	2024-04-24 07:34:10				
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/7018				



#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

De la Hera *et al*. Habitat distribution by breeding origin in the declining populations of European Robins wintering in southern Iberia.

### 1) Habitat distribution according to the individual morphology of Robins

**Table S1.** Distribution between habitats, sites, populations (according to their morphology) and age (adult and juvenile) of the 149 Robins captured during winter in Campo de Gibraltar region. The assignation of the migratory behaviour of Robins is based here on the morphological classification functions (MCF) described in Pérez-Tris et al. (2000). These MCF consider the overall P8 length and the primary distances of the 9 longest primaries (excluding the vestigial outermost primary: P10), the so-called wing formula, for the estimation of the migratory behaviour of Robins. Primary distance was defined as the distance from the tip of each primary to the tip of the longest primary with the wing folded, with a value of zero for the primary (or primaries) constituting the wingtip. To facilitate the comparison between the morphological and isotopic methods of population differentiation, we also provided in brackets the distribution of Robins based on the  $\delta D_f$  values (see Table 1 in the manuscript).

	Migratory Robins		Sedentary Robins		
Sites	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Total
Woodlands					
San Carlos Carretera	6 (11)	5 (13)	17 (12)	12 (4)	40
San Carlos Tiradero	7 (7)	9 (15)	14 (14)	13 (7)	43
Shrublands					
Almodóvar	10 (17)	5 (17)	7 (0)	13 (1)	35
Betis	8 (14)	3 (16)	7 (1)	13 (0)	31

#### 2) Estimating wing shape parameters in wintering Robins

We used transformed values of the primary distances described above to estimate the variation in the wing shape of Robins wintering in Campo de Gibraltar region. For this purpose, we opted for transforming primary distances (P1-P9) into distances from the carpal joint (cP1-cP9) by subtracting, for each primary, its primary distance from the wing length. These transformed distances (cP1-cP9) were then standardized according to the method suggested by Senar et al. (1994), which provides more reliable measurements (cP1\*-cP9\*) that correct for the among-individual variation in wing size. These standardized values were used in a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) that gave rise to two principal components (KMO = 0.77; Bartlett's test of sphericity:  $\chi^2_{36}$  = 857.9, P < 0.001). PC1\* was interpreted as an index of wing concavity (eigenvalue = 4.07; explained variance = 0.45; factor loadings: cP9\* = 0.17, cP8\* = 0.19, cP7\* = 0.17, cP6\* = -0.12, cP5\* = -0.37, cP4\* = -0.44, cP3\* = -0.45, cP2\* = -0.44, cP1\* = -0.40); while PC2\* reflected variation in wingtip shape (eigenvalue = 1.97; explained variance = 0.22; factor loadings: cP9\* = 0.52, cP8\* = 0.58, cP7\* = 0.50, cP6\* = -0.16, cP5\* = 0.03, cP4\* = 0.12, cP3\* = 0.16, cP2\* = 0.20, cP1\* = 0.20).

**Senar, J.C., Lleonart, J. & Metcalfe, N.B.** 1994. Wing-shape variation between resident and transient wintering siskins *Carduelis spinus*. J. Avian Biol. **25**: 50–54.

#### 3) Bird morphology and its relationship with $\delta D_f$ values in migrants.

We explored the relationship of the development of flight morphology (PC1), structural size (PC2), wing concavity (PC1\*) and wingtip shape (PC2\*) with  $\delta D_f$  in the 110 migratory Robins wintering in Campo de Gibraltar. For this purpose, we performed Linear Mixed Models that analysed these variables (PC1, PC2, PC1\* and PC2\*) in relation to age, sex and their interaction as fixed effects,  $\delta D_f$  as continuous predictor, and year as a random effects factor. Results for each morphological trait are shown below:

**a.** Results for the analysis of the development of flight morphology (PC1)

0.193649

0.8468

```
Random effects:
 Formula: ~1 | year
(Intercept) Residual
           0. 3351773 1. 153982
StdDev:
Fixed effects: PC1 ~ 1 + age + sex + Value Std. Error
                                            age: sex + i sot
                                            DF
                                                  t-value p-value
                   0.6110034 0.6019319 104
                                                 1.015071
(Intercept)
                                                             0.3124
agej uv
                  - 0. 5651009 0. 2733171 104
                                                - 2. 067566
                                                             0.0412
                                                             0.0000
sexmal e
                   2.\ 2143418\ 0.\ 3550023\ 104
                                                 6. 237541
                   0.0103854 \ 0.0071875
                                           104
                                                 1.444938
                                                             0.1515
i sot
```

**b.** Results for the analysis of structural size (PC2)

agej uv: sexmale 0.0911411 0.4706501 104

```
Random effects:
 Formula: ~1 | year
         (Intercept)
                       Resi dual
            0. 222351 0. 9446133
Fixed effects: PC2 ~ 1 + age + sex + age: sex + isot
                      Val ue Štd. Error
                                        DF
                                              t-value p-value
                 1. 4898703 0. 4792318 104
(Intercept)
                                             3. 108872
                                                        0.0024
agej uv
                - 0. 1738395 0. 2236716
                                       104
                                            - 0. 777209
                                                        0. 4388
sexmal e
                -0. 2334712 0. 2904189 104 -0. 803912
                                                        0.4233
                 0. 0210896 0. 0058831 104
                                             3.584750
                                                        0.0005
agej uv: sexmal e - 0. 0651280 0. 3852394 104 - 0. 169059
                                                        0.8661
```

**c.** Results for the analysis of wing concavity (PC1\*)

```
Random effects:
           ~1 | year
(Intercept)
 Formul a:
                         Resi dual
StdDev: 7.861797e-05
                          1.88228
Fixed effects: PC3 ~ 1 + age + sex + age: sex + isot
Value Std. Error DF t-value
                                                    t-value p-value
                  -2.0649240 0.8977056 104 -2.3002241
(Intercept)
                                                              0.0234
agej uv
                   0. 3885365 0. 4445330 104
                                                 0.8740331
                                                              0.3841
                                                 0.8020629
sexmal e
                   0.\ 4612881\ 0.\ 5751271\ 104
                                                              0.4243
                  - 0. 0332648 0. 0117174 104
                                                -2.8389206
                                                              0.0054
agej uv: sexmal e - 0. 9298825 0. 7672414 104 - 1. 2119817
                                                              0. 2283
```

# **d.** Results for the analysis of wingtip shape (PC2\*)

Random effects:
Formula: ~1 | year
(Intercept) Residual
StdDev: 0.06590182 1.168917

Fixed effects:	$PC4 \sim 1 + 3$	age + sex -	- age	e: sex + i sot	
	Val ue	Std. Error	DF	t-val ue	p-val ue
(Intercept)	0. 5434921	0. 5599454	104	0. 9706162	0. 3340
agej uv	0. 7984189	0. 2761976	104	2. 8907520	0.0047
sexmal e	- 0. 5395059	0. 3575834	104	- 1. 5087555	0. 1344
i sot	0.0096474	0.0072773	104	1. 3256870	0. 1878
agej uv: sexmal e	0. 4430462	0. 4765132	104	0. 9297670	0. 3546

# 4) Variation between habitats in the morphological characteristics of migratory Robins.

We tested for differences between habitats (shrublands vs. woodlands) in the development of flight morphology (PC1), structural size (PC2), wing concavity (PC1\*) and wingtip shape (PC2\*) in the 110 migratory Robins wintering in Campo de Gibraltar. For this purpose, we performed Linear Mixed Models that analysed these variables (PC1, PC2, PC1\* and PC2\*) in relation to age, sex, habitat and their two-way interaction as fixed effects, and year as a random effect factor. Results for each morphological trait are shown below:

**a.** Results for the analysis of the development of flight morphology (PC1)

```
Random effects:
 Formula: ~1 | year
         (Intercept) Residual
0. 2837229 1. 165277
Fixed effects: PC1 ~ 1 + age + sex + habitat + age: sex + age: habitat +
sex: habi tat
                                                   DF
                               Value Std. Error
                                                         t-value p-value
                                                      - 0. 775361
- 1. 642506
                        \hbox{-0.}\ 2459673\ 0.\ 3172294\ 102
(Intercept)
                                                                   0.4399
                        -0.5399119 0.3287123
                                                 102
agej uv
                                                                    0.1036
sexmal e
                         2. 2172294 0. 3971552 102
                                                       5. 582779
                                                                   0.0000
habi tatwoods
                          0. 3647179 0. 3869094 102
                                                       0.942644
                                                                    0.3481
agej uv: sexmal e
                         0. 0185935 0. 4786796 102
                                                       0.038843
                                                                   0.9691
agej uv: habi tatwoods
                        -0.0456698 0.4580340
                                                 102
                                                      -0.099708
                                                                    0.9208
```

0.087347

0.9306

**b.** Results for the analysis of structural size (PC2)

sexmal e: habi tatwoods 0. 0424203 0. 4856511 102

```
Random effects:
 Formula: ~1 | year
         (Intercept) Residual 0. 208793 0. 9857652
Fixed effects: PC2 ~ 1 + age + sex + habitat + age: sex + age: habitat +
sex: habi tat
                             Val ue Std. Error
                                                DF
                                                       t-value p-value
                       -0.0693375 0.2549520 102 -0.2719629
(Intercept)
                                                                 0. 7862
                       -0.1322375 0.2780068 102 -0.4756629
agej uv
                                                                 0.6353
                                                                  0.2898
sexmal e
                       -0.3574871 0.3359634 102 -1.0640654
                        0. 1660262 0. 3268262 102
                                                                  0.6126
habi tatwoods
                                                     0.5079955
                       -0. 2513887 0. 4049097
                                               102
agej uv: sexmal e
                                                    -0.6208513
                                                                 0.5361
                        0.\ 0450224\ 0.\ 3874730\ 102
agej uv: habi tatwoods
                                                                  0.9077
                                                     0. 1161950
sexmal e: habi tatwoods
                        0. 5243292 0. 4103784 102
                                                     1.2776723
                                                                 0.2043
```

**c.** Results for the analysis of wing concavity (PC1\*)

```
Fixed effects: PC3 ~ 1 + age + sex + habitat + age: sex + age: habitat +
sex: habi tat
                          Value Std. Error
                                                t-value p-value
(Intercept)
                     0.5157192 0.4032550 102
                                              1.2788909
                                                         0.2038
                                              0.0641000
                                                         0.9490
agej uv
                     0. 0345225 0. 5385727 102
                                                         0.2129
                     0. 8177916 0. 6524814 102
sexmal e
                                              1. 2533562
                    0.3615
habi tatwoods
agej uv: sexmal e
                                                         0.4392
agej uv: habi tatwoods
                                                         0.3954
sexmale: habitatwoods -1.2695223 0.7867493 102 -1.6136301
                                                         0.1097
```

## **d.** Results for the analysis of wingtip shape (PC2\*)

Fixed effects:  $PC4 \sim 1 + age + sex + habitat + age: sex + age: habitat + sex: habitat$ 

```
Value Std. Error
                                                                                t-value p-value
                                  -0. 4735655 0. 2389170 102 -1. 9821345
(Intercept)
                                                                                               0.0502
                                   1. 0008093 0. 3190888 102
agej uv
                                                                           3. 1364604
                                                                                               0.0022

      -0. 5688229
      0. 3865764
      102
      -1. 4714371

      0. 9235074
      0. 3696436
      102
      2. 4983727

      0. 3570352
      0. 4656760
      102
      0. 7667031

                                                                                               0.1443
sexmal e
habi tatwoods
                                                                                               0.0141
                                                                                              0.4450
agej uv: sexmal e
agej uv: habi tatwoods -0. 5383149 0. 4459910 102
                                                                           -1.2070084
                                                                                               0.2302
sexmal e: habi tatwoods 0. 1293235 0. 4661262 102
                                                                           0. 2774430
                                                                                              0.7820
```