CORA Cork Open Research Archive
Cartlann Taighde Oscailte Chorcaí

Title	Interactions between vitamin D status, calcium intake and parathyroid hormone concentrations in healthy pregnant women
Authors	Hemmingway, Andrea;O'Callaghan, Karen M.;Hennessy, Áine;Kiely, Mairead E.
Publication date	2018-06-01
Original Citation	Hemmingway, A., O'Callaghan, K. M., Hennessy, Á. and Kiely, M. E. (2018) 'Interactions between vitamin D status, calcium intake and parathyroid hormone concentrations in healthy pregnant women', Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 77(OCE3), E64. doi: 10.1017/S002966511800068X
Type of publication	Conference item
Link to publisher's version	10.1017/S002966511800068X
Rights	© The Authors 2018
Download date	2026-11-02 06:35:16
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/7332

UCC
University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Proceedings of the Nutrition Society (2018), **77 (OCE3)**, E64 doi:10.1017/S002966511800068X

Irish Section Meeting, 20–22 June 2018, Targeted approaches to tackling current nutritional issues

Interactions between vitamin D status, calcium intake and parathyroid hormone concentrations in healthy pregnant women

A. Hemmingway[1,2], K.M. O'Callaghan[1,2], Á. Hennessy[1,2] and M.E. Kiely[1,2]
[1]*Cork Centre for Vitamin D and Nutrition Research and* [2]*The Irish Centre for Fetal and Neonatal Translational Research (INFANT), University College Cork, Ireland*

Emerging evidence highlights the potential of the vitamin D and calcium metabolic system to impact pregnancy outcomes and adverse effects of vitamin D-parathyroid hormone (PTH) interactions on perinatal health have been reported[1,2]. PTH concentrations reflect homeostasis in the calcium metabolic system, and can be impacted by calcium intake as well as circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25 (OH)D] concentrations, reflecting vitamin D status. Little explored in pregnancy, we aimed to examine the relative importance of vitamin D status and calcium intake on PTH concentrations among healthy pregnant women.

This was a cross-sectional analysis of 142 white-skinned participants of mean (SD) 14 (2) weeks' gestation at baseline of a dose-response randomised controlled trial of vitamin D [NCT02506439][3]. Serum 25(OH)D was measured by a CDC-accredited LC-MS/MS method and calcium intakes were estimated using a validated quantitative food frequency questionnaire[4]. Serum albumin-corrected calcium and intact PTH were measured by colorimetric assay (Randox Laboratories Ltd.) and ELISA (MD Biosciences Inc.), respectively. Serum 25(OH)D was stratified at 50 nmol/L and calcium intakes by < 800, 800–1000 and ≥ 1000 mg/day according to the Institute of Medicine[5]. After log transformation of PTH, we used Pearson's correlations and two-way ANOVA to explore effects of calcium intakes and 25(OH)D on PTH.

Geometric mean (95% CI) PTH concentration was 9·24 (8·37, 10·19) pg/mL. Mean (SD) 25(OH)D and calcium intake were 54·9 (22·6) nmol/L and 1182·5 (485·8) mg/day, respectively. 44% of participants had a 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L and calcium intake was < 800 and ≥ 1000 mg/day in 22% and 63%, respectively. PTH was inversely correlated with vitamin D status ($r = -0{\cdot}311$, $P < 0{\cdot}01$), but not calcium intake ($r = -0{\cdot}087$) or serum calcium ($r = 0{\cdot}057$). There was no evidence of an interaction between calcium intake and 25(OH)D on PTH concentration ($P = 0{\cdot}941$). There was a statistically significant main effect of serum 25(OH)D ($P = 0{\cdot}025$) but not calcium intake ($P = 0{\cdot}822$) on PTH.

To conclude, in this group of healthy pregnant women with largely sufficient calcium intake, vitamin D status, but not calcium intake was important for maintaining calcium homeostasis.




Fig. 1. PTH concentrations in 142 pregnant women stratified by vitamin D status and calcium intake. PTH values are geometric mean (95% CI). * denotes P < 0·05

1. Kiely M, Hemmingway A & O'Callaghan KM. (2017) *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis* **9**(6), 145–54.
2. Scholl TO, Chen X & Stein TP. (2013) *Am J Clin Nutr* **98**(3), 787–93.
3. O'Callaghan KM, Hennessy Á, Hull GLJ *et al.* (2018) *Am J Clin Nutr. DOI: nqy064 In Press*
4. Kiely M, Collins A, Lucey AJ, *et al.* (2016) *J Hum Nutr Diet* **29**(4), 495–504.
5. Institute of Medicine (2011). Washington (DC): National Academies Press.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. University College Cork, on 21 Jan 2019 at 14:53:37, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002966511800068X