

Title	Bacterial microcompartment-directed polyphosphate kinase promotes stable polyphosphate accumulation in E. coli
Authors	Liang, Mingzhi;Frank, Stefanie;Lünsdorf, Heinrich;Warren, Martin J.;Prentice, Michael B.
Publication date	2017-02-10
Original Citation	Liang, M., Frank, S., Lünsdorf, H., Warren, M. J. and Prentice, M. B. (2017) 'Bacterial microcompartment-directed polyphosphate kinase promotes stable polyphosphate accumulation in E. coli', Biotechnology Journal, 12(3), 1600415
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1002/biot.201600415
Rights	This is the accepted version of the following article: Liang et al (2017), Bacterial microcompartment-directed polyphosphate kinase promotes stable polyphosphate accumulation in E. coli. Biotechnol. J., 12: 1600415 which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/biot.201600415 .This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Wiley Self-Archiving Policy [olabout.wiley.com/WileyCDA/Section/ id-820227.html]
Download date	2025-08-01 21:31:01
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/4211



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Research Article

Title: Bacterial microcompartment-directed polyphosphate kinase promotes stable polyphosphate accumulation in *E. coli*

Mingzhi Liang^{1,2}, Stefanie Frank², Heinrich Lünsdorf³, Martin J Warren^{2*}, Michael B Prentice^{1,4,5*}

¹Department of Microbiology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland
 ²School of Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NJ, UK
 ³Central Facility for Microscopy, Helmholtz Center of Infection Research, Braunschweig, D-38124, Germany
 ⁴Department of Pathology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland
 ⁵APC Microbiome Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

Correspondence: Professor Michael B Prentice, Department of Microbiology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland **Email:** m.prentice@ucc.ie

Keywords: Metabolic engineering: Synthetic biology; Bacteria; Biopolymers; Microreactors.

Abbreviations: BMC, bacterial microcompartment; enhanced biological phosphorus removal, EBPR; PPK1, polyphosphate kinase; PPX, exopolyphosphatase.

1 Abstract

Temporary manipulation of bacterial polyphosphate levels by phased environmental 2 stimuli underlies wastewater biological phosphate removal processes. In E. coli 3 4 polyphosphate levels are controlled via polyphosphate kinase (PPK1, synthesizing) and exopolyphosphatases (PPX and GPPA), and are temporarily enhanced by PPK1 5 6 overexpression and reduced by PPX overexpression. We hypothesised that partitioning 7 PPK1 from cytoplasmic exopolyphosphatases would increase and stabilise E. coli 8 polyphosphate levels. Partitioning was achieved by coexpression of E. coli PPK1 fused 9 with a microcompartment-targeting sequence and an artificial operon of Citrobacter 10 freundii bacterial microcompartment genes. Encapsulation of targeted PPK1 resulted in 11 stably increased cellular polyphosphate and persistent net phosphate cellular uptake into 12 stationary phase, while temporary polyphosphate increase and phosphate uptake was 13 observed with PPK1 overexpression alone. Targeted PPK1 increased polyphosphate in the 14 microcompartment fraction 8-fold compared with non-targeted PPK1. Co-expression of 15 PPX and non-targeted PPK1 abolished any increase in cellular polyphosphate from PPK1 expression alone. Co-expression of PPX with targeted PPK1 however resulted in elevated 16 polyphosphate levels due to persisting polyphosphate in bacterial microcompartments. 17 18 Subcellular polymerising enzyme targeting to bacterial microcompartments sequesters 19 metabolic products from competing catabolism by preventing catabolic enzyme access. Specific application of this process to polyphosphate is of potential application for 20 21 biological phosphate removal.

- 22
- 23

1 1. Introduction

Polyphosphate is a molecule thought to be present in all organisms [1] playing a role in 2 cellular metabolic processes, stress response processes, virus replication and cell structure 3 4 [2]. Bacterial polyphosphate accumulation underlies the enhanced biological phosphorus 5 removal (EBPR) process, which uses microorganisms to remove inorganic phosphate (Pi) 6 from wastewater [3]. Phosphate recovery processes are required to reduce eutrophication, 7 the overgrowth of cyanobacteria and plants in water polluted by excess phosphorus from 8 human activity [4], and because of the unsustainability of current phosphorus resources 9 beyond the next century [5]. In EBPR, cycling of wastewater sludge through aerobic and 10 anaerobic phases of incubation lasting several hours, when continued over a period of 11 weeks selects a bacterial consortium that has a net effect of removing phosphorus from 12 wastewater over the cycle by accumulating it in the sludge. Polyphosphate-accumulating 13 bacteria are key consortium components [6, 7]. Phosphate release from the consortium occurs in the anaerobic phase in parallel with consumption of volatile fatty acids, 14 15 polyhydroxyalkanoate polymer formation and glycogen utilisation. In the aerobic phase stored polyhydroxyalkanoate is catabolised, glycogen replenished and phosphate taken up 16 17 to form polyphosphate granules [7]. EBPR is a complex dynamic process - an uncultured 18 bacterium Candidatus Accumulibacter phosphatis performs a key role in EBPR 19 polyphosphate accumulation [8], and an established EBPR reactor may fail for unknown 20 reasons [3, 9].

21

Genetic manipulation of aspects of phosphate binding, uptake and storage by a single
model organism such as *E. coli* has been suggested as an alternative or additive approach

to biological phosphorus removal [10–13]. One issue with over-expression of a
polyphosphate forming enzyme in *E. coli* has been that most of the consequent increase of
polyphosphate is temporary, probably because of the existence of competing catabolic
enzymes [14, 15] and phosphate release from the cells then occurs as the polyphosphate is
broken down.

6

7 In E. coli polyphosphate kinase PPK1 (E.C. 2.7.4.1) is the enzyme responsible for 8 assembling inorganic polyphosphate polymers in the bacterial cytoplasm by catalysing the 9 reaction nATP \rightarrow (polyphosphate)n + nADP [16, 17]. Although this is a reversible reaction, 10 in *E.coli* this enzyme generally favours synthesis of polyphosphate over breakdown (Vmax 11 ratio of 4.1) [17] (Fig.1A). However, the balance between net accumulation and breakdown 12 changes dynamically during culture growth and also in response to external stimuli, in part due to the action of degradative exopolyphosphatases. In this respect E. coli contains two 13 such polyphosphatases that release orthophosphate from the termini of long chain 14 15 polyphosphate: (polyphosphate)n \rightarrow (polyphosphate)n-l + Pi. The two polyphosphatases 16 are called PPX (E.C. 3.6.1.11, sometimes referred to as PPX1) [18, 19], which is encoded 17 in the same operon as PPK1, and its homologue guanosine pentaphosphate phosphohydrolase (GPPA or PPX2) [17, 20]. GPPA (E.C. 3.6.1.40) also hydrolyses 18 guanosine pentaphosphate (pppGpp) to guanosine tetraphosphate (ppGpp) with phosphate 19 20 release as part of the control of the stringent response. Both PPX and GPPA are 21 competitively inhibited by pppGpp [21]. Consequently, amino acid starvation in E. coli 22 leads to the accumulation of large amounts of polyphosphate due to the high levels of 23 pppGpp produced as part of the stringent response [21]. In E. coli ppk1 and ppx are adjacent 1 genes forming an operon and knockout of *ppx* alone has been engineered by combined knockout of *ppk1* and *ppx* with heterologous plasmid expression of *ppk1* [15, 22, 23]. 2 Elevation of polyphosphate levels in these cells rapidly declines a few hours after *ppk1* 3 4 plasmid induction whether *ppx* is active or knocked out [15], showing that PPX is not the 5 sole cause of instability in polyphosphate levels in *E. coli*. We hypothesized that an 6 alternative approach to prevent the access of all other cytoplasmic enzymes, (not just PPX) 7 to polyphosphate formed from recombinant PPK1 would stabilise cellular polyphosphate 8 levels and create a phosphate-retaining phenotype. The mechanism used to achieve this is 9 targeting of PPK1 to a recombinant bacterial microcompartment.

10

Bacterial microcompartments (BMCs) are proteinaceous vesicles found in certain bacteria 11 12 that house specific metabolic pathways encased within a closed polyhedral shell of 100-13 150 nm diameter. The shells are made of thin protein sheets [24] containing pores less than 1 nm in diameter [25] which can be positively or negatively charged. There are two broad 14 15 groups of BMCs, those associated with the anabolic process of RuBisCO-mediated carbon fixation (carboxysomes) and those associated with catabolic fermentative processes such 16 as 1,2-propanediol utilisation (metabolosomes) [26, 27]. Although BMCs were first seen 17 18 over fifty years ago in photosynthetic cyanobacteria [28], their presence in the cytoplasm 19 of heterotrophic bacteria was only confirmed in 1998 [29] after they were detected in thin sections of Salmonella enterica grown on 1,2-propanediol. In fact, around twenty per cent 20 of bacterial genome sequences contain BMC structural genes [26], in many cases 21 22 associated with enzymes of unknown function [30].

23

1 A significant proportion of bacteria therefore make a major investment in retaining and 2 expressing large (15+ gene) operons encoding these structures and associated enzymes. It is believed the structures help mediate metabolic efficiency by selective limitation of the 3 4 shell pores on the passage of substrates [31], by metabolite channelling, or other 5 mechanisms achieving retention of reaction intermediates within the structure [32, 33]. 6 Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium accrues a competitive metabolic advantage by 7 BMC-mediated respiration of ethanolamine in a mouse colitis model [34]. 8 Enterohaemorrhagic E. coli obtains a similar competitive advantage from BMC-mediated 9 ethanolamine fermentation in bovine intestinal fluid [35], favouring persistent intestinal 10 carriage.

11

Recombinant BMCs using genes from *Citrobacter freundii* can be expressed 12 13 heterologously in *E. coli* [36], both with and without [37] the associated interior enzymes. Peptide sequences enabling enzyme localisation to the BMC interior have been identified 14 15 [37] [38]. For instance, the first 18 amino acids of PduP, P18, or the first 18 or 60 amino acids of PduD (D18 or D60) can be used as fusions to direct "foreign" proteins into the 16 BMC [39]. Compartmentalisation of the cellular interior is a functionally transforming 17 process often thought of as characteristic of eukaryotes [40], but specific localisation of 18 19 any enzyme to a re-engineered BMC in bacteria could increase metabolic flexibility of the bacterial host enabling novel phenotypes [41]. Nanotechnological applications of other 20 21 biological compartment systems have included the use of viral capsids for DNA delivery 22 [42], lumazine synthase enclosure of HIV protease [43], and the engineering of novel 23 metabolites. We hypothesized that directing PPK to a BMC would enhance polyphosphate formation within a cellular compartment and that segregation from the known degradative
 polyphosphatases and other cytoplasmic enzymes should stabilise accumulation of
 polyphosphate (Fig.1).

- 4
- 5 2. Materials and Methods
- 6 Strains, plasmids and culture conditions

E. coli was grown in LB or MOPS medium [44] with either 0.5 mM or 1.0 mM K₂HPO4
as indicated. Expression of pET cloned genes was induced by IPTG in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)
and *E. coli* BL21 Tuner (DE3) (Novagen). Strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1 and
oligonucleotides in Supplementary Data Table S1. Incubation for phosphate uptake
experiments was at 37 °C, otherwise pDuet inductions were incubated at 18 °C.

12

13 Molecular techniques

Plasmid cloning was carried out in *E. coli* JM109 or *E. coli* TOP10 (Invitrogen) with
subsequent transfer to *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) and *E. coli* BL21 Tuner (DE3) for expression
using standard transformation techniques [45]. For PCR experiments standard protocols
were applied using an MJ Research PTC-200 Thermal Cycler for reaction cycles. Genomic
DNA was extracted from *E. coli* JM109 using a Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit
(Promega). Plasmid constructs were sequenced commercially (GATC Biotech).

20

21 Targeting of PPK1

22 The strategy used is summarised in Supplementary Data Fig.S1. The *ppk1* gene coding for

23 polyphosphate kinase (PPK1) was PCR-amplified with a proofreading DNA polymerase

1	(Bioline High Velocity Polymerase, Bioline UK, London), using genomic DNA from E.
2	coli JM109 as template, using the forward primer ppk1-F and a reverse primer ppk1-R
3	(Table S1). The PCR product was digested with Sac I and Hind III (Fermentas) followed
4	by ligation to pET23b-GFP-pduP18 digested with Sac I and Hind III. The gene encoding
5	the GFP was thus replaced by ppk with retention of the pdu localization sequence. The
6	ligation product was transformed into E. coli Top 10 electrocompetent cells (Invitrogen)
7	by electroporation. The new vector, named pML001 (pET23bpduP18-ppk1), was extracted
8	and the <i>ppk1</i> insert was sequenced (GATC-Biotech) to confirm no mutation had occurred.
9	Two constructs, pML001 and pLysSpduABJKNU (pSF37), expressing an empty pdu BMC
10	[37]), were co-transformed into <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) by heat shock.
11	
10	Calcolization of DDV1 and Microscomportmants
12	Co-localisation of PPK1 and Microcompartments
12	Co-localisation of PPK1 and Microcompartments
	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction
13	
13 14	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction
13 14 15	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction Recombinant BMCs were extracted from <i>E. coli</i> by a modification of a published method
13 14 15 16	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction Recombinant BMCs were extracted from <i>E. coli</i> by a modification of a published method [46]. A single colony pick was grown in 200 ml of LB to an OD of 0.4 followed by
13 14 15 16 17	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction Recombinant BMCs were extracted from <i>E. coli</i> by a modification of a published method [46]. A single colony pick was grown in 200 ml of LB to an OD of 0.4 followed by induction with 0.4 mM IPTG. Cells at OD1.0-1.2 were harvested and washed twice with
13 14 15 16 17 18	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction Recombinant BMCs were extracted from <i>E. coli</i> by a modification of a published method [46]. A single colony pick was grown in 200 ml of LB to an OD of 0.4 followed by induction with 0.4 mM IPTG. Cells at OD1.0-1.2 were harvested and washed twice with 40 ml of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM KCl, 12.5 mM MgCl2, 1.5% 1,2-
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction Recombinant BMCs were extracted from <i>E. coli</i> by a modification of a published method [46]. A single colony pick was grown in 200 ml of LB to an OD of 0.4 followed by induction with 0.4 mM IPTG. Cells at OD1.0-1.2 were harvested and washed twice with 40 ml of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM KCl, 12.5 mM MgCl2, 1.5% 1,2- PD). Cells (1 g wet weight) were resuspended in a mixture of 10 ml of buffer A and 15 ml
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Peptide fingerprinting of microcompartment cellular fraction Recombinant BMCs were extracted from <i>E. coli</i> by a modification of a published method [46]. A single colony pick was grown in 200 ml of LB to an OD of 0.4 followed by induction with 0.4 mM IPTG. Cells at OD1.0-1.2 were harvested and washed twice with 40 ml of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM KCl, 12.5 mM MgCl2, 1.5% 1,2- PD). Cells (1 g wet weight) were resuspended in a mixture of 10 ml of buffer A and 15 ml of BPER-II supplemented with 5mM mercaptoethanol, Complete Protease Inhibitor

centrifugation at 12,000 g for 5 min at 4°C repeated twice, the BMC fraction was 1 precipitated by spinning at 20,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed once with a 2 mixture of 4 ml of buffer A and 6 ml of BPER-II and resuspended in 0.5 ml of buffer B 3 4 (50mMTris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1% 1,2-PD) containing Complete 5 Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) at the manufacturer's recommended working dilution. 6 Remaining cell debris was removed by centrifugation for 1 min at 12,000 g 4°C repeated 7 three times. Aliquots (50 \square g) of extracted protein were separated by SDS-PAGE using a 8 15% polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions in a MiniProtean apparatus (Bio-Rad) 9 and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 (Fig.1B). Peptide fingerprinting carried 10 out as previously described [47]. In microcompartment extraction for ATP regeneration 11 assays (Fig. 1C,D) and whole cell polyphosphate assays (Fig 2) CelLytic B (Sigma-12 Aldrich) was initially substituted for BPER-II because of published efficacy of this reagent for polyphosphate extraction [48]. In later microcompartment extractions (Fig. 3) 13 comparisons of BPER-II extractions and CellLytic B extractions had shown little 14 15 difference in measured polyphosphate levels and BPER-II was used. Micrococcal nuclease 2 mg (Sigma-Aldrich) prepared with calcium buffer was substituted for DNase 1 for all 16 17 microcompartment extractions where polyphosphate was assayed because of the potential adverse effect of Mg^{2+} containing buffers on polyphosphate [49]. 18

19 ATP regeneration assay

A combination of two previously described PPK1 assay methods [48, 50] using luciferase
to detect ATP produced from polyphosphate by PPK1 was used as a biochemical screen
for the presence of PPK1 and polyphosphate in microcompartment fractions. Briefly, to
assay relative PPK1 content 20 \[L of BMC extractwas added to a 100 \[L reaction mixture

1	containing: ultrapure ADP (ATP-free, Cell Technology Inc, Ca), 30 mM MgCl ₂ , 1% (w/v)
2	Polyphosphate (Sigma), 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8). The reaction mixture was diluted 1:100
3	in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)-4 mM EDTA, of which 0.1 mL was added to 0.1 mL of
4	luciferase reaction mixture from ATP Bioluminescence Assay Kit CLS II (Roche).
5	Luminescence was measured by using a luminometer (Luminoskan, Thermo Labsystems).
6	A standard curve for ATP by dilution in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 4 mM
7	EDTA was used. To assay relative polyphosphate content, the same reaction omitting
8	added polyphosphate was performed (Figure 1C).
9	
10	Co-expression of targeted and untargeted PPK1 and PPX
11	The pCOLADuet-1 coexpression vector (Novagen) system encoding two multiple cloning
12	sites (MCS) each preceded by a T7 promoter, <i>lac</i> operator, and ribosome binding site was
13	used to express targeted and untargeted E. coli PPK1 and PPX (ppx amplified from E. coli
14	JM109) in combination (pYY005, pYY007, pYY008) and alone (pYY002, pYY010) (see
15	Table 1).
16	Polyphosphate concentration presented in Fig. 3 was determined following lysis of pelleted
17	cells from 10 ml of cultures described above. A metachromatic assay was employed using
18	the 530/630nm absorbance ratio of 10 μ L of lysate added to 1 mL of toluidine dye solution
19	(6 mg/L toluidine blue in 40 mM acetic acid) as described [51]. In later experiments (Fig.
20	4) polyphosphate was determined by a higher-yielding method using 4'-6-diamidino-2-
21	phenylindole (DAPI) as described [52] on whole cells or microcompartment cell fractions
22	obtained with BPER-II extraction. Briefly, cells were harvested by centrifuging at 5000g
23	for 10 min at 4° C. After washing in 50mM HEPES buffer (pH7.5) the cell pellet or

1	microcompartment fraction was frozen at -20° C followed by defrosting at room		
2	temperature. Cell pellets/microcompartment fractions were resuspended in HEPES buffer		
3	at an appropriate dilution to ensure that the cellular polyP concentration was in the linear		
4	range of the DAPI assay (0-6 g polyP/ml). Total assay volume was 300µl which included		
5	100 μ L of polyP containing samples and 200 μ L of DAPI assay buffer containing 150 mM		
6	KCl, 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.0) and 10 μ M DAPI solution. After a 10 min incubation		
7	at room temperature DAPI fluorescence was measured with a platereader equipped with		
8	excitation and emission filters of 420 nm and 550 nm respectively.		
9			
10	A polyphosphate standard curve was prepared using sodium phosphate glass Type 45		
	- Letter content and the content conte		
11	(S4379 Aldrich) and sodium hexametaphosphate (SX0583). Protein concentration of cell		
11	(S4379 Aldrich) and sodium hexametaphosphate (SX0583). Protein concentration of cell		
11 12	(S4379 Aldrich) and sodium hexametaphosphate (SX0583). Protein concentration of cell extracts was measured using a 10μL sample, with Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent		
11 12 13	(S4379 Aldrich) and sodium hexametaphosphate (SX0583). Protein concentration of cell extracts was measured using a 10μ L sample, with Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce) with bovine serum albumin as the standard resuspended in the same buffer as the		
11 12 13 14	(S4379 Aldrich) and sodium hexametaphosphate (SX0583). Protein concentration of cell extracts was measured using a 10μ L sample, with Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce) with bovine serum albumin as the standard resuspended in the same buffer as the		

0.6 in Luria broth and then induced by 0.5 mM of IPTG for 1 hr before transfer to pH 5.5
MOPS medium [44] containing 0.01 mM iron and 0.5 mM potassium phosphate, at an
OD600 of 0.2. Incubation was continued at 37°C with intermittent sampling of 0.2 mL up
to 48 hrs. Samples were centrifuged and supernatant used for phosphate assay, and the
pellet used for polyphosphate and protein assays. Phosphate was assayed using a
molybdovanadate colorimetric method [53]. 0.2 mL of molybdovanadate solution
(Reagecon, cat no: 1056700) was added to 5 mL of culture supernatant, mixed and

1	incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Optical density of 1 mL at 430 nm was measured
2	against a blank of 4% molybdovanadate in distilled water and a calibration curve of
3	potassium phosphate in MOPS.
4	
5	Light Microscopy
6	Polyphosphate granules were visualised in fixed films (Fig. 4) by Neisser's stain using
7	Chrysoidin counterstain [54].
8	
9	Electron Microscopy
10	E. coli BL21(DE3) cells containing targeted/untargeted PPK1 and pLySsPduABJKNU (for
11	expressing empty microcompartments) were grown in 50 mL of LB broth containing 100
12	mg/litre ampicillin and 32 mg/L chloramphenicol with shaking at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Upon reaching an
13	OD ₆₀₀ of 0.5, protein production was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-D-thiogalactoside,
14	and the cultures were incubated by shaking overnight at 18 °C. Harvested cells were
15	resuspended in 2 mL of fixative consisting of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 100 mM sodium
16	cacodylate (CAB) buffer (pH 7.2). The cells were pelleted and washed twice with CAB to
17	remove traces of the fixing solution. Cells were then stained for 1 hr in 1% osmium
18	tetroxide (w/v) and washed with CAB before dehydration. Dehydration was carried out by
19	placing the samples into an ethanol gradient: 50%, 70%, 90% once for 10 minutes, and
20	100% dried ethanol three times for 15 minutes. Samples were rinsed twice for 15 minutes
21	in propylene oxide and then incubated in 50/50 propylene oxide/Agar LV resin for 30
22	minutes. Samples were incubated 2 x 2 hr in fresh Agar LV resin before embedding in
23	Beem capsules by centrifugation at 11,000 rpm for 5 minutes followed by incubation at 60

°C overnight to polymerize. Specimens were thin sectioned with a diamond knife on an
RMC MT-6000-XL ultramicrotome, collected on 400 mesh copper grids, and post-stained
with 4.5% uranyl acetate for 45 min at RT and lead citrate for 7 min at RT. Sections were
then observed and photographed with a JEOL-1230 transmission electron microscope at
an accelerating voltage of 80 kV.

6

7 Electron microscopy for parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy (PEELS) and 8 element mapping by electron spectroscopic imaging (ESI)

9 Unstained cells were fixed in 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde – 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.3 (Sigma), 10 dehydrated in an acetone-series and embedded in epoxy resin (Spurr, hard mixture; [55]), 11 as described [56]. For elemental analysis 30 - 40 nm ultrathin sections (otherwise 90 nm 12 for general ultrastructure) were sectioned with a Reichelt-Jung ultramicrotome (Leica, 13 Vienna, Austria), equipped with a diamond knife and were picked up with 300 mesh Cugrids. Electron micrographs were recorded in the elastic brightfield mode (slit width: 10 14 15 eV) with an EF-TEM (operated in general at 120 kV acceleration voltage), equipped with 16 an in-column Omega-type energy filter (LIBRA120 plus, Zeiss, Oberkochen Germany), in a magnification range from x 4000 to x 32000 with a bottom-mount cooled 2048 x 2048 17 18 CCD camera (sharp:eye; Tröndle, Moorenweis, Germany).

19

20 Parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy (PEELS)

Spot-PEELS were recorded within electron dense cytoplasmic inclusion bodies. Spot-size
was set to 16 nm and the objective aperture was 60 µm (spectrum magnification: x100;
energy range: 67 – 290 eV; recording time: 10 s; emission current: 1 µA) and the spectrum

energy resolution was about 1.6 eV at zero-loss (FWHM). Recorded PEELS data were
 corrected for background, applying the 'potence' underground function of the EsiVision
 Pro Software (EsiVision Pro, Vers. 3.2; SIS – Soft Imaging Systems, Munster, Germany)
 and were 'medium'-filtered (settings: 1.5 eV width).

5

6 Element mapping by electron spectroscopic imaging (ESI)

7 Phosphorus mapping was performed as previously described [56] with unstained 35 nm 8 ultrathin sections. According to the '3-window method' energy-windows were set to a 9 dedicated energy loss for the P-L23 edge, as it was given by the corresponding first 10 intensity maximum from the spot-PEELS, i.e. 138 eV (W1: 125 eV; W2: 115 eV). The energy selective slit was set to 6 eV width, and images were recorded with an illumination 11 12 aperture of 0.63 mrad, an emission current of 1 μ A, a 60 μ m objective aperture, and a 13 nominal magnification of x 6300. Background subtraction for calculating the phosphorus 14 element map was performed by the 'multiwindow exponential difference' method.

15

16 **3. Results**

17 BMC localisation of PPK1

The localisation of PPK1 to a recombinant BMC was achieved by engineering the fusion of the P18 targeting peptide to the N-terminus of the enzyme. The recombinant BMC with the associated P18-PPK1 was isolated after lysis of the cells using a protein extraction reagent followed by differential salt precipitation and centrifugation. Analysis of the purified BMC fraction by SDS-PAGE revealed the presence of P18-PPK1 together with the BMC-associated shell proteins (Fig. 1B). 1

2 A functional assay designed to maximise PPK1's ATP breakdown function was employed to determine the activity of PPK1 when it was directed to the BMC. In comparison to BMC 3 4 extracts from cells producing only empty BMCs or empty BMCs and non-targeted PPK, 5 the purified BMC cell fractions from the cells co-producing BMCs and P18-PPK1 6 generated over twenty-fold more ATP per mg of protein from added polyphosphate 7 (Fig.1C). There was little activity in the equivalent protein fraction that had been prepared 8 from cells producing only P18-PPK1 (i.e. P18-PPK1 produced in the absence of BMCs). 9 This showed that polyphosphate kinase activity had been transferred to the 10 microcompartments by enzyme targeting.

11

12 The same ATP regeneration assay was run again but this time in the absence of any added 13 exogenous polyphosphate (Fig.1D). Any ATP generated in this assay would therefore 14 reflect the amount of endogenous polyphosphate within the fraction. The BMC fraction 15 from the cells that co-produced both the BMCs and P18-PPK1 generated more than twice as much ATP as control BMC fractions from cells expressing empty BMCs or BMCs with 16 17 non-targeted PPK1 (Fig. 1D). This result indicates that the BMC fraction from cells co-18 expressing targeted PPK1 had increased levels of polyphosphate, compatible with 19 localisation of PPK1 to the microcompartment and formation of polyphosphate in situ.

20

21 PPK1 targeting effect on polyphosphate content and phosphate uptake,

The effect of PPK1 overexpression on cellular polyphosphate was both qualitative andquantitative, and targeted PPK1 with co-expressed BMCs gave a distinct phenotype. DAPI

negative staining of polyphosphate extracts, size-separated on a PAGE gel (Supplementary 1 Data Figure S2), showed that the polyphosphate detected in strains over-expressing either 2 *p18ppk1* alone or *p18ppk1* and *pduABJKNU* exceeded the length of the sodium phosphate 3 4 glass Type 45 polyphosphate control. This indicates that long chain polyphosphate is 5 present in these strains. No qualitative difference in chain length was detected between 6 these two clones but long chain polyphosphate in the *E. coli* strain over expressing *p18ppk1* 7 and recombinant BMCs persisted to a later phase of growth (Fig. S2) than in cells 8 expressing *p18ppk1* alone. No long chain polyphosphate was detected in the *E. coli* control. 9

A simultaneous assay of the cellular polyphosphate and phosphate content of the culture 10 11 supernatant from the cultures used in the polyphosphate chain length assay was also 12 undertaken. Here, increased phosphate uptake from culture medium was observed in 13 comparison to the host E. coli control (Fig. 2A) by both the p18ppk1-expressing strain and the strain expressing both *p18ppk1* and *pduABJKNU*. A maximal uptake of approximately 14 15 0.25 mM at 20 hours was observed for both constructs. However, the *p18ppk*-expressing 16 strain returned a third of this phosphate to the supernatant after 48 hours, while the strain 17 expressing both *p18ppk1* and *pduABJKNU* returned less than 9% of phosphate taken by 48 18 hours. Correspondingly, the cell associated polyphosphate levels of the *p18ppk1* clone 19 were maximal at 20 hours and declined thereafter, while the p18ppk1 and pduABJKNUexpressing strain retained approximately the same level of cell associated polyphosphate 20 21 at 48 hours as at 20 hours.

22

23 BMC protects endogenous polyphosphate from exogenous polyphosphatases

1 The induction of non-targeted PPK1 from the pDuet vector increased whole cell 2 polyphosphate levels 5-fold in comparison to control cells containing the BMC shell protein operon and the pDuet vector with no enzyme insert (the enzyme-free control, Fig. 3 4 3). It did not increase the polyphosphate content of co-expressed recombinant 5 microcompartments when compared to the enzyme-free control. However, P18-PPK1, 6 when co-produced with the BMCs, increased polyphosphate levels in the BMC fraction 8-7 fold in comparison to the enzyme-free control, while giving a similar overall 5-fold 8 increase in whole cell polyphosphate to that seen with expression of non-targeted PPK1.

9

10 Co-expression of non-targeted polyphosphatase PPX with non-targeted PPK1 reduced whole cell polyphosphate levels by 50% compared with non-targeted PPK1 expression 11 12 alone, with little effect on polyphosphate levels in the microcompartment fraction. Co-13 expression of non-targeted PPX and BMC-targeted P18-PPK1 reduced whole cell 14 polyphosphate levels by 22% and BMC-associated polyphosphate by 18% when compared 15 with microcompartment targeted PPK1 alone. BMC-associated polyphosphate was still at 16 least 2.5 times greater than in cells co-expressing non-targeted PPK1 in the presence or absence of non-targeted PPX. Co-expression of BMC targeted P18-PPK1 with PPX 17 targeted to the microcompartment using a different tag (D60) reduced the BMC-associated 18 19 polyphosphate content by 50% in comparison to the BMC-targeted P18-PPK1 alone, while reducing whole cell polyphosphate by 22%. These data suggest that the BMC-targeting of 20 21 PPK1 results in the synthesis of polyphosphate that is located primarily within the BMC 22 fraction of the cell and is relatively inaccessible to cytoplasmic co-expressed PPX, but 23 more accessible to BMC-targeted PPX.

1

2 Microscopy

Blue-black granules were apparent with Neisser's stain in a proportion of all cells
overexpressing P18-PPK1, but not the *E. coli* BL21 insert-free control or without any
targeted enzyme (Fig. 4). These appearances are consistent with the accumulation of
intracellular polyphosphate in *E. coli* cells with increased PPK1 activity. All cells
overexpressing P18-PPK1 showed a heterogeneous granule phenotype, with a proportion
of non-toluidine blue staining cells in all fields.

9

E. coli expressing the recombinant microcompartment and P18-PPK1 retained the
polyphosphate staining at 44 hours (Fig. 4) whereas cells expressing P18-PPK1 without
the recombinant microcompartment showed reduced staining after 40 hours (Fig. 3).

All *E.coli* expressing the recombinant microcompartment had a proportion of cells which
were greatly elongated. All *E.coli* forming multiple polyphosphate granules tended to be
larger than the non-granulated cells, presumably because of distension by the granules.
However, the largest cells were seen with the combination of recombinant
microcompartment and P18-PPK1.

18

Electron-loss spectroscopic analysis by Energy-filtered Transmission Electron
 Microscopy (EFTEM).

21

Increased phosphorus deposition was detected in all cells expressing recombinant *E.coli*PPK1 (Fig. 5C,D,E,F), verified from PEELS measurement (see below), compared with

control E. coli strains with no recombinant gene expression (Fig. 5A) or expressing 1 2 microcompartment genes (Fig. 5B). In cells expressing PPK1 alone, most phosphate signal was represented by particles <5 nm, but some large homogeneous masses > 200 nm with 3 4 plane edges were visible (Fig. 5C) in a few cells. In cells expressing targeted PPK1 and a 5 recombinant microcompartment operon, in addition to signals from particles <5 nm, 6 multiple phosphate signals from particles 50-100 nm were present (Fig.5D,E,F) and in 7 some cases large circular masses/crescents > 300 nm were present (Fig. 5D,F). These large 8 masses were not homogeneous and appeared composed of small particles and the cells 9 containing them were enlarged. These images appeared similar to light microscopy 10 observations (Fig. 4D,H,L).

11

12 Parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy (PEELS)

Spot-PEELS recorded from dark inclusions apparent as electron dense regions about 100 nm in diameter (Fig 5G), confirmed they contained phosphate, verified from the characteristic ELNES-fingerprint (Energy-Loss Near-Edge Structure) of reference spectra that were recorded from sodium polyphosphate (Fig.5G). The largest polyphosphate inclusion in figure 5E, shown in yellow, is magnified in the inset of the spot-PEELS (Fig. 5G); here the 16 nm beam spot and its position are indicated (white circle).

- 19
- 20
- 21

1 **4.** Discussion

Polyphosphate accumulation is the basis of the enhanced biological phosphorus removal 2 (EBPR) process, which uses microorganisms to remove inorganic phosphate (Pi) from 3 4 wastewater. Accumulation occurs in aerobic conditions as intracellular polyphosphate [8, 5 12] is released as Pi in anaerobic conditions [57] and supplied with organic carbon or 6 heated [12]. The best characterized enzyme responsible for polyphosphate synthesis 7 (PPK1), originally found in *E. coli* [58], can only be detected by bioinformatics in the 8 genome sequences of a minority of bacterial genera [59]. The enzyme responsible for 9 polyphosphate synthesis in most bacteria therefore remains to be identified [59].

10

In E. coli, polyphosphate accumulation in wild-type strains occurs with amino acid 11 12 starvation or in the stationary phase [21, 50, 60]. Large amounts of polyphosphate 13 accumulate only if the copy number of *ppk* is increased, or a heterologous *ppk* gene is supplied, or *phoU* is mutated [61]. Even in *E. coli* strains overexpressing *ppk*, initial 14 15 accumulation of polyphosphate is known to be partially or completely reversed as the cells reach stationary phase [14, 15]. Because this also occurs in *E. coli* overexpressing *ppk* with 16 no chromosomal functioning ppx gene it has been suggested to be due to either product-17 18 induced reversal of the PPK-catalysed reaction, or the activity of another phosphatase 19 enzyme present in the cytoplasm [15]. We observed a similar reversal of polyphosphate accumulation in our overexpressing *ppk* clone, accompanied by increasing Pi in the culture 20 21 supernatant (Fig. 2). This did not occur when the *ppk* gene was engineered to encode an N-22 terminal BMC localisation sequence and was expressed in trans with an operon encoding 23 for an empty BMC.

1

2 Cells co-producing P18-PPK1 and the empty BMC had a different phosphorus distribution by EFTEM (Fig 5D,E,F) to those expressing PPK1 alone (Fig. 5C), containing single or 3 4 agglomerated particles in the BMC size range. BMC extractions show the presence of 5 metabolically active PPK1 (Fig.1C,D) and polyphosphate in the BMC fraction 6 (Fig.1D,Fig.3) when PPK1 is microcompartment-targeted in this way. Our results suggest 7 that targeting of PPK1 to a bacterial microcompartment still allows access of the small 8 molecule substrate ATP to the enzyme (Figure 1A), but effectively stabilises the large 9 polymer polyphosphate product (Fig. 2B,3). 10 11 We hypothesized that this stabilisation results from reduced access of PPX, GPPA or 12 other cytoplasmic phosphatases to the polyphosphate produced by BMC-targeted PPK1. 13 To confirm this we carried out co-expression experiments of PPK1 with PPX (Fig. 3). Co-production of PPX with PPK1 resulted in lower cellular polyphosphate levels than 14 15 expression of *ppk1* alone (Fig. 3), as has been previously reported [62]. This reduction in total cellular polyphosphate was partially prevented by BMC-association of PPK1, due to 16 increased levels of polyphosphate in the BMC fraction. BMC-targeting of PPK1 therefore 17 18 results in the synthesis of polyphosphate that is located primarily in the BMC fraction of 19 the cell. Polyphosphate in the BMC fraction is inaccessible to cytoplasmic co-expressed PPX. Adding BMC targeting to PPX (D60-PPX) co-expressed with targeted PPK1 (P18-20 21 PPK1) partially reverses the increase in polyphosphate levels in the BMC fraction 22 conferred by targeted PPK1, presumably by increasing access of the PPX to 23 polyphosphate in the BMC fraction. This suggests that the mechanism of stabilisation of

1 polyphosphate conferred by BMC targeting of PPK1 involves reduced access by

- 2 cytoplasmic phosphatases.
- 3

4 Other examples of such macromolecular association of enzymes exist. A variant of 5 lumazine synthase was recently employed to encapsidate HIV protease within an E. coli 6 host [43] facilitating recombinant synthesis of this potentially toxic enzyme by separating 7 it from the remaining cytoplasm. Lumazine synthase compartments are genetically 8 unrelated to BMCs involved in catabolic metabolism, and form pentameric components 9 form smaller 30-40 nm icosahedral structures that more closely resemble viral capsids [63]. 10 The enzyme is bound to part of the shell molecule forming the inner surface by an electrostatic mechanism [43, 64], (N-terminal fusion displays it on the outside [65]). 11 12 Enzymically active inclusion bodies can be formed within bacterial cells by C-terminal 13 attachment of short self-assembling peptide sequences [66], or N-terminal fusion with a self aggregrating protein [67] but these enzymes are not enclosed within a structure 14 15 accessed via pores. Subcellular localisation of enzymes catalysing successive reactions in a metabolic pathway to peroxisomes in fungi [68] or BMCs [39] can promote product 16 17 formation.

18

19 Our results demonstrate that P18-PPK1 is targeted to a recombinant BMC. The

20 observation that polyphosphate accumulates within the BMC suggests that targeted PPK1

21 is internalised within the structure and remains functional, generating polymeric product.

22 ATP must be able to enter the recombinant BMC to allow it to act as one of the substrates

for the P18-PPK1 enzyme (Fig. 1A). However, this is not surprising as the native Pdu

1	BMC must allow ATP access as it is required by PduO (located within the
2	microcompartment) for the regeneration of the coenzyme form of cobalamin needed by
3	the diol dehydratase complex [69]. The association of PPK1 with the BMC however
4	leads to sequestration of the enzyme's metabolic product, presumably because its size
5	does not allow it to leave the BMC by the same route by which the enzyme substrate
6	ATP arrived. Protection of the polyphosphate product from catabolism from cytosolic
7	enzymes is therefore achieved, illustrating a general mechanism by which BMC can be
8	used to re-engineer cellular metabolism. The specific polymer generated, polyphosphate,
9	is an important intermediary in the enhanced biological phosphate removal (EBPR)
10	process employing environmental bacteria to remove phosphate from wastewater [3, 12]
11	and has industrial applications [70]. EBPR requires prolonged cycles of aerobic and
12	aerobic incubation to operate. The ability to stabilise polyphosphate produced in a single
13	growth phase so that phosphate is not returned to the cell exterior could lead to a
14	streamlined process with a single phase of incubation. This would require transfer of the
15	recombinant microcompartment and targeted enzyme from E. coli to a more
16	environmentally robust organism.
47	

17

18 **5. References**

19	1.	Kulaev I, Kulakovskaya T. POLYPHOSPHATE AND PHOSPHATE PUMP.
20		Annual Review of Microbiology. 2000;54:709-734.
21	2.	Brown MRW, Kornberg A. The long and short of it - polyphosphate, PPK and
22		bacterial survival. Trends in Biochemical Sciences. 2008;33:284-290.
23	3.	Gebremariam SY, Beutell MW, Christian D. Research Advances and Challenges in
24		the Microbiology of Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal A Critical Review.
25		Water Environment Research. 2011;83:195-219.
26	4.	Smith VH, Schindler DW. Eutrophication science: where do we go from here.
27		Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2009;24:201-207.
	_	

28 5. Sverdrup HU, Ragnarsdottir KV. Challenging the planetary boundaries II:

1		Assessing the sustainable global population and phosphate supply, using a systems
2		dynamics assessment model. Applied Geochemistry. 2011;26:S307-S310.
3	6.	Fuhs GW, Chen M. Microbiological basis of phosphate removal in the activated
4		sludge process for the treatment of wastewater. Microbial Ecology. 1975;2:119-
5		138.
6	7.	McMahon KD, Read EK. Microbial contributions to phosphorus cycling in
7		eutrophic lakes and wastewater. Annual review of microbiology. 2013;67:199-219.
8	8.	Garcia Martin H, Ivanova N, Kunin V, Warnecke F, Barry KW, McHardy AC,
9		Yeates C, He S, Salamov AA, Szeto E, Dalin E, Putnam NH, Shapiro HJ,
10		Pangilinan JL, Rigoutsos I, Kyrpides NC, Blackall LL, McMahon KD, Hugenholtz
11		P. Metagenomic analysis of two enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR)
12		sludge communities. Nat Biotech. 2006;24:1263-1269.
13	9.	Okunuki S, Kawaharasaki M, Tanaka H, Kanagawa T. Changes in phosphorus
14		removing performance and bacterial community structure in an enhanced biological
15		phosphorus removal reactor. Water Res. 2004;38:2432-2438.
16	10.	Keasling JD, Dien SJV, Pramanik J. Engineering polyphosphate metabolism in
17	10.	<i>Excharichia coli:</i> Implications for bioremediation of inorganic
18		contaminants. Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 1998;58:231-239.
19	11.	Li Q, Yu Z, Shao X, He J, Li L. Improved phosphate biosorption by bacterial
20	11.	surface display of phosphate-binding protein utilizing ice nucleation protein. FEMS
21		Microbiol Lett. 2009;299:44-52.
22	12.	Hirota R, Kuroda A, Kato J, Ohtake H. Bacterial phosphate metabolism and its
23	12.	application to phosphorus recovery and industrial bioprocesses. Journal of
24		Bioscience and Bioengineering. 2010;109:423-432.
25	13.	Choi SS, Lee HM, Ha JH, Kang DG, Kim CS, Seo JH, Cha HJ. Biological Removal
26	15.	of Phosphate at Low Concentrations Using Recombinant Escherichia coli
27		Expressing Phosphate-Binding Protein in Periplasmic Space. Applied biochemistry
28		and biotechnology. 2013;171:1170-1177.
29	14.	Kato J, Yamada K, Muramatsu A, Hardoyo, Ohtake H. Genetic improvement of
30	14.	Escherichia coli for enhanced biological removal of phosphate from wastewater.
31		Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 1993;59:3744-3749.
32	15.	Jones KL, Kim S-W, Keasling JD. Low-Copy Plasmids can Perform as Well as or
33	15.	Better Than High-Copy Plasmids for Metabolic Engineering of Bacteria. Metabolic
34		Engineering. 2000;2:328-338.
35	16.	Akiyama M, Crooke E, Kornberg A. The polyphosphate kinase gene of Escherichia
36	10.	coli. Isolation and sequence of the ppk gene and membrane location of the protein. J
30 37		Biol Chem. 1992;267:22556-22561.
38	17.	Rao NN, Gomez-Garcia MR, Kornberg A. Inorganic Polyphosphate: Essential for
39	1/.	Growth and Survival. Annual Review of Biochemistry. 2009;78:605-647.
40	18.	•
	10.	Akiyama M, Crooke E, Kornberg A. An exopolyphosphatase of Escherichia coli. The enzyme and its ppx gene in a polyphosphate operon. Journal of Biological
41		
42 43	19.	Chemistry. 1993;268:633-639. Alvarado J, Ghosh A, Janovitz T, Jauregui A, Hasson MS, Sanders DA. Origin of
45 44	17.	exopolyphosphatase processivity: Fusion of an ASKHA phosphotransferase and a
45 46	20	cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase homolog. Structure. 2006;14:1263-1272.
46	20.	Keasling JD, Bertsch L, Kornberg A. Guanosine pentaphosphate phosphohydrolase

1		of Escherichia coli is a long-chain exopolyphosphatase. Proceedings of the National
2		Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1993;90:7029-7033.
3	21.	Kuroda A, Murphy H, Cashel M, Kornberg A. Guanosine tetra- and pentaphosphate
4		promote accumulation of inorganic polyphosphate in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem.
5		1997;272:21240-3.
6	22.	Crooke E, Akiyama M, Rao NN, Kornberg A. Genetically altered levels of
7	22	inorganic polyphosphate in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem. 1994;269:6290-6295.
8	23.	Grillo-Puertas M, Villegas JM, Rintoul MR, Rapisarda VA. Polyphosphate
9		degradation in stationary phase triggers biofilm formation via LuxS quorum sensing
10	24	system in Escherichia coli. PLoS One. 2012;7:e50368.
11	24.	Kerfeld CA, Sawaya MR, Tanaka S, Nguyen CV, Phillips M, Beeby M, Yeates TO.
12 13		Protein structures forming the shell of primitive bacterial organelles. Science. 2005;309:936-938.
15 14	25.	Pang A, Liang M, Prentice MB, Pickersgill RW. Substrate channels revealed in the
14	23.	trimeric Lactobacillus reuteri bacterial microcompartment shell protein PduB. Acta
16		crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2012;68:1642-1652.
10	26.	Kerfeld CA, Heinhorst S, Cannon GC. Bacterial Microcompartments. Annual
18	20.	Review of Microbiology. 2010;64:391-408.
19	27.	Jorda J, Lopez D, Wheatley NM, Yeates TO. Using comparative genomics to
20	27.	uncover new kinds of protein-based metabolic organelles in bacteria. Protein
21		Science. 2013;22:179-195.
22	28.	Niklowitz W, Drews G. Beiträge zur Cytologie der Blaualgen [Cytology of blue
23		algae. IV. Comparative electron microscopic studies on the substructure of some
24		Hormogonales]. Archives of Microbiology. 1956;24:134-146.
25	29.	Shively JM, Bradburne CE, Aldrich HC, Bobik TA, Mehlman JL, Jin S, Baker SH.
26		Sequence homologs of the carboxysomal polypeptide CsoS1 of the thiobacilli are
27		present in cyanobacteria and enteric bacteria that form carboxysomes - polyhedral
28		bodies. Canadian Journal of Botany-Revue Canadienne De Botanique.
29		1998;76:906-916.
30	30.	Axen SD, Erbilgin O, Kerfeld CA. A taxonomy of bacterial microcompartment loci
31		constructed by a novel scoring method. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014;10:e1003898.
32	31.	Dou Z, Heinhorst S, Williams EB, Murin CD, Shively JM, Cannon GC. CO2
33		Fixation Kinetics of Halothiobacillus neapolitanus Mutant Carboxysomes Lacking
34		Carbonic Anhydrase Suggest the Shell Acts as a Diffusional Barrier for CO2. J Biol
35	~~	Chem. 2008;283:10377-10384.
36	32.	Sampson EM, Bobik TA. Microcompartments for B12-dependent 1,2-propanediol
37		degradation provide protection from DNA and cellular damage by a reactive
38	22	metabolic intermediate. J Bacteriol. 2008;190:2966-2971.
39 40	33.	Penrod JT, Roth JR. Conserving a Volatile Metabolite: a Role for Carboxysome- Like Organalles in Selmonalla anterica. J Pactorial. 2006;188:2865-2874
40 41	34.	Like Organelles in Salmonella enterica. J Bacteriol. 2006;188:2865-2874. Thiennimitr P, Winter SE, Winter MG, Xavier MN, Tolstikov V, Huseby DL,
41 42	54.	Sterzenbach T, Tsolis RM, Roth JR, Bäumler AJ. Intestinal inflammation allows
42 43		Salmonella to use ethanolamine to compete with the microbiota. Proceedings of the
43 44		National Academy of Sciences. 2011;108:17480-17485.
45	35.	Bertin Y, Girardeau JP, Chaucheyras-Durand F, Lyan B, Pujos-Guillot E, Harel J,
46	55.	Martin C. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli gains a competitive advantage by

1		using ethanolamine as a nitrogen source in the bovine intestinal content.
2		Environmental Microbiology. 2011;13:365-377.
3	36.	Parsons JP, Dinesh SD, Deery E, Leech HK, Brindley AA, Heldt D, Frank S,
4		Smales CM, Lunsdorf H, Rambach A, Gass MH, Bleloch A, McClean KJ, Munro
5		AW, Rigby SEJ, Warren MJ, Prentice MB. Biochemical and structural insights into
6		bacterial organelle form and biogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:14366-14375.
7	37.	Parsons JB, Frank S, Bhella D, Liang M, Prentice MB, Mulvihill DP, Warren MJ.
8		Synthesis of Empty Bacterial Microcompartments, Directed Organelle Protein
9		Incorporation, and Evidence of Filament-Associated Organelle Movement.
10		Molecular Cell. 2010;38:305-315.
11	38.	Fan C, Cheng S, Liu Y, Escobar CM, Crowley CS, Jefferson RE, Yeates TO, Bobik
12		TA. Short N-terminal sequences package proteins into bacterial
13		microcompartments. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:7509-14.
14	39.	Lawrence AD, Frank S, Newnham S, Lee MJ, Brown IR, Xue W-F, Rowe ML,
15		Mulvihill DP, Prentice MB, Howard MJ, Warren MJDOM. Solution structure of a
16		bacterial microcompartment targeting peptide and its application in the construction
17		of an ethanol bioreactor. ACS Synthetic Biology. 2014;3:454-465.
18	40.	Martin W, Koonin EV. Introns and the origin of nucleus-cytosol
19		compartmentalization. Nature. 2006;440:41-45.
20	41.	Choudhary S, Quin MB, Sanders MA, Johnson ET, Schmidt-Dannert C. Engineered
21		Protein Nano-Compartments for Targeted Enzyme Localization. PLoS ONE.
22	10	2012;7:e33342.
23	42.	Schaffer DV, Koerber JT, Lim K Molecular Engineering of Viral Gene Delivery
24	10	Vehicles. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering. 2008;10:169-194.
25	43.	Worsdorfer B, Woycechowsky KJ, Hilvert D. Directed evolution of a protein
26		container. Science. 2011;331:589-592.
27	44.	Neidhardt FC, Bloch PL, Smith DF. Culture Medium for Enterobacteria. J
28	15	Bacteriol. 1974;119:736-747.
29	45.	Sambrook J, Russell DW. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Woodbury
30 31	46.	NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2001 Sinha S, Cheng S, Fan C, Bobik TA. The PduM protein is a structural component of
32	40.	the microcompartments involved in coenzyme B12-dependent 1,2-propanediol
32 33		degradation by Salmonella. Journal of Bacteriology. 2012;194:1912-1918.
33 34	47.	Sriramulu DD, Liang M, Hernandez-Romero D, Raux-Deery E, Lunsdorf H,
34 35	4/.	Parsons JB, Warren MJ, Prentice MB. Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 20016 produces
35 36		cobalamin-dependent diol dehydratase in metabolosomes and metabolises 1,2-
30 37		propanediol by disproportionation. J Bacteriol. 2008;190:4559-4567.
38	48.	Nahálka J, Gemeiner P, Bučko M, Wang PG. Bioenergy Beads: A Tool for
39	40.	Regeneration of ATP/NTP in Biocatalytic Synthesis. Artificial Cells, Blood
40		Substitutes and Biotechnology. 2006;34:515-521.
40 41	49.	Amado L, Kuzminov A. Polyphosphate Accumulation in Escherichia coli in
41	ч Ζ.	Response to Defects in DNA Metabolism. J Bacteriol. 2009;191:7410-7416.
42 43	50.	Ault-Riche D, Fraley CD, Tzeng C-M, Kornberg A. Novel Assay Reveals Multiple
43 44	50.	Pathways Regulating Stress-Induced Accumulations of Inorganic Polyphosphate in
45		Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 1998;180:1841-1847.
46	51.	Mullan A, Quinn JP, McGrath JW. A nonradioactive method for the assay of
	<i>c</i> 11	

1		polyphosphate kinase activity and its application in the study of polyphosphate
2	50	metabolism in Burkholderia cepacia. Analytical Biochemistry. 2002;308:294-299.
3	52.	Kulakova AN, Hobbs D, Smithen M, Pavlov E, Gilbert JA, Quinn JP, McGrath JW.
4		Direct Quantification of Inorganic Polyphosphate in Microbial Cells Using 4,Å <-6-
5		Diamidino-2-Phenylindole (DAPI). Environmental Science & Technology.
6	52	2011;45:7799-7803.
7	53.	Eaton AD, Clesceri LS, Rice EW, Greenberg AE, Franson MAH, editors. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health
8		
9	51	Association; 2005
10	54.	Serafim LS, Lemos PC, Levantesi C, Tandoi V, Santos H, Reis MAM. Methods for
11		detection and visualization of intracellular polymers stored by polyphosphate-
12		accumulating microorganisms. Journal of Microbiological Methods. 2002;51:1-18.
13	55.	Spurr AR. A Low-Viscosity Epoxy Resin Embedding Medium for Electron
14		Microscopy. Journal of Ultrastructure Research. 1969;26:31-43.
15	56.	Lünsdorf H, Strömpl C, Osborn AM, Bennasar A, Moore ER, Abraham WR,
16		Timmis KN. Approach to analyze interactions of microorganisms, hydrophobic
17		substrates, and soil colloids leading to formation of composite biofilms, and to
18		study initial events in microbiogeological processes. Methods Enzymol.
19		2001;336:317-331.
20	57.	Bond PL, Keller J, Blackall LL. Anaerobic phosphate release from activated sludge
21		with enhanced biological phosphorus removal. A possible mechanism of
22	50	intracellular pH control. Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 1999;63:507-515.
23	58.	Ahn K, Kornberg A. Polyphosphate kinase from Escherichia coli. Purification and
24		demonstration of a phosphoenzyme intermediate. J Biol Chem. 1990;265:11734-
25	50	11739.
26	59.	Whitehead MP, Eagles L, Hooley P, Brown MRW. Most bacteria synthesize
27	C 0	polyphosphate by unknown mechanisms. Microbiology. 2014;160:829-831.
28	60.	Sharfstein ST, Keasling JD. Polyphosphate Metabolism in Escherichia coli. Annals
29	<i>c</i> 1	of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1994;745:77-91.
30	61.	Rao NN, Roberts MF, Torriani A. Amount and chain length of polyphosphates in
31		Escherichia coli depend on cell growth conditions. J Bacteriol. 1985;162:242-247.
32	62.	Van Dien SJ, Keyhani S, Yang C, Keasling JD. Manipulation of independent
33		synthesis and degradation of polyphosphate in Escherichia coli for investigation of
34		phosphate secretion from the cell. Applied and Environmental Microbiology.
35		1997;63:1689-1695.
36	63.	Zhang X, Konarev PV, Petoukhov MV, Svergun DI, Xing L, Cheng RH, Haase I,
37		Fischer M, Bacher A, Ladenstein R, Meining W. Multiple Assembly States of
38		Lumazine Synthase: A Model Relating Catalytic Function and Molecular
39		Assembly. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2006;362:753-770.
40	64.	Seebeck FP, Woycechowsky KJ, Zhuang W, Rabe JP, Hilvert D. A Simple Tagging
41		System for Protein Encapsulation. Journal of the American Chemical Society.
42		2006;128:4516-4517.
43	65.	Laplagne DA, Zylberman V, Ainciart N, Steward MW, Sciutto E, Fossati CA,
44		Goldbaum FA. Engineering of a polymeric bacterial protein as a scaffold for the
45		multiple display of peptides. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics.
46		2004;57:820-828.

1 2	66.	Wu W, Xing L, Zhou B, Lin Z. Active protein aggregates induced by terminally attached self-assembling peptide ELK16 in Escherichia coli. Microbial Cell
3		Factories. 2011;10:9.
4	67.	Nahalka J, Patoprsty V. Enzymatic synthesis of sialylation substrates powered by a
5		novel polyphosphate kinase (PPK3). Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry.
6		2009;7:1778-1780.
7 8	68.	Herr A, Fischer R. Improvement of Aspergillus nidulans penicillin production by targeting AcvA to peroxisomes. Metab Eng. 2014;25:131-139.
9	69.	Johnson CL, Pechonick E, Park SD, Havemann GD, Leal NA, Bobik TA.
10	07.	Functional genomic, biochemical, and genetic characterization of the Salmonella
11		pduO gene, an ATP:cob(I)alamin adenosyltransferase gene. J Bacteriol.
12		2001;183:1577-84.
13	70.	Achbergerová L, Nahálka J. Polyphosphate - an ancient energy source and active
14		metabolic regulator. Microbial Cell Factories. 2011;10:63.
15		
16	Ack	nowledgements
17	This	research was supported by Health Research Board award HRA_POR/2011/111 to

18 MBP, and has emanated from research supported in part by a research grant from Science

19 Foundation Ireland (SFI) under Grant Number SFI/12/RC/2273. It was also supported by

20 grants from the British Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council

- 21 (BBSRC), BB/M002969 and BB/H013180.
- 22

23

Table 1Plasmids and strains used in this study

Plasmids and strains	Genotype*	Source
Plasmids		
pET23b	pBR322, T7 Ap	Novagen
pCOLADuet-1 TM	ColA ori lacI T7lac Kan ^r	Novagen
pET23b-GFPPduP18	pET23b with gfp^{\blacktriangle} and	Prof. Martin
	$pduP18^{\dagger}$ leader sequence	Warren, University
		of Kent
pLysSPduABJKNU (pSF37)	Cam ^R , Tet ^R pduABJKNU [†]	[37]
pML001	pET23b with pduP18 [†] - <i>ppk1</i>	This study
	fusion without gfp^{\blacktriangle}	
pML002	pET23b-ppk1	This study
pCOLADuetPPK (pYY002)	pCOLADuet-1 with ppk1	This study
pCOLADuetP18PPK (pYY010)	pCOLADuet-1 with	This study
	<i>pduP18[†]-ppk1</i> fusion	
pCOLADuetPPXPPK (pYY005)	pCOLADuet-1 with ppk1	This study
	and <i>ppx</i>	
pCOLADuetP18PPKPPX	pCOLADuet-1 with	This study
(pYY007)	$pduP18^{\dagger}$ - $ppk1$ fusion and	
	ppx	
pCOLADuetD60PPXP18PPK	pCOLADuet-1 with ppk1	This study
(pYY008)	and $pduD60^{\dagger}$ - ppx fusion	
Strains		
E.coli JM109	endA1 glnV44 thi-1 relA1	Promega
	gyrA96 recA1 mcrB ⁺ Δ (lac-	
	$proAB$) e14- hsdR17(r_{K}	
	m_{K}^{+})	
<i>E.coli</i> Top 10	F - mcrA Δ (mrr-hsdRMS-	Invitrogen
	$mcrBC$) $\varphi 80lacZ\Delta M15$	
	$\Delta lac X74 nupG recA1$	
	$araD139 \Delta(ara-leu)7697$	
	$galE15 galK16 rpsL(Str^R)$	
	endAl λ^{-}	
E.coli BL21 (DE3)	$F ompT hsdS_B (r_B m_B) gal$	Stratagene
	dcm (DE3)	
E.coli Tuner (DE3)	$F ompT hsdS_B (r_B m_B) gal$	Stratagene
	<i>dcm lacY1</i> (DE3)	

*All inserts from *E.coli* JM109 unless specified [†]From *Citrobacter freundii* [▲]From *Aequorea victoria*

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Effect of microcompartment-targeting of polyphosphate kinase (PPK1) in E. coli

- A. Proposed mechanism of increasing polyphosphate content of *E. coli* by microcompartment-targeting of polyphosphate kinase (PPK1).
- B. SDS-PAGE gel of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) microcompartment extracts showing presence of PPK1 band. M: MW marker. NE: no enzyme, microcompartment only, (pLysSPduABJKNU). PPK1 : non-targeted PPK1 plus microcompartment, pML2 (ppk1) pLysSPduABJKNU. P18PPK1(2 lanes): microcompartment-targeted P18PPK1 fusion plus microcompartment, pML1 (p18ppk1) pLysSPduABJKNU.

C & D. ATP generation assay with microcompartment fraction of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) as substrate detecting polyphosphate kinase activity and polyphosphate when PPK1 is microcompartment-targeted. NE, PPK1, P18PPK1: as above. NS,P18PPK1: no shell, plasmid-located targeted PPK1 only, pML1 (p18ppk1). C: polyphosphate kinase (PPK1) assay with addition of ADP and polyphosphate. D: polyphosphate assay with addition of ADP and polyphosphate.

Fig. 2. Co-expression of targeted PPK1 and recombinant microcompartments in *E. coli* results in stable polyphosphate retention and orthophosphate uptake. Dashed line with filled circles: NS, *E. coli* BL21 DE3 control. Continuous red line with filled squares : NS,P18PPK1, no shell, plasmid-located targeted PPK1 only, *E. coli* BL21 DE3 pML01 (*p18ppk1*). Continuous green line with filled triangles : S,P18PPK1, microcompartment-targeted P18PPK1 fusion plus microcompartment shell *E. coli* BL21 DE3 pML1 (*p18ppk1*) pLysSPduABJKNU

A. Supernatant orthophosphate levels. B. Whole cell polyphosphate content

Fig. 3. Co-expression of microcompartment-targeted PPK1 and recombinant microcompartments in *E. coli* increases the polyphosphate content of the microcompartment fraction of lysed cells and protects it from co-expressed cytoplasmic polyphosphatase

DAPI polyphosphate assay from *E.coli* BL21 DE3 pLysSPduABJKNU all expressing recombinant microcompartments with different co-expressed enzymes. Blue bars polyphosphate content of microcompartment extractions, purple bars whole cell polyphosphate content. NE: no enzyme, microcompartment only, (pLysS*PduABJKNU*). S,PPK1 : non-targeted PPK1 and microcompartment, pML2 (*ppk1*). PPX,PPK1 : non-targeted PPK1 and non-targeted PPX, pYY005 (*ppk1 ppx*). D60PPX,P18PPK: targeted PPK1 and targeted PPK, pYY08 (*ppk1 pduD60-ppx*) . PPX,P18PPK1 : targeted PPK1 and non-targeted PPK1 ppx). P18PPK1: targeted PPK1 and non-targeted PPK1 *ppk1 ppx*). P18PPK1: targeted PPK1, pYY010 (*pduP18-ppk1 ppx*)

Fig. 4. Co-expression of targeted PPK1 and recombinant microcompartments in *E. coli* **results in cytoplasmic polyphosphate granule formation persisting into stationary phase.** Light microscopy of Neisser stained fixed cells (toluidine blue and chrysoidine counterstain. A,E,I: control *E. coli* BL21 DE3. B,F,J: *E. coli* BL21 DE3 NE: no enzyme, microcompartment only, (pLysSPduABJKNU). C,G,K *E.coli* BL21 DE3 pML01 (*pduP18-ppk1*). D,H,L BL21 DE3 pML01 (*pduP18-ppk1*) pLysSPduABJKNU. Incubation time in MOPS : A,B,C,D 4 hours, E,F,G,H 18 hours, I,J,K,L 44 hours.

Fig. 5. Phosphorus content of cytoplasmic granules in *E. coli* expressing recombinant polyphosphate kinase is confirmed by ultrastructural and electron-loss spectroscopic analysis using energy-filtered transmission electron microscopy (EFTEM) and is increased and qualitatively altered by recombinant microcompartment co-expression A: control *E. coli* TunerTM(DE3). B: *E. coli* TunerTM (DE3) NE: no enzyme, microcompartment only, (pLysSPduABJKNU). C: *E. coli* BL21 DE3 pML01 (*pduP18-ppk1*). D,E,F,G: *E. coli* TunerTM (DE3) pET23bPduP18ppk1 pLySsPduABJKNU . A-F: Electron spectroscopic imaging. Phosphorus signals are shown as overlays: green in A,B,C,F: red in D: yellow in E. Scale bar 1 \Box m unless stated. G:Parallel electron energy-loss spectroscopy (PEELS) of the largest granule in E. The red line represents SpotPEELS of the large inclusion from E with the spot (size: 16 nm) placed centrally (electron micrograph inset). The green-boxed area represents the P-L2,3 energy-loss near-edge structure (ELNES), characterized by the two peaks (asterisks). The blue-coloured dashed spectrum is referenced from sodium polyphosphate.