

	,
Title	Attention control comparisons with SLT for people with aphasia following stroke: methodological concerns raised following a systematic review
Authors	Brady, Marian C.;Godwin, Jon;Kelly, Helen;Enderby, Pam;Elders, Andrew;Campbell, Pauline
Publication date	2018-06-17
Original Citation	Brady, M. C., Godwin, J., Kelly, H., Enderby, P., Elders, A. and Campbell, P. (2018) 'Attention control comparisons with SLT for people with aphasia following stroke: methodological concerns raised following a systematic review', Clinical Rehabilitation, 32(10), pp. 1383-1395. doi:10.1177/0269215518780487
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1177/0269215518780487
Rights	© 2018, the Authors. This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by SAGE Publications in Clinical Rehabilitation on 17 June 2018, available online: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0269215518780487
Download date	2024-04-30 16:17:21
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/7337



Table 1. Participant characteristics.

	.					
Study ID	Z	Comparison groups	M/F	Age mean (SD) (range) years	Post-onset mean (SD) (range)	Aphasia severity mean (SD)
ACT NoW21	153	SLT	40/36	40/36 71 (32–97)	Admission to randomization Median 12 (1QR 9–16) days	TOMs I.9 (1.2) (severe N = 47)
		Social support	42/35	42/35 70 (40–92)		TOMs I.9 (I.1) (severe N = 51)
David et al. ¹⁷	133 (of 155 randomized)	SLT	35/30	35/30 70 (SD 8.7)	Median 4 (range 4-266) weeks	FCP scores for $N = 98$ retained until post-therapy test 42.4 (20.8)
		Social support	42/26	65 (SD 10.6)	Median 5 (range 4-432) weeks	46.1 (20.1)
Elman and Bernstein-Fllis ¹⁹	24	SLT	2//2	58.3 (11.4) (38–79)	32.5 (28.7) (7–103) months	SPICA 7 mild to moderate, 7
		Social support	9/9	60.7 (10.6) (47–80)	71.7 (94.2) (7–336) months	SPICA 7 mild to moderate, 7 moderate to severe
Lincoln et al. ¹⁸	81	SLT	2//2	52.83 (7.18) (39–63)	4.17 (2.76) (1–10) months	Moderate
		Social support	2/1	42.33 (16.91) (28–60)	8.83 (13.59) (1–36) months	Moderate
Rochon et al. ²⁰	5	SLT Social support	0/3	(31–74) (32–82)	(2–9 years) (2–4 years)	BDAE 1-2, phrase length 2.5–4.0 BDAE 1-2, phrase length 4
Shewan and Kertesz ²²	53	SLT Social support	18/10	62.18 (29–82) 66.12 (range 39–82)	(2–4 weeks) (2–4 weeks)	9 mild, 6 moderate, 13 severe 7 mild, 5 moderate, 13 severe
Shewan and Kertesz ²²	49	SLT Social support	14/10	65.63 (range 48–85) 66.12 (range 39–82)	(2–4 weeks) (2–4 weeks)	8 mild, 3 moderate, 13 severe 7 mild, 5 moderate, 13 severe
Woolf et al. ¹⁶	01	SLT Social support	4/I 3/2	58.6 (14.38) 57.8 (15.14)	31.8 (14.11) months 35.2 (SD 33.16) months	CATs semantic score: 9.8 (0.45) CATs semantic score: 8.4 (0.89)
Woolf et al. ¹⁶	01	SLT Social support	3/2	57.8 (SD 15.14) 57.8 (15.14)	35.2 (SD 33.16) months 35.2 (SD 33.16) months	CATs semantic score: 8.4 (0.89) CATs semantic score: 8.4 (0.89)

M: male; F: female; IQR: interquartile range; BDAE: Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination; CAT: Comprehensive Aphasia Test; FCP: Functional Communication Profile; SLT: speech and language therapy; SPICA: Shortened Porch Index of Communicative Abilities; TOMs: Therapy Outcome Measures.

Table 2. Delivery of SLT and social support interventions.

Trial	Intervention Who	Who	How	Where	When		How Much	
		Provider, training	I-to-I or Group Face-to-face or other		Frequency (weekly)	Hours (weekly)	Total duration Total dose	otal dose
ACT NoW ²¹ (United Kingdom)	SLT	Therapists (n = 4) Experienced lead	l-to-l Face-to-face	Clinic or home	3 sessions	Unclear as Up to 16 we varied length (average: 22 sessions ove 13 weeks)	r seks	18 hours (average)
	Social support	Part-time paid trained visitors -to- (n = 9) Face-t	l-to-l Face-to-face	Hospital and at home	Up to 3 sessions	Approx. 3 hours		Average 15 (max 41) hours
David et al. ¹⁷ (United Kingdom)	SLT Social	Therapist Trained 'unfamiliar volunteers'	-to- Face-to-face -to-	SLT department SLT	2–3 sessions Up to 2 hours 2–3 sessions Up to 2	Up to 2 hours Up to 2	15 to 20 weeks 30 hours 15 to 20 weeks 30 hours	30 hours
.	support		Face-to-face	department		hours		
Elman and Bernstein-Ellis ¹⁹	SLT	Therapist plus family or artist	Group Face-to-face	Unreported	2 sessions	5 hours	4 months	Up to 160 hours
(United States) ^a Social suppo	£	Various	Group Face-to-face	Unreported	Unreported	Unreported Min. 3 hours 4 months		52 hours
Lincoln et al. ¹⁸ (United	SLT	Therapist	l-to-l Face-to-face	Rehabilitation inpatients	4 sessions	2 hours	4 weeks	8 hours
Kingdom)	Social support	Clinical psychologist	l-to-l Face-to-face	Rehabilitation inpatients	4 sessions	2 hours	4 weeks	8 hours
Rochon et al. ²⁰ (Canada) ^a	SLT	Trained researcher	l-to-l Face-to-face	Unreported	2 sessions	2 hours	Approx. 2.5 months	22 hours (estimated)
	Social support	Trained researcher	l-to-l Face-to-face	Unreported	2 sessions	2 hours	2.5 months	22 hours (estimated)

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Trial	Intervention Who		How	Where	When		How Much	
		Provider, training	l-to-l or Group Face-to-face or other		Frequency (weekly) (Hours (weekly)	Total duration Total dose	Total dose
Shewan and Kertesz ²² (Canada) ^a	SLT Social support	Therapist trained in intervention Trained nurses (mostly) No additional therapy experience	I-to-I Face-to-face I-to-I Face-to-face	Unreported 2–3 sessions 3 hours 'Unstructured 2–3 sessions 3 hours settings'	2–3 sessions 3 hours 2–3 sessions 3 hours	3 hours 3 hours	12 months 12 months	156 hours 156 hours
Shewan and Kertesz ²² (Canada) ^a	SLT Social support	Therapist trained in intervention Trained nurses (mostly) No additional therapy experience	I-to-I Face-to-face I-to-I Face-to-face	Unreported 2–3 sessions 3 hours 'Unstructured 2–3 sessions 3 hours settings'	2–3 sessions 3 hours 2–3 sessions 3 hours	3 hours 3 hours	12 months 12 months	156 hours 156 hours
Woolf et al. ¹⁶ (United Kingdom)	SLT Social support	Trained therapists. Participants (and partners) had at least one technology training session and simple written and pictorial instructions Trained SLT students (one to two days on supported conversation techniques and use of iPad and FaceTime)	I-to-I Facetime via iPad I-to-I Facetime via iPad	Clinic with home practice Home	2 sessions 2 sessions	2 hours Approx. 2 hours	4 weeks	8 hours
Woolf et al. ¹⁶ (United Kingdom)	SLT Social support	Therapist Training unreported Face-to-face Trained SLT students I-to-I (one to two days in supported Facetime via conversation techniques and iPad use of iPad and FaceTime)	I-to-I Face-to-face I-to-I Facetime via iPad	Clinic with home practice Home	2 sessions 2 sessions	2 hours Approx. 2 hours	4 weeks 4 weeks	8 hours

2SLT: speech and language therapy; When: details (where available) of the number of sessions provided, number of hours provided weekly; How Much: details (where available) total duration of intervention period, total number of intervention hours delivered (dose).

a = informed by published and unpublished data.

 Table 3.
 SLT versus no therapy versus social support interventions- direct and indirect comparisons.

Outcome	SLT v	SLT versus no SLT	SLT			SLT ve	S SILSJE	SLT versus social support	iort		Socials	upport	Social support versus no SLT	SLT	
	From	direct c	From direct comparisons	suc		From (direct	From direct comparisons	suc		From ir	direct (From indirect comparisons	suc	
	Trials	и	SMD	95% CI	P value Trials n	Trials	=	SMD	95% CI	P value	Trials	c	SW SW	12% CI	P value
Functional communication	9	376	0.236	0.236 -0.030 to 0.503 0.083 4	0.083	4	247	0.113	0.113 -0.129 to 0.355	0.36 14	4	623	0.123	0.123 -0.258 to 0.504 0.527	0.527
Receptive language Auditory comprehension	9	399	0.063	-0.526 to 0.653	0.834	7	33	-0.103	23 -0.103 -5.953 to 5.748	0.972 2	12	422	0.166	0.166 -2.065 to 2.397	0.884
Reading General	8 S	253	0.634	-0.281 to 1.548 -1.139 to 5.829	0.174	0 —	0 8	-I.007	-1.387 to -0.627 <.001	<.00	~	210	3.352	3.352 -1.684 to 8.388	0.192
Expressive language Naming 7 Writing 8 General 7 Severity of aphasia 11	~ 8 ~ <u>=</u>	275 253 248 593	0.187 0.958 2.321 2.579	-0.418 to 0.792 0.545 0.169 to 1.747 0.017 0.500 to 4.142 0.012 1.353 to 3.804 <.001	0.545 0.017 0.012 <.001	m -	£ & & &	0.771 -1.379 -1.379	0.771 -3.127 to 4.669 0.698 10 -1.379 -1.877 to -0.881 <.001 9 -1.379 -1.877 to -0.881 <.001 8 -1.173 -1.596 to -0.75 <.001 12	869:0 	0 8 8 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	308 271 266 611	-0.584 2.337 3.700 3.752	-0.584 -2.555 to 1.387 2.337 1.124 to 3.550 3.700 0.946 to 6.454 3.752 1.802 to 5.702	0.561

n: number of participants; SMD: standardized mean differences; CI: confidence interval.

Table 4. Drop-out and non-adherence: direct and indirect comparisons between SLT, social support and no SLT.

Measure	Trials	SLT			No SLT	•		RR	95% CI	Р
		Events	Total		Events	Total		-		
Drop-out	1.	3 118 476)		117	445		0.98	0.64 to 1.50	0.942
Non-adherence	4 9 124				12	124		0.77	0.21 to 2.80	0.694
SLT versus social	support	from dir	ect evide	ence						
Measure	Trials	Trials SLT				upport		RR	RR 95% CI	
		Events	Total		Events	Total		-		
Drop-out		5 40 213			65	200		0.65	0.46 to 0.91	0.012
Non-adherence !	5 2				45	198		0.24	0.12 to 0.47	<.001
Social support ve	ersus no	SLT from	indirect	evidence						
Measure	Trials	SLT	SLT No SLT			Soc	cial suppo	rt RR 95%	% CI	Р
		Events	Total	Events	Total	Events	Total	-		
Drop-out	18	158	689	117	445	65	200	1.51	0.85 to 2.69	0.155
Non-adherence	9	20	335	12	124	45	198	3.24	0.83 to 12.62	0.090

SLT: speech and language therapy; total: total number of trial participants; RR: risk ratio; Cl: confidence interval.