

Title	Bifidobacterium transformation	
Authors	Hoedt, Emily C.;Bongers, Roger S.;Bottacini, Francesca;Knol, Jan;MacSharry, John;van Sinderen, Douwe	
Publication date	03/03/2021	
Original Citation	Hoedt, Emily C.; Bongers, Roger S.; Bottacini, Francesca; Knol, Jan; MacSharry, John; van Sinderen, Douwe (2021) 'Bifidobacterium transformation', in van Sinderen, D. and Ventura, M. (eds) Bifidobacteria - Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology, vol 2278, pp. 13-19. New York, NY: Humana Press. doi: 10.1007/978-1-0716-1274-3_2	
Type of publication	Book chapter	
Link to publisher's version	10.1007/978-1-0716-1274-3_2	
Rights	© 2021, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature. This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of a chapter published in van Sinderen, D. and Ventura, M. (eds) Bifidobacteria - Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology, vol 2278, pp. 13-19. New York, NY: Humana Press. The final authenticated version is available online at: https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1274-3_2	
Download date	2025-06-08 05:37:55	
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/11151	



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Chapter 2

Bifidobacterium transformation

Emily C. Hoedt¹, Roger S. Bongers², Francesca Bottacini¹, Jan Knol^{2,3}, John MacSharry^{1,4,5} and Douwe van Sinderen^{1,4,*}

¹APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Western Road, Cork, Ireland.

²Danone Nutricia Research, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

³Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

⁴School of Microbiology, University College Cork, Western Road, Cork, Ireland.

⁵ School of Medicine, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland.

* Corresponding author: <u>d.vansinderen@ucc.ie</u>

Abstract

The protocol presented in this chapter describes a generic method for electrotransformation of *Bifidobacterium* spp., outlining a technique that is ideal for conferring selective properties onto strains as well as allowing the user to introduce or knock out/in selected genes for phenotypic characterisation purposes. We have generalized on the plasmid chosen for transformation and antibiotic selection marker, but the protocol is versatile in this respect and we are able to achieve transformation efficiencies up to 10^7 transformants/µg of DNA.

Key Words Probiotic, bifidobacterial, genetic accessibility, electroporation

1. Introduction

Electroporation as a technique is based on the imposition of a strong electrical field to increase cell membrane permeability (1), thereby allowing the introduction of chemicals or nucleic acids (such as single and double stranded, circular or linear DNA) (2-4). Introduction of DNA into target cells facilitates their "transformation" into derivatives carrying or expressing a novel function, or mutants in which a target gene was removed or (re)introduced (5, 6). For microbiology the development and implementation of electroporation as a method of DNA introduction for genetic transformation purposes has been fundamental in the application of selective markers and the characterisation of hypothetical genes (5, 7).

Being Gram-positive, obligate anaerobes, particular members of the genus *Bifidobacterium* are purported to exert beneficial effects to their host and as a result a large body of research has been published by scientists who are working to better characterise these rather fastidious microbes, which are sometimes difficult to cultivate (8, 9). Members of the *Bifidobacterium* genus are ideal targets for genetic manipulation via electro-transformation, however, bifidobacteria are notoriously recalcitrant to genetic manipulation due to their extensive and diverse restriction/modification (RM) systems, thick cell wall, and sensitivity to oxygen (10-12). Only recently these hurdles have been investigated and overcome (5, 13, 14).

Here we describe a routine transformation methodology via electroporation for members of the genus *Bifidobacterium*. However, it should be noted that currently available literature suggests that the procedure for transformation may not be uniformly applicable for all *Bifidobacterium* species and strains (13, 15). The protocol described below should therefore be used as an initial guide to achieve transformation, and modifications may thus have to be tested in order to suit each individual *Bifidobacterium* spp. For example, modifications can be made to the carbohydrate in the growth medium, plasmid to be transformed, amount of plasmid DNA used, electroporation parameters, and recovery medium.

2. Materials

2.1. Reagents:

- Luria Bertani (LB) culture medium/agar: 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L sodium chloride (and 16 g/L agar when required), autoclave to sterilize solution.
- 2. Modified de-Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (mMRS) Media: 10 g/L Tryptone (Peptone from Casein), 2.5 g/L yeast extract, 3 g/L tryptose, 3 g/L potassium phosphate dibasic (K₂HPO₄), 3 g/L potassium phosphate monobasic (KH₂PO₄), 2 g/L tri-ammonium citrate, 0.2 g/L pyruvic acid (sodium pyruvate), 0.575 g/L magnesium sulfate heptahydrate (MgSO₄.7H₂O), 0.12 g/L manganese (II) sulfate tetrahydrate (MnSO₄.4H₂O), 0.034 g/L iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO₄.7H₂O), dissolve all components in distilled water using a magnetic stirrer and then add 1 mL/L Tween80, autoclave to sterilize solution (See Note 1).
- 3. 38 g/L Reinforced Clostridium Medium (RCM; available as a premix from Oxoid), autoclave to sterilize solution.
- 4. 52.6 g/L Reinforced Clostridium Agar (RCA; available as a premix from Oxoid), autoclave to sterilize solution.
- 10 % glucose solution: prepared in distilled water and 0.2 μm filter sterilized. Store at 4°C and remake fresh weekly or as required.

- 6 % L-cysteine-HCl solution: prepared in distilled water and 0.2 μm filter sterilized.
 Store at 4°C and remake fresh weekly or as required.
- Glycerol stock tubes: 200 µL 100 % glycerol aliquoted into 2 mL screw cap tubes and sterilized by autoclaving.
- 8. 80 % glycerol stocking solution for competent cells: prepared in distilled water, autoclave to sterilize solution.
- 9. Thermo Scientific GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit (or equivalent).
- Antibiotic for selection of specific plasmid, filter sterilized (e.g.: 5 μg/mL Chloramphenicol, final concentration)
- 11. Sucrose-citrate wash buffer: 0.21 g Citric Acid dissolved in 800 mL distilled water, adjust pH to 5.8 (using NaOH), make up to volume to 1 L with distilled water. Divide solution into five 200 mL bottles and add 0.5 M Sucrose, equivalent to 34.2 g per 200 mL bottle, autoclave to sterilize solution.
- 12. 1x TAE buffer: 4.844 g/L Tris base, 1.21 mL/L acetic acid, and 0.372 g/L EDTA.
- 13.1% agarose dissolved in TAE by microwaving.

2.2. Equipment:

- 1. Nanodrop1000/Qubit (DNA quantification equivalent)
- 2. 1.5 mL tubes
- 3. 50 mL falcon tubes
- 4. 25 mL serological pipettes
- 5. Microcentrifuge
- 6. Gel-electrophoresis system
- 7. Transilluminator for gel imaging
- 8. Anaerobic work station (10 % hydrogen, 10 % carbon dioxide and 80 % nitrogen)

- 9. Refrigerated centrifuge with rotor for 50 mL falcon tubes
- 10. Electroporator and electroporation cuvettes (2 mm)
- 11. Spectrophotometer measuring optical density at a wavelength of 600 nm

3. Methods

- Recover desired plasmid from relevant bacterial host (e.g.: *Escherichia coli*, see Note
 achieved with Plasmid Miniprep Kit following the manufacturer's instructions.
- 2. Plasmid recovery can be confirmed by standard agarose gel electrophoresis.
- Quantify extracted plasmid DNA using spectrophotometric methods (e.g.: Nanodrop or Qubit).
- 4. To prepare bifidobacterial competent cells, overnight cultures are first prepared in 10 mL RCM supplemented with 0.05 % L-cysteine stock solution (with additional carbohydrate if required- strain specific). Incubate cultures at 37°C anaerobically overnight (~16 hours) without shaking.
- The following day inoculate 5 mL of the overnight culture into 40 mL of mMRS, with 1 % vol/vol addition of filter sterilised stock sugar (e.g.: glucose) and 0.05 % L-cysteine stock solution.
- Incubate anaerobically at 37°C until optical density (OD_{600nm}) reaches 0.6-0.9 (~3 hours), monitor OD_{600nm} with a spectrophotometer by aseptically removing 1 mL of growing culture approximately every 1-2 hours.
- Once an OD_{600nm} of 0.6-0.9 is reached, place cultures on ice for 20 minutes, inverting every 5 minutes (see Note 3).
- 8. Harvest cells by centrifugation: 4° C, $4052 \times g$ for 10 min.
- 9. Discard supernatant into waste.

- 10. Wash cells with ice cold 25 mL sucrose-citrate wash buffer. To resuspend the cell pellet use the serological pipette to knock the pellet off the side of the tube after adding the ice cold buffer and gently mix.
- 11. Repeat steps 8-10.
- 12. During centrifugation, ensure that recovery medium RCM is pre-warmed to 37°C.
- 13. Prepare 1.5 mL tubes with aliquoted plasmid DNA (concentration can vary, we recommend starting with 200 ng) and label electroporation cuvettes, these should be chilled on ice before use.
- 14. After final wash and centrifugation of bifidobacterial cells, discard supernatant into waste.
- 15. Gently resuspend cells in 200 μL sucrose-citrate wash buffer. If freezing competent cells, add 200 μl of 80 % glycerol (see Note 4), dispense into pre-chilled labelled 1.5 mL tubes and store at -80°C.
- Mix 50 μL of competent cells and plasmid DNA (e.g.: 200 ng), transfer total volume to an electroporation cuvette.
- 17. Prepare a negative control for electroporation by only adding 50 μ L of competent cells to a cuvette.
- 18. Carry out electro-transformation as quickly as possible, using the following settings:
 - 25 μF
 - 200 Ohms
 - 2000 V
- 19. Following electroporation, resuspend cells in cuvette to a final volume of 1 mL with prewarmed RCM and incubate at 37°C anaerobically for 1 hour.

- 20. Plate 100 μ L of transformed cells onto RCA (plating dilutions of the cell preparation are also recommended, e.g.: 10⁻¹, 10⁻² and 10⁻³) with appropriate selective antibiotic (e.g.: final concentration 5 μ g/mL chloramphenicol).
- 21. Incubate plates anaerobically at 37°C for 2-3 days.
- 22. Colony counts can then be performed to determine the transformation efficiency. Transformation efficiency = number of colonies counted on plate / (μg plasmid DNA transformed / total dilution of DNA before plating)

4. Notes

1. Improved transformation efficiency has been observed when mMRS is 0.2 μ m filter sterilized in comparison to autoclave sterilization. Filter sterilized medium should be stored at 4°C and remade fresh weekly or aliquoted and frozen at -30°C.

2. Suggested plasmids for bifidobacterial transformation are listed below in Table 1 (NB. See also chapter 15 of this book for information on plasmids that replicate in bifidobacteria). It should be noted that one of the biggest hurdles for successful transformation is resident restriction-modification (RM) systems (10, 16). Selection of a plasmid with fewer RM motifs (strain-specific) can drastically improve the recovery of transformants. Alternatively, a plasmid can be methylated chemically (eg: NEB, GpC Methyltransferase (M.CviPI)) or by first transforming a given plasmid into a methylase-positive strain such as *E. coli* EC101 (DAM+; methylates GATC sites) otherwise an *E. coli* strain in which a bifidobacterial methylase gene is expressed (16-19). Transformation of EC101 and other *E. coli* strains are performed using methods published by Dower, Miller (20).

Plasmid	Relevant characteristics	Citation
pNZ8048	Cm ^R , pSH71 replicon, inducible nisA promoter	(21, 22)
pNZ44	Cm ^R , pNZ8048 containing constitutive P44 promoter from <i>L. lactis</i>	(23)
рРКСМ	Cm ^R , Set of <i>E. coli-Bifidobacterium</i> shuttle vectors based on pBlueCm	(24)
pSKEm	Em ^R , <i>E. coli-Bifidobacterium</i> shuttle vector derived from pErythromycin	(24)
pAM5	Tet ^R , pBC1-pUC19 [tet(W)]	(25)

Table 1. Example plasmids for Bifidobacterium transformation

3. When preparing competent cells ensure that they are always kept chilled (on ice) to ensure cells remain receptive to plasmid DNA. This includes making the wash buffer at least the day before, storing at 4°C overnight and then keeping on ice during the cell wash steps.

4. Improved transformation efficiency is always observed when freshly made competent cells are used. Use of frozen competent cells is still possible for electroporation but expect a decrease in transformation efficiency.

Acknowledgement

This work was sponsored by Nutricia Research, Utrecht, The Netherlands. E.C.H., F.B., J.M. and D.v.S. are members of APC Microbiome Ireland, which is funded by Science Foundation

Ireland (SFI) through the Irish Government's National Development Plan (Grant Numbers

SFI/12/RC/2273-P1 and SFI/12/RC/2273-P2).

References

- 1. Sugar IP and Neumann E (1984) Stochastic model for electric field-induced membrane pores electroporation. Biophys Chem 19: 211-225.
- 2. Wong TK and Neumann E (1982) Electric field mediated gene transfer. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 107: 584-587.
- 3. Miller JF, Dower WJ, and Tompkins LS (1988) High-voltage electroporation of bacteria: genetic transformation of Campylobacter jejuni with plasmid DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 85: 856-860.
- 4. Zaharoff DA, Henshaw JW, Mossop B et al (2008) Mechanistic analysis of electroporation-induced cellular uptake of macromolecules. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 233: 94-105.
- 5. O'Callaghan A, Bottacini F, O'Connell Motherway M et al (2015) Pangenome analysis of Bifidobacterium longum and site-directed mutagenesis through by-pass of restriction-modification systems. BMC Genomics 16: 832.
- 6. Ruiz L, Motherway MO, Lanigan N et al (2013) Transposon mutagenesis in Bifidobacterium breve: construction and characterization of a Tn5 transposon mutant library for Bifidobacterium breve UCC2003. PLoS One,. 8: e64699.
- 7. Cronin M, Morrissey D, Rajendran S et al (2010) Orally administered bifidobacteria as vehicles for delivery of agents to systemic tumors. Mol Ther 18: 1397-1407.
- 8. Bernini LJ, Simão AN, Alfieri DF et al (2016) Beneficial effects of Bifidobacterium lactis on lipid profile and cytokines in patients with metabolic syndrome: A randomized trial. Effects of probiotics on metabolic syndrome. Nutrition 32: 716-9.
- 9. O'Callaghan A and van Sinderen D (2016) Bifidobacteria and Their Role as Members of the Human Gut Microbiota. Front Microbiol 7: 925-925.
- 10. Bottacini F, Morrissey R, Roberts RJ et al (2018) Comparative genome and methylome analysis reveals restriction/modification system diversity in the gut commensal Bifidobacterium breve. Nucleic Acids Res 46: 1860-1877.
- 11. Fischer W, Bauer W, and Feigel M (1987) Analysis of the lipoteichoic-acid-like macroamphiphile from Bifidobacterium bifidum subspecies pennsylvanicum by oneand two-dimensional 1H- and 13C-NMR spectroscopy. Eur J Biochem 165: 647-52.
- 12. Brancaccio VF, Zhurina DS, and Riedel CU (2013) Tough nuts to crack: site-directed mutagenesis of bifidobacteria remains a challenge. Bioengineered 4: 197-202.
- Foroni E, Turroni F, Guglielmetti S et al (2012) An efficient and reproducible method for transformation of genetically recalcitrant bifidobacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 333: 146-152.
- 14. Rossi M, Brigidi P, and Matteuzzi D (1997) An efficient transformation system for Bifidobacterium spp. Lett in Appl Microbiol 24: 33-36.
- 15. Park, MJ, Park MS, and Ji GE (2018) Improvement of electroporation-mediated transformation efficiency for a Bifidobacterium strain to a reproducibly high level. J of Microbiol Meth 159: 112-119.

- 16. O'Connell Motherway M, O'Driscoll J, Fitzgerald GF et al (2009) Overcoming the restriction barrier to plasmid transformation and targeted mutagenesis in Bifidobacterium breve UCC2003. Microb Biotechnol 2: 321-32.
- 17. Law J, Buist G, Haandrikman A et al (1995) A system to generate chromosomal mutations in Lactococcus lactis which allows fast analysis of targeted genes. J Bacteriol 177: 7011-7018.
- 18. Yasui K, Kano Y, Tanaka K et al (2009) Improvement of bacterial transformation efficiency using plasmid artificial modification. Nucleic Acids Res 37: e3.
- 19. Zhang G, Wang W, Deng A, et al (2012) A mimicking-of-DNA-methylation-patterns pipeline for overcoming the restriction barrier of bacteria. PLoS Genet 8: e1002987.
- 20. Dower WJ, Miller JF, and Ragsdale CW (1988) High efficiency transformation of E. coli by high voltage electroporation. Nucleic acids Res 16: 6127-6145.
- 21. Putman M, van Veen HW, Poolman B et al (1999) Restrictive use of detergents in the functional reconstitution of the secondary multidrug transporter LmrP. Biochemistry 38: 1002-8.
- 22. Kuipers OP, de Ruyter PGGA, Kleerebezem M et al (1998) Quorum sensing-controlled gene expression in lactic acid bacteria. J Biotechnol 64: 15-21.
- 23. McGrath S, Fitzgerald GF, and van Sinderen D (2001) Improvement and optimization of two engineered phage resistance mechanisms in Lactococcus lactis. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 608-16.
- 24. Cronin M, Knobel M, O'Connell-Motherway M et al (2007) Molecular dissection of a bifidobacterial replicon. Appl Environ Microbiol 73: 7858-66.
- 25. Alvarez-Martin P, O'Connell-Motherway M, van Sinderen D et al (2007) Functional analysis of the pBC1 replicon from Bifidobacterium catenulatum L48. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 76: 1395-402.