

Title	Standards for the management of cancer-related pain across Europe. A position paper from the EFIC Task Force on Cancer Pain
Authors	Bennett, Michael I.;Eisenberg, Elon;Ahmedzai, Sam H.;Bhaskar, Arun;O'Brien, Tony;Mercadante, Sebastiano;Škvarč, Nevenka Krčevski;Vissers, Kris;Wirz, Stefan;Wells, Chris;Morlion, Bart
Publication date	2018-11-27
Original Citation	Bennett, M. I., Eisenberg, E., Ahmedzai, S. H., Bhaskar, A., O'Brien, T., Mercadante, S., Škvarč, N. K., Vissers, K., Wirz, S., Wells, C. and Morlion, B. (2018) 'Standards for the management of cancer-related pain across Europe. A position paper from the EFIC Task Force on Cancer Pain', European Journal of Pain, In Press, doi: 10.1002/ejp.1346
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ejp.1346 - 10.1002/ejp.1346
Rights	© 2018 This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Bennett, M. I. et al (2018), Standards for the management of cancer# related pain across Europe. A position paper from the EFIC Task Force on Cancer Pain. Eur J Pain. Accepted Author Manuscript, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1346 . This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.
Download date	2024-05-06 09:47:28
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/7241



University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Standards for the management of cancer-related pain across Europe. A position paper from the EFIC Task Force on Cancer Pain.

Running head: EFIC Standards for the management of cancer-related pain

Michael I Bennett #, **

St Gemma's Academic Unit of Palliative Care, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

** corresponding author: E = m.i.bennett@leeds.ac.uk T = +44 113 343 4858

Elon Eisenberg #

Pain Research Unit, Institute of Pain Medicine, Rambam Health Care Campus and Technion, Israel
Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

= Bennett and Eisenberg are joint first authors

Sam H Ahmedzai

University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

Arun Bhaskar

Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/ejp.1346

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Tony O'Brien

Marymount University Hospital & Hospice, Curraheen, Co Cork / Cork University Hospital, Wilton, Cork /
College of Medicine & Health, University College Cork, Ireland

Sebastiano Mercadante

Pain Relief and Supportive Care, La Maddalena Cancer Center, Palermo, Italy

Nevenka Krčevski Škvarč

Faculty of Medicine, University of Maribor, Institute for Palliative Medicine and Care, Slovenia.

Kris Vissers

Department of Anesthesiology, Pain and Palliative Medicine, Radboud University Medical Centre,
Nijmegen, Netherlands

Stefan Wirz

Centre for Pain Medicine; Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Medicine, Pain/Palliative
Medicine; GFO-Hospitals Bonn and University of Bonn

Chris Wells

Past President of European Pain Federation

Bart Morlion

The Leuven Center for Algology and Pain Management, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven,
Belgium

Significance: Pain affects up to 40% of cancer survivors and affects at least 66% of patients with advanced progressive disease, many of whom experience poor pain control. These 10 standards are aimed to improve cancer pain management, promote the quality of care of patients and reduce variation across Europe.

Abstract

Background and Objective: Pain is a common symptom in patients that survive cancer and in those that live with progressive advanced disease. Evidence from meta-analyses suggests that pain remains poorly controlled for a large proportion of patients; barriers to good management include poor assessment of pain, inadequate support for patient self-management, and late or inadequate access to strong opioid analgesia in those with advanced disease.

Methods: The European Pain Federation (EFIC) established a Task Force in 2017 which convened a European group of experts, drawn from a diverse range of relevant clinical disciplines, to prepare a position paper on appropriate standards for management of cancer-related pain. The expert panel reviewed the available literature and made recommendations using the GRADE system to combine quality of evidence with strength of recommendation. The panel took into account the desirable and undesirable effects of the management recommendation, including the cost and inconvenience of each when deciding the recommendation.

Results and conclusions: The 10 standards presented are aimed to improve cancer pain management and reduce variation in practice across Europe. The Task Force believes that adoption of these standards by all 37 countries will promote the quality of care of patients with cancer related pain and reduce unnecessary suffering.

1. Introduction

Each year, approximately 3.45 million Europeans are diagnosed with cancer of whom 66% will survive for at least five years and 40% will be alive more than 10 years after their diagnoses [Ferlay et al 2013, Glare et al 2014].

Pain is the commonest symptom of cancer at diagnosis [Breivik et al 2009] and rises in prevalence throughout and beyond cancer treatment [van den Beuken-van Everdingen et al 2016]. Between 33% and 40% of cancer survivors (persons with cancer whose curative treatment was completed) suffer from chronic pain [Paice et al 2011, Seretny et al 2014, Boland and Ahmedzai 2017]. In contrast, 1.7 million European cancer patients die from their disease each year of whom at least 66% will experience pain before death and 55% will experience moderate to severe intensity pain [Ferlay et al 2013, van den Beuken-van Everdingen et al 2016].

It is more than 30 years since the publication of the WHO method or guidelines for cancer pain relief [World Health Organisation 1996]. Despite this and the publication of European guidelines [Raphael et al 2010a, Caraceni et al 2012, Ripamonti et al 2012, Swarm et al 2013], there remain barriers to good control of cancer-related pain [Oldenmenger et al 2009]. At least one third of patients are under-treated which is often due to inadequate attention to pain during regular oncological treatment, and inequitable or delayed access to opioids [Greco et al 2014, Gagnon et al 2015, Te Boveldt et al 2015, Ziegler et al 2016,]. Uncontrolled or undertreated pain may become physically and emotionally disabling, leading to increased suffering and reduced quality of life [Te Boveldt et al 2013].

A Task Force was sourced by the European Pain Federation (EFIC) in 2017. In line with other standards development groups, a panel of international experts was instituted, chaired by EE and MB. Experts from European countries were invited by email to participate, explaining the rationale for the process, and aiming for broad geographical representation within Europe.

EFIC recognizes that improving the experience of patients with cancer-related pain requires actions that focus on patients, clinicians and healthcare providers or systems. The EFIC Task Force on cancer-related pain reviewed existing evidence based guidelines and reached a consensus on the standards for the management of cancer-related pain across all 37 European countries that are members of the European Pain Federation (EFIC). This paper describes 10 standards that EFIC member countries should institute or be working towards. The standards do not specify which healthcare professional(s) should conduct assessments, agree management plans, provide tailored treatment and support, or refer for more specialized advice and treatment. The Task Force considered that all services involved in the care of patients with cancer should consider how best to meet these standards considering local expertise and resources. These standards may also prompt greater collaboration between clinicians in pain medicine, palliative care and oncology to meet the needs of patients with cancer-related pain.

The GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) system was used to determine recommendations for each standard based on quality of evidence and strength of recommendation (Guyatt et al 2008). Recommendations were judged by the Task Force based on weighing up the desirable and undesirable effects of the management recommendation, including the cost and inconvenience of each. Each Task Force member was asked to assign a recommendation for each standard, and the final recommendation was based on majority consensus where agreement was not unanimous.

2. Standards

Standard 1. Patients with a history of cancer should be routinely screened for pain at every engagement with a health care professional. [GRADE 1B]

Supporting patients with cancer-related pain requires systematic screening for pain symptoms for both patients in remission and those with ongoing disease [Swarm et al 2013, Williams et al 2015, Trowbridge et al 1997]. Patients are often reluctant to disclose pain as they do not perceive healthcare professionals are interested or have the time, and these attitudes are often consolidated because healthcare professionals rarely ask about pain routinely [Gibbins et al 2014]. Screening for

pain can be achieved by using routine questions in consultations; for example 'Have you experienced pain that interferes with daily activities?', or by use of paper or electronic questionnaires in clinics or on hospital wards, such as Numerical Rating Scales (NRS) or Visual Analogue Scales (VAS).

Standard 2. Patients identified with cancer-related pain should receive a pain assessment when seen by a healthcare professional, which at a minimum classifies the cause of pain based on proposed ICD-11 taxonomy and establishes the intensity and impact on quality of life of any pain that they report. [GRADE 1B]

Cancer-related pain has multiple etiologies including the cancer itself (cancer pain) and cancer treatments, particularly surgery, chemotherapy (including hormonal, biological and immune therapies) and radiotherapy [Bennett 2017]. It can originate from visceral, bone or nerve tissues and can have nociceptive, neuropathic or inflammatory mechanisms [Knudsen et al 2009, Falk and Dickenson 2014]. It also varies in its temporal characteristics: it can be acute or chronic, and may have continuous or episodic features [Portenoy and Caraceni 1999]. Persistent cancer pain can lead in some individuals to the development of chronic widespread pain induced by plastic changes in the somatosensory nervous system [Kosek et al 2016].

Pain may also be caused by co-morbid conditions unrelated to cancer and this aetiology accounts for around 10-20% of pains in cancer patients [Bennett 2012, Grond 1996]. Therefore, pain in a cancer patient is not synonymous with cancer-related pain; a clinical assessment must distinguish between cancer pain, cancer treatment pain and pain from co-morbid conditions.

Often, patients experience mixed types of pain simultaneously, or pain that changes over time. A detailed diagnostic assessment that must be repeated at appropriate intervals is therefore often required to guide treatment strategies.

A bedside assessment can determine the intensity, aetiology, character and underlying mechanisms of pain leading to improvements in pain outcomes [Trowbridge et al 1997]. The new proposed ICD-11 classification for cancer-related pain (Table 1) will enable a standardized taxonomy for clinical

practice and research and should be used widely [Treede et al 2015, Bennett et al 2018]. The Brief Pain Inventory provides a summary of the severity and impact of the pain on the patient's daily activities [Cleeland et al 1994]. Additionally, conditions which can amplify pain expression such as distress, anxiety and depression [Laird et al 2016], delirium or effects of alcohol and mis-used drugs, should be incorporated in a structured pain assessment (Table 2).

Standard 3. A multimodal pain management plan should be agreed with the patient that explains the causes of their pain and its likely prognosis, the need for further investigations, the multimodal treatment options, and includes the patient's preferences and goals for treatment.

[GRADE 1C]

Cancer patients with pain are keen to understand the cause of their pain, what to expect, options for pain control, and how to cope with cancer pain including talking with others and finding help [Bender et al 2008]. Longitudinal interview studies reveal that pain is very dynamic and complex for patients and that pain control is often a trial and error process that requires continuous work [Hackett et al 2016]. Cognitive and other side effects of analgesia for cancer-related pain impact on quality of life [Sloot et al 2015]. Patients often try to manage by reducing interference from both pain and these side-effects to maintain as much function as possible. This 'trading-off' between pain and side effects can impact on medication adherence and should be acknowledged within the pain management plan [Manzano et al 2014, Flemming 2010].

The goals of cancer-related pain management should therefore be to reduce the pain and its impact on daily living through tailored treatment, and to increase each patient's ability for self-management [Gibbins et al 2014, Bennett 2017]. Helping patients to achieve this balance and identifying realistic expectations of treatments (for example that pain may be controlled to an acceptable degree rather than relieved completely) are important for improved patient outcomes.

The care of many patients with cancer may be provided by different healthcare professionals simultaneously, for example a surgeon, oncologist, or primary care physician. It is therefore essential that all healthcare professionals who are involved in the care of patients with cancer-related pain be appropriately trained to complete this assessment, to initiate evidence-based treatment and to refer

to a competent specialist when needed (see standard 7). However, most patients can be adequately treated at a non-specialized care level and on an outpatient basis. Proper co-ordination is required between the different healthcare professionals to ensure consistent and quality care.

Standard 4. Patients should receive tailored multimodal treatment which reduces the pain and its impact on daily living and that may include a combination of medicines, non-pharmacological treatments, oncological interventions, physical rehabilitation, and psychosocial or spiritual support. [GRADE 1A]

Patients must have access to drugs and other interventions which are tailored to them. This means that the treatments are believed to be effective in their type of cancer-related pain, and that they are consistent with the patient's preferences and goals for treatment.

Analgesic medication that is appropriate for the patient and their pain should be available within 24 hours of a pain assessment where indicated; this must include access to a prescriber as well as access to a dispensed prescription (Table 3).

Prescribers should adhere to regional or national protocols or evidence based guidelines for cancer-related pain [Raphael et al 2010b, Swarm et al 2013, Caraceni et al 2012, Ripamonti et al 2012] as this improves outcomes for patients [Du Pen et al 1999, Cleeland et al 2005].

Although analgesic medications are a cornerstone in the management of cancer-related pain, the use of other modalities and procedures can often improve outcomes.

Access to opioid analgesia is critical for pain related to advanced and progressing cancer.

For patients with cancer-related pain who are in the last weeks or days of life, a tailored treatment plan is especially important and should anticipate analgesic needs [NICE 2015]. Medications aimed to treat opioid related side effects such as laxatives, peripheral opioid antagonists and anti-emetics should be readily available.

Opioid analgesia may not always be suitable for long term management of pain related to cancer treatments (chemotherapy or surgery) in the context of disease that has been cured or is in remission [O'Brien et al 2017, Boland and Ahmedzai 2017].

Access to oncological and surgical management options to control pain should be available regionally within each country. For example, radiotherapy for bone metastases, spinal stabilization, surgical fixation of pathological fractures, vertebroplasty or palliative debulking of tumor.

Standard 5. Support and advice for self-management should be provided [GRADE 1A]

Self-management is the capability of a patient to manage their pain, their analgesic treatments and the physical and psychological consequences of living with cancer-related pain [Johnston et al 2014]. This involves a number of skills and activities including getting the right information, managing practical tasks and emotions, solving problems and knowing what to do when symptoms get worse or more help is needed [Schumacher et al 2014]. Most patients want help to manage themselves, but their ability to do this is influenced by their emotional state and their physical health.

Addressing concerns about pain and strong opioids, and providing strategies that help patients through provision of educational materials, improve patient outcomes and should be routine practice [Bennett et al 2009, Sheinfeld-Gorin et al 2012, Oldenmenger et al 2018]. This information must cover how to take analgesia, the likely effectiveness of this, how to monitor side effects, plans for further follow up, and how to get help especially out of hours. All members of the healthcare team have a role in supporting self-management including doctors, nurses pharmacists and others.

Standard 6. The pain management plan should be reviewed regularly to assess outcomes and plan longer-term care [GRADE 1B]

Although patients understandably express that they want to be pain free, in general, they do not actually expect their pain to go completely [Gibbins et al 2014]. Most patients seem to determine whether their pain is controlled by whether or not they can perform activities or tasks and maintain

relationships with family or friends. The outcome in terms of the balance of residual pain and functioning is individually determined.

Patients should know who is responsible for reviewing their pain management plan, when this review is expected to take place and whether this can be done face-to-face, by telephone or digital technology [Adam et al 2017]. Contact information for out of hours support should be easily accessible. Telephone support from a nurse or pharmacist integrated with electronic symptom monitoring is an effective method of follow up for pain and analgesia [Kroenke et al 2010, Oldenmenger et al 2011].

Standard 7. Patients should be referred for more specialist advice and treatment if pain is not improving within a short time or if they are experiencing intolerable side-effects of analgesia [GRADE 1C]

Patients should be referred for specialist support if pain is not well controlled despite initial management or the pain has been identified as complex. Specialist support must be available regionally within each country in the form of specialist multidisciplinary pain services, oncology services including radiotherapy and palliative care services. Access to specialist services for pain that is insufficiently responding to standard care should be readily available regionally within one week [IASP 2018]. This includes access to advanced pain management techniques such as intrathecal pumps and neuro-ablative techniques [Smith et al 2002, Vayne-Bossert et al 2016, Bruel and Burton 2016]. Early referral to specialist services is needed when pain is complex or not responding to initial treatment, rather than waiting until all conventional approaches have been exhausted and the patient is too unwell for advanced pain management intervention.

Patients who present with severe uncontrolled pain, significant drug related adverse events or extreme psychological distress should also be referred urgently to a specialized care facility [IASP 2018]. Healthcare professionals should be aware of appropriate specialized care facilities with specific and targeted multidimensional expertise in the management of cancer pain nationally. Established referral pathways should exist between oncology, pain and palliative care services to co-ordinate care while taking into account patient and family expectations.

With improved early cancer diagnosis and enhanced treatments, many patients are now living with cancer as a chronic disease. Increasing numbers of patients experience cure or prolonged remission and are regarded as cancer survivors. Some of these patients will continue to experience pain which negatively affects their quality of life [Glare et al 2014]. Some patients may continue to use high doses of opioid, previously required for adequate pain control, but which are no longer needed while causing side-effects. These patients require more specialist help which is likely to include long-term self-management plans, medication weaning plans and pain rehabilitation and occupational therapy interventions [Paice et al 2016]. Such plans are typically offered by chronic pain management programs and pain clinicians with a special interest in opioid management. Each country should have national guidance on managing and referring patients with long-term pain from cancer treatments.

Standard 8. Healthcare professionals who treat patients with cancer should receive ongoing education and training in order to undertake basic pain assessment, initiate basic management, and learn about correctly referring for more specialist support [GRADE 1C]

Significant gaps in knowledge on cancer pain diagnosis and management by healthcare professionals are well documented in the medical literature, leading to inadequate relief in a substantial number of patients with cancer pain [Oldenmenger et al 2009]. Proper education and training is therefore essential. EFIC recommends its chapters to develop educational programs on cancer pain at undergraduate level (medical and nursing schools), postgraduate level for all health care professions who are involved in the care of patients with cancer, and finally within specialized programs within training in pain and palliative medicine. An example of the contents of such educational programs can be found in EFIC's Core Curriculum for Pain Management [European Pain Federation 2017].

Standard 9. Regular review of service outcomes for all patients with cancer pain should be in place [GRADE 1C]

Regular review of outcomes for patients enables services to identify areas for improvement, focusing on safety and efficacy data of various methods of pain control. Novel methods for supporting patients to complete outcome measures are needed, for example using electronic or digital technology [Adam et al 2017].

Standard 10. Each EFIC chapter should have national evidence or consensus based guidelines in place for cancer-related pain [GRADE 1C]

Many international and national guidelines on cancer pain assessment and treatment are available but they may not be suitable for all countries [Piano et al 2014]. EFIC recommends that its chapters should produce an appropriate treatment guideline for cancer-related pain that is valid for the circumstances according to each country's needs acknowledging variations in access to drugs and other treatments, and respecting social and cultural identity. Such guidelines can be adapted from existing international or national guidelines in other countries. Production of lay versions which can be understood by non-health care professionals should be considered.

We recommend that each country will develop a mechanism, by either professional organizations or through regulatory authorities, to monitor and assess the implementation and usage of national guidelines and European standards.

Summary

The 10 standards presented are aimed to improve cancer pain management and reduce variation across Europe. We believe that adoption of these standards by all 37 countries will promote the quality of care of patients with cancer related pain and reduce unnecessary suffering. EFIC will

continuously support enhancing the quality of cancer pain management through its pain schools, special sessions in conferences and reviewing and when necessary revising this document in the future.

Acknowledgements

The Task Force acknowledges the support provided by EFIC to support the development of these standards.

Author contributions

Each of the authors made a substantial contribution to the development of the paper by informing its content, collaborating in the drafting of the various sections and reviewing the final draft. MB and EE served as chairs of the group, wrote the first draft and coordinated the editing of the final draft.

References

Adam R, de Bruin M, Burton CD, Bond CM, Giatsi Clausen M, et al (2017). What are the current challenges of managing cancer pain and could digital technologies help?

BMJ Support Palliat Care;7:373–382.

Bender JL, Hohenadel J, Wong J, Katz J, Ferris LE et al (2008). What patients with cancer want to know about their pain: a qualitative study. J Pain Symptom Manage; 35: 177–187.

Bennett MI (2017). Mechanism-based cancer-pain therapy. PAIN;158 Suppl 1:S74-S78

Bennett MI, Rayment C, Hjermstad M, Aass N, Caraceni A, Kaasa S (2012). Prevalence and aetiology of neuropathic pain in cancer patients: a systematic review. PAIN;153:359-365

Bennett MI, Bagnall A M, Closs SJ (2009). How effective are patients-based educational interventions in the management of cancer pain? Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain*;143:192–199.

Bennett MI, Kaasa S, Barke A, Korwisi B, Rief W et al (2018). The IASP classification of chronic pain: Chronic cancer-related pain. *PAIN* (in press)

Boland EG, Ahmedzai SH (2017). Persistent pain in cancer survivors. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care*;11(3):181-190.

Breivik H, Cherny N, Collett B, de Conno F, Filbet M et al (2009). Cancer-related pain: a pan-European survey of prevalence, treatment, and patient attitudes. *Ann Oncol*;20:1420–33.

Bruel BM, Burton AW (2016). Intrathecal Therapy for Cancer-Related Pain. *Pain Med*;17(12):2404-2421

Caraceni A, Portenoy RK (1999). An international survey of cancer pain characteristics and syndromes. IASP Task Force on Cancer Pain. International Association for the Study of Pain. *Pain*;82(3):263-74.

Caraceni A, Hanks GW, Kaasa S, Bennett MI, Brunelli C et al (2012). Use of opioid analgesics in the treatment of cancer pain: evidence based recommendations from the EAPC. *Lancet Oncology*; 13(2):e58-e68.

Cleeland CS, Ryan KM (1994). Pain assessment: global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*; 23: 129–38.

Cleeland CS, Portenoy RK, Rue M, Mendoza TR, Weller E, et al (2005). Does an oral analgesic protocol improve pain control for patients with cancer? An intergroup study coordinated by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *Ann Oncol*;16:972–80.

Du Pen SL, Du Pen AR, Polissar N, Hansberry J, Kraybill BM, et al (1999). Implementing guidelines for cancer pain management: results of a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol*;17:361–70.

European Pain Federation (2017). (<https://www.europeanpainfederation.eu/core-curriculum/>)

Falk S, Dickenson AH (2014). Pain and nociception: mechanisms of cancer induced bone pain. *J Clin Oncol*;32:1647–54.

Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Lortet-Tieulent J, Rosso S, Coebergh JW, et al (2013). Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: estimates for 40 countries in 2012. *Eur J Cancer*;49(6):1374-403.

Flemming K (2010). The use of morphine to treat cancer-related pain: a synthesis of quantitative and qualitative research. *J Pain Symptom Manage*; 39: 139–154.

Gagnon B, Scott S, Nadeau L, Lawlor PG (2015). Patterns of community-based opioid prescriptions in people dying of cancer. *J Pain Symptom Manage*;49(1):36-44

Gibbins J, Bhatia R, Forbes K, Reid CM (2014). What do patients with advanced incurable cancer want from the management of their pain? A qualitative study. *Palliat Med*;28:71–8.

Glare P, Davies P, Finlay E, Gulati A, Lemanne D, et al (2014). Pain in cancer survivors. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*;32(16):1739-1747.

Greco MT, Roberto A, Corli O, Deandrea S, Bandieri E, et al (2014). Quality of cancer pain management: an update of a systematic review of undertreatment of patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol*;32(36):4149-54.

Grond S, Zech D, Diefenbach C, Radbruch L, Lehmann KA (1996). Assessment of cancer pain: a prospective evaluation in 2266 cancer patients referred to a pain service. *PAIN*;64:107–14.

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y (2008). GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924–6.

Hackett J, Godfrey M, Bennett MI (2016). Patient and caregiver perspectives on managing pain in advanced cancer: a qualitative longitudinal study. *Palliat Med*;30:711–9.

International Association for the Study of Pain (2018). Task Force on Wait-Times. Summary and Recommendations. https://www.iasp-pain.org/files/Content/NavigationMenu/EducationalResources/IASP_Wait_Times.pdf
(accessed February 2018)

Johnston B, Rogerson L, Macijauskiene J, Blazevidiene A, Cholewka P (2014). An exploration of self-management support in the context of palliative nursing: a modified concept analysis. *BMC Nurs*;13:21.

Kroenke K, Theobald D, Wu J, Norton K, Morrison G, et al (2010). Effect of telecare management on pain and depression in patients with cancer: a randomized trial. *JAMA*;304:163–71.

Knudsen A, Aass N, Fainsinger R, Caraceni A, Klepstad P, et al (2009). Classification of pain in cancer patients - a systematic literature review. *Palliative Medicine*;23(4):295-308.

Kosek E, Cohen M, Baron R, Gebhart GF, Mico JA, et al (2016). Do we need a third mechanistic descriptor for chronic pain states? *Pain*;157:1382–6

Laird BJ, Fallon M, Hjermstad MJ, Tuck S, Kaasa S, et al (2016). Quality of Life in Patients With Advanced Cancer: Differential Association With Performance Status and Systemic Inflammatory Response. *J Clin Oncol*;34(23):2769-75.

Manzano A, Ziegler L, Bennett M (2014). Exploring interference from analgesia in patients with cancer pain: a longitudinal qualitative study. *J Clin Nurs*;23:1877–88.,

NICE (2015). Care of dying adults in the last days of life NICE guideline [NG31]. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, London.

O'Brien T, Christrup LL, Drewes AM, Fallon MT, Kress HG, et al (2017). European Pain Federation position paper on appropriate opioid use in chronic pain management. *Eur J Pain*;21(1):3-19.

Oldenmenger W, Smitt P, van Dooren S, Stoter G, van der Rijt C (2009). A systematic review on barriers hindering adequate cancer pain management and interventions to reduce them: a critical appraisal. *European Journal of Cancer*;45(8):1370-1380.

Oldenmenger WH, Sillevs Smitt PA, van Montfort CA, de Raaf PJ, van der Rijt CC (2011). A combined pain consultation and pain education program decreases average and current pain and decreases interference in daily life by pain in oncology outpatients: a randomized controlled trial. *PAIN*;152:2632–9.

Oldenmenger WH, Geerling JI, Mostovaya I, Vissers KCP, de Graeff A, et al (2018). A systematic review of the effectiveness of patient-based educational interventions to improve cancer-related pain. *Cancer Treat Rev*;63:96-103.

Paice JA (2011). Chronic treatment-related pain in cancer survivors. *Pain*;152(Supplement): S84–S89.

Paice JA, Portenoy R, Lacchetti C, Campbell T, Cheville A, et al (2016). Management of Chronic Pain in Survivors of Adult Cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol*;34(27):3325-45.

Piano V, Verhagen S, Schalkwijk A, Hekster Y, Kress H, et al (2014). Treatment for neuropathic pain in patients with cancer: comparative analysis of recommendations in national clinical practice guidelines from European countries. *Pain Pract*;14(1):1-7.

Raphael J, Ahmedzai SH, Hester J, Urch C, Barrie J et al (2010a) Cancer Pain: Part 1: Pathophysiology; oncological, pharmacological, and psychological treatments: a perspective from the British Pain Society endorsed by the UK Association of Palliative Medicine and the Royal College of General Practitioners. *Pain Medicine* 11(5):742-764

Raphael J, Hester J, Ahmedzai, SH, Barrie J, Farquhar-Smith P et al (2010b) Cancer pain: Part 2: physical, interventional and complementary therapies; management in the community; acute, treatment-related and complex cancer pain. *Pain Medicine* 11(6):872-96.

Ripamonti CI, Santini D, Maranzano E, Berti M, Roila F (2012). Management of cancer pain: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol*;23 Suppl 7:vii139-54

Schumacher KL, Plano Clark VL, West CM, Dodd MJ, Rabow MW, et al (2014). Pain medication management processes used by oncology outpatients and family caregivers part II: home and lifestyle contexts. *J Pain Symptom Manage*;48:784–96.

Seretny M, Currie GL, Sena ES, Ramnarine S, Grant R, et al (2014). Incidence, prevalence, and predictors of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain*;155(12):2461–2470.

Sheinfeld Gorin S, Krebs P, Badr H, Janke EA, Jim HS, et al (2012). Meta-analysis of psychosocial interventions to reduce pain in patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol*;30(5):539-47

Sloot S, Boland J, Snowden JA, Ezaydi Y, Foster A, et al (2015). Side effects of analgesia may significantly reduce quality of life in symptomatic multiple myeloma: a cross-sectional prevalence study. *Support Care Cancer*;23(3):671-8.

Smith TJ, Staats PS, Deer T, Stearns LJ, Rauck RL et al (2002). Randomized clinical trial of an implantable drug delivery system compared with comprehensive medical management for refractory cancer pain: impact on pain, drug-related toxicity, and survival. *J Clin Oncol*;20(19):4040-9.

Swarm RA, Abernethy AP, Anghelescu DL, Benedetti C, Buga S, et al (2013). Adult cancer pain. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*;11(8):992-1022.

Te Boveldt ND, Vernooij-Dassen MJ, Jansen A, Vissers KC, Engels Y (2015). Pain is not systematically registered in Dutch medical oncology outpatients. *Pain Pract*;15(4):364-70

Te Boveldt N, Vernooij-Dassen M, Burger N, Ijsseldijk M, Vissers K, et al (2013)
Pain and its interference with daily activities in medical oncology outpatients.
Pain Physician;16(4):379-89.

Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, et al (2015). A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. *PAIN*;156:1003–7.

Trowbridge R, Dugan W, Jay SJ, Littrell D, Casebeer LL, et al (1997). Determining the effectiveness of a clinical practice intervention in improving the control of pain in outpatients with cancer. *Acad Med*;72:798–800.

van den Beuken-van Everdingen MH, Hochstenbach LM, Joosten EA, Tjan-Heijnen VC, Janssen DJ (2016). Update on prevalence of pain in patients with cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain Symptom Manage*;51:1070–90.

Vayne-Bossert P, Afsharimani B, Good P, Gray P, Hardy J (2016). Interventional options for the management of refractory cancer pain--what is the evidence? *Support Care Cancer*;24(3):1429-38

Williams JE, Peacock J, Gubbay AN, Kuo PY, Ellard R, et al (2015). Routine screening for pain combined with a pain treatment protocol in head and neck cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Anaesth*;115(4):621-8.

WHO (1996). *Cancer Pain Relief*. Geneva: World Health Organisation;

Ziegler L, Mulvey M, Blenkinsopp A, Petty D, Bennett MI (2016). Opioid prescribing for patients with cancer in the last year of life: a longitudinal population cohort study. *Pain*;157(11):2445-2451.

Legends for illustrations and tables

Box. GRADE recommendations (Guyatt et al 2008)

Table 1. Proposed ICD-11 classification of cancer-related pain

Table 2. Structured pain assessment

Table 3. Essential analgesic drugs

Table 1. Proposed ICD-11 classification of cancer-related pain

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Cancer-related pain	Cancer pain	Visceral cancer pain	
		Bony cancer pain	
		Neuropathic cancer pain	
	Post cancer-treatment pain	Post cancer-medicine pain	<i>Painful chemotherapy-induced polyneuropathy</i>
		Post radiotherapy pain	<i>Painful radiation-induced neuropathy</i>
		Post cancer surgery pain	

Table 2. Structured pain assessment

History	Pain location, onset, duration, severity, quality, alleviating and aggravating factors. Special emphasis on episodic pain
	Impact on mood, usual activities, function, quality of life and sleep
	Previous pain and treatment history
	Ongoing response to treatment, adverse effects
	Comorbidities impacting pain (e.g., chronic disease, surgery, trauma, mood, cognitions, substance use disorder, medications)
	Personal characteristics (e.g., age, sex, race, religion, culture, language)
	Expectations of pain management and current understanding of the condition, including what significance the patient attaches to the pain
Physical examination (focused according to the presenting condition)	Relevant, physical, neurological and musculoskeletal assessment
Review of clinical records	Co-morbid diseases, previous chronic pain history, age-related frailty
Investigations	Laboratory tests
	Imaging studies Neurophysiological evaluations

Table 3. Essential analgesic drugs

Drug class	Examples
Simple analgesia	Paracetamol Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) including coxibs
Opioids	<i>Opioids for moderate intensity pain:</i> Codeine, dihydrocodeine, tramadol <i>Opioids for moderate to severe intensity pain:</i> Immediate release opioids as oral and injectable formulations (morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone); Sustained release opioids as oral (morphine, oxycodone, tapentadol, hydromorphone;) and transdermal (fentanyl, buprenorphine) formulations, <i>Opioids for specialist use only</i> Rapid onset transmucosal fentanyl based formulations Methadone
Antidepressants	Amitriptyline, imipramine, duloxetine, venlafaxine
Anti-epileptics	Gabapentin, pregabalin, carbamazepine
Corticosteroids	Prednisolone, dexamethasone
Bisphosphonates	Pamidronate, Zoledronate
Monoclonal antibodies	Denosumab, Tanezumab
Other	Topical lidocaine; ketamine (<i>specialist use only</i>)

Box. GRADE recommendations (Guyatt et al 2008)

GRADE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1A. Strong recommendation, high quality evidence
- 1B. Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence
- 1C. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence
- 2A. Weak recommendation, high quality evidence
- 2B. Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence
- 2C. Weak recommendation, low quality evidence