

Title	Microwave-assisted synthesis of icosahedral nickel nanocrystals		
Authors	Donegan, Keith P.;Godsell, Jeffrey F.;Tobin, Joseph M.;O'Byrne, Justin P.;Otway, David J.;Morris, Michael A.;Roy, Saibal;Holmes, Justin D.		
Publication date	2011-01-04		
Original Citation	Donegan, K. P., Godsell, J. F., Tobin, J. M., O'Byrne, J. P., Otway, D. J., Morris, M. A., Roy, S. and Holmes, J. D. (2011) 'Microwave-assisted synthesis of icosahedral nickel nanocrystals', CrystEngComm, 13(6), pp. 2023-2028. doi: 10.1039/C0CE00759E		
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)		
Link to publisher's version	http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2011/ce/c0ce00759e - 10.1039/C0CE00759E		
Rights	© The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011		
Download date	2025-07-01 18:16:30		
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/6690		



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of Icosahedral Nickel Nanocrystals

Keith P. Donegan[†], Jeffrey F. Godsell[‡], Joseph M. Tobin^{†, \ophi}, Justin P. O'Byrne^{†, \ophi}, David J. Otway[†], Michael A. Morris^{†, \ophi}, Saibal Roy[‡], Justin D. Holmes^{†, \ophi, *}

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 200X First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXX 200X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Nickel nanocrystals with icosahedral morphologies have been successfully synthesised using a microwave-assisted irradiation method. Nickel acetylacetonate was used as the metal precursor, ¹⁰ while sodium formate and trioctylphosphine oxide were employed as the reducing agent and capping ligands, respectively. The nanocrystals, with a mean diameter of 237 ± 43 nm, exhibited

capping ligands, respectively. The nanocrystals, with a mean diameter of 237 ± 43 nm, exhibited enhanced ferromagnetic behaviour at room temperature compared to bulk nickel, with coercivities of up to 164 Oe and saturation magnetisation values of up to 46 emu g⁻¹, due to their icosahedral morphologies.

15 Introduction

Magnetic nanoparticles have potential use as active components in a number of applications, such as high density magnetic storage devices, contrast enhancement in magnetic resonance imaging, biotechnology and catalysis¹⁻⁴,

- ²⁰ owing to their size dependant physical and chemical properties⁵. As particle size is reduced down to the nanometre-length scale, novel optical, electronic, catalytic and magnetic properties arise as the ratio of surface to bulk atoms increases⁶⁻⁹. For magnetic nanoparticles, the critical
- ²⁵ volume is reached when the energy of the particles can no longer support the existence of domain walls and thus become a single, magnetic domain. Hence, the magnetic properties of these nanoparticles and any future potential applications are dictated by their size and morphology.
- ³⁰ Metallic nanostructures such as rods, wires, sheets and plates have been synthesised in an attempt to improve the magnetic properties of nanocrystals¹⁰⁻¹³. These nanostructures have demonstrated increased magnetic and catalytic properties owing to their high shape anisotropy
- ³⁵ compared to their spherical counterparts. For example, nanoplatelets synthesised by Xu et *al.* were found to have coercivities of up to 120 Oe at room temperature¹⁴. Transition metal nanostructures of shapes other than the most thermodynamically favourable, *i.e.* spherical, are
- ⁴⁰ notoriously difficult to synthesise as the reaction kinetics are often difficult to control. Highly faceted multiple twinned nanocrystals of platinum, palladium, gold and silver have recently been reported¹⁵⁻¹⁸, but the synthesis of nickel particles with similar morphologies has not been ⁴⁵ investigated.

According to Wulff's theorem, the equilibrium shape of a single crystal of a face-centred cubic (fcc) metal is the Wulff polyhedron (a truncated octahedron)¹⁹. Non-equilibrium shapes are often found in nanoparticles due to a large ⁵⁰ proportion of edge atoms and non-negligible edge energies resulting in stable alternative structures²⁰. The presence of twinning defects is one of the major causes of non-

equilibrium shapes, especially in fcc crystals which have low twin boundary energies²¹. The presence of twin defects ⁵⁵ during the nucleation and growth stage could potentially result in the synthesis of decahedral and icosahedral nanocrystals which have a total free energy less than that of the Wulff polyhedron. A number of methods have been utilised for synthesising transition metal nanoparticles ⁶⁰ including alcohol reduction techniques, thermal decomposition of organometallic precursors, electrochemical methods and the reduction of metal salts²²⁻²⁵.

Microwave irradiation has also been utilised to generate novel materials²⁶. Precursors and their reaction 65 intermediates are thought to have different dielectric constants along the same reaction paths. Microwave irradiation overcomes these differences by selectively coupling to intermediates in their transition states. Microwave irradiation as a heat source has numerous 70 advantages over conventional heating methods. The irradiation first couples with the material and is then absorbed, resulting in the conversion of electromagnetic energy into thermal energy. Heat is thus generated from inside the material, in contrast with traditional heating 75 methods where heat is transferred from the outside in. This internal heating mechanism results in both reduced reaction times and energy costs. Selective formation of one phase over another often occurs, resulting in the synthesis of new materials^{27, 28}. A possible explanation for these microwave 80 effects is the generation of localised high temperatures or "hot-spots" at the reaction sites, which enhance the reaction rates in a manner similar to that of ultrasonic irradiation²⁹. Microwave irradiation has been employed as a heat source in various fields, such as in the synthesis of mesoporous silica, 85 catalysis and in the synthesis of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles³⁰⁻³³. In this paper, we report on the synthesis of novel, highly faceted, multiple twinned nanocrystals of nickel using microwave irradiation as a heat source.

90

Experimental

Nickel acetylacetonate, n-trioctylphosphine oxide, sodium formate, ethylene glycol and other chemicals were all analytical grade and used without further purification. In a $_5$ typical synthesis, nickel acetylacetonate (3 × 10⁻⁴ mols),

- solum formate (1.5×10^{-4} mols) and n-trioctylphosphine (3×10^{-4} mols) were dissolved in 6 mls of ethylene glycol in a 10 ml microwavable tube. The reaction was carried out in a CEM Labmate® microwave oven and heated for varying
- with 91.6 % (275 W) of the total output (300 W). A black precipitate was obtained and this was separated from the reaction solution and washed a number of times with ethanol to remove any excess surfactants.
- The size and morphology of the particles were determined 15 by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on a FEI Inspect F with an operating voltage of between 5 and 10 kV and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on a JEOL 200 and a JEOL 2100, both operating at 200 kV. The samples for microscopy were prepared by diluting the solution in ethanol
- ²⁰ and then dropping this dispersion of nanoparticles onto a carbon-coated copper grid for TEM analysis or a silicon wafer for SEM analysis. Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectroscopy was carried out on an Oxford Instruments detector attached to a JEOL JSM-5510 scanning electron ²⁵ microscope. For analysis, solutions of dry nanoparticles
- were dispersed onto sticky carbon tape.
- X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were determined on a Phillips Xpert X-ray diffractometer with graphite monochromatised Cu K α radiation ($\alpha = 1.54178$ Å). To
- ³⁰ prepare the sample for analysis, a dilute solution of the nanoparticles in ethanol was dispersed onto a glass slide and allowed to dry. A scan rate of 0.025° s⁻¹ was applied to record the pattern in the range $2\theta = 20 85^{\circ}$.
- The magnetic properties of the particles were measured ³⁵ using a Quantum Design MPMS-XL5 superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID). The powder samples were placed within a polymide capsule and characterised with an applied field range of \pm 3 T and over a temperature range of 300 to 5 K.

40 Results and Discussion

Figure 1 displays a microwave reaction profile for the synthesis of the nickel nanocrystals using nickel acetylacetonate as the starting precursor, with a microwave hold time of 10 minutes. The time, temperature and power 45 are shown for a given reaction profile. The reactions were

carried out at a power of 275 W and a maximum temperature of approximately 185 °C. The temperature localised around the ions in solution is expected to have a higher temperature than that of the bulk solution. These "hot-spots" have been ⁵⁰ proven to have an effect on the overall product formation³⁴.

Figure 2 shows SEM images of nickel nanocrystals synthesised from a microwave reaction with a hold time of 10 minutes. A higher-magnification SEM image (Figure 2(b)) revealed that the particles were icosahedral in shape.

300 300 Temperature Power 250 250 **≧**²⁰⁰ Jan 150 No 100 50 0 500 1000 1500 0 2000 Time (sec)

Figure 1. Temperature, time and power (W) profiles of a typical nickel nanocrystal synthesis experiment using nickel acetylacetonate as the metal precursor, with a microwave hold time of 10 minutes.



Figure 2. (a) SEM images of nickel nanocrystals prepared using nickel acetylacetonate as the metal precursor with a microwave hold times of 10 minutes. (b) High magnification SEM image of the nickel nanocrystals shown in (a).

Figure 3 displays TEM images of a typical icosahedral nanocrystal with an average edge length of approximately 77 nm. Six-fold twinning of the nanocrystal is observed, with 70 the twinning planes radiating out from the central point of the nanocrystal. The outlines in Figure 3(a) represent the areas shown in Figures 3(b) and (d). In Figure 3(b), a TEM image of a corner of the nickel nanocrystal is displayed and a twinning boundary is observed. This is typically the thinnest 75 part of the nanocrystal, allowing the electron beam to pass through the crystal and observation of the lattice spacings of the different crystal planes. While some lattice spacings are located and labelled in Figure 3(c), these lattice spacings are only approximate measurements owing to the sloping faces 80 of the nanocrystal, i.e. the TEM beam is not perpendicular to the crystal face so more accurate lattice spacing measurements were taken from X-ray diffractograms of the nickel nanocrystals. The {111} crystal face of the nanocrystal is identified in Figure 3(d) and the Fast Fourier 85 Transform used to identify this plane is shown in the inset of this figure.

55



Figure 3. TEM images of a single, icosahedral nickel nanocrystal, synthesised using nickel acetylacetonate as the metal precursor with a microwave hold time of 10 minutes. The outlines in (a) represent the areas shown in (b) and (d); while the outline in (b) represents the area shown in (c). The Fast Fourier Transformation (FTT) pattern of the nickel nanocrystal is shown in the inset of (d).

Figure 4 shows an X-ray diffraction pattern of nickel nanocrystals deposited on a glass substrate. These crystals were synthesised using a hold time of 10 minutes. The three peaks at 43.8°, 51.2° and 75.8° can be indexed to the (111), (200) and (220) diffraction planes, respectively, from a facecentred cubic (fcc) structure. The XRD pattern of the nickel nanocrystals reveal no other distinct diffraction peaks, is indicating a high level of crystallinity and purity of the particles prepared. The XRD pattern exhibits a strong (111) diffraction peak and the ratio of intensity of the (111) to

(200) peak is 2.375.



Figure 4. X-ray diffractogram of nickel nanocrystals prepared using nickel acetylacetonate as the metal precursor, with a microwave hold time of 10 min.

Nanocrystals with an icosahedral shape will tend to preferentially lie on a flat substrate on their (111) faces. ²⁵ This increases the diffraction intensity from these planes. However, when compared to gold and palladium nanocrystals with similar icosahedral shapes, the intensity ratios are quite similar (2.69³⁵ and 2.72³⁶, respectively). This indicates that the diffraction from the (111) planes, ³⁰ which is the magnetic easy axis for an fcc Ni crystal, was enhanced for the icosahedra owing to texturing effects.

The formation of the nickel nanocrystals most likely begins with a reduction process. Ethylene glycol has been reported to act as a reducing agent as well as a solvent in ³⁵ polyol reactions, first reported by Fievet and co-workers.³⁷. However, as sodium formate is employed as a reducing agent in this reaction, it is unlikely that the nickel nanocrystals will form *via* this process. Nickel nuclei will be formed by the reduction of the metal precursor by the sodium formate. As

- ⁴⁰ is observed in Figure 5 (a), when the amount of sodium formate in the reaction solution was increased from 1.5×10^{-4} ⁴ to 3×10^{-4} mols, the uniformity in the shape of the nanocrystals was greatly diminished. At this concentration of sodium formate, facetted nickel nanoparticles were ⁴⁵ observed, but the crystalline nature of the particles was reduced when compared to samples synthesised using a lower formate concentration. Upon increasing the amount of sodium formate to 9×10^{-4} mols, no single crystals were formed, as can be seen in Figure 5 (b). Thus, the ratio of
- ⁵⁰ ethylene glycol to sodium formate is extremely important in the synthesis of metal nanocrystals with similar shapes and sizes.

The interaction of surfactants or capping ligands with metal nuclei in a solution-phase synthesis will often alter the 55 order of free energies on the metal surface. The formation of a particular morphology of metal nanocrystal will thus be dependant on the relative growth rates of different facets¹⁶. Trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) was used as a capping agent in the synthesis of the nickel nanocrystals. Puntes et 60 al. have shown that TOPO acts as a selective absorber which alters the relative growth rates of different faces of crystals, synthesising nanostructures with various morphologies³⁸. TOPO is easily attached to the surface of the nickel nuclei via its P = O group. This attachment controls the rate of 65 growth of the nickel nanocrystals. As different facets of nanocrystals have different adsorption and desorption properties, it is expected that facets with fewer attached molecules will grow faster than those with more. As defined in Langmuir's adsorption theorem, a molecule cannot adsorb 70 on a surface where the adsorption sites are already occupied by other molecules³⁹. Thus, the growth rate of the metal nanocrystals can be controlled by the sticking probability of the capping ligands on a given facet of the crystal. Theoretical measurements by Cleveland and Landman 75 indicate that the multiple twinned icosahedron is the most thermodynamically stable seed, as it is bounded almost entirely by {111} facets⁴⁰. The {111} face of a fcc metal structure has the lowest surface energy compared to the $\{110\}$ and $(100\}$ faces $(\{110\} > \{100\} > \{111\})$. This ⁸⁰ implies that an fcc metal is more likely to nucleate and grow into nanocrystals with their surfaces consisting of the {111} facets than the other facets. Thus, in the early stages of the reaction, it is likely that the nuclei formed are kinetic structures with low-energy {111} faces. Thus, by careful 85 control of the growth rate of the metal nuclei, using sodium formate and ethylene glycol, and controlling the growth of crystal faces using TOPO, multiple twinned nanocrystals are formed rather than single crystal seeds.



Figure 5. SEM images of nickel particles synthesised using nickel ⁵ acetylacetonate as the metal precursor, with a hold time of 10 minutes; using (a) 3×10^4 mol and (b) 9×10^4 mol of sodium formate.

Magnetic Characterisation

The magnetic characterisation of two of the nickel nanocrystal samples were investigated by measuring both the 10 hysteresis loops (magnetisation (M) plotted against field strength (H)) and temperature dependant magnetisation (Mplotted against temperature (T)) curves. These samples were nickel nanocrystals synthesised with a hold time of 5 and 10 minutes. For each sample, a hysteresis loop was measured at 15 various temperatures from 300 to 5 K, at applied field

- strengths up to 30 kOe. The particle size, coercivity and saturation magnetization values for these samples at 300 and 5 K are shown in Table 1. Room temperature hysteresis loops (300 K) for the samples at applied field strengths
- 20 between +/- 5000 Oe are shown in Figure 6. Both samples displayed typical ferromagnetic behaviour at room temperature, while the hysteresis loops were symmetrical with respect to the zero magnetic field point.

25 **Table 1.** Coercivity (H_c) and saturation magnetisation (M_s) values at different temperatures for the samples synthesised

Sample	H _c (Oe) 300 K	H _c (Oe) 5 K	M _s (emu g ⁻¹) 300 K	<i>M_s</i> (emu g ⁻¹) 5 K
Ni(acac) ₂ 5 mins	164	342	43.1	48.9
Ni(acac) ₂ 10 mins	147	292	46.4	51.2

Figure 7 shows the saturation magnetisation values for the two samples at temperatures from 300 to 5 K. Both samples $_{30}$ exhibited ferromagnetic behaviour, displaying hysteresis and remanence across the entire temperature range. The saturation magnetisation of these samples was also only weakly dependant on temperature, which is typical of pure nickel samples at temperatures well below their Curie as temperature (631 K). As shown in Figure 7, the saturation magnetisation of the samples are provided by the samples with

magnetisation (M_s) of both samples increases with decreasing temperature, as the thermal agitation of the atoms is reduced; a similar variation is seen for bulk nickel⁴¹. In comparing the magnitude of the room temperature M_s values ⁴⁰ of the samples with that of bulk nickel (54.39 emu g⁻¹ at

room temperature⁴²), the nickel nanocrystals were observed

to have room temperature M_s values of 79 and 85 % that of bulk nickel for the 5 and 10 minute hold times respectively. Possible reasons for the smaller than expected M_s values ⁴⁵ have been previously reported in the literature⁴³. Reductions in M_s can be explained by amorphous non-magnetic structures or the presence of non-magnetic interfaces. Further reasons include electron exchange between ligand and surface atoms which may quench the moment or small ⁵⁰ amounts of surfactant molecules adsorbed onto the nickel nanoparticles surface.



Figure 6. Room temperature (300 K) hysteresis loops for samples prepared with the nickel acetylacetonate precursor with a microwave hold time of (a) 5 and (b) 10 mins. Coercivity values for the samples are shown on the graphs.



Figure 7. Magnetisation versus temperature for nanocrystal samples prepared using nickel acetylacetonate with varying hold-times.

The variation in the coercivity with temperature for the nickel samples is shown in Figure 8. Coercivity is an important material property used in the characterisation of magnetic nanoparticles as it will exhibit a very strong and well-established size effect⁴⁴. A series of field-cooled (FC) 65 and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) measurements were carried out on the nickel nanocrystals. In order to obtain the ZFC measurements, the sample was completely demagnetised before being cooled to 5 K in the absence of an external field. An external magnetic field was then applied to the 70 sample and the sample moment was measured as the temperature was continually increased. The FC measurement was taken immediately after the ZFC measurement. In the FC measurement, the moment of the sample was measured as the sample was continuously cooled 75 from the maximum temperature reached in the zero-fieldcooled measurement to 5 K in the presence of the same magnetic field.



5 Figure 8. Measurement of sample coercivity versus temperature for nanocrystal samples prepared using nickel acetylacetonate with varying hold-times.

Figure 9 displays the results of these measurements for the two samples. For both samples, the curves are seen to be 10 divergent at the maximum temperature of 330 K reached in the measurement. This divergence at maximum measurement temperature suggests the presence of large particles, which have been confirmed by SEM analysis. Small single domain particles would display a blocking 15 temperature (the temperature below which the particles would be stable) below room temperature. The relatively flat nature of the FC curves also suggest a degree of dipolar inter-particle interactions which seems likely from the particle's proximity to one another as evident from the TEM 20 and SEM images⁴⁵.



Figure 9. Field-Cooled (FC) and Zero-Field-Cooled (ZFC) measurements taken with 10 Oe of magnetic field strength applied. The lower portion of each of the curves represents the ZFC measurement and the upper portion represents the FC measurement.

While varying single domain sizes of Ni (below which the particle becomes a single, uniformly magnetised magnetic domain, as the energy to create and sustain domain walls is no longer favourable) have been reported to be 55 nm⁹ and 30 43 nm⁴⁶, these sizes cannot be taken as absolute, as variations can occur owing to particle anisotropy. While a critical radius below which a particle is single domain has been reported, provided K_u is large, as:

$$r_c \approx 9 \frac{(AK_u)^{0.5}}{\mu_o M_s^2}$$

- 35 where A is the exchange stiffness, K_u is the uniaxial anisotropy constant, μ_o is the permeability of free space and M_s is the saturation magnetisation⁴⁷. However, this model assumes that the domain wall of a particle has the same structure as that of bulk. These parameters may vary for 40 magnetic particles on the nanometer scale, for example M_s may vary as has previously been discussed. Anisotropy of nanoparticles has also been known to vary as other kinds of anisotropy, such as surface and shape, become more relevant⁴⁸. In the present study owing to the large sizes of 45 the nanoparticles synthesised, it is likely that the particles may exist in a multi-domain state. The nanocrystal samples synthesised here demonstrate large coercivities at 300 K when compared to the predicted coercivities of Ni nanograins of similar and approaching single domain sizes. On 50 the other hand, isolated multi-domain spherical nanocrystals are predicted to exhibit lower coercive forces than isolated single domain particles. For the multi-domain particles it is therefore likely that their morphology is a possible cause of the large coercivities measured for the samples. These large 55 coercivity values may in turn be attributed to the modified anisotropy of the assembly of nanoparticles into an It is likely that inter-particle icosahedral morphology. interactions too are important in the measured magnetic
- properties of the samples, with fanning like mechanism (near ⁶⁰ single domain state) contributing towards magnetisation reversal.

For larger particles, effects other than thermal will have a greater bearing. Bozorth noted that if the dominant anisotropy in a sample of fine particles is crystal anisotropy ⁶⁵ then an increase in H_c will be expected with decreasing temperature, while the crystal anisotropy of the material also increases rapidly over the same temperature range⁴⁹. When anisotropy is caused by shape a less rapid change with temperature is to be expected. Parada et *al.* reported that for ⁷⁰ microwave synthesised Ni nanoparticles, different hold times can produce varying proportions of Ni to NiO⁵⁰. The nickel nanocrystal samples synthesised and investigated in our present study were seen to saturate at room temperature discounting the presence of NiO particles. Compared to the

⁷⁵ H_c value for bulk nickel, which can reach up to ~40 Oe⁴⁹ for a fine Ni particle system depending on the annealing temperature, and to that of nickel nanoplatelets (120 Oe¹⁴) at room temperature, the nickel nanocrystals synthesised here exhibit an enhanced coercive force, which may be applicable ⁸⁰ in the areas of high density recording media.

Conclusions

We report the synthesis of highly faceted, multiplytwinned nickel nanoparticles via a microwave-assisted route. Ethylene glycol was employed as the polar solvent, which

- ⁵ was necessary for the complete transfer of microwave irradiation throughout the reaction system. Sodium formate was used as a reducing agent and its presence was shown to have a major effect on the overall morphology and shape of the nanocrystals. TOPO was used as the capping ligand.
- ¹⁰ Nickel nanocrystals of icosahedral morphology were synthesised and a mechanism proposed for their agglomeration through the attachment of {111} faces. Hysteresis loops measured across a broad range of temperatures demonstrates the ferromagnetic behaviour of
- ¹⁵ the nickel nanocrystals. The coercivity versus temperature measurements suggested that the enhanced magnetic anisotropy may be attributed to the crystals icosahedral morphologies. FC-ZFC curves also suggested a degree of inter-particle interactions which, given the particles ²⁰ proximity as evidenced from SEM analysis, seemed likely.
- Due to their shape and magnetic characteristics, these nickel nanocrystals could find potential applications in the areas of catalysis and high-density data storage, respectively.

Notes and references

- ²⁵ [†]Materials and Supercritical Fluids Group, Department of Chemistry and the Tyndall National Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland. [¢]Centre for Research on Adaptive Nanostructures and Nanodevices (CRANN), Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland. [‡]Microsystems Centre, Tyndall National Institute, University College
- 30 Cork, Lee Maltings, Cork, Ireland *To whom correspondence should be addressed Tel: +353 (0)21 4903608; Fax: +353 (0)21 4274097; Email: j.holmes@ucc.ie
- See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- 35
 - S. H. Sun, C. B. Murray, D. Weller, L. Folks and A. Moser, Science, 2000, 287, 1989-1992.
 - L. Babes, B. Denizot, G. Tanguy, J. J. Le Jeune and P. Jallet, J. Coll. Inter. Sci., 1999, 212, 474-482.
- ⁴⁰ 3. A. G. Roca, R. Costo, A. F. Rebolledo, S. Veintemillas-Verdaguer, P. Tartaj, T. Gonzalez-Carreno, M. P. Morales and C. J. Serna, J. Phys. Chem. D, 2009, 42.
 - P. D. Stevens, J. D. Fan, H. M. R. Gardimalla, M. Yen and Y. Gao, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2085-2088.
- 45 5. Y. Xia, Y. J. Xiong, B. Lim and S. E. Skrabalak, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 2009, 48, 60-103.
- K. L. Kelly, E. Coronado, L. L. Zhao and G. C. Schatz, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2003, 107, 668-677.
- 7. W. P. McConnell, J. P. Novak, L. C. Brousseau, R. R. Fuierer, R. C.
- 50 Tenent and D. L. Feldheim, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 8925-8930.
- G. Guisbiers, M. Kazan, O. Van Overschelde, M. Wautelet and S. Pereira, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 4097-4103.
- 9. A. H. Lu, E. L. Salabas and F. Schuth, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 55 2007, **46**, 1222-1244.
 - N. Cordente, M. Respaud, F. Senocq, M. J. Casanove, C. Amiens and B. Chaudret, *Nano. Lett.*, 2001, 1, 565-568.

- C. M. Hangarter, Y. Rheem, B. Yoo, E. H. Yang and N. V. Myung, Nanotechnology, 2007, 18, 7.
- 60 12. Y. H. Leng, Y. H. Zhang, T. Liu, M. Suzuki and X. G. Li, *Nanotechnology*, 2006, **17**, 1797-1800.
 - Y. H. Leng, Y. Li, X. G. Li and S. Takahashi, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 6630-6633.
 - R. Xu, T. Xie, Y. G. Zhao and Y. D. Li, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2007, 7, 1904-1911.
 - V. L. Nguyen, D. C. Nguyen, T. Hayakawa, H. Hirata, G. Lakshminarayana and M. Nogami, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, 21, 035605-035621.
 - Y. C. Yu, Y. X. Zhao, T. Huang and H. F. Liu, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2010, 45, 159-164.
 - F. Kim, S. Connor, H. Song, T. Kuykendall and P. D. Yang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2004, 43, 3673-3677.
 - M. Tsuji, M. Ogino, R. Matsuo, H. Kumagae, S. Hikino, T. Kim and S. H. Yoon, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2010, **10**, 296-301.
- 75 19. L. D. Marks, Rep. Prog. Phys., 1994, 57, 603-649.

65

70

- S. Swaminarayan, R. Najafabadi and D. J. Srolovitz, *Surf. Sci.*, 1994, **306**, 367-380.
- N. M. Rosengaard and H. L. Skriver, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1993, 47, 12865-12873.
- 80 22. C. L. Lee, C. C. Wan and Y. Y. Wang, Adv. Func. Mater., 2001, 11, 344-347.
 - J. Park, E. Kang, S. U. Son, H. M. Park, M. K. Lee, J. Kim, K. W. Kim, H. J. Noh, J. H. Park, C. J. Bae, J. G. Park and T. Hyeon, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 429-+.
- 85 24. L. D. Pachon, M. B. Thathagar, F. Hartl and G. Rothenberg, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **8**, 151-157.
 - V. Tzitzios, G. Basina, M. Gjoka, V. Alexandrakis, V. Georgakilas, D. Niarchos, N. Boukos and D. Petridis, *Nanotechnology*, 2006, **17**, 3750-3755.
- 90 26. C. O. Kappe, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 1127-1139.
 - H. Katsuki and S. Komarneni, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2001, 84, 2313-2317.
 - 28. Y. Zhao, J. J. Zhu, J. M. Hong, N. S. Bian and H. Y. Chen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 4072-4080.
- 95 29. K. Okitsu, K. Sharyo and R. Nishimura, *Langmuir*, 2009, 25, 7786-7790.
 - A. Lagashetty, V. Havanoor, S. Basavaraja, S. D. Balaji and A. Venkataraman, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2007, 8, 484-493.
 - Y. K. Hwang, J. S. Chang, Y. U. Kwon and S. E. Park, *Micropor. Mesopor. Mater.*, 2004, 68, 21-27.
 - G. Keglevich, T. Novak, L. Vida and I. Greiner, *Green Chem.*, 2006, 8, 1073-1075.
 - S. Navaladian, B. Viswanathan, T. K. Varadarajan and R. P. Viswanath, *Nanotechnology*, 2008, 19.
- 105 34. J. Berlan, Radiat. Phys. Chem., 1995, 45, 581-589.
 - 35. J. Xu, S. Y. Li, J. Weng, X. F. Wang, Z. M. Zhou, K. Yang, M. Litt, X. Chen, Q. Cui, M. Y. Cao and Q. Q. Zhang, *Adv. Func. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 277-284.
- 36. Y. X. Chen, B. L. He, T. Huang and H. F. Liu, *Colloid Surface. A*, 2009, **348**, 145-150.
 - F. Fievet, J. P. Lagier, B. Blin, B. Beaudoin and M. Figlarz, *Solid State Ionics*, 1989, **32-3**, 198-205.

- V. F. Puntes, D. Zanchet, C. K. Erdonmez and A. P. Alivisatos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12874-12880.
- 39. I. Langmuir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1916, 38, 2221-2295.
- 40. C. L. Cleveland and U. Landman, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1991, **94**, 7376-5 7396.
- B. D. Cullity and C. D. Graham, *Introduction to Magnetic Materials*, A Wiley and Sons, Inc., 2009.
- H. T. Zhang, G. Wu, X. H. Chen and X. G. Qiu, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2006, 41, 495-501.
- 10 43. D. H. Chen and C. H. Hsieh, J. Mater. Chem., 2002, 12, 2412-2415.
- 44. G. Herzer, Handbook of Magnetic Materials, Elsevier Science B. V., 1997.
- 45. J. F. Godsell, K. P. Donegan, J. M. Tobin, M. P. Copley, F. M. F. Rhen, D. J. Otway, M. A. Morris, T. O'Donnell, J. D.
- Holmes and S. Roy, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 2010, 322, 1269-1274.
- W. Gong, H. Li, Z. G. Zhao and J. C. Chen, J. Appl. Phys., 1991, 69, 5119-5121.
- 47. R. C. O' Handley, *Modern Magnetic Materials: Principles and* 20 *Applications*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 2000.
- J. K. Vassiliou, V. Mehrotra, M. W. Russell, E. P. Giannelis, R. D. McMichael, R. D. Shull and R. F. Ziolo, J. Appl. Phys., 1993, 73, 5109-5116.
- 49. R. M. Bozorth, Ferromagnetism, IEEE Press., 1951.

15

25 50. C. Parada and E. Moran, Chem. Mater., 2006, 18, 2719-2725.