

Title	Site-specific wave energy conversion performance of an oscillating water column device
Authors	López, Iván;Carballo, Rodrigo;Iglesias, Gregorio
Publication date	2019-05-16
Original Citation	López, I., Carballo, R. and Iglesias, G. (2019) 'Site-specific wave energy conversion performance of an oscillating water column device', Energy Conversion and Management, 195, pp. 457-465. doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2019.05.030
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0196890419305771 - 10.1016/j.enconman.2019.05.030
Rights	© 2019, Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Download date	2025-07-30 15:50:55
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/7976



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Highlights

- We present a methodology for assessing site-specific OWC converters' efficiency
- Turbine damping, air compressibility and important non-linear effects are considered
- We experimentally obtain the high-resolution efficiency matrices of the OWC
- We illustrate the methodology considering three study sites in NW Spain
- Efficient OWCs require a turbine damping matching the site-specific wave climate

1 Site-specific wave energy conversion performance of an oscillating water column device

2

I. López^{a,*}, R. Carballo^a, G. Iglesias^{b,c}

³ ^a University of Santiago de Compostela, Hydraulic Engineering, Campus Universitario s/n, 27002, Lugo, Spain.

4 ^b MaREI, Environmental Research Institute & School of Engineering, University College Cork, College Road, Cork, Ireland.

^c University of Plymouth, School of Engineering, Marine Building, Drakes Circus, Plymouth, PL4 8AA, United Kingdom.

6 Abstract

7 The energy conversion performance of oscillating water column (OWC) wave energy converters at a 8 specific site is often studied by means of analytical models. Based on linear theory, these models lose 9 accuracy when viscous losses and turbulence become significant-more generally, when nonlinear 10 effects play a role, as they often do in real operating conditions. In this work we apply a novel 11 methodology based on a combination of numerical modelling and laboratory tests to investigate OWC performance without these shortcomings. First, high-resolution wave resource characterisation 12 13 matrices are obtained by means of numerical modelling. Second, the resource matrices are combined 14 with the OWC efficiency matrices obtained through laboratory tests and, importantly, including the 15 effects of turbine-induced damping and air compressibility-usually disregarded in small-scale 16 laboratory tests, but relevant for full-size (prototype) devices. The combined matrices thus obtained 17 express, through a wave height-period distribution, the energy captured by the OWC for different 18 values of the damping coefficient. On this basis, developers can select the most appropriate value of 19 turbine-induced damping for a given site, based on performance values. The implementation of the 20 novel methodology is illustrated through a case study in Galicia (NW Spain), in which three 21 deployment sites are considered. We find that the turbine-induced damping must be matched to the 22 wave climate of the site for an OWC device to achieve high performance; indeed, changes in damping 23 cause variations in the total annual energy captured of up to 11%, which increase to 25% for specific 24 sea states.

25 Keywords

wave energy; characterisation matrices; OWC; air compressibility; physical modelling; capture width
ratio

Corresponding author

e-mail address: ivan.lopez@usc.es (I. López)

29 1. Introduction

30 Nowadays, renewable energies have become a pillar for energy sustainability, and constitute an 31 essential element in reducing greenhouse gas emissions (Gacitua et al., 2018). In an effort to enhance 32 the role of renewables in the energy mix, the exploitation of novel renewable energy sources, such as marine renewable energies, has focused the attention of the scientific community over the last 33 34 decades. In this context, wave energy is particularly promising thanks to its high resource availability 35 (Weiss et al., 2018), low impact (Atan et al., 2019; Özkan-Haller et al., 2017), good predictability 36 (Carballo and Iglesias, 2012; Veigas et al., 2015) and multiple synergies with other marine renewables 37 (Astariz, Perez-Collazo et al., 2015; Astariz, Abanades et al., 2015). The exploitation of the wave 38 resource, however, is challenging given the large variability in waves and, in particular, the large 39 extreme-average ratios. As a result, the development of efficient, flexible, and reliable wave energy 40 converter technologies is very much the focus of intense research at the moment.

41 Among the variety of wave energy converters under development (e.g., Di Fresco and Traverso, 42 2014; Oliveira et al., 2016; Rodríguez et al., 2018), oscillating water column (OWC) devices (Falcão 43 and Henriques, 2016) stand out for their simplicity. The only mechanical element (an air turbine) is 44 located at the top of an empty chamber, therefore not in direct contact with salt water. The chamber is 45 connected to the sea through an underwater opening, so it is partially filled with water. Wave action 46 causes the oscillation of the water column inside the chamber and, consequently, the alternating 47 compression and decompression of the air trapped above the free surface. The pressure difference 48 between the interior of the chamber and the atmosphere generates an air flow which drives the 49 turbine. A specific turbine design is required, capable of maintaining a unidirectional rotation under 50 the alternating flow. Axial-flow reaction (also known as Wells) turbines and self-rectifying impulse 51 turbines are the most common alternatives (Falcão et al., 2018).

52 The performance of both elements, turbine and chamber, is closely related. In fact, among the 53 parameters that most influence the performance of an OWC wave energy converter, the damping 54 exerted by the turbine on the oscillations of the water column was found to be one of the most 55 important parameters, if not the most important (López, Castro et al., 2015; López, Pereiras et al., 56 2015). Therefore, it is essential that the influence of the turbine be taken into account from the earlier 57 stages of the design. The fact that the turbine-induced damping affects the performance of the system 58 implies that the efficiency of an OWC, even at a hydrodynamic level (before considering the 59 mechanical efficiency of the turbine), cannot be defined by a single power matrix: there should be a

different power matrix for each value of the turbine-induced damping (López *et al.*, 2016). Thus, the
structural simplicity of the converter contrasts with the complexity of its operating mode.

62 The hydrodynamic modelling of OWC wave energy converters has been mostly carried out by means of analytical models based on linear potential flow theory (e.g., Malara, Giovanni and Arena, 63 2013; Rezanejad et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2018), resorting to numerical methods for dealing with 64 65 complex geometries (Brito-Melo et al., 2001; Malara, G. et al., 2017). These models are inadequate 66 for non-small waves and non-linear effects such as viscous losses and turbulence, which are important 67 under real operating conditions. Therefore, the performance analysis of an OWC at a site requires 68 advanced techniques considering these effects, such as experimental modelling. Over the last few 69 years, experimental modelling has been used in the hydrodynamic modelling of OWC converters to 70 evaluate the performance of different geometric parameters of the chamber (Ning et al., 2016; Vyzikas 71 et al., 2017), to analyse the efficiency of an array of OWC devices integrated into an offshore 72 detached breakwater (Ashlin et al., 2018), to analyse the enhancement that harbour walls induce on 73 the performance of the system (Raj et al., 2019), or to measure the wave loads on the front and rear

valls of the OWC for structural design purposes (Pawitan *et al.*, 2019; Viviano *et al.*, 2016).

75 Experimental modelling is based on dimensional analysis techniques that relate the conditions in 76 a small-scale model (typically between 1:100 and 1:10 scale) to those on a full-size prototype. Thus, 77 to correctly emulate the real conditions in the model is of paramount importance to avoid substantial 78 errors in model predictions. An important aspect when experimentally modelling an OWC is the 79 spring-like effect of the air inside the chamber, which despite it being usually disregarded, is known to 80 be significant at full-size OWC converters (Falcão and Henriques, 2014; Falcão and Henriques, 81 2016); in fact, such effects were not taken into account in none of the aforementioned experimental 82 works. In effect, it has been found that if air compressibility is not considered, significant errors are 83 introduced in the assessment of the OWC efficiency, resulting in both under- and over-predictions 84 depending on the wave conditions and the turbine-induced damping (Falcão and Henriques, 2014). 85 In this work, the site-specific energy conversion performance of an OWC device was evaluated 86 following a methodology that involves an accurate assessment of the available wave energy resource 87 at the locations of interest and the thorough computation of the efficiency of the OWC device. The 88 latter was determined through an extensive experimental campaign in which non-linear effects—with 89 special emphasis on the spring-like effect of air compressibility, together with three different values

90 of the turbine-induced damping—representative of three turbines of different characteristics—were

91 taken into account. Rather than testing the sea states of the locations of interest, a more general 92 approach was followed. A comprehensive set of sea states were tested comprising all the possible 93 combinations of wave period and wave height within the operational limits of the converter. The idea 94 behind this approach is to fully characterise the OWC for deployment at whatever location. The 95 performance of the OWC was measured based on: (i) the capture width ratio-the percentage of the 96 incident wave energy that is captured by the OWC in the form of pneumatic energy per width of 97 device—and (ii) the total energy captured in a year, which is ultimately the most important factor. The 98 methodology was illustrated through a case study in Galicia (Spain), considering three study sites. 99 The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the foundations of the developed methodology 100 are described. It is subdivided in three parts: (i) characterisation of the wave energy resource through 101 numerical modelling; (ii) characterisation of the OWC performance though physical model tests; and 102 (iii) calculation of the energy capture of the device. In Section 3 the results at the three study sites, 103 expressed in terms of the OWC efficiency matrices and the energy captured matrices, are described. 104 Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

105 **2. Materials and methods**

106 2.1. Wave resource characterisation

107 The wave energy resource at each site of interest is characterised by means of the WEDGE (Wave

108 Energy Diagram Generator) procedure (Carballo, Sánchez, Ramos, Taveira-Pinto et al., 2014;

109 Carballo, Sánchez, Ramos and Castro, 2014). This procedure is based on the energy bin concept

110 (Iglesias and Carballo, 2010), i.e., a trivariate interval of significant wave height (H_{m0}) , energy period

111 (T_e) and mean wave direction (θ_m) of a certain size, allowing the reconstruction of high-resolution

112 characterisation matrices at each site of interest, so that most of the exploitable resource is accounted

113 for. The first step of the methodology consists in characterising the offshore energy resource along the

114 Galician coast. For this purpose, three buoys (Silleiro, Vilán Sisargas, and Bares buoys) (Figure 1)

representative of the deepwater wave climate in Galicia are used, covering approx. a 15-year period of

- 116 hourly seas states, which leads to an accurate description of its deepwater wave climate. At these
- 117 buoys, the most energetic bins, with a resolution of 0.5 m of H_{m0} and 0.5 s of T_e —the largest
- resolution of WECs' efficiency currently available—and 22.5° of θ_m , are determined. With this in
- 119 view, each sea state is assigned to its corresponding bin and its wave power per unit with (J)
- 120 determined as:

121
$$J = \frac{\rho_w g}{16} H_{m0}^2 C_g , \qquad (1)$$

where ρ_w is the water density; *g* is the gravitational acceleration; and C_g is the group velocity obtained as:

124
$$C_{g} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2kh}{\sinh(2kh)} \right) \left(\frac{gT_{e}}{2\pi} \tanh(kh) \right), \qquad (2)$$

where *k* is the wave number and *h* the water depth. So, the total energy provided by each bin (E_w) is determined as:

127

$$E_w = J O_b \quad , \tag{3}$$

128 where O_b is the occurrence of the sea states within each energy bin, expressed in hours.

129 Then, the most energetic bins contributing to 95% of the total available resource at each buoy are 130 retained for further analysis, i.e., 720, 787, 693 bins in the case of Silleiro, Vilán-Sisargas and Bares 131 buoys, respectively, which in turn represent virtually 100% of the exploitable resource. After that, the 132 selected energy bins are propagated towards the sites of interest through high-resolution spectral 133 numerical models. This is conducted by implementing three different models, each of them forced 134 with its corresponding deepwater dataset, and validated against nearshore wave records (Carballo, 135 Sánchez, Ramos, Taveira-Pinto et al., 2014). The model results are H_{m0} , T_e , and θ_m at each grid node 136 along with other spectral information, and the resulting wave power computed as:

137
$$J = \rho_w g \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} S(f,\theta) C_g(f) df d\theta , \qquad (4)$$

where $S(f, \theta)$ is the spectral energy density. The information made available combined with the computed occurrence of the propagated conditions (the occurrence is conserved through the propagation process) can be used to reconstruct site-specific high-resolution characterisation matrices. As a result, the efficiency matrices of OWC converters can be combined with the resulting resource information to determine any performance parameter of specific OWC-site combinations.





Figure 1. Locations of the three study sites in Galicia (NW Spain).

147 In order to illustrate the methodology proposed, three sites in the Galician nearshore of interest for

148 installing an OWC converter were selected (NW Spain). The location of the three selected sites,

- 149 Cariño (1), Corme (2) and Panxón (3), is presented in Figure 1 and their UTM coordinates and depth
- are included in Table 1.

^{146 2.1.1.} Selection of study sites

151 152

Table 1. Easting (X) and northing (Y) coordinates (UTM29N/ETRS89) and water depth (h) of the three study sites.

Study site	X	Y	h
Cariño (1)	591770 m	4843092 m	12.7 m
Corme (2)	502782 m	4789545 m	12.0 m
Panxón (3)	513645 m	4665291 m	10.1 m

153 The three sites correspond to small to medium ports that are suitable for the installation of a

154 breakwater-integrated OWC thanks to their characteristics—mainly, bathymetry and wave exposure.

155 Furthermore, in an attempt to represent different wave energy distributions, the study sites cover

156 different geographic areas: site 1, the North coast of Galicia; site 2, the so-called Death Coast (Costa

157 *da Morte*), extending from Cape Finisterre to Cape San Adrián (Figure 1); and site 3, the Southwest

158 coast or *Rías Baixas*.



159

160Figure 2. Wave resource characterisation matrices in an average year for the three selected study sites.161The colour scale represents the total energy per metre of wave front (E_w) provided by each energy bin;162the numbers indicate the occurrence of the sea states within each energy bin, expressed in hours; and163the isolines provide the wave power.

164 The wave resource characterisation matrices of these three study sites are presented in Figure 2. 165 The matrices were discretised in bins of 0.5 s (ΔT_e) × 0.5 m (ΔH_{m0}). The three locations present a 166 moderate wave climate, with values of the total energy per metre of wave front in an average year of 167 27.14, 27.06 and 21.49 MWhm⁻¹, for Cariño (1), Corme (2) and Panxón (3), respectively. Despite 168 these rather similar average values, the wave resource distribution across energy periods is markedly 169 different at the three sites. At site 1 most of the energy is provided by sea states with energy periods

- between 6 and 8 s; at site 2, the energy is concentrated in energy periods between 8 and 10 s; finally,
- at site 3, the bulk of the energy is supplied by sea states with energy periods between 9.5 and 11 s.
- 172 This is likely due to the fetch increasing from site 1, which is exposed to the Bay of Biscay, to site 3,
- 173 exposed to the North Atlantic Ocean. Regarding the resource distribution across significant wave
- heights, the most energetic sea states correspond with wave heights between 1.0 and 2.5 m at the three
- study sites, with a slight deviation towards the lower values in the case of site 3.
- 176 2.2. OWC performance characterisation

177 2.2.1. Physical modelling

The physical model represents, at a 1:25 scale ratio, a standard design of breakwater-integrated OWC wave energy converter (Figure 3a). Taking into account that the construction costs are one of the main downsides of OWC technology, multi-purpose solutions constitute an interesting and more feasible approach. With this as background, a breakwater-integrated OWC enables both coastal protection and energy harnessing by means of a sole structure, thereby maximising the benefits and minimising the costs. The OWC model tested in this work (Figure 3b) is two-dimensional, its width matching that of the wave flume. The model and prototype dimensions are presented in Table 2.

185 As previously mentioned, the damping exerted by the turbine on the water column oscillation is 186 one of the most important factors, if not the most important one, affecting the efficiency of an OWC 187 converter. Therefore, modelling the turbine-induced damping is a prerequisite for obtaining reliable 188 results. In this work, the turbine-induced damping was modelled through an orifice (e.g., Perez-Collazo et al., 2018; Vyzikas et al., 2017), i.e., an element introducing a pressure drop which varies 189 190 quadratically with the flow rate, and therefore appropriately reproducing the behaviour of a self-191 rectifying impulse turbine (Falcão and Henriques, 2016). In comparison to Wells turbines, impulse 192 turbines present a series of characteristics that make them particularly interesting for breakwater-193 integrated OWC converters: smaller diameter, lower rotational speed and consequently lower levels of 194 noise. In addition, impulse turbines present a smoother efficiency curve, i.e., a good performance over 195 a broader range of flow conditions. Three different orifices of diameter D = 28, 31 and 39 mm were 196 tested, corresponding to opening ratios (ratio of the orifice area to the plan area of the OWC chamber) 197 of 0.8%, 1.0% and 1.5%, respectively. The three orifices are representative of three impulse turbines 198 of different diameter—for self-rectifying impulse turbines, the relationship between pressure drop and 199 flow rate is not significantly affected by changes in rotational speed (Falcão and Henriques, 2014).



201 Figure 3. Sketch of a breakwater-integrated OWC (A) and views of the tested OWC converter (B).

202

Table 2. Dimensions of the geometrical parameters of the OWC converter.

Geometrical parameter	Symbol	Model dimensions	Prototype dimensions
Chamber height	h_c	63.6 cm	15.9 m
Chamber length (in the wave direction)	l_c	12.8 cm	3.2 m
Entrance height	h_e	12.8 cm	3.2 m
Vertical walls thickness	t_Z	2.0 cm	0.5 m
Horizontal walls thickness	t_X	2.8 cm	0.7 m
Orifice diameter	D	Variable	_
Duct height	h_d	25D	_
Bedding height	h_b	8.0 cm	2.0 m
Bedding length	l_b	20.0 cm	5.0 m
Bedding slope	S	1:2	1:2

203	The scaling of the model was based on Froude's similitude, i.e., equal ratio of inertia to gravity
204	forces between model and prototype. This is a mandatory assumption when dealing with free surface
205	flows (Hughes, 1993). However, in the case of OWC wave energy converters, the spring-like effect of
206	the air inside the chamber is known to play an important role, which calls for maintaining also an
207	equal ratio of inertia to air compression forces between model and prototype (Weber, 2007). To this
208	end the air chamber volume must be scaled according to (Falcão and Henriques, 2014):

209
$$\frac{V_m}{V_p} = \frac{n_m}{n_p} \lambda^{-2} \delta^{-1} , \qquad (5)$$

where the subscripts *m* and *p* refer to model and prototype, respectively; *V* is the volume of the air chamber; *n* is the polytropic exponent of the turbine, which takes the values $n_p = 1.2$ and $n_m = 1.0$ for a full-size turbine and for an orifice, respectively; λ is the scale factor ($\lambda = 25$); and δ is the water density ratio (ρ_m/ρ_p), which takes a value of $\delta = 0.98$ for wave flume testing.

214 The approach to meeting both aforementioned requirements is to apply the Froude similitude 215 criterion and perfect geometric similarity in the hydrodynamic domain (wet part of the model) but 216 considering a distorted aerodynamic domain (upper part of the chamber) that fulfils Eq. (5). The 217 required volume was achieved by connecting the air chamber to a rigid-walled air reservoir of an 218 adequate volume (Figure 5). This is the procedure recommended for OWC small-scale modelling as 219 stated by Falcão and Henriques (2014). By applying Eq. (5), the volume of the air chamber at model scale should be $V_m = 538.4 \text{ dm}^3$, which makes it necessary to add to the chamber a volume of 220 513.2 dm^3 . 221





Figure 4. Experimental set-up of the model OWC.

Physical model tests were carried out in the wave flume of the University of Santiago de Compostela (USC), with dimensions of 20 m × 0.65 m in plan view. It is equipped with a piston paddle wave generation system with active absorption of the reflected waves. The experimental set-up is presented in Figure 4. A total of nine wave gauges were installed to monitor the wave propagation, analyse the incident and reflected wave field, and check the absence of transverse waves. Additionally, two ultrasonic level sensors were placed inside the chamber to measure the oscillations

230 of the water column. Finally, a differential pressure sensor registered the time-varying pressure drop

between the atmosphere and the interior of the chamber.

232 The experimental campaign comprised 49 irregular wave conditions (Figure 5), representative of as many omnidirectional energy bins (Carballo, Sánchez, Ramos and Castro, 2014), or bivariate 233 234 intervals that discretise the sea states according to their H_{m0} and T_e . Thus, all the sea states with a significant wave height and an energy period within the range of an energy bin are assumed to be 235 236 represented by a single capture width ratio—corresponding to the wave conditions representative of 237 the energy bin. The smaller the size of the energy bins, the greater the precision of the performance matrix. In this work, an interval size of 1.0 m for significant wave height and 1.0 s for energy period 238 239 were used.



240

Figure 5. Sea states covered in the experimental campaign. The grey axes represent the boundaries of the sea states and the black axes the representative wave conditions tested. The crosses indicate the representative wave condition of each energy bin.

The selection of the representative wave conditions of each energy bin is, therefore, of paramount importance. As wave energy varies linearly with energy period, the representative energy period was set to the arithmetic mean of the energy periods at the limits of the bin. However, wave energy varies quadratically with the significant wave height, so the representative value should provide the mean wave energy of the bin, satisfying the following equation:

249
$$\int_{H_1}^{H_R} H^2 dH = \int_{H_R}^{H_2} H^2 dH , \qquad (6)$$

where H_1 and H_2 are the wave height at the limits of each bin; and H_R is the representative value of the wave height of that bin (Carballo, Sánchez, Ramos, Taveira-Pinto *et al.*, 2014).

The 49 selected bins cover energy periods from 4 to 15 s and wave heights from 0 to 5 m (limit established based on the characteristics of the OWC). For the selected study sites the 49 bins cover more than 99% of the energy and time of the wave resource matrices presented in Figure 2 for the three study sites. In order to experimentally test the representative wave conditions, it is necessary to assign to each of them a wave energy frequency distribution, i.e., a frequency spectral density function or wave spectrum. The wave spectrum better representing the wave conditions at the region of interest is the JONSWAP spectrum (Carballo *et al.*, 2015), that is given by the form (Hasselmann *et al.*, 1973):

260
$$S_{J}(f) = \alpha g^{2} (2\pi)^{-4} f^{-5} \exp\left(-\frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{f}{f_{p}}\right)^{-4}\right) \gamma^{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f/f_{p}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)}, \qquad (7)$$

where α is a scaling parameter, f_p is the peak frequency (the reciprocal of the peak period), γ is the peak enhancement factor and σ is a spectral shape parameter that takes the following values:

263
$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 0.07 & \text{for } f < f_p \\ 0.09 & \text{for } f \ge f_p \end{cases}$$
(8)

The scaling parameter α was adjusted in order to obtain, through numerical integration of the spectrum, the desired value of the significant wave height for each wave condition. For the peak enhancement factor, based on previous works at the same location (Arean *et al.*, 2017), a value of $\gamma = 3.3$ was selected. The peak period of each wave condition was calculated from the energy periods defined in Figure 5, using the ratio:

269
$$\frac{T_e}{T_p} = 0.90$$
, (9)

which corresponds to JONSWAP-type spectra with a peak enhancement factor of 3.3 (Goda, 2010).

Each of the 49 irregular wave conditions was tested for the three orifice diameters—in total, 147 irregular wave tests were conducted. Testing times vary depending on the period of each wave condition in order to ensure that, at least, 200 waves were generated, a number large enough to decrease the sampling variation of the wave statistics (Goda, 2010).

275 2.2.2. Calculation of the efficiency matrices

The performance of the OWC under the wave conditions representative of each energy bin was
characterised based on the capture width ratio (also known as relative capture width), which reflects
the fraction of the wave power flowing through the device that is absorbed by the device (Babarit,
2015), defined by

$$C_{WR} = \frac{P_p}{P_w w} , \qquad (10)$$

281 where P_p is the mean pneumatic power absorbed by the OWC; P_w is the mean available wave power

per unit width of wave front; and w is the width of the OWC chamber (transverse to wave direction). 282

283 The mean pneumatic power is defined as

$$P_p = \frac{1}{t_{max}} \int_0^{t_{max}} \Delta p \, Q \, dt \quad , \tag{11}$$

285 where t_{max} is the time duration of each test; Δp is the pressure drop directly measured by the

differential pressure sensor; and Q is the air flow rate through the orifice calculated as 286

287
$$Q = \operatorname{sgn}(\Delta p) \left(\frac{B_r}{|\Delta p|}\right)^{1/2} , \qquad (12)$$

being B_r a damping parameter which represents the pressure-flowrate relationship for each orifice, 288 obtained in the present case through numerical and physical modelling following the definition as 289 290 provided by López et al. (2015):

291
$$B^* = \frac{\Delta p^{1/2}}{Q} \frac{A_c}{\rho_a^{1/2}} = B_r^{1/2} \frac{A_c}{\rho_a^{1/2}} , \qquad (13)$$

292 where A_c is the water plane area of the OWC chamber ($A_c = l_c \times w$); and ρ_a is the air density. For the 293 three orifice diameters, D = 28, 31 and 39 mm, these parameters take the values $B_r = 5.30 \times 10^6$, 3.59×10^6 and 1.48×10^6 kg/m⁷ (in model dimensions) and $B^* = 160.49$, 132.18 and 84.85, 294 295 respectively.

296 The mean wave power per unit width of wave front is given by

297

284

 $P_{w} = \rho_{w}g\int_{0}^{\infty}S(f)C_{g}(f)df ,$ (14)

where S(f) is the incident spectral energy density; and $C_g(f)$ is the group velocity of each frequency 298 299 band.

300 2.3. Energy capture

301 Finally, the pneumatic energy captured by the OWC for the three values of the turbine-induced damping at the three study sites was calculated. First, the pneumatic power captured by each *i*-th 302 303 energy bin $(E_{p,i})$ was obtained following:

304

$$E_{p,i} = C_{WR,i} E_{w,i} . (15)$$

305 where $C_{WR, i}$ and $E_{w, i}$ are the capture width ratio and the available wave energy of each *i*-th energy bin, respectively. As there are three efficiency matrices-one for each value of turbine-induced damping-306

and three wave resource characterisation matrices—one for each study site—there will be nine

308 different energy capture matrices.

309 Second, the total annual available energy and the total annual captured energy was calculated,
310 respectively, as:

$$E_{w,annual} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{w,i} , \qquad (16)$$

312
$$E_{p, annual} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{p,i}$$
, (17)

where *N* is the number of energy bins of each matrix. Last, the annual capture width ratio wascalculated as:

315
$$C_{WR, annual} = \frac{E_{p, annual}}{E_{w, annual}} .$$
(18)

316 **3. Results**

317 3.1. OWC efficiency matrices

The efficiency matrices of the OWC wave energy converter, obtained through the experimental campaign, are presented in Figure 6 for the three values of the turbine-induced damping, i.e., for three turbines with different diameter. Incidentally, a methodology to dimension the turbine diameter from the values of the damping coefficient was developed by Pereiras *et al.* (2015). The efficiency matrices are expressed in terms of the C_{WR} ; this term is preferred over the absorbed power because, as a relative indicator, it removes the bias that would otherwise result from the fact that wave power is proportional to the square of the wave height.

The comparison between the three graphs shows a clear influence of the turbine-induced damping on the performance of the device: for individual energy bins there are variations in the C_{WR} of up to 40% depending on the value of the damping coefficient (e.g., 0 m < H_{m0} < 1 m,

328 5 s < T_e < 6 s). However, given that the greater differences in the values of the C_{WR} are apparent only

329 for the less powerful sea states (those with the lower values of wave height and small periods), it is

330 expected that the overall influence of the turbine-induced damping on the energy captured by the

331 OWC be lower.

The optimum value of the damping coefficient varies depending on the wave conditions. Thus, for sea states with small wave period ($T_e < 9$ s) and low wave height ($H_{m0} < 3$ m), the value of the damping coefficient that provides the higher values of C_{WR} is $B^* = 84.85$; in the case of sea states with larger periods ($T_e > 9$ s) the value of the damping coefficient that provides the best efficiency is the

- medium one $(B^* = 132.18)$, or the largest one $(B^* = 160.49)$ if the wave height is very low
- 337 $(H_{m0} < 1 \text{ m}).$





Figure 6. Efficiency matrices of the OWC wave energy converter expressed in terms of the capture width ratio (C_{WR}), given as a percentage, for the three values of the damping coefficient tested (for further clarification, the numeric value is also included).

The variation of C_{WR} amongst energy bins presents a similar distribution for the three values of the damping coefficient: a band of higher efficiency for sea states with energy period between 5 s and 7 s, that decreases as wave height increases. Although the resonant period (that for which the C_{WR} is maximum) slightly increases when the damping coefficient increases, from 5 s < T_e < 6 s for $B^* = 84.85$ to 6 s < T_e < 7 s for $B^* = 132.18$ and 160.49, the change is minimal and, for the studied range of damping values, does not enable an adjustment of the resonant period of the OWC by modifying the turbine-induced damping. The efficiency matrices presented in Figure 6 characterise the OWC and, therefore, can be used to evaluate the pneumatic power production of the device in whatever location (with the limitation of having a similar water depth).

352 3.2. Energy captured by the OWC

- 353 The captured pneumatic energy matrices of the OWC are presented in Figure 7. These matrices are
- obtained by combining (energy bin by energy bin) the efficiency matrices of the OWC (Figure 6) with
- 355 the wave resource characterisation matrices at the three study sites (Figure 2).



356

Figure 7. Captured energy matrices of the OWC per unit width of converter for the three values of the damping coefficient (B^*) , at the three study sites. The colour scale represents the total pneumatic energy per metre of wave front (E_p) captured by the OWC in each energy bin; the numbers indicate the occurrence of the sea states in that energy bin, expressed in hours.

361 Comparing the results of annual captured energy (for whichever study site and value of the
362 damping coefficient) with the efficiency matrix of the corresponding damping coefficient (Figure 6),
363 it can be seen that energy bins with higher values of capture width ratio (those that comprise sea states

364 with energy period between 5 s and 7 s and low wave height) do not provide the bulk of captured 365 energy; in contrast, the variable that determines which energy bins provide the greatest amount of captured energy is the available wave energy as provided by the site-specific characterisation matrices 366 (Figure 2). Thus, the energy bins providing the greatest amount of captured energy vary depending on 367 the study site: at site 1, they correspond to sea states with energy periods between 6 and 7 s; at site 2, 368 369 to sea states with periods between 8 and 9 s; and, finally, at site 3, to sea states with periods between 370 9 and 10 s. In the three cases, the energy bin that provides the largest captured energy comprises sea 371 states with a significant wave height between 1 and 2 m, which in turn correspond to those providing 372 the bulk of the wave energy resource (Section 2.1.1).

373 The influence of the turbine-induced damping is also noticeable. For example, at study site 1, the bin providing the greatest amount of captured energy (6 s $< T_e < 7$ s, 1 m $< H_{m0} < 2$ m) with a total of 374 1.96 MWhm⁻¹ for the lowest damping ($B^* = 84.85$) and 1.47 MWhm⁻¹ for the largest one 375 $(B^* = 160.49)$, which implies a reduction of more than 25% in the captured energy. Notwithstanding, 376 the energy bin that provides the greatest amount of captured energy is the same (6 s $< T_e < 7$ s, 377 $1 \text{ m} < H_{m0} < 2 \text{ m}$) for the three values of the damping coefficient. At study site 2, the energy bin 378 providing the largest amount of captured energy (in this case 8 s $< T_e < 9$ s, 1 m $< H_{m0} < 2$ m) is again 379 380 the same for the three values of the damping coefficient. However, at study site 3 the bin allowing the 381 greatest energy production differs depending on the turbine-induced damping considered: in the case of $B^* = 132.18$ and 160.49 it corresponds to the interval delimited by 9 s < $T_e < 10$ s and 382

383 1 m < H_{m0} < 2 m, and for B^* = 84.85 to the interval delimited by 7 s < T_e < 8 s and 0 m < H_{m0} < 1 m.

384 The results of the total annual available energy $(E_{w, annual})$ and the total annual captured energy $(E_{p, annual})$, together with the annual capture width ratio $(C_{WR, annual})$, are presented in Figure 8. The 385 386 study site that provides the greatest amount of captured energy is Cariño (study site 1) with 8.7 MWhm⁻¹ and an annual capture width ratio of 32.1%. At this location, the value of that damping 387 388 coefficient that performs best is the lowest ($B^* = 84.85$). Although site 1 presents a level of total annual available energy equivalent to site 2 (27.14 vs 27.06 MWhm⁻¹, respectively), the total annual 389 390 captured energy in the former is greater. This is due to the fact that site 1 corresponds to the location 391 in which sea states of shorter energy periods ($T_e < 8$ s) provide more energy (Figure 2), which in turn 392 are those with the largest efficiency (Figure 6). Therefore, an accurate wave resource characterisation 393 in the form of highly discretised wave-height-vs-energy-period matrix is of paramount importance for 394 assessing the energy production of an OWC wave energy converter.



395

396Figure 8. Comparison of the annual energy conversion performance of the OWC per unit width of397converter for the three values of the damping coefficient (B^*) , at the three study sites, expressed in398terms of the total annual captured pneumatic energy (top graph, left axis) and of the annual capture399width ratio (bottom graph). For reference, the total annual available wave energy is also represented in400the top graph (right axis).

401 A wrong selection of the damping coefficient would reduce the total annual captured energy by 402 5% and 11% for the medium and largest values of the damping coefficient, respectively, which would 403 have repercussions for the profitability of a wave farm. Furthermore, in this work in particular, there 404 are two aspects that contribute to decrease the impact of the turbine-induced damping on the total 405 annual captured energy: (i) the three values of the damping coefficient were chosen based on previous 406 works (e.g., López et al., 2016), so they present similar values, close to the overall optimum turbine-407 induced damping of the chamber; and (ii) as indicated above, the greater differences in the values of the C_{WR} correspond to sea states with short periods (Figure 6) which, for the study sites considered, do 408 409 not provide the bulk of energy (Figure 2). This situation, though, may be different in other locations. 410 Interestingly, the value of the damping coefficient that provides the greater performance in the study site 3 is the medium one ($B^* = 132.18$). The lowest damping, which provides the largest amount 411 412 of energy production at study sites 1 and 2, descends to the second position in study site 3. 413 Additionally, in the case of analysing the most appropriate location for a given turbine, it is found that 414 for a turbine with damping coefficient $B^* = 84.85$ or $B^* = 132.18$ the best location corresponds to

415 study site 1, and for a turbine with a value of damping coefficient $B^* = 160.49$, study site 2 emerges 416 as the most appropriate one. This great variability points out the importance of correctly matching the 417 turbine-induced damping and the wave conditions that affect the OWC. It follows that an accurate 418 site-specific wave resource characterisation in the form of high-resolution characterisation matrices is 419 the first step towards a meaningful performance evaluation of an OWC wave energy converter. 420 Finally, the similarity between the annual captured width ratio at the three study sites (Figure 8 – 421 bottom) does not lead to similar values in the total annual captured energy (in particular, comparing 422 study site 3 with 1 and 2). This difference in the total annual captured energy is mainly connected to 423 the occurrence of the sea states in an energy bin, that varies substantially from one study site to 424 another (Figure 7) and emphasises, again, the importance of an accurate characterisation of the 425 available wave energy resource.

426 **4.** Conclusions

In this work, a comprehensive methodology to accurately evaluate the site-specific performance of an OWC wave energy converter considering the turbine-induced damping together with usually disregarded non-linear effects—in particular, the spring-like effect of air compressibility—is presented. Thus, the novelty of this work lies not only in the results achieved but also in the methodology presented, which constitutes an outcome on its own. The methodology is illustrated through a case study in Galicia (NW Spain) in which three locations of interest are considered for installing OWC technology.

434 The methodology is based on a combination of physical and numerical modelling. First, highresolution characterisation matrices considering virtually 100% of the exploitable resource are 435 436 computed at each site by implementing novel procedures based on the energy bin concept. Second, 437 small-scale physical model tests, in which air compressibility is appropriately considered, are carried out to determine the efficiency matrices of the OWC for three different values of the turbine-induced 438 439 damping (i.e., three impulse turbines of different diameter); irregular wave conditions representative 440 of each energy bin were simulated, covering the virtual totality (> 99%) of the wave energy resource in the characterisation matrices. Finally, resource and efficiency matrices were combined to obtain the 441 442 captured energy matrices that express, through a bivariate discretisation, the pneumatic energy that the 443 OWC captures for each turbine-induced damping at each site.

444 The methodology enables the selection of the most appropriate site and turbine-induced damping 445 combination based on performance values: of the three study sites considered, Cariño (1), Corme (2) and Panxón (3), the best performance was attained at study site 1 with a total annual captured energy 446 of 8.7 MWhm⁻¹ and an annual capture width ratio of 32.1%; the value of the damping coefficient that 447 performs best at this site was the lowest of those considered ($B^* = 84.85$). Moreover, the selection of 448 449 the best turbine for a given location is also possible: the value of the damping coefficient providing 450 the best performance at site 2 is also the lowest; unlike at site 3, where the medium value 451 $(B^* = 132.18)$ yields the best results. Finally, the best site for a specific turbine can be also selected: the best site for $B^* = 84.85$ and $B^* = 132.18$ was found to be site 1, and for $B^* = 160.49$, site 2. Going 452 453 beyond the methodology itself, the results showed that considering the turbine-induced damping is of 454 paramount importance in maximising the energy captured by an OWC device: when damping 455 coefficients changes, variations in the total annual energy captured of up to 11% were found, which 456 increase to 25% for individual energy bins. Furthermore, there is not a single optimum value of 457 turbine-induced damping for all sea states; indeed, the optimum depends on both the energy period 458 and the significant wave height. It follows that an accurate highly-discretised characterisation of the 459 wave energy resource is necessary. In addition, it was found that it is neither the capture width ratio 460 nor the power of the incoming sea states but the available wave energy provided by the site-specific 461 characterisation matrices that determines principally which energy bins provide the greatest amount of 462 annual captured energy, which highlights again the importance of the resource characterisation stage. 463 To sum up, the methodology presented in this work allows the accurate assessment of the performance of an OWC wave energy converter at a specific coastal site, considering a highly-464 discretised resource characterisation, non-linear effects, air compressibility effects and several levels 465 466 of turbine-induced damping. It constitutes the first step towards a wave-to-wire model in which non-467 linear effects are thoroughly taken into account, with special emphasis on the influence of air

468 compressibility.

469 Acknowledgements

470 During this work I. López was supported by the postdoctoral grant ED481B 2016/125-0 of the

- 471 'Programa de Axudas á etapa posdoutoral da Xunta de Galicia (Consellería de Cultura, Educación e
- 472 Ordenación Universitaria)'.

473 **References**

- Arean, N., Carballo, R., Iglesias, G., 2017. An integrated approach for the installation of a wave farm.
 Energy 138, 910-919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.07.114.
- Ashlin, J., Sannasiraj, S.A., Sundar, V., 2018. Performance of an array of oscillating water column devices integrated with an offshore detached breakwater. Ocean Eng.
 163, 518-532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.05.043.
- Astariz, S., Abanades, J., Perez-Collazo, C., Iglesias, G., 2015. Improving wind farm accessibility for
 operation & maintenance through a co-located wave farm: Influence of layout and wave climate.
 Energy Conv.Manag. 95, 229-241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.02.040.
- Astariz, S., Perez-Collazo, C., Abanades, J., Iglesias, G., 2015. Co-located wind-wave farm synergies
 (Operation & Maintenance): A case study. Energy Conv.Manag. 91, 63-75.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.11.060.
- Atan, R., Finnegan, W., Nash, S., Goggins, J., 2019. The effect of arrays of wave energy converters
 on the nearshore wave climate. Renew.Energy 172, 373-384.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.11.043.
- Babarit, A., 2015. A database of capture width ratio of wave energy converters. Renew.Energy 80,
 610-628. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.02.049.
- Brito-Melo, A., Hofmann, T., Sarmento, A J N A, Clément, A.H., Delhommeau, G., 2001. Numerical
 modelling of OWC-shoreline devices including the effect of surrounding coastline and non-flat
 bottom. Int.J.Offshore Polar Eng. 11 (2), 147-154.
- 493 Carballo, R., Iglesias, G., 2012. A methodology to determine the power performance of wave energy
 494 converters at a particular coastal location. Energy Conv.Manag. 61, 8-18.
 495 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2012.03.008.
- 496 Carballo, R., Sánchez, M., Ramos, V., Castro, A., 2014. A tool for combined WEC-site selection
 497 throughout a coastal region: Rias Baixas, NW Spain. Appl.Energy 135, 11-19.
 498 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.08.068.
- Carballo, R., Sánchez, M., Ramos, V., Fraguela, J.A., Iglesias, G., 2015. Intra-annual wave resource
 characterization for energy exploitation: A new decision-aid tool. Energy Conv.Manag. 93, 1-8.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.12.068.
- Carballo, R., Sánchez, M., Ramos, V., Taveira-Pinto, F., Iglesias, G., 2014. A high resolution
 geospatial database for wave energy exploitation. Energy 68, 572-583.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.02.093.
- 505 Di Fresco, L., Traverso, A., 2014. Energy conversion of orbital motions in gravitational waves:
 506 Simulation and test of the Seaspoon wave energy converter. Energy Conv.Manag. 86, 1164 507 1172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.06.048.
- Falcão, A.F.O., Henriques, J.C.C., 2014. Model-prototype similarity of oscillating-water-column
 wave energy converters. Int.J.Mar.Energy 6, 18-34.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijome.2014.05.002.

- Falcão, A.F.O., Henriques, J.C.C., 2016. Oscillating-water-column wave energy converters and air
 turbines: A review. Renew.Energy 85, 1391-1424.
- 513 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.07.086.
- 514 Falcão, A.F.O., Henriques, J.C.C., Gato, L.M.C., 2018. Self-rectifying air turbines for wave energy
 515 conversion: A comparative analysis. Renew.Sust.Energ.Rev. 91, 1231-1241.
 516 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.019.
- Gacitua, L., Gallegos, P., Henriquez-Auba, R., Lorca, Á, Negrete-Pincetic, M., Olivares, D., et al.,
 2018. A comprehensive review on expansion planning: Models and tools for energy policy
 analysis. Renew.Sust.Energ.Rev. 98, 346-360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.08.043.
- Goda, Y., 2010. Random Seas and Design of Maritime Structures: Third Edition. World Scientific
 Publishing, Singapore.
- Hasselmann, K., Barnett, T.P., Bouws, E., Carlson, H., Cartwright, D.E., Enke, K., et al., 1973.
 Measurements of wind-wave growth and swell decay during the Joint North Sea Wave Project (JONSWAP). Ergnzungsheft zur Deutschen Hydrographischen Zeitschrift Reihe A(8), 12.
- Hughes, S.A., 1993. Physical models and laboratory techniques in coastal engineering. World
 Scientific, Singapore.
- 527 Iglesias, G., Carballo, R., 2010. Wave power for La Isla Bonita. Energy 35 (12), 5013-5021.
 528 https:10.1016/j.energy.2010.08.020.
- López, I., Castro, A., Iglesias, G., 2015. Hydrodynamic performance of an oscillating water column
 wave energy converter by means of particle imaging velocimetry. Energy 83, 89-103.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.01.119.
- López, I., Pereiras, B., Castro, F., Iglesias, G., 2015. Performance of OWC wave energy converters:
 influence of turbine damping and tidal variability. Int.J.Energy Res. 39 (4), 472-483.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/er.3239.
- López, I., Pereiras, B., Castro, F., Iglesias, G., 2016. Holistic performance analysis and turbine induced damping for an OWC wave energy converter. Renew.Energy 85, 1155-1163.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.07.075.
- Malara, G., Gomes, R.P.F., Arena, F., Henriques, J.C.C., Gato, L.M.C., Falcão, A.F.O., 2017. The
 influence of three-dimensional effects on the performance of U-type oscillating water column
 wave energy harvesters. Renew.Energy 111, 506-522.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.04.038.
- Malara, G., Arena, F., 2013. Analytical modelling of an U-Oscillating Water Column and
 performance in random waves. Renew.Energy 60, 116-126.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2013.04.016.
- Ning, D., Wang, R., Zou, Q., Teng, B., 2016. An experimental investigation of hydrodynamics of a
 fixed OWC Wave Energy Converter. Appl.Energy 168, 636-648.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.01.107.
- Oliveira, P., Taveira-Pinto, F., Morais, T., Rosa-Santos, P., 2016. Experimental evaluation of the
 effect of wave focusing walls on the performance of the Sea-wave Slot-cone Generator. Energy
 Conv.Manag. 110, 165-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.11.071.

- Özkan-Haller, H.T., Haller, M.C., McNatt, J.C., Porter, A., Lenee-Bluhm, P., 2017. Analyses of Wave
 Scattering and Absorption Produced by WEC Arrays: Physical/Numerical Experiments and
 Model Assessment. In: Z. Yang, Copping A (Eds.), Marine Renewable Energy: Resource
 Characterization and Physical Effects, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 71-97.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53536-4 3.
- Pawitan, K.A., Dimakopoulos, A.S., Vicinanza, D., Allsop, W., Bruce, T., 2019. A loading model for
 an OWC caisson based upon large-scale measurements. Coast.Eng. 145, 1-20.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2018.12.004.
- Pereiras, B., López, I., Castro, F., Iglesias, G., 2015. Non-dimensional analysis for matching an
 impulse turbine to an OWC (oscillating water column) with an optimum energy transfer. Energy
 87, 481-489. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.05.018.
- Perez-Collazo, C., Greaves, D., Iglesias, G., 2018. Hydrodynamic response of the WEC sub-system of
 a novel hybrid wind-wave energy converter. Energy Conv.Manag. 171, 307-325.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.05.090.
- Raj, D., Sundar, V., Sannasiraj, S.A., 2019. Enhancement of hydrodynamic performance of an
 Oscillating Water Column with harbour walls. Renew.Energy 132, 142-156.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.07.089.
- Rezanejad, K., Bhattacharjee, J., Guedes Soares, C., 2015. Analytical and numerical study of dual chamber oscillating water columns on stepped bottom. Renew.Energy 75, 272-282.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.09.050.
- Rodríguez, C.A., Rosa-Santos, P., Taveira-Pinto, F., 2018. Assessment of the power conversion of
 wave energy converters based on experimental tests. Energy Conv.Manag. 173, 692-703.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.08.009.
- Veigas, M., López, M., Romillo, P., Carballo, R., Castro, A., Iglesias, G., 2015. A proposed wave
 farm on the Galician coast. Energy Conv.Manag. 99, 102-111.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.04.033.
- 577 Viviano, A., Naty, S., Foti, E., Bruce, T., Allsop, W., Vicinanza, D., 2016. Large-scale experiments
 578 on the behaviour of a generalised Oscillating Water Column under random waves.
 579 Renew.Energy
 580 99, 875-887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.07.067.
- 581 Vyzikas, T., Deshoulières, S., Barton, M., Giroux, O., Greaves, D., Simmonds, D., 2017.
 582 Experimental investigation of different geometries of fixed oscillating water column devices.
- 582 Experimental investigation of different geometries of fixed oscillating water column ac
 583 Renew.Energy 104, 248-258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.11.061.
- Weber, J., 2007. Representation of non-linear aero-thermodynamic effects during small scale physical
 modelling of OWC WECs. 7th European Wave and Tidal Energy Conference, Porto, Portugal,
 pp. 11-14.
- Weiss, C.V.C., Guanche, R., Ondiviela, B., Castellanos, O.F., Juanes, J., 2018. Marine renewable
 energy potential: A global perspective for offshore wind and wave exploitation. Energy
 Conv.Manag. 177, 43-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.09.059.
- Zheng, S., Zhang, Y., Iglesias, G., 2018. Wave–structure interaction in hybrid wave farms. J.Fluids
 Struct. 83, 386-412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2018.09.012.

593 Figure captions

- 594 Figure 1. Locations of the three study sites in Galicia (NW Spain).
- 595 Figure 2. Wave resource characterisation matrices in an average year for the three selected study sites. The
- 596 colour scale represents the total energy per metre of wave front (E_w) provided by each energy bin; the
- 597 numbers indicate the occurrence of the sea states within each energy bin, expressed in hours; and the
- isolines provide the wave power.
- 599 Figure 3. Sketch of a breakwater-integrated OWC (A) and views of the tested OWC converter (B).
- 600 Figure 4. Experimental set-up of the model OWC.
- Figure 5. Sea states covered in the experimental campaign. The grey axes represent the boundaries of the sea
 states and the black axes the representative wave conditions tested. The crosses indicate the representative
 wave condition of each energy bin.
- Figure 6. Efficiency matrices of the OWC wave energy converter expressed in terms of the capture width ratio (C_{WR}) , given as a percentage, for the three values of the damping coefficient tested (for further clarification, the numeric value is also included).
- 607Figure 7. Captured energy matrices of the OWC per unit width of converter for the three values of the damping608coefficient (B^*) , at the three study sites. The colour scale represents the total pneumatic energy per metre of609wave front (E_p) captured by the OWC in each energy bin; the numbers indicate the occurrence of the sea610states in that energy bin, expressed in hours.
- 611 Figure 8. Comparison of the annual energy conversion performance of the OWC per unit width of converter for
- 612 the three values of the damping coefficient (B^*) , at the three study sites, expressed in terms of the total
- 613 annual captured pneumatic energy (top graph, left axis) and of the annual capture width ratio (bottom
- graph). For reference, the total annual available wave energy is also represented in the top graph (right
- 615 axis).

Study site	X	Y	h
Cariño (1)	591770 m	4843092 m	12.7 m
Corme (2)	502782 m	4789545 m	12.0 m
Panxón (3)	513645 m	4665291 m	10.1 m

Table 1. Easting (X) and northing (Y) coordinates (UTM29N/ETRS89) and water depth (h) of the three study sites.

Geometrical parameter	Symbol	Model dimensions	Prototype dimensions
Chamber height	h_c	63.6 cm	15.9 m
Chamber length (in the wave direction)	l_c	12.8 cm	3.2 m
Entrance height	h_e	12.8 cm	3.2 m
Vertical walls thickness	t_Z	2.0 cm	0.5 m
Horizontal walls thickness	t_X	2.8 cm	0.7 m
Orifice diameter	D	variable	_
Duct height	h_d	25D	
Bedding height	h_b	8.0 cm	2.0 m
Bedding length	l_b	20.0 cm	5.0 m
Bedding slope	S	1:2	1:2

Table 2. Dimensions of the geometrical parameters of the OWC converter.

















 E_p (MWhm⁻¹)

1.5

