

Title	Medical journals and Wikipedia: a global health matter
Authors	Masukume, Gwinyai;Kipersztok, Lisa;Das, Diptanshu;Shafee, Thomas M. A.;Laurent, Michaël R.;Heilman, James M.
Publication date	2016-11-01
Original Citation	Masukume, G., Kipersztok, L., Das, D., Shafee, T. M. A., Laurent, M. R. and Heilman, J. M.(2016) 'Medical journals and Wikipedia: a global health matter', The Lancet Global Health, 4, e791. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30254-6
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30254-6
Rights	© The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY license. - https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Download date	2026-09-17 00:51:21
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/3220



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
 Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Medical journals and Wikipedia: a global health matter

Approximately 7000 stillbirths occur daily worldwide, and the vast majority of them (98%) take place in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs).¹ Despite this enormous burden, progress to reduce the death toll is slow and insufficient.² WHO released its *Making every baby count*³ guide in 2016, which includes strategies aimed at addressing the challenge of stillbirths. Given the flurry of activity and attention on stillbirths from the *Lancet* Stillbirth Epidemiology investigator group and WHO, we expect that the wealth of information about stillbirths that is generated will filter down in a timely manner to where it is needed most: the general public.

As is often the case for Wikipedians, we found that the stillbirth page⁴ on the English language Wikipedia was missing important information—eg, the major causes of stillbirth (malaria and syphilis) were not mentioned, and details on epidemiological aspects were scarce.¹ Unsurprisingly, the Wikipedia pages on stillbirth in about 20 other languages were less detailed than the English language version. This worried us because not only is Wikipedia the world's most used source of health information online, but it is also one of the most widely used sources by medical students, doctors, and other health-care providers.^{5,6} It is not difficult to imagine that the first online port of call for a woman, her partner, or her family following a stillbirth would be Wikipedia. Furthermore, many policy makers and other key stakeholders also read Wikipedia.

Wikipedia is particularly relevant for LMICs, where internet access is often slow and expensive. We have been involved in developing mobile apps for offline use which contain all of Wikipedia's anatomy, pharmacology, medicine, and sanitation content in an

attempt to address this issue. We have seen tens of thousands of downloads of the apps, with the majority from LMICs.⁷ There is clearly a huge unmet need for health-related information, to the extent that some mobile network operators in LMICs do not charge for data costs when users are accessing Wikipedia (Wikipedia Zero⁸). However, this generous practice has been cautioned against because some people feel it infringes upon internet neutrality (the principle that internet providers should treat all data equally).

In addition to the stillbirth article there are many others on Wikipedia associated with global health that require further attention. Wikipedia has the potential of being bolstered as a key tool for global public health promotion.⁹ However, Wikipedia struggles to attract medical doctors or other trained health professionals as editors. We echo previous authors in inviting the medical community—and in particular medical journals—to incentivise Wikipedia editing with the goal of bringing about increased access to reliable, understandable, and up-to-date health information⁹ in multiple languages. *PLoS Computational Biology*, for example, encourages its authors to post topics on Wikipedia.¹⁰ Promoting inclusive and equitable learning opportunities for all speaks to the aspirations of the Sustainable Development Goals. We suggest that medical journals actively promote and incentivise Wikipedia editing by the health-care community so that the most commonly used source of online health information is as reliable as possible.

MRL reports a Research Foundation Flanders PhD Fellowship grant, and personal fees from Flanders' Agricultural Marketing Board, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, and Novartis. All authors are members of Wikimedia projects including Wikipedia and Wikiversity.

Copyright © The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY license.

*Gwinyai Masukume, Lisa Kipersztok, Diptanshu Das, Thomas M A Shafee, Michaël R Laurent, James M Heilman gwinyai.masukume@ucc.ie

Irish Centre for Fetal and Neonatal Translational Research, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland (GM); Gravidia: National Centre for Growth and Development, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand (GM); Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa (GM); Oregon Health and Sciences University, Department of Family Medicine, Portland, OR, USA (LK); Department of Paediatrics, Kothari Medical Centre, Kolkata, India (DD); Department of Biochemistry and Genetics, La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science, La Trobe University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia (TMAS); Department of Geriatrics, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium (MRL); and Faculty of Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada (JMH)

- 1 Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Waiswa P, et al, for The Lancet Ending Preventable Stillbirths Series study group, with The Lancet Stillbirth Epidemiology investigator group. Stillbirths: rates, risk factors, and acceleration towards 2030. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: 587–603.
- 2 Blencowe H, Cousens S, Jassir FB, et al, for The Lancet Stillbirth Epidemiology Investigator Group. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016; **4**: e98–108.
- 3 WHO. Making every baby count. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2016. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/249523/1/9789241511223-eng.pdf?ua=1> (accessed Aug 19, 2016).
- 4 Wikipedia. Stillbirth. <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Stillbirth&oldid=730929233> (accessed Aug 19, 2016).
- 5 Heilman JM, West AG. Wikipedia and medicine: quantifying readership, editors, and the significance of natural language. *J Med Internet Res* 2015; **17**: e62.
- 6 Laurent MR, Vickers TJ. Seeking health information online: does Wikipedia matter? *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2009; **16**: 471–79.
- 7 Google Play. Medical Wikipedia (Offline). <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=org.kiwix.kiwixcustomwikimed> (accessed Aug 19, 2016).
- 8 Wikimedia Foundation. Wikipedia zero. https://wikimediafoundation.org/wiki/Wikipedia_Zero (accessed Aug 19, 2016).
- 9 Heilman JM, Kemmann E, Bonert M, et al. Wikipedia: a key tool for global public health promotion. *J Med Internet Res* 2011; **13**: e14.
- 10 Wodak SJ, Mietchen D, Collings AM, Russell RB, Bourne PE. Topic pages: PLoS computational biology meets Wikipedia. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2012; **8**: e1002446.

