

Title	Investigating the influence of ultrasound pre-treatment on drying kinetics and moisture migration measurement in Lactobacillus sakei cultured and uncultured beef jerky				
Authors	Ojha, K. Shikha;Kerry, Joseph P.;Tiwari, Brijesh K.				
Publication date	2017-03-08				
Original Citation	Ojha, K. S., Kerry, J. P. and Tiwari, B. K. (2017) 'Investigating the influence of ultrasound pre-treatment on drying kinetics and moisture migration measurement in Lactobacillus sakei cultured and uncultured beef jerky', LWT - Food Science and Technology, 81, pp. 42-49. doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2017.03.011				
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)				
Link to publisher's version	10.1016/j.lwt.2017.03.011				
Rights	© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/				
Download date	2025-05-18 06:15:29				
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/3967				



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

# Accepted Manuscript

Investigating the influence of ultrasound processing on drying kinetics and moisture migration measurement in lactobacillus cultured and uncultured beef jerky

K. Shikha Ojha, Joseph P. Kerry, Brijesh K. Tiwari

PII: S0023-6438(17)30156-1

DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.03.011

Reference: YFSTL 6084

To appear in: LWT - Food Science and Technology

Received Date: 2 August 2016

Revised Date: 23 February 2017

Accepted Date: 6 March 2017

Please cite this article as: Ojha, K.S., Kerry, J.P., Tiwari, B.K., Investigating the influence of ultrasound processing on drying kinetics and moisture migration measurement in lactobacillus cultured and uncultured beef jerky, *LWT - Food Science and Technology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.03.011.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Investigating the influence of ultrasound processing on drying kinetics and moisture
2	migration measurement in lactobacillus cultured and uncultured beef jerky
3	K Shikha Ojha <sup>1,2</sup> , Joseph P Kerry <sup>2</sup> , Brijesh K Tiwari <sup>1*</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Food Biosciences, Teagasc Food Research Centre, Dublin, Ireland
5	<sup>2</sup> Food Packaging Group, School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Cork,
6	Ireland
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Y
14	Address for corresponding author: Teagasc Food Research Centre, Dublin 15, Ireland, Email:
15	brijesh.tiwari@teagasc.ie; Tel: +35318059785; Fax: +35318059550
16	

#### 17 Abstract

18 Low Frequency-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (LF-NMR) was employed to elucidate changes in water distribution in cultured and uncultured beef jerky samples subjected to ultrasound pre-treatment. 19 20 Ultrasound pre-treatment at frequencies of 25, 33 and 45 kHz for 30 min, followed by marination (18 h) was carried out for both uncultured and cultured (Lactobacillus sakei) jerky samples. Water mobility 21 and distribution of water during drying were measured using LF-NMR. Among the various kinetic 22 models assessed, the Wang and Singh model provided the closest fit to the drying experimental data, 23 24 with high R2 ( $\geq$  0.994), low RMSE ( $\leq$  0.023) and low AICc (<-74.535) values for both cultured and uncultured samples. Distributed exponential analysis of T2 transversal relaxation times measured by 25 LF-NMR curves revealed the presence of three distinct peaks attributed to; bound water, water present 26 within the dense myofibrillar protein matrix and free-water at a relaxation time range of 0-10 ms (T2b), 27 10–100 ms (T21) and >100 ms (T22), respectively. Results presented in this study demonstrates that 28 the ultrasound effect on drying behaviour was frequency dependent and that LF-NMR can be employed 29 to evaluate moisture mobility and drying degree of beef jerky. 30

#### 31 1. Introduction

32

Beef jerky is a nutrient dense ready-to-eat meat snack, possessing characteristics of a typical 33 intermediate moisture content product with a relatively long shelf-life. Commercially, beef jerky is 34 prepared using a hurdle-technology approach which involves employment of interventions, such as; 35 reducing water activity (a<sub>w</sub>) and addition of preservatives such as organic acids, spices and curing 36 (nitrate/nitrite) salts. The development of whole-muscle and/or restructured jerky from a range of meats 37 by employing various curing ingredients (e.g. as organic acids, spices, sugars, NaCl and nitrate/nitrite 38 salts), curing methods and drying conditions have been widely reported (Choi, Jeong, Han, Choi, Kim, 39 40 Lee, et al., 2008; Jang, Kim, Hwang, Song, Kim, Ham, et al., 2015; Kucerova, Hubackova, Rohlik, & Banout, 2015). Most recently, the application of starter culture (e.g. lactic acid bacteria) to improve 41

flavour and quality of jerky products, while preventing the growth of spoilage bacteria, has been
reported (Biscola, Todorov, Capuano, Abriouel, Gálvez, & Franco, 2013; O'Connor, Ross, Hill, & Cotter,
2015; Zhao, Zhao, Lu, Huang, He, Tan, et al., 2016).

The application of ultrasound has been reported to enhance mass transfer rates during brining/curing of 45 meat, primarily by disrupting the continuity of cellular membranes due to various physical and chemical 46 effects of ultrasound (C Ozuna, Cárcel, García-Pérez, Peña, & Mulet, 2015). Ultrasound, in combination 47 with vacuum application has been shown to enhance the drying rate of beef and chicken meat (Baslar, 48 Kilicli, Toker, Sağdıc, & Arici, 2014). Ultrasound pre-treatment is widely reported to accelerate drying of 49 a range of food products (Awad, Moharram, Shaltout, Asker, & Youssef, 2012), which can affect texture 50 and water activity of products. Additionally, ultrasound treatment has shown promise in improving meat 51 52 tenderisation, depending on the ultrasonic intensities and processing times employed.

Moisture content is the main factor influencing the quality, safety and shelf life of meat-based 53 jerky. Conventionally, the moisture content of commercial forms of jerky is determined by oven drying 54 methods and sensory assessments. However, these methods are tedious, time-consuming, expensive 55 and require trained and skilled personnel. Thus, there is a great scientific and industrial interest to 56 develop a rapid, non-destructive and online method for determination of moisture content and drying 57 degree in order to ensure consistent jerky quality. Low-field nuclear magnetic resonance (LF-NMR) is a 58 59 sensitive, fast and non-invasive technique which has been widely adopted as an analytical technique for the characterization of water mobility and distribution within food matrices (Agudelo-Laverde et al., 60 2014; Troutman et al., 2001; Haiduc and van Duynhoven, 2005). The state and distribution of water in 61 food matrices, including meat, can be determined by LF-NMR and can provide useful information 62 about interactions between water and myofibrillar meat proteins, as it is governed by exchange of water 63 protons and exchangeable protons in proteins (Bertram, Engelsen, Busk, Karlsson, & Andersen, 2004). 64 LF-NMR has been successfully employed to study the effectiveness of various processing techniques. 65 including; brining, cooking, freezing and thawing on water distribution and mobility (Bertram, Kohler, 66

Böcker, Ofstad, & Andersen, 2006; Damez & Clerjon, 2013; C. Li, Liu, Zhou, Xu, Qi, Shi, et al., 2012;
Ojha, Keenan, Bright, Kerry, & Tiwari, 2016; Sánchez-Alonso, Moreno, & Careche, 2014). This
technique has also been suggested as an alternative method for the conventional determination of
drying degree upon the quality of chicken jerky (M. Li, Wang, Zhao, Qiao, Li, Sun, et al., 2014).

The objective of this study was to investigate the use of ultrasound as a pre-treatment prior to hot air convective drying of cultured and uncultured beef jerky. Modelling approaches were used to assess the influence of ultrasound frequency on the drying kinetics of beef jerky samples. Another objective of this study was to demonstrate a feasibility of using LF-NMR to determine water mobility and distribution of water during drying of cultured and uncultured beef jerky samples. Correlation analysis of transverse relaxation times and the moisture contents of dried beef jerky at different drying intervals were also determined to evaluate the drying degree of cultured and uncultured beef jerky samples.

78

#### 79 2. Materials and methods

### 80 **2.1. Sample preparation and ultrasonic pre-treatment**

Beef used in this study was *Musculus Semitendinosus* which was obtained from a local supplier (Dublin 81 Meat Company, Blanchardstown, Co. Dublin, Ireland). Meat was stored at 4°C, sliced to 0.2 cm in 82 thickness using a meat slicer and were further cut by knife into slices of uniform dimensions (Length= 83 10 cm, Width = 4 cm). The beef slices were cured using two different curing solutions: (I) Cultured, 84 containing 70% water, L. sakei DSM 15831 culture, 1.5% salt, 1.0% sugar, 0.05% sodium nitrite and (II) 85 Uncultured, containing 70% water, 1.5% salt, 1.0% sugar, 0.05% sodium nitrite (based on raw meat 86 87 weight; v/w). The ingredients were thoroughly mixed, and samples from both cultured and uncultured treatment groups were subjected to ultrasonic (US) pre-treatments at frequencies of 25 kHz (Model: 88 Elma IT H5), 33 kHz (Model: Jencons-PLS S1000) and 45 kHz (Model: Elma IT H5) for 30 min at 89 comparable output power of circa 65 W along with a control (no US pre-treatment). US pre-treatments 90

91 were performed in ultrasonic bath systems maintained at a temperature of 30°C. All samples were
92 subsequently cured for 18 h at 4°C.

93

### 94 2.2. Drying of Beef Jerky

95 Cultured and uncultured cured beef jerky slices were dried using a hot air drying oven (Gallendkamp 96 Plus II, Weiss Technik, UK) at a temperature of 60°C for 4 h and using an air velocity which was 97 maintained at 0.3 m/s. Beef jerky samples were placed in trays and were transferred to the hot air 98 drying oven. Two slices from each treatment were withdrawn after every 30 min for 4 h and 99 subsequently weight using precise weighing balance (Sartorius, Germany), after weight determination 90 slices were placed back to the oven.

101

### 102 **2.3. Mathematical modelling**

Moisture content, on a dry basis, is the weight of moisture present in the product per unit weight of dry matter in the product. For drying experiments, where weight losses were recorded, the instantaneous moisture contents at any given time can be obtained from Eq.1:

106 
$$M = \frac{(M_o+1)W_o}{W_t} - 1$$
 Eq. 1

Where W<sub>o</sub> is the initial weight (g) of jerky sample after a curing period of 18 h, W<sub>t</sub> is the weight (g) of sample at time t (min) and M<sub>o</sub> is the initial moisture content (g water/g dry solids), respectively. The initial moisture content was determined using the hot air oven method as per AOAC. The data obtained experimentally for control and ultrasound pre-treated beef jerky slices from both uncultured and cultured groups were plotted as a dimensionless variable moisture ratio (MR) *versus* time as calculated from Eq. 2:

113 Moisture ratio (MR) = 
$$\frac{(M_t - M_e)}{(M_o - M_e)}$$
 Eq.2

114

Where M<sub>t</sub> is the moisture content at any time t, M<sub>e</sub> the equilibrium moisture content and M<sub>o</sub> is the initial moisture content and all expressed as g water/g dry solids. The value of the equilibrium moisture content (Me) is relatively small compared to M<sub>t</sub> or M<sub>o</sub>. Thus, Eq. (1) can be simplified as MR =Mt/Mo (Ju, El-Mashad, Fang, Pan, Xiao, Liu, et al., 2016; Xie, Mujumdar, Fang, Wang, Dai, Du, et al., 2017). Moisture diffusivity (D<sub>f</sub>) for beef jerky samples were calculated by using Eq. 3 by analogy to the analytical solution to the Fick's second law of diffusion assuming negligible shrinkage, constant temperature, and constant moisture diffusivity (Zielinska & Michalska, 2016).

122 
$$MR = \frac{8}{\pi^2} exp\left[-\frac{\pi^2 D_f t}{4L^2}\right]$$
 Eq.3

123

124 Where,  $D_f$  is the effective moisture diffusivity (m<sup>2</sup>/min), L is the thickness of the sliced beef (m).

125

Six empirical models were employed to describe drying kinetics were Henderson and Pabis, Wang and Singh, Page, Lewis (Newton), Weibull and Peleg (Table 1). The regression coefficient ( $R^2$ ), Root mean square error (RMSE) and AICc (Akaike information criterion) values were calculated using Eq. 4 – 6, respectively. R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE and AICc values were used as the primary criteria for measuring best model fit.

$$R^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{i} - MR_{pred,i}) \times \sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{i} - MR_{exp,i})}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{i} - MR_{pred,i})^{2}\right] \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{i} - MR_{exp,i})^{2}\right]}}$$
Eq.4

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( MR_{exp,i} - MR_{pred,i} \right)^2}$$
Eq.5

131 
$$AICc = 2n - 2log_e(\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}|y) + \frac{2n(n+1)}{N-n-1})$$
 Eq. 6

Where,  $MR_{exp,i}$  is moisture content observed experimentally and  $MR_{pre,i}$  is predicted moisture content; *SSE* is the sum of squared error,  $2log_e(\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}|y))$  is the log-likelihood at its maximum point of the model estimated, *N* and *n* represent the number of observations and parameters assessed, respectively.

#### 137 **2.2. LF-NMR transverse relaxation measurements**

LF-NMR transverse relaxation measurements were carried out using a method described by McDonnell, Allen, Duggan, Arimi, Casey, Duane, et al. (2013) using a Maran Ultra instrument (Oxford Instruments, Abington, Oxfordshire, UK) resonating at a frequency of 23.2 MHz. Transverse relaxation (T<sub>2</sub>) times were measured using Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence with the resultant relaxation decays analysed by tri–exponential unsupervised fitting using RI Win–DXP software (Version 1.2.3 Oxford Instrument, Abington, Oxfordshire, UK).

144

#### 145 2.4. Statistical data analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using SAS procedure (SAS Version 9.1.3, statistical Analysis Systems). Tukey's multiple comparison was used to compare treatment means. Pearson's correlation coefficients were analysed to determine a relationship between moisture content (MC, %) and TD-NMR relaxation parameters. Correlation coefficients and significance values were determined using PROC CORR (SAS Version 9.1.3).

151

#### 152 **3. Result and Discussion**

#### 153 **3.1. Drying kinetics**

The effects of ultrasound frequencies on drying kinetics of marinated (uncultured and cultured) beef jerky slices are shown in Figure 1(a) & 1(b), respectively. In general, the moisture ratio (MR) decreased

132

156 exponentially with time for control and ultrasound pre-treated samples from both cultured and uncultured groups. A variable effect was observed on the drying curves, depending upon culture 157 treatment and ultrasonic frequency, as can be deduced from Figure 1. In general, a fast decrease in the 158 MR [-] was observed for all treatments at initial stages followed by a slow decrease with drying time 159 [min] at a drying temperature of 60°C. The moisture content decreased gradually for all samples, while 160 a fast decrease in moisture content was observed at a frequency of 45 kHz, followed by the control, 25 161 kHz and 33 kHz, respectively, for cultured samples. In the case of uncultured samples, control samples 162 showed the fastest decrease in moisture content, followed by 45 kHz, 33 kHz and 25 kHz. Previous 163 studies have shown that ultrasound pre-treatment can enhance drying rate for various food matrices 164 (Fernandes, Rodrigues, García-Pérez, & Cárcel, 2015; García-Pérez, Cárcel, Benedito, & Mulet, 2007). 165 166 However, the effect of ultrasound assisted drying depends largely on food matrix being dried, ultrasonic processing parameters and drying temperature. For example, ultrasound pre-treatment of various food 167 matrices showed a significant decrease in drying time, whereas in some cases, minor improvements 168 were reported (F. A. N. Fernandes, M. I. Gallão, & S. Rodrigues, 2008; A Mulet, Carcel, Sanjuan, & 169 Bon, 2003). Generally, during the drying process, migration of moisture is fast due to the evaporation of 170 surface moisture and decreases exponentially with an increase in drying time due to resistance offered 171 by the matrix to moisture movement. In a study conducted by Başlar, Kılıçlı, Toker, Sağdıç, and Arici 172 (2014), a significant decrease in drying time for ultrasound-assisted, vacuum-drying of chicken and 173 beef meat samples was observed. There are several supporting studies which show that ultrasound 174 enhances drying rate, owing to various mechanisms, thus modifying the diffusion boundary due to 175 acoustic pressure waves, oscillating viscosities, compressions and expansions of materials leading to 176 the formation of micro channels on surfaces which is required for fluid movement (Cárcel, García-177 Pérez, Benedito, & Mulet, 2012; A Mulet, Cárcel, Benedito, Rosselló, & Simal, 2003; Yao, 2016). 178 Variation in drying rate in this study may be due to the diffusion of marination solution into the meat 179 matrix due to the formation of micro channels on surfaces. Studies have shown that ultrasound 180

application can increase brine diffusion rate into a range of meat matrices (J. A. Cárcel, J. Benedito, J.
Bon, & A. Mulet, 2007; A. Mulet, Cárcel, Sanjuán, & Bon, 2003; César Ozuna, Puig, García-Pérez,
Mulet, & Cárcel, 2013). This may occur due to ultrasound assisted microinjection of brine into meat
through the formation of microjets as a result of asymmetric cavitation near the solid surface of the
product (Mason & Lorimer, 2002). However, it has been reported that no linear increase in diffusion of
brine solution into meat matrices was observed with respect to ultrasonic intensity (McDonnell, Lyng,
Arimi, & Allen, 2014).

The successful application of ultrasound on meat drying rates has been reported, however, the 188 mechanism of action is not yet clear. In this study, the effect of ultrasound frequency on drying rate for 189 both uncultured and cultured samples was probably due to the effect of ultrasound on lactobacillus 190 191 culture and diffusion of marination solution into the beef jerky samples. A significant moisture change was observed in marinated beef jerky samples after 18 h marination for ultrasonic pre-treated samples 192 compared to fresh beef (72.0%). For uncultured samples treated, at the lowest ultrasound frequency 193 (25 kHz), a gain of 6.04% was observed whereas for 33 kHz and 45 kHz pre-treatments moisture gains 194 of 5.60 % and 6.15%, respectively, were observed. In the case of cultured samples, no significant 195 moisture gain was observed for the control group, whereas moisture gains of 5.12%, 4.11% and 3.58% 196 were observed for ultrasound pre-treatments 33 kHz, 25 kHz and 45 kHz, respectively. 197

198 The observed changes were mainly due to uptake of marination solution. Similar gains in moisture have been reported for ultrasound pre-treatment prior to drying of fruit (F. A. Fernandes, M. I. Gallão, & S. 199 Rodrigues, 2008; Oliveira, Gallão, Rodrigues, & Fernandes, 2011). However, in some cases, solid 200 losses during ultrasound pre-treatments were also reported (Kadam, Tiwari, & O'Donnell, 2015; 201 Oliveira, Gallão, Rodrigues, & Fernandes, 2011). A concentration gradient of soluble solids between 202 beef slices and the marination solution resulted in water gain after pre-treatment and subsequent 203 incubation. Increase in moisture uptake has been reported for marinated beef products, including; pork. 204 poultry and beef, depending on composition of marination solution. Aktas and Kaya (2001) observed an 205

206 increase in moisture uptake for beef Longissimus dorsi muscle after marination at 4°C for 24 h. In this study, moisture uptake was observed for ultrasound pre-treated samples, whereas no significant 207 change in moisture uptake was observed for control samples. Research carried out by J. Cárcel, J. 208 209 Benedito, J. Bon, and A. Mulet (2007) on ultrasound-assisted brine diffusion of pork muscle showed no significant change in moisture uptake in samples subjected to static brining and found that moisture 210 uptake was dependent on ultrasonic intensity at a constant frequency of 20 kHz. Limited studies with 211 muscle-based foods have, like this present study, also highlighted moisture uptake as a result of 212 ultrasound pre-treatment in the case of Halal and non-Halal chicken breast (Leal-Ramos, Alarcon-Rojo, 213 Mason, Paniwnyk, & Alarjah, 2011). 214

215

#### 3.2. Drying models

217

Non-linear regression analysis was carried out for six drying models as a function of drying time and 218 moisture ratio and various statistical parameters (R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE and AICc) were determined to measure the 219 goodness of model fit. Model and statistical parameters (of drying models are listed in Table 1. For all 220 models R<sup>2</sup> ranged from 0.941 to 0.998, RMSE ranged from 0006 to 0.075 and AICc values ranged from 221 -105.40 to -50.43. For beef jerky samples investigated, the Wang and Singh model had the closest fit 222 to the drying experimental data, as evident from the high  $R^2$  values ( $\geq 0.994$ ) and the low RMSE ( $\leq$ 223 0.023) and low AICc (<-74.535) values for both cultured and uncultured jerky samples. Model 224 parameters (a and b) obtained by fitting the Wang and Singh model indicated that the relative 225 magnitude of the parameter accurately reflects drying behaviour. Drying constant values (a) were in 226 the range of  $-5.98 \times 10^{-3}$  min<sup>-1</sup> to  $-3.2 \times 10^{-3}$  min<sup>-1</sup> for uncultured and  $-6.73 \times 10^{-3}$  min<sup>-1</sup> to  $-3.39 \times 10^{-3}$ 227 min<sup>-1</sup> for cultured jerky samples, whereas, drying constant values (b) varied from  $-4.22 \times 10^{-7}$  min<sup>-2</sup> to 228  $9.28 \times 10^{-6}$  min<sup>-2</sup> for uncultured and  $1.23 \times 10^{-6}$  min<sup>-2</sup> to  $1.22 \times 10^{-5}$  min<sup>-2</sup> cultured samples. Model 229 parameter (a) was lowest in the case of 45 kHz and highest for 33 kHz for cultured samples, whereas, 230

231 in the case of uncultured samples it was lowest for control samples and highest for 25 kHz samples. The lower (a) values reflect the higher moisture removal rates. A similar trend was also observed for 232 drying kinetics when fitted to other models. Various models have been proposed to model drying 233 kinetics of various food products, including; beef and chicken (Başlar, Kılıçlı, Toker, Sağdıç, & Arici, 234 2014). Drying behaviour can be predicted using a range of models, however, in this study the Wang 235 and Singh model was found to be the best fit. Best model fit can be judged based on various statistical 236 parameters, however; AICc and RMSE values were the criteria used for model section, because R<sup>2</sup> 237 alone cannot be judged for model fitting. AICc tends to have performance advantages over other 238 criteria for model fitting (Burnham, Anderson, & Huyvaert, 2011). AICc value rise with an increase in the 239 number of model parameters and the lower the AICc value, the better is the model performance. AICc 240 241 criteria has been adopted by several researchers to test the performance of drying kinetics models (Buttchereit, Stamer, Junge, & Thaller, 2010; Gowen, Abu-Ghannam, Frias, & Oliveira, 2008; Kadam, 242 Tiwari, & O'Donnell, 2015). The D<sub>f</sub> value of the of cultured and uncultured beef samples ranged 243 between 0.90 to  $1.33 \times 10^{-8}$  m<sup>2</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> and 0.83 to  $1.45 \times 10^{-8}$  m<sup>2</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, as shown in Figure 244 2. The highest Df value was observed for control uncultured samples, and cultured samples pre-treated 245 at 45 kHz. Df value was found to increase with an increase in ultrasonic frequency in the case 246 uncultured samples, however, values remained significantly lower for control jerky samples in all cases. 247 Calculated Df values were within the range (10-8 to 10-10 m<sup>2</sup>/s) of those previously reported for drying of 248 biological materials (Baslar, Kılıclı, Toker, Sağdıc, & Arici, 2014; Zogzas, Maroulis, & Marinos-Kouris, 249 1996). 250

**3.3. Water mobility by TD-NMR relaxometry** 

A representative LF-NMR T<sub>2</sub> transverse measurement for uncultured and cultured samples after 18 h marination (i.e. before drying) and after the 4 h drying period is shown in Figure 3. Distributed exponential analysis of curve obtained for various samples revealed the presence of three distinct peaks obtained at relaxation time ranges of 0–10 ms ( $T_{2b}$ ), 10–100 ms ( $T_{21}$ ) and >100 ms ( $T_{22}$ )

respectively. These peaks can be attributed to various fractions of water present in beef jerky samples. 256 The first peak obtained at the shortest relaxation time  $(T_{2b})$  represents bound water which is closely 257 associated with macromolecules (mainly proteins). The second peak at T<sub>21</sub> represents water present 258 259 within the dense myofibrillar protein matrix, whereas, the third peak at T<sub>22</sub> can be attributed to freewater present outside the myofibrillar protein matrix. Presence of three water fractions at relaxation 260 times and their association with muscle proteins has been previously reported (Huff-Lonergan & 261 Lonergan, 2005; Pearce, Rosenvold, Andersen, & Hopkins, 2011). Ultrasound pre-treatment showed a 262 shift in peaks for uncultured samples compared to cultured samples after 18 h of marination or 0 h 263 drying (Figure 3a&b). In the case of cultured control samples, a higher level of bound water fraction was 264 observed with a decrease in ultrasound pre-treated (Figure 3a), whereas, a shift in peaks were 265 266 observed in the case of uncultured samples (Figure 3b). In this study, the largest fraction of water present in beef jerky samples was observed at T<sub>21</sub> for cultured (in the range of 84.74–78.87%) and 267 uncultured (90.51 to 66.47%) samples after 18 h of marination, whereas, during drying at 60°C, the 268 proportion of water obtained at  $T_{21}$  was found to decrease with an increase in water proportion at  $T_{2b}$ . 269 An increase in water fraction at T<sub>21</sub> indicates an increase in the number of protons in the intra-270 myofibrillar space. Whereas, an increased water fraction at T<sub>22</sub> population indicates a similar rise in 271 number of protons, thereby representing an increase in the extra myofibrillar water population (Pearce, 272 Rosenvold, Andersen, & Hopkins, 2011). An increase in the proportion of water at T<sub>2b</sub> suggests a 273 reduction in myofibrillar moisture and an increase in the bound water fraction obtained at T<sub>2b</sub> due to the 274 removal of myofibril and free-moisture during drying. Similar increases in the bound water fraction, 275 indicating moisture mobility, was reported for beef granules during drying within a temperature range of 276 40-60°C (X. Li, Ma, Tao, Kong, & Li, 2012). Analysis of variance showed that culture and drying time 277 were the significant factors for all three relaxation times, whereas, ultrasound frequency was a 278 significant factor for  $T_{21}$  (p=0.0001),  $T_{22}$  (p=0.0010) and an insignificant factor for  $T_{2b}$ . Interaction effects 279 of drying time with culture and ultrasound frequency were significant for relaxation time and water 280

proportion. Similar, changes for water population at  $T_{21}$  and  $T_{22}$  relaxation times were also reported for ultrasound-assisted brining of pork samples in a study which concluded that a reduction in the  $T_{21}$ population and an increase in the  $T_{22}$  population may be due to increased salt intake and a change in physical properties of meat during the curing process (Ojha, Keenan, Bright, Kerry, & Tiwari, 2016). The increased intake of curing solution owing to ultrasound pre-treatment can cause an enlarged electrostatic repulsion within myofibrils, thereby resulting in water mobility and osmotic dehydration (Vestergaard, Andersen, & Adler-Nissen, 2007).

A plot of moisture content (MC, %) and T<sub>22</sub> relaxation time (free-water) indicated that a change in 288 289 relaxation time is related to the MC of cultured and uncultured beef jerky samples (Figure 4). Similarly, (2014) showed a relationship between  $T_{21}$  and  $T_{22}$  with water holding capacity of tofu. Hence, moisture 290 population data obtained from NMR can be used for indirect prediction of key moisture related 291 measurements. In this study, a strong positive correlation was observed between MC and  $T_{22}$  (*r*=0.790, 292 p < 0.0001) and proportion of water at T<sub>22</sub> (P<sub>2</sub>) (r = 0.709, p < 0.0001) indicating that the MC of beef jerky 293 samples is mainly associated with free-water. Correlation analysis also showed a strong positive 294 relationship between drying time (h) and various water fractions and relaxation times (Table 2), with the 295 exception of T<sub>2b</sub>, whereas, a significant negative relationship was observed between water fraction 296 associated with T<sub>2b</sub>. This is probably due to a shift in relaxation time during the drying process. 297

#### 298 4. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that ultrasound pre-treatment have significant effect on drying behaviour and moisture mobility of cultured and uncultured beef jerky samples. However, improvement in drying rates for both cultured and uncultured samples was not evident from the drying models generated. Significant increases in moisture gain after ultrasonic pre-treatment promoted brine uptake due to the combined effect of cavitation and concentration gradient phenomena. Among several drying models tested to predict the drying behaviour of beef jerky samples, the Wang and Singh drying model was found to be

- the best model as demonstrated by high R<sup>2</sup>, low RMSE and AICc values. LF-NMR results showed
- moisture mobility during drying process with strong correlation with MC of jerky samples. LF-NMR can
- 307 be employed to elucidate changes in water distribution and moisture content of beef jerky samples.

## 308 Nomenclature

- 309
- 310 LF-NMR: Low Frequency-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
- 311 W<sub>o</sub>: Initial weight [g]
- 312 Wt: Weight [g] at time t
- 313 t: time [min]
- 314 M<sub>o</sub>: Initial moisture content [g water/g dry solids]
- $M_t$ : is the moisture content at any time t,
- 316 Me: Equilibrium moisture content
- 317 D<sub>f</sub>: Effective moisture diffusivity [m<sup>2</sup>/min],
- 318 L: The thickness of the sliced beef [m]
- 319 MR: Moisture ratio [–]
- 320 *R*<sup>2</sup>: The regression coefficient,
- 321 RMSE: Root mean square error
- 322 AICc: Akaike information criterion
- 323 MC: Moisture content [%]
- 324  $T_{2b} T_{21}$  and  $T_{22}$ : Relaxation time (ms)
- 325
- 326
- 327 **5. References**

328 Aktaş, N., & Kaya, M. (2001). The influence of marinating with weak organic acids and salts on the 329 intramuscular connective tissue and sensory properties of beef. European Food Research 330 and Technology, 213(2), 88-94. 331 Awad, T., Moharram, H., Shaltout, O., Asker, D., & Youssef, M. (2012). Applications of ultrasound in 332 analysis, processing and quality control of food: A review. Food Research International, 333 48(2), 410-427. 334 Baslar, M., Kılıçlı, M., Toker, O. S., Sağdıç, O., & Arici, M. (2014). Ultrasonic vacuum drying technique 335 as a novel process for shortening the drying period for beef and chicken meats. Innovative 336 Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 26, 182-190. Bertram, H. C., Engelsen, S. B., Busk, H., Karlsson, A. H., & Andersen, H. J. (2004). Water properties 337 338 during cooking of pork studied by low-field NMR relaxation: effects of curing and the RN--339 gene. Meat Science, 66(2), 437-446. 340 Bertram, H. C., Kohler, A., Böcker, U., Ofstad, R., & Andersen, H. J. (2006). Heat-induced changes in 341 myofibrillar protein structures and myowater of two pork qualities. A combined FT-IR 342 spectroscopy and low-field NMR relaxometry study. Journal of Agricultural and Food 343 Chemistry, 54(5), 1740-1746. Biscola, V., Todorov, S., Capuano, V., Abriouel, H., Gálvez, A., & Franco, B. (2013). Isolation and 344 345 characterization of a nisin-like bacteriocin produced by a Lactococcus lactis strain isolated 346 from charqui, a Brazilian fermented, salted and dried meat product. Meat Science, 93(3), 347 607-613. 348 Burnham, K. P., Anderson, D. R., & Huyvaert, K. P. (2011). AIC model selection and multimodel inference in behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and comparisons. 349 350 Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 65(1), 23-35. Buttchereit, N., Stamer, E., Junge, W., & Thaller, G. (2010). Evaluation of five lactation curve models 351 352 fitted for fat: protein ratio of milk and daily energy balance. Journal of Dairy Science, 93(4), 353 1702-1712. 354 Cárcel, J., Benedito, J., Bon, J., & Mulet, A. (2007). High intensity ultrasound effects on meat brining. 355 *Meat Science*, *76*(4), 611-619. 356 Cárcel, J., García-Pérez, J., Benedito, J., & Mulet, A. (2012). Food process innovation through new 357 technologies: Use of ultrasound. Journal of Food Engineering, 110(2), 200-207. 358 Cárcel, J. A., Benedito, J., Bon, J., & Mulet, A. (2007). High intensity ultrasound effects on meat 359 brining. *Meat Science*, 76(4), 611-619. 360 Choi, J.-H., Jeong, J.-Y., Han, D.-J., Choi, Y.-S., Kim, H.-Y., Lee, M.-A., Lee, E.-S., Paik, H.-D., & Kim, C.-J. 361 (2008). Effects of pork/beef levels and various casings on quality properties of semi-dried 362 jerky. Meat Science, 80(2), 278-286. 363 Damez, J.-L., & Clerjon, S. (2013). Quantifying and predicting meat and meat products quality 364 attributes using electromagnetic waves: An overview. Meat Science, 95(4), 879-896. Fernandes, F. A., Gallão, M. I., & Rodrigues, S. (2008). Effect of osmotic dehydration and ultrasound 365 366 pre-treatment on cell structure: Melon dehydration. LWT-Food Science and Technology, 367 41(4), 604-610. 368 Fernandes, F. A., Rodrigues, S., García-Pérez, J. V., & Cárcel, J. A. (2015). Effects of Ultrasound-369 Assisted Air Drying on Vitamins and Carotenoids of Cherry Tomatoes. Drying 370 Technology(just-accepted). 371 Fernandes, F. A. N., Gallão, M. I., & Rodrigues, S. (2008). Effect of osmotic dehydration and 372 ultrasound pre-treatment on cell structure: Melon dehydration. LWT - Food Science and 373 Technology, 41(4), 604-610. 374 García-Pérez, J., Cárcel, J., Benedito, J., & Mulet, A. (2007). Power ultrasound mass transfer 375 enhancement in food drying. Food and Bioproducts Processing, 85(3), 247-254. 376 Gowen, A., Abu-Ghannam, N., Frias, J., & Oliveira, J. (2008). Modeling dehydration and rehydration 377 of cooked soybeans subjected to combined microwave-hot-air drying. Innovative Food 378 Science & Emerging Technologies, 9(1), 129-137.

379 380	Huff-Lonergan, E., & Lonergan, S. M. (2005). Mechanisms of water-holding capacity of meat: The role of postmortem biochemical and structural changes. <i>Meat Science, 71</i> (1), 194-204.
381 382	Jang, SJ., Kim, HW., Hwang, KE., Song, DH., Kim, YJ., Ham, YK., Lim, YB., Jeong, TJ., Kim, S Y., & Kim, CJ. (2015). Effects of Replacing Sucrose with Various Sugar Alcohols on Quality
383 384	Properties of Semi-dried Jerky. <i>Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources, 35</i> (5), 622.
385 386	Ju, HY., El-Mashad, H. M., Fang, XM., Pan, Z., Xiao, HW., Liu, YH., & Gao, ZJ. (2016). Drying characteristics and modeling of yam slices under different relative humidity conditions.
387	Drying Technology, 34(3), 296-306.
388	Kadam, S. U., Tiwari, B. K., & O'Donnell, C. P. (2015). Effect of ultrasound pre-treatment on the
389	drying kinetics of brown seaweed Ascophyllum nodosum. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 23,
390	302-307.
391	Kucerova, I., Hubackova, A., Rohlik, BA., & Banout, J. (2015). Mathematical Modeling of Thin-Layer
392	Solar Drying of Eland (Taurotragus oryx) Jerky. International Journal of Food Engineering,
393	11(2), 229-242.
394	Leal-Ramos, M. Y., Alarcon-Rojo, A. D., Mason, T. J., Paniwnyk, L., & Alarjah, M. (2011). Ultrasound-
395	enhanced mass transfer in Halal compared with non-Halal chicken. Journal of the Science of
396	Food and Agriculture, 91(1), 130-133.
397	Li, C., Liu, D., Zhou, G., Xu, X., Qi, J., Shi, P., & Xia, T. (2012). Meat quality and cooking attributes of
398	thawed pork with different low field NMR T 21. <i>Meat Science, 92</i> (2), 79-83.
399	Li, M., Wang, H., Zhao, G., Qiao, M., Li, M., Sun, L., Gao, X., & Zhang, J. (2014). Determining the
400	drying degree and quality of chicken jerky by LF-NMR. <i>Journal of Food Engineering, 139,</i> 43-
401	49.
402	Li, T., Rui, X., Li, W., Chen, X., Jiang, M., & Dong, M. (2014). Water distribution in tofu and application
403	of T 2 relaxation measurements in determination of tofu's water-holding capacity. <i>Journal of</i>
404	agricultural and food chemistry, 62(34), 8594-8601.
405	Li, X., Ma, L. Z., Tao, Y., Kong, B. H., & Li, P. J. (2012). Low field-NMR in measuring water mobility and
406	distribution in beef granules during drying process. In <i>Advanced Materials Research</i> , vol.
407	550 (pp. 3406-3410): Trans Tech Publ.
408	Mason, T. J., & Lorimer, J. P. (2002). Applied sonochemistry. The uses of power ultrasound in
409	chemistry and processing, 1-48.
410	McDonnell, C. K., Allen, P., Duggan, E., Arimi, J. M., Casey, E., Duane, G., & Lyng, J. G. (2013). The
411	effect of salt and fibre direction on water dynamics, distribution and mobility in pork muscle:
412	A IOW HEID NIVIR SLUDY. <i>Medi Science</i> , 95(1), 51-58.
413	ultracound: A nilot scale production Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies
414 415	
415	20(0), 191-198. Mulat A. Cárcol I. Bonodita C. Boscollá C. & Simol S. (2002). Ultraconia mass transfor
410	anhancement in food processing. Transport phanemana of food processing
417 110	Mulet A Carcol L Saniuan N & Ron L (2002) New food drying technologies Lise of ultrasound
410	Food Science and Technology International (2), 215–221
419	Mulet A Cárcol I A Saniuán N & Bon J (2002) New food druing technologies. Use of
420	ultrasound Food Science and Technology International 9(2) 215-221
421	O'Connor P. M. Ross, R. P. Hill, C. & Cotter, P. D. (2015). Antimicrohial antagonists against food
422	nathogens: A hacteriocin perspective Current Opinion in Food Science 2, 51-57
423	Oiha K S Keenan D F Bright A Kerry L P & Tiwari B K (2016) Ultrasound assisted diffusion
425	of sodium salt replacer and effect on physicochemical properties of pork meat. <i>International</i>
426	Journal of Food Science & Technology 51(1) 37-45
427	Oliveira, F. L. Gallão, M. L. Rodrigues, S. & Fernandes, F. A. N. (2011). Dehydration of Malay apple
428	(Syzygium malaccense L.) using ultrasound as pre-treatment. Food and Bioprocess
429	Technology, 4(4), 610-615.

430 431	Ozuna, C., Cárcel, J., García-Pérez, J., Peña, R., & Mulet, A. (2015). Influence of Brine Concentration on Moisture and NaCl Transport During Meat Salting. In <i>Water Stress in Biological,</i>						
432	Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Food Systems, (pp. 519-525): Springer.						
433	Ozuna, C., Puig, A., García-Pérez, J. V., Mulet, A., & Cárcel, J. A. (2013). Influence of high intensity						
434	ultrasound application on mass transport, microstructure and textural properties of pork						
435	meat (Longissimus dorsi) brined at different NaCl concentrations. <i>Journal of Food</i>						
126	Engineering 110(1) 84-02						
430	Linguiteeting, 119(1), 04-55.						
457	rearce, K. L., Kosenvold, K., Andersen, H. J., & Hopkins, D. L. (2011). Water distribution and mobility						
438	In meat during the conversion of muscle to meat and ageing and the impacts on fresh meat						
439	quality attributes—A review. <i>Meat Science, 89</i> (2), 111-124.						
440	Sánchez-Alonso, I., Moreno, P., & Careche, M. (2014). Low field nuclear magnetic resonance (LF-						
441	NMR) relaxometry in hake (Merluccius merluccius, L.) muscle after different freezing and						
442	storage conditions. Food chemistry, 153, 250-257.						
443	Vestergaard, C., Andersen, B. L., & Adler-Nissen, J. (2007). Sodium diffusion in cured pork						
444	determined by 22 Na radiology. <i>Meat Science, 76</i> (2), 258-265.						
115	Xie I. Mujumdar A. S. Fang X. M. Wang I. Dai I. W. Du Z. I. Xiao H. W. Liu X. & Gao Z. I						
445	(2017) For infrared radiation beating assisted pulsed vacuum drving (FID D)(D) of welfbarry						
440	(2017). Far-Initiated radiation heating assisted pulsed vacuum drying (FIR-PVD) of womberry						
447	(Lycium barbarum L.): Effects on drying kinetics and quality attributes. Food and Bioproducts						
448	Processing, 102, 320-331.						
449 450	Yao, Y. (2016). Enhancement of mass transfer by ultrasound: Application to adsorbent regeneration and food drying/dehydration. <i>Ultrasonics sonochemistry</i> , <i>31</i> , 512-531.						
451	Zhao, C., Zhao, X., Lu, Z., Huang, J., He, S., Tan, H., Wang, G., Li, Y., & Liu, D. (2016). Production of						
452	fermented pork jerky using Lactobacillus bulgaricus. LWT-Food Science and Technology.						
450	Zielinelys NA & Michelelys A (2010) Microways essisted drains of blueberry () (assistive						
453	Zielińska, M., & Michalska, A. (2016). Microwave-assisted drying of blueberry (Vaccinium						
454	corymbosum L.) fruits: Drying kinetics, polyphenols, anthocyanins, antioxidant capacity,						
455	colour and texture. <i>Food chemistry, 212,</i> 671-680.						
456	Zogzas N. Maroulis Z. & Marinos-Kouris D. (1996). Moisture diffusivity data compilation in						
450	foodstuffs Drving Technology 1/(10) 2225-2253						
437	1000sturis. Drying reciniology, 14(10), 2223-2233.						
458							
459							



460

Figure 1. Moisture ratio [MR] vs. drying time [min] for a) uncultured and (b) cultured beef jerky slices pre-treated at various ultrasonic frequencies [Control (◊), 25

462 kHz ( $\Box$ ), 33 kHz ( $\Delta$ ) and 45 kHz (o) respectively].



![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 469

- 470 Figure 3. Distribution of multi exponentially fitted transverse relaxation (T<sub>2</sub>) data for
- 471 uncultured (a b) and cultured (c d) beef jerky slices pre-treated at various ultrasonic
- 472 frequencies [Control ( $\diamond$ ), 25 kHz ( $\Box$ ), 33 kHz ( $\Delta$ ) and 45 kHz (o) respectively].

473

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 4. Relationship between relaxation time ( $T_{22}$ ) and moisture content of beef samples during drying of cultured ( $\bullet$ ) and uncultured ( $\bullet$ ) control (a) and ultrasound pre-treated beef jerky samples at 25 kHz (b), 33 kHz (c) and 45 kHz (d).

# Table 1: Model parameters obtained from fitting drying models to beef jerky samples along with key statistical parameters

Model	Parameter		Uncu	Itured		Cultured			
		Control	25 kHz	33 kHz	45 kHz	Control	25 kHz	33 kHz	45 kHz
Henderson and Pabis	а	1.036	1.057	1.048	1.056	1.068	1.045	1.040	1.031
$MR = a \exp(-kt)$	k	8.18× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.53× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	6.83× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	7.12× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	7.82× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	6.56× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.09× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	8.94× 10 <sup>-3</sup>
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.987	0.950	0.982	0.963	0.980	0.984	0.974	0.994
	RMSE	0.038	0.069	0.043	0.065	0.049	0.040	0.046	0.026
	AICc	-64.230	-50.435	-60.915	-51.810	-58.080	-62.630	-59.260	-71.965
Wang and Singh	а	-5.98× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-3.2× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-4.8× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-4.59× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-5.34× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-4.71× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-3.39× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-6.73× 10 <sup>-3</sup>
$MR = 1 + at + bt^2$	b	9.28× 10⁻ <sup>6</sup>	-4.2× 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.28 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.74 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.71× 10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.22× 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.23× 10-6	1.22× 10⁻⁵
	$R^2$	0.999	0.994	0.999	0.996	0.997	0.999	0.998	1.000
	RMSE	0.010	0.023	0.011	0.020	0.019	0.011	0.012	0.006
	AICc	-95.105	-74.535	-90.375	-78.980	-79.380	-90.780	-89.385	-105.400
Page	k	2.49 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.17× 10-4	1.38× 10-3	6.56× 10-4	1.05× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.38× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	8.35× 10-4	3.76× 10-₃
$MR = exp(-kt^n)$	п	1.250	1.545	1.319	1.4785	1.392	1.3	1.3465	1.1725
	$R^2$	0.997	0.984	0.997	0.991	0.998	0.998	0.991	0.999
	RMSE	0.019	0.039	0.018	0.032	0.016	0.016	0.027	0.010
	AICc	-79.925	-62.775	-79.875	-67.365	-82.830	-83.160	-71.390	-92.330
Lewis (Newton)	k	7.86× 10-3	5.13× 10-3	6.45× 10-3	6.68× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	7.27× 10-3	6.22× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.82× 10-3	8.64× 10 <sup>-3</sup>
$\mathbf{MR} = \exp(-\mathbf{kt})$	$R^2$	0.984	0.941	0.977	0.957	0.972	0.980	0.969	0.993
	RMSE	0.042	0.075	0.049	0.071	0.058	0.045	0.051	0.029
	AICc	-66.115	-52.575	-62.025	-53.965	-58.060	-63.680	-61.235	-73.125
Weibull	а	0.9737	0.95545	0.9788	0.955	0.9886	0.9792	0.97	0.9864
$MR = a \exp(-kt^n)$	k	1.81× 10-3	1.02× 10-4	9.56× 10-4	2.64× 10-4	8.83× 10-4	9.80× 10-4	4.47× 10-4	3.20× 10-3
	$R^2$	1.323	1.7515	1.302	1.0445	1.425	1.303	1.403	1.2025
	RMSE	0.998	0.988	0.997	0.994	0.998	0.998	0.993	0.999
	AICo	0.016	0.034	0.016	0.026	0.015	0.013	0.023	0.009
Deler	AICC	-78.310	-60.655	-77.165	-66.835	-78.525	-81.595	-68.960	-89.970
Peleg MR $- 1 - t/(a + ht)$	q b	0.48645	312.1 -0.03865	199.00	214.1 0.23575	0 37325	203.05	293.45 0.12383	122.00
$\frac{1}{(u+bt)}$	$\tilde{R}^2$	0.997	0.994	0.998	0.996	0.995	0.998	0.998	0.997
	RMSE	0.017	0.023	0.014	0.021	0.024	0.014	0.012	0.017
	AICc	-81.455	-74.535	-85.955	-77.730	-73.750	-84.980	-89.590	-80.710

# 478

# 479 Table 2. Correlation analysis showing a relationship between various parameters

	Time (h)	P <sub>0</sub>	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>2b</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>21</sub>	T <sub>22</sub>	MC (%)
Time (h)	1.000	0.507***	-0.437**	-0.762****	0.206 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.400*	-0.822****	-0.929****
<b>P</b> 0		1.000	-0.994****	-0.468**	0.144 <sup>ns</sup>	0.282 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.305 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.615****
<b>P</b> 1			1.000	0.366*	-0.136 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.323*	0.249 <sup>ns</sup>	0.557***
<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>				1.000	-0.123	0.205 <sup>ns</sup>	0.565**	0.709****
<b>T</b> <sub>2b</sub>					1.000	0.386 <sup>ns</sup>	0.214 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.205 <sup>ns</sup>
<b>T</b> 21						1.000	0.702****	0.340*
<b>T</b> 22							1.000	0.790****
MC (%)								1.000
480	ns:Not significant	; *P<0.05; **F	P<0.01; ***P<0.0	001; ****P<0.0	001			
481						$\mathbf{r}$		
482					5			
483					5			
			A					
		0						

# **Research Highlights**

- 1. Drying behaviour is ultrasonic frequency dependent
- 2. Ultrasound can enhance marination rates
- 3. LF-NMR can be employed for water mobility and drying degree of beef jerky.