

Title	Isolation of Lactobacilli with probiotic properties from the human stomach
Authors	Ryan, Kieran A.;Jayaraman, Thevaraajan;Daly, Paul;Canchaya, Carlos;Curran, Susan;Fang, Fang;Quigley, Eamonn M.;O'Toole, Paul W.
Publication date	2008-10
Original Citation	Ryan, KA, Jayaraman, T, Daly, P, Cancchaya, C, Curran, S, Fang, F, Quigley, EM, O'Toole, PW (2008) 'Isolation of Lactobacilli With Probiotic Properties From The Human Stomach'. Letters In Applied Microbiology, 47 (4):269-274.
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02416.x
Rights	© 2010 The Society for Applied Microbiology. The definitive version is available at www.blackwell-synergy.com - www.blackwell-synergy.com
Download date	2025-07-04 23:57:05
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/116



Ryan K.A., Jayaraman T., Daly P., Canchaya C., Curran S., Fang F., Quigley E.M. and O'Toole P.W. 2008. Isolation of lactobacilli with probiotic properties from the human stomach. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 47 (4), pp269-274

The definitive version is available at www.blackwell-synergy.com

Deposited on: 15 Feb 2010

CORA Cork Open Research Archive <http://cora.ucc.ie>

**Isolation of lactobacilli with probiotic properties
from the human stomach**

Kieran A. Ryan^{1,2}, Thevaraajan Jayaraman^{1,2}, Paul Daly^{1,2}, Carlos
Canchaya^{1,2}, Susan Curran³, Fang Fang^{1,2}, Eamonn M. Quigley^{2,4}, Paul
W. O'Toole^{1,2*}

- 1. Department of Microbiology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland.
- 2. Alimentary Pharmabiotic Centre, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland.
- 3. Department of Microbiology, Cork University Hospital, Wilton, Cork, Ireland.
- 4. Department of Medicine, Cork University Hospital, Wilton, Cork, Ireland.

*For correspondence
Phone: 353 21 490 3997; Fax: 353 21 490 3101; E-mail: pwotoole@ucc.ie

Running title: Gastric lactobacilli

ABSTRACT

Aims: Recent evidence suggests that the human gastric microbiota is much more diverse than previously thought. The aim of the present study was to assess the potential for isolating lactobacilli from the human stomach.

Methods and results: Lactobacilli were selectively cultured from gastric biopsies from 12 patients undergoing routine endoscopy. Lactobacilli were present in 4/12 biopsies. We isolated, in total ten different strains representing five species (*Lactobacillus gasseri*, *L. fermentum*, *L. vaginalis*, *L. reuteri* and *L. salivarius*). The ten isolates varied greatly in their ability to inhibit the growth of two Gram-positive bacteria and two Gram-negative bacteria. Furthermore the acid and bile resistance profiles of the ten isolates spanned a wide range.

Conclusions: Five different *Lactobacillus* species were cultured from human gastric biopsies for the first time.

Significance and impact: Diverse *Lactobacillus* species are more prevalent in the human stomach than previously recognized, representing an untapped source of bacteria with beneficial probiotic and/or biotechnological properties.

42

Key words: Lactobacilli, stomach, probiotics, *Helicobacter pylori*, bile, acid

44

45

46

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

47 **INTRODUCTION**

48 Until the culture of *Helicobacter pylori* (Marshall and Warren, 1984), the
49 human stomach was considered to be microbiologically sterile due to factors
50 including low pH and digestive enzymes. The stomachs of mammals other than
51 humans are frequently colonized by bacteria other than *Helicobacter*, and lactobacilli
52 and streptococci appear to be especially prevalent (Roach *et al.*, 1977, Fuller *et al.*,
53 1978, Yin and Zheng, 2005). However, there may be a greater microbial diversity in
54 the human stomach than had previously been thought. Using 16s rRNA sequencing,
55 Bik et al (Bik et al., 2006) detected 128 different bacterial phylotypes, including
56 lactobacilli, in 23 human gastric biopsies. Roos et al (Roos *et al.*, 2005) successfully
57 cultured lactobacilli from gastric biopsies from healthy humans, and identified and
58 described four new *Lactobacillus* species, *L. gastricus*, *L. antri*, *L. kalixensis* and *L.*
59 *ultunensis*. To date, these species have not been further described. We hypothesized
60 that it might be possible to isolate other lactobacilli from the human gastric mucosa
61 and that this niche might represent a reservoir for bacteria with beneficial traits.
62 Lactobacilli that could survive the hostile gastric environment could have applications
63 as probiotics, or in fermentations at particularly low pH to which formic acid is added
64 such as silage (Nadeau *et al.*, 2000) or yoghurt (Cotter and Hill, 2003).

66 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

67 **Culture of lactobacilli from gastric biopsies**

68 Gastric biopsies were collected from twelve patients (seven female and five
69 male, aged 29 to 67 with an average age of 50.5 and a median age of 54) undergoing
70 routine upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at Cork University Hospital, Ireland. This
71 study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Cork University Hospital and

informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Biopsies were homogenized and spread on Rogosa agar (Oxoid, UK) for selective culture of lactobacilli. Agar plates were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for at least three days, after which visible colonies, if present, were selected and cultured anaerobically in de Man, Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS) (Oxoid) broth at 37°C. Carbohydrate fermentation profiles were assessed by API 50 CH kit (bioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

16s rRNA sequencing and phylogenetic analyses

DNA was extracted from lactobacillus isolates using a phenol chloroform method (Flynn et al., 2002) and near-complete 16s rRNA gene fragments were PCR amplified with primers 27F and 1492R (Gurtler and Stanisich, 1996). PCR amplicons were purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) and sequenced using the same forward and reverse primers as above (MWG Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany). The 16S sequences were aligned and approximately 1400 bp of each sequence was subjected to BLAST analysis (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/>). Sequences of one strain from each species were deposited in Genbank (accession numbers EF460495, EF460496, EF460497, EU099039 and EU099040). Phylogenetic analysis of various *Lactobacillus* 16S sequences was performed using PhyML (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003) with the general time-reversible (GTR) model. Sequences were aligned with CLUSTALW (Thompson et al., 1994) using default parameters and gaps were removed manually.

Antimicrobial activity, acid and bile tolerance

Growth inhibition experiments were performed in a standardized protocol by spreading a lawn of the indicator bacterial culture onto an appropriate agar plate (all

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

97 Oxoid) (MRS agar for *Lactobacillus sakei*, Brain Heart Infusion agar for *Listeria*
98 *innocua*, Luria Bertani agar for *Salmonella enterica* and Colombia Base agar
99 supplemented with 5% horse blood for *Helicobacter pylori*), then applying the
100 *Lactobacillus* test strain as a standard inoculum of 5 µl of a 0.2 OD600 culture on top
101 of a paper disk placed on the agar plate. Agar plates were incubated for 48-96 h after
102 which time zones of clearance were measured. The ability of the strains to survive or
103 grow at different pH's was determined by adjusting the OD600 of an overnight MRS
104 culture to 0.2 in either MRS or MRS adjusted to pH 2 or pH 3 with hydrochloric acid
105 (approx. 5 fold). Samples were removed from the culture after 4, 8 and 24 h and cell
106 viability was determined using a spread plate method. *L. vaginalis* SR8 grew very
107 poorly and did not survive sufficiently for this analysis to be carried out. The bile
108 resistance levels of the strains were determined by inoculating 5 µl of an overnight
109 culture of each strain (OD600 of approx. 1.0) onto MRS plates supplemented with
110 either porcine or bovine bile (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at concentrations varying from
111 0-10% and observing the presence or absence of growth after 72 h.

112
113 **RESULTS**

114 Lactobacilli were successfully cultured from 4/12 gastric biopsies. There was
115 no correlation between biopsies positive for lactobacilli and i) the sex of the patient;
116 ii) the age of the patient; iii) the *H. pylori* status of the patient; iv) the disease status of
117 the patient. Three different species (*L. fermentum*, *L. gasseri* and *L. vaginalis*) were
118 isolated from one biopsy; two species (*L. fermentum* and *L. reuteri*) and (*L. salivarius*
119 and *L. gasseri*) were isolated from two other biopsies and only one species (*L.*
120 *gasseri*) was isolated from the remaining positive biopsy. Sequence identity to
121 published sequences was at least 99% in all cases, and sequences from all strains of

the same species were identical. We identified that two different strains of *L. fermentum* and two different strains of *L. reuteri* were present in one biopsy by comparing the carbohydrate fermenting capability of the four isolates using API analysis. A phylogenetic analysis of the 16S sequences from the ten species showed that, with the exception of *L. salivarius*, all isolates are members of group A or B of the *Lactobacillus* 16S phylogeny (Canchaya et al., 2006) (Fig. 1). Interestingly, the recently described gastric lactobacilli *L. antri*, *L. gastricus*, *L. ultunensis* and *L. intestinalis* (Roos et al., 2005) are all contained within these same two groups. This may indicate a phylogenetic relationship between lactobacilli capable of persisting in the human gastric environment.

The ten isolated lactobacilli were screened for their ability to inhibit growth of two Gram-positive (*L. sakei* and *Listeria innocua*) and two Gram-negative (*S. enterica* and *H. pylori*) bacteria (Table 1). The three *L. fermentum* strains inhibited growth of both Gram-positive indicator organisms, and strain SR2 also inhibited growth of *H. pylori*. *L. salivarius* SR16 was the only other strain capable of inhibiting growth of *H. pylori*. *L. fermentum* and *L. salivarius* both produce bacteriocins (Yan and Lee, 1997, Claesson et al., 2006), and this is one potential source of the inhibitory effect. Both strains of *L. reuteri* inhibited growth of only *L. sakei*, possibly due to the production of reuterin (Talarico and Dobrogosz, 1989).

Lactobacilli cultured from the stomach might arguably be transient (allochthonous) rather than long-term colonizers (autochthonous). To investigate this we examined the acid resistance of the ten lactobacilli from the human stomach (Table 2). *L. fermentum* SR2 exhibited 100% survival, and *L. gasseri* SR1 cell numbers increased three-fold in MRS pH 3 after 24 h. This compared favourably with the acid-tolerant control species *L. acidophilus* ATCC4356 (Lorca et al., 1998).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

147 In contrast, no cells of *L. salivarius* UCC118 were viable after this time, even though
148 *L. salivarius* UCC118 has been previously shown to have probiotic qualities in a
149 number of *in vivo* studies (McCarthy *et al.*, 2003, Sheil *et al.*, 2004). *L. fermentum*
150 SR2 and *L. acidophilus* ATCC4356 showed < one log decrease in viability after 24 h
151 in MRS pH 2. None of the other strains exhibited significant acid tolerance. The ten
152 strains also varied greatly in their ability to grow on porcine and bovine bile (Table 3).
153 The type strain *L. acidophilus* NCTC4356 was the most tolerant to both bile types. Of
154 the gastric strains, *L. reuteri* SR11 was the most resistant; it grew on MRS plates
155 containing 10% bovine and 0.5% porcine bile.

156
157 **DISCUSSION**

158 We have shown that lactobacilli can be cultured from human gastric tissue.
159 Although these organisms are abundant in the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract, it
160 is generally thought that they do not persist for any significant length of time in the
161 stomach (O'Hara and Shanahan, 2006). The main source of lactobacilli is food, and
162 since a patient must fast for at least 12 h before a gastric endoscopy is performed, the
163 bacteria we isolated may have survived in the stomach for at least this length of time.
164 During fasting, the gastric pH can drop as low as 1.5 (Drasar *et al.*, 1969) indicating
165 that these strains may have an intrinsic *in vivo* resistance to low pH. Although we
166 cannot refute the possibility that the strains may have been introduced into the
167 stomach at a later time-point via saliva, our *in vitro* experiments show that two of the
168 strains are capable of surviving at least 24 h at low pH. Resistance to bile is
169 considered a valuable probiotic trait and although the gastric lactobacilli were not
170 particularly bile-tolerant, this is not perhaps surprising in this case because bile first
171 enters the gastrointestinal tract in the duodenum, and is only present in the stomach if

172 duodeno-gastric reflux occurs. Porcine bile contains a higher level of glycine
173 conjugated bile salts than bovine bile (Coleman *et al.*, 1979). These salts are more
174 toxic to lactobacilli (De Smet *et al.*, 1995). It is not surprising therefore that all strains
175 survived less well in the presence of porcine bile.

176 The relative abundance of lactobacilli in the human stomach has several
177 implications. Lactobacilli have been shown to have beneficial effects in the
178 alleviation of many human conditions (O'Mahony *et al.*, 2005, Zocco *et al.*, 2006). If
179 these organisms are capable of surviving for a significant length of time in the
180 stomach, probiotic treatments might also be useful in the treatment of gastric
181 disorders. Indeed a number of trials have already shown that this might be the case
182 (Johnson-Henry *et al.*, 2004, Sykora *et al.*, 2005). Furthermore, in a conventional
183 probiotic setting, lactobacilli capable of surviving in the stomach for extended periods
184 of time will be more aciduric, ensuring not only that more cells survive gastric transit
185 to reach the intestine, but also allowing for greater survival and shelf-life in fermented
186 dairy products. The presence of significant numbers of bacteria other than *H. pylori*
187 in the human stomach may well have implications for the human health, and the
188 culture-independent analysis of the gastric metagenome of 23 subjects supports this
189 notion (Bik *et al.*, 2006). Metagenomic analysis of a much larger cohort of subjects
190 with a range of disease pathologies is required to address this question.

191 It is noteworthy that lactobacilli have been demonstrated many times in the
192 stomachs of other mammals including the pig, which is generally regarded as having
193 the closest gastric physiology to that of humans. It is thought that lactobacilli survive
194 in the pig stomach by adhering strongly to epithelial cells, to the extent that they can
195 form highly-resistant biofilm-like structures (Tannock, 1992). If, as now seems
196 likely, lactobacilli are more prevalent in the human stomach, it is also possible that

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

this site may be home to other novel, previously unidentified lactobacilli (Roos *et al.*, 2005) which may also form biofilms.

In conclusion, this work describes what is, to our knowledge, the first isolation of *L. fermentum*, *L. gasseri*, *L. vaginalis*, *L. reuteri* and *L. salivarius* from the human stomach, and suggests this site may be a novel source for new organisms with probiotic and other beneficial properties.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was financially supported by grants from the Irish Research Council for Science, Engineering and Technology (KAR) and the Science Foundation Ireland CSET program to the Alimentary Pharmabiotic Centre (PWOT). We thank Carmel Hooton for technical assistance.

209

210 Figure 1. 16S rRNA gene phylogeny of selected lactobacilli. The sequences from the
211 five species described in the present work are arrowed. Novel lactobacilli previously
212 isolated from the human gastric mucosa (Roos *et al.*, 2005) are boxed.

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

Bik, E. M., Eckburg, P. B., Gill, S. R., Nelson, K. E., Purdom, E. A., Francois, F.,
Perez-Perez, G., Blaser, M. J. and Relman, D. A. (2006) Molecular analysis of
the bacterial microbiota in the human stomach. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **103**,
732-7.

Canchaya, C., Claesson, M. J., Fitzgerald, G. F., van Sinderen, D. and O'Toole, P. W.
(2006) Diversity of the genus *Lactobacillus* revealed by comparative genomics
of five species. *Microbiology*, **152**, 3185-96.

Claesson, M. J., Li, Y., Leahy, S., Canchaya, C., van Pijkeren, J. P., Cerdeno-Tarraga,
A. M., Parkhill, J., Flynn, S., O'Sullivan, G. C., Collins, J. K., Higgins, D.,
Shanahan, F., Fitzgerald, G. F., van Sinderen, D. and O'Toole, P. W. (2006)
Multireplicon genome architecture of *Lactobacillus salivarius*. *Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A*, **103**, 6718-23.

Coleman, R., Iqbal, S., Godfrey, P. P. and Billington, D. (1979) Membranes and bile
formation. Composition of several mammalian biles and their membrane-
damaging properties. *Biochem J*, **178**, 201-8.

Cotter, P. D. and Hill, C. (2003) Surviving the acid test: responses of gram-positive
bacteria to low pH. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, **67**, 429-53, table of contents.

De Smet, I., Van Hoorde, L., Vande Woestyne, M., Christiaens, H. and Verstraete, W.
(1995) Significance of bile salt hydrolytic activities of lactobacilli. *J Appl
Bacteriol*, **79**, 292-301.

Drasar, B. S., Shiner, M. and McLeod, G. M. (1969) Studies on the intestinal flora. I.
The bacterial flora of the gastrointestinal tract in healthy and achlorhydric
persons. *Gastroenterology*, **56**, 71-9.

- 259 Flynn, S., van Sinderen, D., Thornton, G. M., Holo, H., Nes, I. F. and Collins, J. K.
 260 (2002) Characterization of the genetic locus responsible for the production of
 261 ABP-118, a novel bacteriocin produced by the probiotic bacterium
 262 *Lactobacillus salivarius* subsp. *salivarius* UCC118. *Microbiology*, **148**, 973-
 263 84.
- 264 Fuller, R., Barrow, P. A. and Brooker, B. E. (1978) Bacteria associated with the
 265 gastric epithelium of neonatal pigs. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, **35**, 582-91.
- 266 Guindon, S. and Gascuel, O. (2003) A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate
 267 large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst Biol*, **52**, 696-704.
- 268 Gurtler, V. and Stanisich, V. A. (1996) New approaches to typing and identification
 269 of bacteria using the 16S-23S rDNA spacer region. *Microbiology*, **142 (Pt 1)**,
 270 3-16.
- 271 Johnson-Henry, K. C., Mitchell, D. J., Avitzur, Y., Galindo-Mata, E., Jones, N. L. and
 272 Sherman, P. M. (2004) Probiotics reduce bacterial colonization and gastric
 273 inflammation in *H. pylori*-infected mice. *Dig Dis Sci*, **49**, 1095-102.
- 274 Lorca, G. L., Raya, R. R., Taranto, M. P. and de Valdez, G. F. (1998) Adaptive acid
 275 tolerance response in *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. *Biotechnology Letters*, **20**,
 276 239-241.
- 277 Marshall, B. J. and Warren, J. R. (1984) Unidentified curved bacilli in the stomach of
 278 patients with gastritis and peptic ulceration. *Lancet*, **1**, 1311-5.
- 279 McCarthy, J., O'Mahony, L., O'Callaghan, L., Sheil, B., Vaughan, E. E., Fitzsimons,
 280 N., Fitzgibbon, J., O'Sullivan, G. C., Kiely, B., Collins, J. K. and Shanahan, F.
 281 (2003) Double blind, placebo controlled trial of two probiotic strains in
 282 interleukin 10 knockout mice and mechanistic link with cytokine balance. *Gut*,
 283 **52**, 975-80.

- 284 Nadeau, E. M., Buxton, D. R., Russell, J. R., Allison, M. J. and Young, J. W. (2000)
 285 Enzyme, bacterial inoculant, and formic acid effects on silage composition of
 286 orchardgrass and alfalfa. *J Dairy Sci*, **83**, 1487-502.
- 287 O'Hara, A. M. and Shanahan, F. (2006) The gut flora as a forgotten organ. *EMBO*
 288 *Rep*, **7**, 688-93.
- 289 O'Mahony, L., McCarthy, J., Kelly, P., Hurley, G., Luo, F., Chen, K., O'Sullivan, G.
 290 C., Kiely, B., Collins, J. K., Shanahan, F. and Quigley, E. M. (2005)
 291 *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* in irritable bowel syndrome: symptom
 292 responses and relationship to cytokine profiles. *Gastroenterology*, **128**, 541-
 293 51.
- 294 Roach, S., Savage, D. C. and Tannock, G. W. (1977) Lactobacilli isolated from the
 295 stomach of conventional mice. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, **33**, 1197-203.
- 296 Roos, S., Engstrand, L. and Jonsson, H. (2005) *Lactobacillus gastricus* sp. nov.,
 297 *Lactobacillus antri* sp. nov., *Lactobacillus kalixensis* sp. nov. and
 298 *Lactobacillus ultunensis* sp. nov., isolated from human stomach mucosa. *Int J*
 299 *Syst Evol Microbiol*, **55**, 77-82.
- 300 Sheil, B., McCarthy, J., O'Mahony, L., Bennett, M. W., Ryan, P., Fitzgibbon, J. J.,
 301 Kiely, B., Collins, J. K. and Shanahan, F. (2004) Is the mucosal route of
 302 administration essential for probiotic function? Subcutaneous administration is
 303 associated with attenuation of murine colitis and arthritis. *Gut*, **53**, 694-700.
- 304 Sykora, J., Valeckova, K., Amlerova, J., Siala, K., Dedek, P., Watkins, S.,
 305 Varvarovska, J., Stozicky, F., Pazdiora, P. and Schwarz, J. (2005) Effects of a
 306 specially designed fermented milk product containing probiotic *Lactobacillus*
 307 *casei* DN-114 001 and the eradication of *H. pylori* in children: a prospective
 308 randomized double-blind study. *J Clin Gastroenterol*, **39**, 692-8.

- 309 Talarico, T. L. and Dobrogosz, W. J. (1989) Chemical characterization of an
310 antimicrobial substance produced by *Lactobacillus reuteri*. *Antimicrob Agents*
311 *Chemother*, **33**, 674-9.
- 312 Tannock, G. W. (1992) Lactic microbiota of pigs, mice and rats. IN WOOD, B. J. B.
313 (Ed.) *The Lactic Acid Bacteria vol. 1. The Lactic Acid Bacteria in Health and*
314 *Disease*. London, Elsevier Applied Science.
- 315 Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. and Gibson, T. J. (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving
316 the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence
317 weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic*
318 *Acids Res*, **22**, 4673-80.
- 319 Yan, T. R. and Lee, C. S. (1997) Characterization of a partially purified bacteriocin,
320 Fermentcin B, from *Lactobacillus fermentum*. *Biotechnology Letters*, **19**, 741-
321 744.
- 322 Yin, Q. and Zheng, Q. (2005) Isolation and identification of the dominant
323 *Lactobacillus* in gut and faeces of pigs using carbohydrate fermentation and
324 16S rDNA analysis. *J Biosci Bioeng*, **99**, 68-71.
- 325 Zocco, M. A., dal Verme, L. Z., Cremonini, F., Piscaglia, A. C., Nista, E. C., Candelli,
326 M., Novi, M., Rigante, D., Cazzato, I. A., Ojetti, V., Armuzzi, A., Gasbarrini,
327 G. and Gasbarrini, A. (2006) Efficacy of *Lactobacillus* GG in maintaining
328 remission of ulcerative colitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*, **23**, 1567-74.
- 329
330
331
332
333

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Antimicrobial properties of ten lactobacilli from the human gastric mucosa. The ability of the strains to inhibit growth of two Gram-positive and two Gram-negative bacteria was tested three times in duplicate using different cultures in a standard plate overlay inhibition assay. + indicates degree of inhibition of the indicator strain by the lactobacilli, - indicates no inhibition.

	<i>L. sakei</i>	<i>Listeria</i>	<i>S. enterica</i>	<i>H. pylori</i>
	<i>innocua</i>			
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR2	+++	+	-	++
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR9	++	+	-	-
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR10	++	+	-	-
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR1	-	-	-	-
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR15	-	-	-	-
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR 17	-	-	-	-
<i>L. reuteri</i> SR11	++	-	-	-
<i>L. reuteri</i> SR14	++	-	-	-
<i>L. salivarius</i> SR16	+++	-	-	+
<i>L. vaginalis</i> SR8	-	+	-	-

Table 2. Acid resistance of two control strains and ten gastric lactobacilli isolated from the human gastric mucosa. Values tabulated are the cell numbers at indicated time points expressed as a percentage of the cell numbers at time zero. Experiments were repeated twice in duplicate and values averaged. ND = not determined.

	Growth at pH 3 (%)			Growth at pH 2 (%)		
	4 h	8 h	24 h	4 h	8 h	24 h
<i>L. acidophilus</i> NCTC4356	202	164	149	108	55	17
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR2	142	162	98	101	81	14
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR9	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR10	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR1	667	505	349	0.4	0.3	< 0.001
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR15	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR 17	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. reuteri</i> SR11	< 1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. reuteri</i> SR14	< 1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. salivarius</i> SR16	< 0.1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. salivarius</i> UCC118	14	7	0	0	0	0
<i>L. vaginalis</i> SR8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

354
355 Table 3. Bile tolerance of two control strains and ten lactobacilli isolated from the
356 human gastric mucosa. Three independent cultures of each strain were grown
357 anaerobically for 72 h on MRS plates supplemented with either bovine or porcine
358 bile. Values tabulated are the highest bile concentration at which growth was
359 observed.

	Bovine bile	Porcine bile
<i>L. acidophilus</i> NCTC4356	10 %	7.5 %
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR2	0.5 %	0.25 %
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR9	1 %	0.1 %
<i>L. fermentum</i> SR10	7.5 %	0.25 %
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR1	0.5 %	0.25 %
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR15	5 %	0.25 %
<i>L. gasseri</i> SR 17	1 %	0.1 %
<i>L. reuteri</i> SR11	10 %	0.5 %
<i>L. reuteri</i> SR14	10 %	0.3 %
<i>L. salivarius</i> SR16	10 %	0.25 %
<i>L. salivarius</i> UCC118	1 %	0.25 %
<i>L. vaginalis</i> SR8	0 %	0 %

379

For Peer Review

