All magnetic phenomena are NOT due to electric charges in motion

Stephen Fahy and Colm O'Sullivan

Citation: American Journal of Physics 90, 7 (2022); doi: 10.1119/5.0073573

View online: https://doi.org/10.1119/5.0073573

View Table of Contents: https://aapt.scitation.org/toc/ajp/90/1 Published by the American Association of Physics Teachers

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

The Vaidya metric: Expected and unexpected traits of evaporating black holes American Journal of Physics **90**, 37 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1119/10.0006367

Using the Carnot cycle to determine changes of the phase transition temperature American Journal of Physics **90**, 15 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1119/10.0006455

Science Between Myth and History: The Quest for Common Ground and Its Importance for Scientific Practice American Journal of Physics **90**, 78 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1119/5.0074271

Yet another approach to solutions of one-dimensional wave equations with inhomogeneous boundary conditions American Journal of Physics **90**, 31 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1119/5.0058957

Measuring the viscosity of air with soapy water, a smartphone, a funnel, and a hose: An experiment for undergraduate physics students

American Journal of Physics 90, 64 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1119/10.0006881

Hanbury Brown and Twiss effect demonstrated for sound waves from a waterfall: An experimental, numerical, and analytical study

American Journal of Physics **90**, 20 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1119/10.0006613





LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

The downloaded PDF for any Letter in this section contains all the Letters in this section.

Letters are selected for their expected interest for our readers. Some letters are sent to reviewers for advice; some are accepted or declined by the editor without review. Letters must be brief and may be edited, subject to the author's approval of significant changes. Although some comments on published articles and notes may be appropriate as letters, most such comments are reviewed according to a special procedure and appear, if accepted, in the Notes and Discussions section. (See the Statement of Editorial Policy at ajp.aapt.org.) Running controversies among letter writers will not be published.

All magnetic phenomena are NOT due to electric charges in motion

Stephen Fahy and Colm O'Sullivana)

Department of Physics, University College Cork, Cork T12 K8AF, Ireland

(Received 1 October 2021; accepted 26 October 2021)

https://doi.org/10.1119/5.0073573

There is a considerable body of the physics education literature purporting to show that all magnetic dipoles are uniquely "Amperian"; that is, at a fundamental level all magnetic dipoles effectively arise from electric current loops. This long-held view has had an unfortunate effect, particularly on the teaching of magnetic properties of materials, in that it has generated an unwarranted over-emphasis on a current loop analogy. Statements such as

all magnetic phenomena are due to electric charges in motion, and in fact, if you could examine a piece of magnetic material on an atomic scale you would find tiny currents: electrons orbiting around nuclei and spinning about their axes

Sometimes, it is easier to think in terms of the "Gilbert" model of a magnetic dipole (separated monopoles), instead of the physically correct "Ampère" model (current loop)

or

The electron also has a spin rotation about its own axis (something like the earth rotating on its axis), and as a result of that spin it has both angular momentum and a magnetic moment²

are misleading, to say the least.³

The suggestion that classical spin of electrons could explain bulk magnetic properties was first proposed by Compton as early as 1920,⁴ but the idea was not embraced by the physics community at the time. In 1925, the concept was reintroduced by Kronig⁵ and, famously, by Uhlenbeck and Goudsmith. It was realized almost immediately, however, that a model of an electron as a rotating charged sphere was not viable since its surface speed would be superluminal; this remains the accepted position almost a hundred years later.

In any event, the development of quantum mechanics from 1926 cast a very different light on these issues. Pauli's inclusion of spin matrices⁷ in non-relativistic quantum mechanics was followed in 1928 by Dirac's relativistic quantum mechanical equation for the electron⁸ from which electron spin emerges naturally. It is now recognized that Lorentz invariance requires that intrinsic angular momentum arises as a particle property inherent to the symmetries of Minkowski space-time and has an associated intrinsic magnetic dipole moment.

In the intervening years, however, attempts were made to model intrinsic magnetic dipole moments of particles as either (i) "Amperian" (infinitesimal current loops) or (ii) "Gilbertian" (infinitesimally short magnetic needles); for more details, see Ref. 9 and numerous references therein. The thinking behind this was reviewed by Mezei¹⁰ in 1986. It was asserted that the force experienced when a magnetic dipole passed through an inhomogeneous magnetic field, like that in a Stern-Gerlach experiment or scattering in a solid, would be different for each model. Certain experiments have been interpreted to favor the "Amperian" model, but these experiments involved particles such as neutrons that are known to have non-zero radius.

A recent paper by Rafelski et al., 11 using a rigorous Lorentz invariant treatment, confirms that any point particle has an intrinsic angular momentum and associated intrinsic magnetic dipole moment without the need for either of the above analogies. These authors proceed to show that the Stern-Gerlach force on a point magnetic dipole is the same for both models, and thus, it is not possible to distinguish dynamically between them. Accordingly, neither the "Amperian current loop" nor the "Gilbertian dipole" analogs can be applied meaningfully to electrons.

While permanent and/or induced current loops at an atomic level do indeed contribute to various magnetic phenomena, this is not the case for the magnetic dipole moments that stem from intrinsic angular momentum, such as that of the unpaired electrons involved in paramagnetism and ferromagnetism. This is a point of conceptual and practical importance, because, for example, the magnetic properties of all ferromagnetic materials arise almost entirely from the intrinsic magnetic moments of their electrons and their contribution should be understood as separate from (small) orbital electric current contributions. 12

In the context of teaching classical electromagnetism, however, it may sometimes be pedagogically helpful to recognize that the magnetic moment of fundamental point particles can be visualized heuristically in either model, but neither heuristic visualization should be presented as



http://aapt.org/ajp

fundamental. Forcing a "current loop" or "spinning charged sphere" interpretation on the origin of the magnetic dipole moment of the electron inevitably leads to pedagogical difficulties, contradictions, and misconceptions. Indeed, in the limit that the area of the loop becomes infinitesimally small, a relativistic treatment is clearly required.

It is important that instructors emphasize that dominant magnetic effects in real materials arise from the intrinsic magnetic moment of the electrons and acknowledge that the physical origin of intrinsic magnetic moments lies outside the remit of classical electromagnetism. Failure to recognize this impedes a proper understanding of magnetic effects in materials. 12

We are not suggesting that intrinsic magnetic moments fit seamlessly into the classical theory of electromagnetism. Even Ampere and Maxwell¹³ appreciated that something beyond their electromagnetism was needed to understand magnetic materials. The something beyond, of course, turned out to be quantum mechanics and its intrinsic magnetic moment of the electron.

Spin arises from fundamental symmetry requirements of relativistic quantum mechanics—it is as intrinsic to a particle as its charge. Thus, when discussing magnetic materials even within classical electromagnetism, the electron should be recognized as a point particle that has two independent fundamental electromagnetic properties: its charge *and* its magnetic moment. A clear statement of this fact is essential, even in the context of elementary pedagogical treatments. Quite simply, it is not physically correct to state that all magnetic phenomena are due to electric charges in motion.

a)ORCID: 0000-0002-5165-8043.

¹D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics*, 4th ed. (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 2017), Sec. 6.1, pp. 266, 269. Underlining added.

²The Feynman Lectures on Physics (Addison-Wesley, Boston, 1964), Sec. 36-1, p. 36-2. However, in an earlier section (34-1, p. 34-2), Feynman had already pointed out that "it is not possible to understand the magnetic effects of materials in an honest way from the point of view of classical physics. Such magnetic effects are a completely quantum-mechanical phenomenon."

³We do not wish to imply that such misconceptions are unique to Griffiths's or Feynman's otherwise excellent texts. They appear in some form in many other undergraduate electromagnetism textbooks in English, but Griffiths and Feynman are probably among the most widely used. Similar misunderstandings can be found in numerous pedagogically oriented internet websites.

⁴A. H. Compton, "The magnetic electron," J. Franklin Inst. **192**, 145–155 (1921). ⁵See, for example, E. D. Commins, "Electron spin and its history," Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **62**, 133–157 (2012).

⁶G. E. Uhlenbeck and S. Goudsmit, "Spinning electrons and the structure of spectra," Nature 117, 264–265 (1926).

⁷W. Pauli, "Zur quantenmechanik des magnetischen elektrons," Z. Phys. 43, 601–623 (1927).

⁸P. A. M. Dirac, "The quantum theory of the electron," Proc. R. Soc. London A 117, 610–624 (1928); "The quantum theory of the electron. Part 2," *ibid.* 118, 351–361 (1928).

⁹K. T. McDonald, Forces on Magnetic Dipoles (Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, 2018), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/ neutron.pdf

¹⁰F. Mezei, "La nouvelle vague in polarized neutron scattering," Physica 137B, 295–308 (1986).

¹¹J. Rafelski, M. Formanek, and A. Steinmetz, "Relativistic dynamics of point magnetic moment," Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 6 (2018).

¹²D. Vanderbilt, *Berry Phases in Electronic Structure Theory* (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 2018).

¹³J. C. Maxwell, *Electricity and Magnetism*, 3rd ed. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1892), Chap. XXII.