

Title	Maternal and infant factors that shape neonatal gut colonization by bacteria
Authors	O'Neill, Ian J.;Sanchez Gallardo, Rocio;Saldova, Radka;Murphy, Eileen F.;Cotter, Paul D.;McAuliffe, Fionnuala M.;van Sinderen, Douwe
Publication date	44012
Original Citation	O'Neill, I. J., Sanchez Gallardo, R., Saldova, R., Murphy, E. F., Cotter, P. D., McAuliffe, F. M. and van Sinderen, D. (2020) 'Maternal and infant factors that shape neonatal gut colonization by bacteria', Expert Review of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 14(8), pp. 651-664. doi: 10.1080/17474124.2020.1784725
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1080/17474124.2020.1784725
Rights	© 2020, Taylor & Francis. All rights reserved. This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis on 30 June 2020 in Expert Review of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, available online: https:// doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2020.1784725. It is deposited under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
Download date	2025-04-27 06:40:24
ltem downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/14454



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

¹ Maternal and infant factors that shape

² neonatal gut colonisation by bacteria

3	lar	n J O'Neill ¹ , Rocio Sanchez Gallardo ¹ , Radka Saldova ^{3,7} , Eileen F. Murphy ⁴ , Paul D. Cotter ^{1,5}	
4	and Fionnuala M. McAuliffe ⁶ , Douwe van Sinderen ^{1,2,*}		
5 6 7 8	Afi 1.	filiations APC Microbiome Ireland, National University of Ireland, Cork, Ireland.	
9	2.	School of Microbiology, National University of Ireland, Cork, Ireland.	
10	3.	The National Institute for Bioprocessing, Research, and Training (NIBRT), Dublin, Ireland.	
11	4.	Alimentary Health Group, Cork Airport Business Park, Cork, Ireland.	
12	5.	Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Cork, Ireland.	
13	6.	UCD Perinatal Research Centre, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, National	
14		Maternity Hospital, Dublin, Ireland.	
15	7.	UCD School of Medicine, College of Health and Agricultural Science, University College Dublin,	
16		Ireland.	
17 18 19 20	* (Ke	Corresponding author: d.vansinderen@ucc.ie y words: , Microbiota, Infant, Pregnancy, Breastmilk, Delivery, Antibiotics.	
21 22 23 24	Ru	nning title: Maternal and infant factors that shape neonatal gut colonisation by bacteria	

1 Abstract

2 Introduction: Early life is a critical development window which coincides with the 3 establishment of a community of neonatal gut microbes which are vitally important for 4 immune development. The composition of this microbial community is affected by multiple 5 factors. 6 Areas covered: The effect of pre-pregnancy and pregnancy maternal health, maternal 7 nutrition, pregnancy disorders such as gestational diabetes, maternal antibiotic usage, 8 delivery mode, infant feeding, and infant antibiotic usage on microbial composition are 9 outlined along with the potential impact of these differences on infant health. 10 Expert opinion: Recent developments in understanding what shapes our microbiota 11 indicates that the greatest impact on infant gut microbiota composition during the first year 12 of life is seen with mode of delivery, infant feeding and infant antibiotic usage. Current data 13 is insufficient to fully establish the role of less important factors such as maternal health on 14 microbiota development although their impact is likely smaller. Technological advances will 15 allow for greater understand of underlying mechanisms by which specific microbes impact 16 infant health leading to greater appreciation of the role microbes play in early life 17 development. Keywords: Microbiome, Microbiota, Pregnancy, Infant, Breastmilk, Delivery, Antibiotics, Gut 18

19 microbiota, Infant health

1 1 Introduction

2 The human gut is home to a vast and diverse community of bacteria, fungi, archaea and 3 viruses collectively known as the gut microbiota [1]. This gut microbiota has profound effects 4 on our health and well-being throughout life, for example by contributing metabolic functions 5 such as digestion of fermentable dietary fibers and production of vitamins such as vitamin K 6 and B group vitamins [2]. Furthermore, the microbiota shapes and provides guidance to the 7 immune system during a critical developmental window in early life (extensively reviewed in 8 [3-5]). Notably, multiple studies of mother-infant pairs have indicated the existence of 9 vertical transmission of microbes from mother to infant that can contribute to this colonizing 10 microbiota [6–9]. Indeed, the composition of the infant microbiota is dynamic and dependent 11 on multiple factors, including maternal microbiota composition, delivery mode, infant feeding 12 mode, antibiotic usage and environmental factors, such as the presence of pets and siblings. 13 Disturbance of the microbiota composition during infancy is also associated with atopic 14 disease, asthma and obesity in later life [10]. This review will focus on recent developments in understanding the factors in infant microbial colonization and the impact of these factors 15 16 on infant development and risk of later life disease. It is noted that the majority of studies 17 relate the relative abundance of microbes in fecal samples to the composition of the gut microbiota, thus any changes or differences in microbial content discussed herein are based 18 19 upon changes/differences in relative abundance of microbes unless otherwise stated.

20

1 2 Microbiota colonization *in utero*

2 Traditionally, the uterus and fetus are considered sterile environments unless clinical 3 infection occurs, such as in chorioamnionitis; however, the development of DNA sequencing 4 technologies that can detect unculturable microbes has led to studies that question this 5 dogma [11]. Most of these studies have focused on determining the presence of microbes in 6 amniotic fluid, the placenta and the meconium in either vaginally or caesarean section born 7 infants [12–18]. There are multiple technical challenges in detecting microbes in these 8 environments, such as ensuring sterility when sampling placenta or amniotic fluid, and 9 contamination in DNA extraction and sequencing, which has led to questions regarding the 10 validity of the obtained results [11,19]

11 In many microbiota studies, the presence of bacteria in samples is detected using 12 either quantitative PCR (qPCR) for specific species of bacteria, or PCR amplification of a 13 variable part of the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene, followed by high-throughput 14 sequencing of the amplification product to identify the bacterial genera present in the 15 sample. There are a number of technical challenges that must be considered and if possible 16 overcome when employing these techniques to study low bacterial abundance samples such 17 as amniotic fluid, placenta and meconium [16,18,20]. The main drawback is that, unless 18 additional measures are taken, these techniques will amplify any DNA in the sample 19 regardless of the presence of viable bacteria, therefore the results may not reflect the actual 20 microbiota present in the sampled environment. Furthermore, laboratory reagents and kits 21 used to extract DNA can themselves be contaminated with environmental DNA, the so-called 22 "kitome" [21–24]. When appropriate controls are not used in such studies, it is difficult to

distinguish reads from contaminating DNA versus that from bacteria that were present in the
 tested sample, and thus the findings from such studies must be interpreted with caution.

3 Some sequencing-based studies on the microbial composition of amniotic fluid have indicated that the placenta harbors its own microbiome [12,13,25,26], though these findings 4 5 have received a serious level of skepticism [11,16,27]. Proteobacteria, and specifically the 6 genera Enterobacter and Escherichia, have been commonly identified as the most abundant 7 phylum in the amniotic fluid [12,13], but these latter two taxa also happen to be the 8 commonly identified contaminants in laboratory and extraction kit reagents [21,22]. A recent 9 study using extensive controls for contamination showed that bacterial DNA detected in the amniotic fluid is no more abundant than that contaminating the employed reagents [20]. 10 Using principal component analysis the authors demonstrated that any bacterial DNA 11 12 detected in the amniotic fluid clustered with that found in reagent controls indicating the 13 absence of an amniotic fluid-specific microbiota [20].

Whether or not the placenta harbors a microbiome is still under debate [12,13,16– 15 18]. Some studies have shown by either cultivation or DNA sequencing that the placenta is 16 dominated by Proteobacteria and contains species such as *Escherichia* and *Enterococcus* 17 *faecalis* [12,13,17,26]. More recently, however, a very thorough examination of the placental 18 microbiome which incorporated multiple controls for contamination showed that the 19 bacteria detected were contaminants or species acquired during labor [18]. One exception 20 was Group B *Streptococci*, a common infection that can lead to pregnancy complications.

A recent study reported on the presence of bacteria in human fetal intestines *in utero* [28]. These bacteria were identified by scanning electron microscopy and 16S rRNA sequencing in a small number of fetal meconium samples obtained from terminated pregnancies. Despite the very low number of bacteria in the meconium, *Lactobacillus* and

1 Micrococcus were identified as the most abundant taxa in some of the samples and 2 Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium and Prevotella in others. In addition, Micrococcus luteus was 3 successfully cultivated from meconium [28]. Micrococcus spp. is often found in the 4 cervicovaginal microbiome, however, in this study bacteria were only isolated from 5 meconium so it is unclear if this exact strain originated from the mother's vagina [28]. These 6 findings in a small cohort suggest that colonization of the meconium in utero is highly 7 restricted both taxonomically and spatially. Detection of microbes in the meconium soon 8 after birth has been used as an indication that microbes colonize the infant gut before birth 9 [13,17]. Longer labor and time after labor before the meconium is passed impact on whether 10 bacteria can be detected in the meconium suggesting that bacteria detected in the meconium are acquired after birth rather than in utero [11]. 11

12 No doubt, debate will continue on whether or not microbial colonization occurs *in* 13 *utero* and well controlled, large cohort studies are essential to ensure that the data produced 14 is robust and reliable.

15 3 Maternal factors that contribute to the infant gut microbiota

16 3.1 Maternal diet and health status before and during pregnancy.

17

Maternal diet and health has been proposed to play an important role in shaping the infant and maternal gut microbiota [29–34] with maternal obesity [30,35], gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) [32,36,37], gestational weight gain [38,39], and maternal diet [31,33] all associated with changes in the neonatal microbiota.

The maternal gut microbiota changes during pregnancy, with in particular an expansion of beta-diversity (diversity in microbes between two environments, i.e. differences

1 in diversity between different samples) and community composition between trimester one 2 and trimester three that could not be attributed to other factors such as diet or GDM [37]. In 3 trimester one the gut microbiota composition, as inferred through DNA sequencing of stool 4 samples, is enriched with butyrate producers of the order Clostridiales, specifically 5 Faecalibacterium and Eubacterium. By trimester 3, an increase is observed in the relative 6 abundance Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria [37], which are highly abundant in the early 7 infant gut, again raising the intriguing question if microbial changes enhance transfer 8 opportunities of these taxa to infants.

9 Maternal weight can also impact the microbes present in the infant gut. Infants born to obese or overweight mothers are three times more likely to be overweight by one year of 10 11 age, which increases to five times in infants born by caesarean section [34]. An increase in 12 infant associated Lachnospiraceae was associated with overweight mothers but the genus of 13 Lachnospiraceae was different between vaginally born and caesarean section born infants. 14 This suggests that it is the maternal microbiome rather than delivery mode that is a factor for 15 obesity in early life. Maternal obesity impacts on the alpha diversity (diversity within a given 16 environment i.e. the diversity of microbes within a given infant fecal sample) of vaginally born 17 but not caesarean born infants at 6 weeks of age [38]. Furthermore, changes in beta diversity 18 associated with maternal obesity were only seen in vaginally and not caesarean born infants 19 [29]. Vaginally born infants with overweight mothers have been shown to possess a gut 20 microbiota with a higher relative abundance of Escherichia, Enterococcus, Klebsiella and 21 Ruminoccocus, while infants born to obese mothers carry a gut microbiota with a higher relative abundance of *Parabacteroides* and *Staphylococcus* [38]. These observed differences 22 23 have been shown to vary between studies as another investigation found that Enterococcus 24 was depleted in infants born to obese or overweight mothers [29], while Bacteroides abundance in infants of obese mothers was shown to be increased in one study [29], it was
reported to be decreased in another [30]. These disparities in the results from independent
studies highlights the lack of information on the effect of maternal obesity on infant
microbiota composition. Furthermore, it appears that maternal BMI does affect the infant
microbiota but such effects are likely less impactful than those associated with birth mode
(see section on delivery mode below).

7 Weight gain during pregnancy is a normal physiological response, however, excessive 8 gestational weight gain (defined as 16 kg or above for women with BMI 19.8-25 or above 11.5 9 for women with BMI > 25 [40]) has been shown to result in increased relative abundance of 10 *Escherichia* and *Dorea* in vaginally born infants [38]. Metagenomics analysis has shown that 11 gestational weight gain impacts on the function of the infant microbiome [39]. Enrichment of 12 bacterial glucose pathways and phenylalanine, cysteine/serine, folate, thiamine, biotin, and 13 pyridoxine synthesis pathways in infants were shown to be associated with maternal 14 gestational weight gain [39]. These effects are seen up to 8 months post-birth, highlighting a 15 longer-term impact on microbiota function. The relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium*, a key 16 genus in the healthy early infant gut, was decreased in infants of mothers with excessive 17 weight gain [30] and those with insufficient weight gain (< 11.5 kg for women with BMI 19.8-18 25 and < 7.0 kg for women with BMI > 25) [38], suggesting that this genus is sensitive to 19 maternal factors in pregnancy.

GDM results in abnormal glucose tolerance and can affect up to 20 % of pregnant mothers [36]. An increase in alpha diversity from second to third trimester is seen in women with GDM [41]. An increased relative abundance of Firmicutes and decreased relative abundance in Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria from the first to third trimester was observed in women with GDM [41]. In a separate study of healthy women without GDM, Actinobacteria

1 increased in relative abundance from the first to third trimester [37]. Differences in genera 2 associated with GDM compared to healthy women included increased Faecalibacterium and 3 Anaerotruncus and decreased Clostridium and Veillonella [42]. Another study showed that 4 Klebsiella, Clostridium, Eggerthella, Megamonas, Slakia are enriched in women with GDM, 5 while the relative abundance of Bifidobacterium, Eubacterium, Alistipes and Roseburia are 6 depleted compared to healthy controls [43]. These studies show that diabetes mellitus 7 influences the maternal microbiota, which may in turn affect mother-to-infant microbial 8 transmission events during and after birth.

9 A limited number of studies have suggested that there is an impact of GDM on infant microbiota in very early life [32,36,44]. These studies focused on the microbial composition 10 11 of infant stool samples within 24 h of birth when the microbiota is unstable, so the longer-12 term effects are not fully understood. An increase in Corynebacterium, Bacteroides and 13 Bevundimonas was seen in stool of infants of mothers with GDM in one study [36], while 14 another study showed that Bacteroides was decreased in stool of infants from mothers with 15 GDM, while an increase in Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria was observed when compared 16 to healthy controls [32]. It is apparent that there is a large variation in results from studies on 17 microbiota in GDM and it is currently unclear if the observed changes have any long-term 18 health effects on the infant.

Diet can profoundly impact on gut microbiota composition [45,46] and the maternal diet during pregnancy may also influence the infant gut microbiota. A maternal high-fat diet is associated with an enrichment of *Enterococcus* and depletion in *Bacteroides* in the infant microbiota compared to a normal fat diet [31]. A study on a Danish cohort found associations between maternal fruit intake and higher levels of *Streptococcus* and *Clostridium* in breast-

fed infants and maternal dairy intake and higher levels of *Clostridium* in caesarean-born
 infants [33].

The above studies show that maternal lifestyle and health status influences both the maternal as well as the infant gut microbiota composition, as microbes are transmitted from mother to infant, although what the long-term impact of these changes are on infant health is yet to be determined.

7

8 3.2 The vaginal microbiome

9

The vaginal microbiome plays an important role in preventing urogenital diseases, such as bacterial vaginosis, yeast infections, sexually transmitted infections including HIV and urinary tract infections [47]. It is also an important factor in a woman's reproductive health as it helps to prevent colonization of pathogens by (i) lowering the pH of the vagina through lactic acid production [48], (ii) production of antimicrobial compounds that inhibit or kill pathogens [49], and (iii) outcompeting pathogenic bacteria [50].

16 The composition and characterization of the vaginal microbiome has been studied using culture-dependent [51,52] and culture-independent methods [53]. The diversity of the 17 18 cervicovaginal microbiota is generally low, dominated by one or more species of the genus 19 Lactobacillus [54]. Several studies reported that the most frequently identified species are 20 Lactobacillus crispatus, Lactobacillus gasseri, Lactobacillus iners and Lactobacillus jensenii. 21 [55–58]. Genera that are present in lower relative abundance are Enteroccoccus, Prevotella, 22 Bifidobacterium, Dialister, Atopobium, Gardnerella, Megasphaera, Peptoniphilus, Sneathia, 23 Eggerthella, Aerococcus, Finegoldia, and Mobiluncus [47,59]. Because the vaginal microbiome 24 profile is very variable amongst individuals and over time, some researchers have suggested

to categorize or cluster microbiome profiles into a number of community state types (CSTs)
or vaginotypes [60,61]. These are commonly used to interpret vaginal microbiome data,
however, it has not been established whether or not CSTs represent biological states or have
a clinical relevance.

5 The cervicovaginal microbiome is a very dynamic ecosystem, influenced by many 6 factors and physiological changes such as sexual development, sexual intercourse, personal 7 hygiene, menstruation cycle, pregnancy, menopause and hormonal changes [62]. It is worth 8 noting that one of the factors that has a major influence is racial background, where large 9 differences are observed in terms of diversity and abundance. Several studies have shown that African women and women of African descent have a lower *Lactobacillus* abundance and 10 higher ecological diversity than Caucasian women [63–67], associating this fact with higher 11 12 risk of HIV infection [68,69]. Similar studies were carried out in Japanese and Chinese 13 populations, showing high similarity with those previously described for Caucasian women 14 [56,70].

15 Pregnancy affects the entire body and gestational changes in the vaginal microbiome are especially relevant due to its implication in the vertical transmission of microbes to the 16 17 newborn during vaginal delivery [71,72]. The vaginal microbiome structure of non-pregnant 18 women differs from that of pregnant women; the latter possess a vaginal microbiota that is 19 even more dominated by Lactobacillus species with lower richness and diversity than that of 20 non-pregnant woman. This simplified ecosystem also seems to be more stable [58,62]. 21 Compositional changes may be a consequence of the physiological state of pregnancy. In non-22 pregnant women, during the menstrual cycle, the bacterial communities are more stable 23 when estrogen concentrations are high due to the maturation of the vaginal epithelium 24 resulting in the accumulation of glycogen in the upper epithelial layer [73]. Fermentation of

glycogen by *Lactobacillus* spp. produces lactic acid generating an acidic environment that
prevents the growth of pathogens and opportunistic microbes in the vaginal cavity [62,74].
Disruption of the vaginal microbiome can lead to bacterial vaginosis (BV), which is one of the
most common genital disorders in women of reproductive age and has been associated with
negative effects during pregnancy, such as preterm birth. [75].

6 The impact of the vaginal microbiome on the infant before birth remains unknown, 7 however gestational changes that happen during the pregnancy could be part of an adaptive 8 response to promote the correct development and health of the fetus [72]. The composition 9 of the vaginal and gut microbiota are related to each other, as studies have shown that many bacteria are shared between rectum and vagina, including Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium 10 11 [76,77]. Moreover, some of the earliest colonizers of the infant gut are species present in the 12 vagina [78]. The most dominant genus in the vagina, Lactobacillus, has been shown to persist 13 in the infant gut long after birth [8,79,80], although one study suggests that specific species 14 of Lactobacillus from the maternal vagina cannot be detected in the infant gut by one month, 15 while other vaginal species such as Atopobium vaginae and Gardnerella vaginalis were still detected, although whether these strains are inherited from the mother is unknown [6]. 16

While the vaginal microbiome does contribute bacteria to the infant microbiome, it may be that this contribution is minimal, in terms of overall abundance, due to low diversity of the vaginal microbiome during pregnancy. Differences in microbiota composition between vaginally and caesarean born infants are well documented (see section below), although such differences may be due to multiple other factors such as antibiotic usage in the caesarean section procedure.

1 4 Microbial colonization of the neonatal gut following birth

2 Microbial colonization of the infant gut occurs rapidly after birth and is influenced by a 3 number of factors including delivery mode, infant diet (i.e., breast vs formula milk), 4 intrapartum and infant antibiotic usage, and maternal health status. It is generally accepted 5 that the maternal microbiota is a major contributor to the neonatal microbiota [6,7,80–84]. 6 The infant microbiota composition changes rapidly in the first weeks following birth and then 7 stabilizes until the infant is weaned off an exclusive milk diet and on to solid foods, as a result 8 of which the gut microbiota becomes more adult like [9,85-87]. Below we outline the 9 microbial succession following birth in a full term, vaginally born infant who is breastfed and 10 has not received antibiotics. The effects of changes to this "normal" scenario are outlined in 11 the sections on delivery mode, infant diet and antibiotic usage.

12 Following birth, infants appear to share roughly 50 % of microbial species in their gut 13 with those found in the maternal gut, oral, vaginal or skin microbiota indicating vertical 14 transmission of microbes from mother to infant [6]. One-week post birth, the contribution of 15 maternal oral, vaginal and skin microbes to the infant gut microbiota decreases, with a 16 concurrent increase in the influence of the maternal gut microbiota. This is likely due to 17 decreasing oxygen availability in the infant gut leading to loss of facultative anaerobes such as Escherichia and an increase in strict anaerobes such as Bifidobacterium. Escherichia is a 18 19 pioneering species of the neonatal gut and along with other facultative anaerobes create an 20 environment that is ready for colonization of strict anaerobes such as Bacteroides and 21 Bifidobacterium [6,7,88,89]. Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analyses support this 22 scenario as genes required for the tricarboxylic acid cycle of aerobic metabolism are enriched 23 in the first week but not afterwards [9,90,91]. Furthermore, microbes from the maternal gut

1 are expected to be better equipped to colonize the gut than those from other body sites.

Alpha diversity decreases during the first week of life as microbes establish in the gut and expand. From month one to three of life alpha-diversity increases again and the microbiota is dominated by *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroides* [6,7,87]. Minor taxa include *Lactobacillus, Prevotella, Staphylococcus* and *Escherichia* [9,80,81,87,92–94]. Strains of *Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides* and *Escherichia* can be traced back to the maternal gut microbiota using genomic sequencing of infant and maternal fecal samples consistent with the hypothesis that bacteria are transmitted from mother to infant [6,7,80,82,83].

9 Infant-associated Bifidobacterium include species Bifidobacterium breve, Bifidobacterium bifidum, Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum and Bifidobacterium longum 10 11 subsp. *infantis* which are known to metabolize human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs, [95] - see 12 section on breastfeeding below for further details) which in part accounts for their dominance 13 in infants. Multiple metagenomic studies have revealed that Bacteroides vulgatus and 14 Bacteroides dorei, Bacteroides fragilis and Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron are commonly found 15 in the infant gut [9,80–82]; some of these species have been shown to metabolise HMOs using the same metabolic pathways used to digest mucus [96]. This may account for the ability of 16 17 certain *Bacteroides* species to be resident in both the infant and adult gut [82].

Once weaning occurs there is a dramatic shift in the microbiota with a further increase in alpha diversity. The gut microbiota now becomes dominated by members of the phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes similar to an adults [9,86,87]. This major shift in microbiota composition is accompanied by a substantial shift in the deduced functionalities of genes carried by members of the microbiota to reflect the changing diet. For example, at 12 months, the infant microbiome is enriched with *Bacteroides thetatiotaomicron* genes involved in the degradation of complex carbohydrates and starch reflecting increased fermentation of plant-

derived dietary sugars [9]. Similarly, there is a decrease in relative abundance of infantassociated bifidobacteria, such as *Bifidobacterium longum* and *B. breve*, while relative abundance of *B. adolescentis*, which apparently can digest a wider range of dietary carbohydrates, remains stable [87]. The observed changes in microbiota composition is accompanied by an immunological response and increase in regulatory T-cells leading to low susceptibility to pathological inflammation in animal models [97]. It is likely that a similar response occurs in humans.

8

9

10

4.1 Factors that affect microbial composition after birth

11 4.1.1 Delivery mode

12 It is not surprising that birth mode has a significant role to play in influencing the neonatal 13 microbiota composition. Children born by caesarean section can have altered immune 14 development and are at a higher risk for numerous non-communicable diseases such as 15 obesity, allergy, asthma and atopy [98]. Given the role of the microbiota in immune 16 programming [4,5], various studies have reported on the differences in fecal microbiota 17 composition in infants born either vaginally or by caesarean section [8,34,78,80,92,99]. These studies have highlighted that there are substantial differences in the composition of the 18 19 microbiota between vaginally delivered neonates as compared to those born by caesarean 20 section. How long these differences remain is somewhat unclear with some studies indicating 21 that the differences are no longer significant after 6-weeks [8], and others that show 22 differences may last up to two years [92].

Vaginal birth exposes the infant to microbes of the birth canal such as *Lactobacillus*, *Prevotella* and *Sneathia*, which can then be detected in the infant gut in samples taken soon
after delivery. Other taxa that are present in early stool samples of vaginal birth infants

1 include Bacteroides, Escherichia, Bifidobacterium and Parabacteroides [9,80,81,92]. These 2 genera dominate the infant microbiota and comprise up to 68 % of all microbes at 4 days after 3 birth [80]. In contrast, early samples taken from neonates born by caesarean section were 4 higher in relative abundance of Enterococcus, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Klebsiella, 5 Enterobacter and Propionibacterium [8,9,80,81]. These taxa are commonly associated with 6 the skin and the hospital environment and many are sources of nosocomial infections. In 7 caesarean section infants, these taxa can account for 68 % of infant microbiota four days after 8 birth [80]. It is less than ideal that newborns with an immature immune system are colonized with opportunist pathogens which could take advantage of a blunt immune response, 9 furthermore, formula fed infants are more prone to infection [100]. The microbial provenance 10 11 in caesarean section born infants is distinct between infants born by elective or emergency 12 caesarean section [8]. The source of the gut microbiota in infants born by emergency 13 caesarean section is presumed to be the skin and vagina, whereas the skin was thought to be 14 the predominant microbial origin of the gut microbiota in infants born by elective caesarean 15 section [8]. These differences may be due to fetal membrane rupture which commonly occurs before emergency caesarean section leading to infiltration by vaginal microbes. 16

17 Shotgun metagenomic sequencing allows researchers to identify strain level 18 transmission of bacteria from mother to infants. Interestingly, two studies employing this 19 technique showed that transmission of strains of Bifidobacterium spp., Bacteroides spp., 20 Parabacteroides spp. and E. coli is higher in vaginally born infants compared to that observed 21 in caesarean born infants [80,81]. These results are confounded by the use of perinatal 22 antibiotics in caesarean section which can impact the maternal microbiota. This is apparent in and consistent with the observed low abundance of *Bacteroides* spp. in infants born 23 24 vaginally to mothers that received perinatal antibiotics [80,101]. The loss of the key early life

genus *Bifidobacterium* may have longer terms effects including an impaired response to
 vaccines later in life [102].

3 Diversity of the microbiota increases after the first week following birth in both 4 vaginally born and caesarean section born infants [93]. Despite this, multiple studies indicate 5 that birth mode is the primary factor accounting for differences in the microbiota composition 6 between the two groups throughout the first year of life [80,87,92], although one study 7 suggests there is no appreciable difference by six weeks [8]. In vaginally born infants, 8 Bifidobacterium and Bacteroides continue to dominate the gut microbiota, yet tend to be 9 present in lower relative abundance in caesarean section infants which typically have higher relative abundance of *Clostridiaceae* and *Enterobacteriaceae*. While *Bifidobacterium* relative 10 abundance in caesarean section infants can recover, potentially due to transmission from 11 12 breast milk, the relative abundance of Bacteroides does not recover in a similar manner 13 (Bäckhed et al., 2015a; Bokulich et al., 2016; Shao et al., 2019). This is significant as 14 Bacteroides is a dominant member of in the infant gut which expands after weaning likely due 15 to members of this taxa encoding genes involved in digestion of complex carbohydrates.

Delivery mode clearly has a major impact on the composition of the infant microbiota, however, how long term these effects are is still matter of debate and confounded by other factors such as breastfeeding and antibiotic usage in mother and infant. Importantly, many of the taxa that are in higher abundance in cesarean section infants compared to vaginally born infants contain opportunistic pathogens and taxa responsible for nosocomial infections. This may lead to increased risk of infection which may have to be treated with antibiotics further damaging the normal development of the infant microbiota.

23

1 4.1.2 Breast milk microbiota and infant diet

2 *4.1.2.1 Breast milk composition and microbial content*

3 Human breast milk is a complex fluid able to satisfy all nutritional requirements of the 4 newborn by providing essential nutrients and bioactive compounds that promote the 5 development of the infant as well as support its immune system [103]. Breastfeeding confers 6 protection against respiratory and gastrointestinal infections and decreases the risk of sudden 7 infant death syndrome and certain inflammatory diseases such as dermatitis, asthma, 8 obesity, type 1 and 2 diabetes [104,105]. The benefits of prolonged breastfeeding for the 9 infant, especially during the first six months following birth, are many, while it also positively 10 impacts on the appropriate development of the infant gut microbiota [93].

11 The composition of the milk varies and depends on different factors: stage of lactation 12 period; degree of breast fullness; infant feeding; mother-infant health status; maternal diet and maternal genetics [106,107]. Breast milk is composed of carbohydrates, fats, 13 14 proteins/amino acids, iron/lactoferrin and antibodies that are important for infant nutrition 15 and health. Lactose is the primary carbohydrate and provides an efficient energy source for 16 the growing infant. In addition, breast milk also contains human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs) 17 that can only be digested by certain members of the gut microbiota. HMOs can prevent infection by pathogenic bacteria by promoting growth of competitive commensal bacteria 18 [108,109]. The presence of certain HMOs in human milk is correlated with increased relative 19 20 abundance of *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. in the infant gut contributing to the 21 development of a bifidobacteria-rich gut microbiota that is believed to support health and well-being of the infant [110,111]. 22

Breast milk itself is also a source of commensal bacteria that are naturally present in
 this secretory fluid. It has been estimated that a breastfed (BF) infant ingests between 1 x 10⁴

1 and 1 x 10⁶ bacteria daily [112]. Over the past two decades studies have sought to 2 characterize the full diversity of these milk-associated bacterial communities and their 3 relative stability over time. To date, certain bacterial communities in the milk have been 4 identified by both culture-dependent and culture-independent analysis [104,113–115]. As 5 with the composition of breast milk itself, its associated microbiome changes during the 6 lactation period. Different factors are thought to be responsible for its composition and 7 diversity, such as breastfeeding practices, infant gender and maternal factors (BMI, parity and 8 delivery mode) [116]. As previously discussed, obesity influences the maternal gut microbiota 9 but it also affects the milk microbiota and a study in a Kenyan population shows that obese mothers tend to have a less diverse milk microbiota compared with that of normal weight 10 11 mothers [35,117].

12 The most frequently found bacteria in human milk are those belonging to the genera 13 Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Lactobacillus, Pseudomonas, Bifidobacterium, Corynebacterium, Enterococcus, Acinetobacter, Rothia, Cutibacterium, Veillonella and 14 15 Bacteroides [118]. Some genera, like Staphylococcus, Corynebacterium or Propionibacterium can be isolated from the skin and are also frequently found in human milk [106,119]. It is 16 17 worth noting that *Bifidobacterium* is present in breast milk, while it is also one of the first and 18 most prevalent and abundant colonizers of the infant gut [115,120]. There is evidence that 19 Bifidobacterium genus has a high potential for vertical mother-neonate transfer via breast 20 milk [121]. The high abundancy of bifidobacteria in exclusively breastfed infants is at least 21 partly a result of the ability of these infant-associated bacteria to metabolize HMOs, and the 22 presence of *Bifidobacterium* in breast milk may be the result of and/or contribute to this 23 effect [83,122].

1 Several studies have shown that not only the nutritional composition, but the 2 microbiota composition differs between colostrum and regular breast milk. Species like 3 Weisella, Leuconostoc, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus and Lactococcus have predominantly 4 been detected in colostrum samples, while typical inhabitants of the oral cavity such as 5 Veillonella and Prevotella were shown to be significantly increased in later stage milk samples 6 [117]. To date, the origin of the human milk-associated bacteria remains unknown, leading 7 some authors to suggest that bacteria in human milk are contaminants from the skin or are 8 being regurgitated by the infant during feeding. A recent study showed that the microbiota 9 in pre-colostrum samples that had been collected before delivery and that of the infant oral cavity are similar, indicating that there is no contamination from the infant, and suggesting 10 11 that an infant receives certain oral bacteria through breastfeeding [123]. Furthermore, two 12 studies have shown that bacteria consumed orally by lactating women or mice can later be 13 isolated from the milk [124,125] suggesting that bacteria reach the mammary gland through 14 an internal, systemic route. Two mechanisms have been proposed for how bacteria are 15 seeding into the breast milk, the Entero-mammary pathway and Retrograde translocation 16 [116,126]. The Entero-mammary pathway proposes that immune cells mediate translocation 17 of bacterial cells from the mother's gastrointestinal tract into the mammary gland [127]. This 18 hypothesis is supported by the fact that colostrum contains bacteria even before the newborn 19 has been breastfed [123]. Retrograde translocation consists of a reverse flow of milk from the 20 infant mouth back into the breast that occurs during breastfeeding, where bacterial origin 21 would be the areola area and the infant oral cavity, supported by the similarity of infant oral 22 microbiota to breastmilk microbiota [127–129].

23

1 *4.1.2.2* Impact of breast feeding on infant microbiota composition

2 As described above, breast milk contains all nutrients for optimal infant growth and health. 3 Formula milk is designed to be very similar to breastmilk in order to provide all required 4 nutrients to the infant and represents an ideal option to mothers for whom breast feeding is 5 not possible, or to infants who do not breast feed well. Most formula milk products contain 6 prebiotics such as Galactooligosaccharides (GOS), Fructooligosaccharides (FOS) and, more 7 recently, certain biosynthetic HMOs in order to provide bioactive compounds and nutrients 8 for the microbiota [39]. Despite these similarities, the microbiota of formula-fed (FF) and BF 9 infants are distinct.

Gut microbial diversity, as determined from fecal samples, is increased in infants who 10 11 are FF compared to those who are predominantly or exclusively BF [87,92,94,130]. 12 Furthermore, infants who are exclusively BF have a less diverse gut microbiota compared to 13 those who had received both formula and breastmilk [94]. The age of the microbiota in a 14 sample can be predicted by assessment of specific species found in BF infants and some 15 studies have used this prediction to show FF infants generally possess an 'older' microbiota 16 when compared to partially or exclusively BF infants [9,87,94]. This may have a negative 17 impact on immune development which is correlated with microbiota composition in early life 18 [131].

Taxonomic differences in microbes between BF and FF individuals are relatively consistent across multiple studies from different geographical locations highlighting that components of breast milk are promoting the enrichment of similar microbial communities [94]. BF infants generally have higher relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus* and *Prevotella* in their stool [9,80,87,93,94]. Infants who receive formula either exclusively or in combination with breastmilk have higher relative

1 abundance of Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria such as Clostridium difficile, 2 Granulicatella adiacens, Enterobacter cloacae, Bilophila wadsworthia, Klebsiella oxytoca, 3 Enterococcus faecalis, Lachnospiraceae and Bacteroides spp. [9,80,87,93,94]. Many of the 4 taxa in higher abundance in FF infants contain opportunistic pathogens (e.g C. difficile and 5 *Klebsiella* spp.) that encode virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes. Thus, these taxa 6 have a higher pathogenic potential which is important as FF infants are at a higher risk of 7 infection compared to breastfed infants [100,132]. Moreover, infants with higher abundance 8 of Bacteroidaceae and Clostridiaceae in early life (as seen in FF infants) are associated with 9 increased occurrence of allergies, eczema and asthma compared to infants with higher relative abundance of Bifidobacteriaceae and Lactobacillaceae seen in BF infants [133,134]. 10 11 This may account for why breastfed infants have lower risk of developing allergic diseases 12 [100]

13 Metagenomic sequencing of fecal samples has provided insights into why certain species thrive in the BF infant and others in FF infants, which corresponds to the 14 15 compositional changes between these milk types. The gut microbiome of BF infants is enriched in pathways involved in the synthesis of amino acids such as methionine, 16 17 cysteine/serine, arginine and branched-chain amino acids that are less concentrated in BM 18 compared to FM [39]. Correspondingly, pathways involved in the biosynthesis of histidine, 19 purines, pyrimidines and the tryptophan-precursor chorismate were lower in BF infants 20 compared to FF, presumably because these nutrients are in high abundance in BM compared 21 to FM. Many of the pathways enriched in BF infants could be mapped to *Bifidobacterium* spp. 22 Certain members of the genus Bifidobacterium such as B. breve, B. longum subsp. infantis and B. bifidum are commonly found in very high prevalence and abundance in breastfed infants 23 24 due to their ability to digest both lactose and HMOs found in BM [135–137]. These apparently

infant-adapted *Bifidobacterium* species have specific genomic clusters that allow for the intra or extra-cellular digestion of HMOs.

3

4 *4.1.2.3 Impact of weaning on microbiota composition*

5 The impact of breastfeeding on the microbiome after weaning from milk to solid food 6 is correlated with the duration of exclusive breastfeeding rather than the age at which 7 weaning occurred [86,87]. The duration of exclusive breastfeeding was shown to be positively 8 correlated with Bifidobacterium, Veillonella, Megaspheara, Haemophilus, Lactobacillus, 9 Enterococcus and Streptococcus at an age of 9 months [86]. The effects of breastfeeding on 10 the post-weaning microbiota reduces with time; *Bifidobacterium* spp. are replaced as the 11 dominant species with a concurrent increased relative abundance of members of the phyla 12 Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes such as Bacteroides, Bilophilia, Roseburia, Ruminococcus and 13 *Clostridium* [9,86,87,94]. Changes in microbiota composition are delayed in infants that still 14 receive breastmilk whilst at the same time are on a diet that includes solid foods [9,86,87]. 15 The types of food that infants are weaned onto also have an effect on the microbiota 16 composition and diversity [86]. Increased intake of protein and fiber in foods like meat, 17 cheese and rye bread at 9 months is associated with an increase in alpha diversity. By the age of 18 months the effects of breastfeeding on the microbiota are no longer observed, most 18 likely due to the cessation of breastmilk and transition to an exclusive diet of solid and more 19 20 varied foods [86,87].

Breastfeeding is correlated with improved health outcomes for infants and is associated with reduced levels of sudden infant death syndrome, asthma and necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) [138]. It is clear that breastfeeding also has a profound impact on the

infant gut microbiota though it is still obscure how these changes in microbial composition
 precisely impact on early life health outcomes.

3 4.1.3 Antibiotic usage

4 Antibiotics are an essential component of modern medicine and are often prescribed to 5 pregnant women either during pregnancy or labor [139]. Antibiotic administration has a 6 substantial effect on the microbiome by reducing microbial load and altering composition 7 [139], the effects of which are observed long after administration [140]. Thus, a number of 8 studies have attempted to identify the effect that perinatal and neonatal antibiotic 9 administration has on the infant fecal microbiome in the short and medium term. Caesarean 10 section birth alters the early infant microbiome composition substantially (see section on 11 delivery mode above) and some of these effects are likely due to prophylactic administration 12 of antibiotics to mothers to prevent surgical site infection [141,142]. Bacteroides species are particularly sensitive to antibiotics as they are decreased in both neonates born by caesarean 13 14 section or vaginally where mothers have received perinatal antibiotics [80]. Transmission of 15 key microbes, such as Bifidobacterium and Bacteroides, from mother to infant is also reduced 16 in caesarean section born infants [80,143], which may be a result of perinatal antibiotic 17 exposure.

Antibiotic usage in full term infants is common and has been linked to increased incidence of asthma, allergy, eczema, obesity and IBD development [100]. Antibiotic exposure within 1 month of birth has a significant effect on phylogenetic diversity and species observed but diversity recovered within the first year of life [92]. Reduced diversity may be explained by the finding that infants who received antibiotics in the first year of life have more species dominated by a single strain rather than multiple strains [144]. This may be due to the presence of antibiotic resistance genes in those strains giving them a competitive advantage.

Major changes in microbiota composition are not observed in infants who do not received
antibiotics until weaning, a time that coincides with an increased immune response to new
bacterial taxa [97]. It is possible that antibiotic usage and associated taxonomic changes could
result in a premature immune response at the incorrect development stage i.e. pre-weaning.

- 5
- 6 7

4.2 Impact of microbiota on preterm infant health

8 Preterm birth is the second most common cause of neonatal death in the world, 9 presenting an incidence above 10 % worldwide. Defined as an anticipated birth before 37 10 weeks of gestation, it depends mainly on maternal and fetal genetics. However, it has been 11 suggested that between 40-50 % of preterm births can be associated with microbial etiologies 12 [66]. Preterm birth has been associated with low levels of *Lactobacillus* and overgrowth of 13 strict anaerobic bacteria, such as *Gardenella vaginalis*, *Mobiluncus*, *Streptococcus* and 14 *Prevotella*, among other anaerobic vaginosis-associated genera [62,66,145].

15 Preterm infants are commonly prescribed antibiotics due to their underdeveloped 16 immune system and to prevent early onset sepsis [146]. This can markedly affect the gut 17 microbiome development and composition as reflected in reduced alpha diversity in preterm 18 infants who had a brief or intensive course of antibiotics compared to no antibiotic treatment 19 [146]. Prolonged use of antibiotics in preterm infants results in reduced relative abundance 20 of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus when compared to full term infants [147], however, the 21 relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* increases with age [148]. Preterm infants have a higher 22 relative abundance of Escherichia, Klebsiella and Enterobacter, all of which are part of the ESKAPE pathogen group and many of which are multidrug resistant [139,146]. Metagenomic 23 24 analysis has shown that members of the ESKAPE family encode the highest number of 25 antibiotic resistance genes in the preterm infant microbiome [139]. Knowledge of which

1 species encode these genes could lead to tailored antibiotic treatments in the future [149]. 2 Necrotizing enterocolitis is particularly prevalent in pre-term infants and has a complex 3 etiology, though development of abnormal gut microbial composition is important in 4 progression of the disease [108] and can be exacerbated by the use of antibiotics which 5 promote overgrowth of antibiotic resistant taxa such as those listed above. There is clear 6 evidence the microbial supplementation of preterm infants can prevent NEC [150] thus a 7 change in clinical practice should be considered. A recent single center study in the United 8 Kingdom showed that supplementing pre-term infants with Lactobacillus and 9 *Bifidobacterium*, species commonly associated with breastfed infants, reduced the incidence of NEC [151] suggesting that specific microbes are important in prevention of this disease. 10

The effect of antibiotic use on the microbiome is reported as being of a short-term in preterms [146,148], with one study identifying no effect on the microbiota 1-3 years after discharge from neonatal intensive care unit [152]. Despite this, early life is a critical window for immune development and disruption of the microbiota during this period may have longer-term impacts on health [4,5].

16

17 4.3 Expert opinion

The early life microbiota is a complex and changeable ecosystem that is affected by multiple factors. There is compelling evidence that delivery mode, infant diet and antibiotic usage are the most important factors in early life microbiota development, but we still do not understand exactly why compositional changes may impact infant health. The evidence is less compelling for the impact of maternal factors before and during pregnancy on infant microbiota development and health. It may be that maternal factors have a limited impact and targeting microbial therapies at the early life window would be more effective. Like all

areas of interest in the field of human microbiota, studies to late have been limited, for the
most part, to describing differences in taxa under different conditions. While this information
is informative, the field is lacking in knowledge of the underlying mechanisms behind these
taxonomic differences and their impact on health.

5 To date studies have been limited by cost and technology, resulting in many studies 6 being underpowered or using 16S rRNA gene sequencing to determine the changes in the 7 microbial composition in different situations. These studies have reported important but 8 limited findings as 16S sequencing is only reliable to genus level and does not tell anything 9 about the functional capacity of the microbiome. Over the past decade there has been a 10 considerable reduction in cost of DNA sequencing, meaning many more studies will employ 11 more powerful sequencing technologies that provide much greater insight, not only into what 12 microbes are present but also what metabolic functionalities these microbes possess.

13 Sequencing results can now be obtained and analyzed more quickly due to 14 technological advances. In pre-term infants where gastrointestinal infection by multidrug 15 resistant bacteria can result in poor outcomes or death, rapid diagnostics using sequencing can identify what antimicrobial resistance genes are present in the infant's microbiome thus 16 17 helping clinicians quickly choose the correct antibiotics for treatment [149]. These results are 18 far quicker, with results in hours, than traditional microbial cultivation techniques where 19 results can take days. Microbial therapies also help prevent infection in pre-term infants and 20 a change in practice is strongly suggested [150,153], however, there is limited information 21 regarding which strains and species are the most effective. More clinical trials of are needed 22 to address this issue and to provide better guidance to clinicians.

Large cohort studies using metagenomic, and sometimes metatranscriptomic,
 sequencing have provided greater insight into the functionalities of the microbes that are

present in the infant gut and show that some species, such as *Bifidobacterium*, are genomically adapted to specifically prosper in the infant gut. Given the advances and reduction in cost of sequencing and other "omics" technologies, it is expected that many more large cohort studies over the next five years will further add to the field

5 Another limitation of these studies is their reliance on the use of relative abundance 6 of microbes as this incorrectly presumes that all individuals have the same microbial load and 7 can exaggerate the impact of single species and underplay the role of low abundance 8 microbes. Differences in microbial load have been linked to disease in adults and so it is 9 important to establish any differences that may occur in infants.

While DNA and RNA sequencing provide a relatively insightful view of the functional 10 11 capacity of the microbiome, there are many complex metabolic pathways that can only be 12 inferred by this type of data. Detailed metabolomic, lipidomic, glycomic and proteomic efforts 13 are expected to provide a greater insight into types of compounds produced by the 14 microbiota that may interact with the host and impact on host physiology. Some of these 15 types of studies have been published and give an even greater insight into the function of bacteria in the infant gut but need to be expanded to build a more complete picture of this 16 complex ecosystem. Recent improvements in cultivation of culturable and so-called 17 18 "unculturable" microbes will allow for the isolation and detailed examination of these 19 bacteria, aimed at identifying key roles for specific microbes in the gut. Furthermore, 20 cultivation of microbes from both mother and infant will lead to further understanding of the 21 traits that are required for transmission to occur.

Linking the taxonomic changes to long term health outcomes is difficult due to many confounding variables. However, there is a clear impact of the microbiota on the development of the infant. In order to obtain a better understanding of the mechanisms at

1 play, more complex, carefully designed, longitudinal studies that focus on more than 2 taxonomy are required. There are many questions left to answer including: is there an 3 immune reactions to weaning in humans and how is this altered by disturbances to "normal" 4 microbiota development; how do bacteria reach breastmilk?; and can microbial 5 supplementation during pregnancy result in transmission to infant and improve clinical 6 outcomes? Ultimately the goal of the field should be to improve infant and maternal health 7 and only more detailed knowledge of mechanisms of action of microbiota-host interactions 8 can get us to that point.

9

10 4.4 Article highlights

- ¹¹ Early life microbiota composition is influenced by maternal and infant factors
- ¹² Microbial colonization primarily occurs after birth but there may be some colonization

13 *in utero*, although this remains highly controversial.

- ¹⁴ Maternal factors during pregnancy that can affect the infant microbiota include
- ¹⁵ maternal diet, weight, gestational weight gain and antibiotic usage but overall their

¹⁶ impact is low in comparison to that of birth mode and infant diet.

- ¹⁷ Microbes are passed from mother to infant during and after birth. The major
- ¹⁸ contributor to the infant microbiota appears to be the maternal gut microbiota and
- ¹⁹ environmental microbes whereas vaginal microbes are present in lower abundance.
- Delivery mode and breastfeeding have the largest effects on microbial composition in
 early life.
- Altered microbiota composition may lead to increased risk or asthma, eczema and
 allergies.

24

1	Figur	es:
2	Figur	e 1 title: Factors that affect the composition of the infant microbiota:
3	Figur	e 1 legend: A schematic outlining the factors the contribute to the infant microbiota
4	comp	position in early. Further detail of all factors is outline in the text.
5		
6	4.5	Bibliography
7		
8	[1]	Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Revised Estimates for the Number of Human and Bacteria
9		Cells in the Body. PLOS Biol. [Internet]. 2016;14:e1002533. Available from:
10		https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533.
11	[2]	Arrieta MC, Stiemsma LT, Amenyogbe N, et al. The intestinal microbiome in early life:
12		health and disease. Front. Immunol. [Internet]. 2014;5:427. Available from:
13		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25250028.
14	[3]	Ganal-Vonarburg SC, Duerr CU. The interaction of intestinal microbiota and innate
15		lymphoid cells in health and disease throughout life. Immunology [Internet].
16		2020;159:39–51. Available from:
17		https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/imm.13138.
18	[4]	Macpherson AJ, De Agüero MG, Ganal-Vonarburg SC. How nutrition and the maternal
19		microbiota shape the neonatal immune system. Nat. Rev. Immunol. [Internet].
20		2017;17:508–517. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.58.
21	[5]	McDonald B, McCoy KD. Maternal microbiota in pregnancy and early life. Science (80-
22		.). [Internet]. 2019;365:984–985. Available from:
23		https://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.aay0618.
24	[6]	Ferretti P, Pasolli E, Tett A, et al. Mother-to-Infant Microbial Transmission from

- 1 Different Body Sites Shapes the Developing Infant Gut Microbiome. Cell Host Microbe
- 2 [Internet]. 2018;24:133-145.e5. Available from:
- 3 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312818303172.
- 4 [7] Asnicar F, Manara S, Zolfo M, et al. Studying Vertical Microbiome Transmission from
- 5 Mothers to Infants by Strain-Level Metagenomic Profiling. Gilbert JA, editor.
- 6 mSystems [Internet]. 2017;2:1–13. Available from:
- 7 http://msystems.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/mSystems.00164-16.
- 8 [8] Chu DM, Ma J, Prince AL, et al. Maturation of the infant microbiome community
- 9 structure and function across multiple body sites and in relation to mode of delivery.
- 10 Nat. Med. [Internet]. 2017;23:314–326. Available from:
- 11 http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nm.4272.
- 12 [9] Bäckhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, et al. Dynamics and stabilization of the human gut
- 13 microbiome during the first year of life. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;17:690–703.
- 14 [10] Carding S, Verbeke K, Vipond DT, et al. Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota in disease.
- 15 Microb. Ecol. Heal. Dis. [Internet]. 2015;26:26191. Available from:
- 16 http://www.microbecolhealthdis.net/index.php/mehd/article/view/26191.
- 17 [11] Perez-Muñoz ME, Arrieta M-C, Ramer-Tait AE, et al. A critical assessment of the
- 18 "sterile womb" and "in utero colonization" hypotheses: implications for research on
- 19 the pioneer infant microbiome. Microbiome [Internet]. 2017;5:48. Available from:
- 20 http://microbiomejournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40168-017-0268-4.
- 21 [12] Collado MC, Rautava S, Aakko J, et al. Human gut colonisation may be initiated in
- 22 utero by distinct microbial communities in the placenta and amniotic fluid. Sci. Rep.
- 23 [Internet]. 2016;6:23129. Available from:
- 24 http://www.nature.com/articles/srep23129.

1 [13] Liu C-J, Liang X, Niu Z-Y, et al. Is the delivery mode a critical factor for the micr	obial
--	-------

- 2 communities in the meconium? EBioMedicine [Internet]. 2019;49:354–363. Available
- 3 from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.10.045.
- 4 [14] Mitchell CM, Haick A, Nkwopara E, et al. Colonization of the upper genital tract by
- 5 vaginal bacterial species in nonpregnant women. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. [Internet].
- 6 2015;212:611.e1-611.e9. Available from:
- 7 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2014.11.043.
- 8 [15] Verstraelen H, Vilchez-Vargas R, Desimpel F, et al. Characterisation of the human
- 9 uterine microbiome in non-pregnant women through deep sequencing of the V1-2
- 10 region of the 16S rRNA gene. PeerJ. 2016;2016:1–23.
- 11 [16] Lauder AP, Roche AM, Sherrill-Mix S, et al. Comparison of placenta samples with
- 12 contamination controls does not provide evidence for a distinct placenta microbiota.

13 Microbiome [Internet]. 2016;4:1–11. Available from:

- 14 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40168-016-0172-3.
- 15 [17] Jiménez E, Marín ML, Martín R, et al. Is meconium from healthy newborns actually
- 16 sterile? Res. Microbiol. [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2017 Jun 15];159:187–193. Available
- 17 from: http://ac.els-cdn.com/S0923250808000028/1-s2.0-S0923250808000028-
- 18 main.pdf?_tid=0d3f843c-51e0-11e7-a8e4-
- 19 00000aacb362&acdnat=1497541019_1003fa1da01c062c1e449e3320f1333d.
- 20 [18] de Goffau MC, Lager S, Sovio U, et al. Human placenta has no microbiome but can
- 21 contain potential pathogens. Nature [Internet]. 2019;572:329–334. Available from:
- 22 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1451-5.
- 23 [19] Lim ES, Rodriguez C, Holtz LR. Reply Re: "Amniotic fluid from healthy term
- 24 pregnancies does not harbor a detectable microbial community." Microbiome

[Internet]. 2019;7:21. Available from:

2		https://microbiomejournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40168-019-0640-7.
3	[20]	Lim ES, Rodriguez C, Holtz LR. Amniotic fluid from healthy term pregnancies does not
4		harbor a detectable microbial community. Microbiome [Internet]. 2018;6:87.
5		Available from:
6		https://microbiomejournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40168-019-0640-7.
7	[21]	Salter SJ, Cox MJ, Turek EM, et al. Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically
8		impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. BMC Biol. 2014;12:1–12.
9	[22]	Tanner MA, Goebel BM, Dojka MA, et al. Specific ribosomal DNA sequences from
10		diverse environmental settings correlate with experimental contaminants. Appl.
11		Environ. Microbiol. 1998;64:3110–3113.
12	[23]	Grahn N, Olofsson M, Ellnebo-Svedlund K, et al. Identification of mixed bacterial DNA
13		contamination in broad-range PCR amplification of 16S rDNA V1 and V3 variable
14		regions by pyrosequencing of cloned amplicons. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2003;219:87–
15		91.
16	[24]	Mühl H, Kochem AJ, Disqué C, et al. Activity and DNA contamination of commercial
17		polymerase chain reaction reagents for the universal 16S rDNA real-time polymerase
18		chain reaction detection of bacterial pathogens in blood. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.
19		[Internet]. 2010;66:41–49. Available from:
20		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2008.07.011.
21	[25]	Rautava S, Collado MC, Salminen S, et al. Probiotics modulate host-microbe
22		interaction in the placenta and fetal gut: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-
23		controlled trial. Neonatology [Internet]. 2012;102:178–184. Available from:
24		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22776980.

1	[26]	Amarasekara R, Jayasekara RW, Senanayake H, et al. Microbiome of the placenta in
2		pre-eclampsia supports the role of bacteria in the multifactorial cause of pre-
3		eclampsia. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. 2015;41:662–669.
4	[27]	Reet Mändar, Krista Lôivukene A. Amniotic Fluid Microflora in Asymptomatic Women
5		At Mid-Gestation. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. [Internet]. 2001;33:60–62. Available from:
6		http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/003655401750064095.
7	[28]	Rackaityte E, Halkias J, Fukui EM, et al. Viable bacterial colonization is highly limited in
8		the human intestine in utero. Nat. Med. [Internet]. 2020; Available from:
9		http://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-020-0761-3.
10	[29]	Mueller NT, Shin H, Pizoni A, et al. Birth mode-dependent association between pre-
11		pregnancy maternal weight status and the neonatal intestinal microbiome. Sci. Rep.
12		[Internet]. 2016;6:1–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep23133.
13	[30]	Collado MC, Isolauri E, Laitinen K, et al. Effect of mother's weight on infant's
14		microbiota acquisition, composition, and activity during early infancy: A prospective
15		follow-up study initiated in early pregnancy. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2010;92:1023–1030.
16	[31]	Chu DM, Antony KM, Ma J, et al. The early infant gut microbiome varies in association
17		with a maternal high-fat diet. Genome Med. [Internet]. 2016;8:1–12. Available from:
18		http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0330-z.
19	[32]	Su M, Nie Y, Shao R, et al. Diversified gut microbiota in newborns of mothers with
20		gestational diabetes mellitus. Alpini GD, editor. PLoS One [Internet].
21		2018;13:e0205695. Available from:
22		http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205695.
23	[33]	Lundgren SN, Madan JC, Emond JA, et al. Maternal diet during pregnancy is related

with the infant stool microbiome in a delivery mode-dependent manner.

1 Microbiome. 2018;6:1–11.

2	[34]	Tun HM, Bridgman SL, Chari R, et al. Roles of birth mode and infant gut microbiota in
3		intergenerational transmission of overweight and obesity from mother to offspring.
4		JAMA Pediatr. 2018;172:368–377.
5	[35]	Gohir W, Ratcliffe EM, Sloboda DM. Of the bugs that shape us: Maternal obesity, the
6		gut microbiome, and long-term disease risk. Pediatr. Res. 2015;77:196–204.
7	[36]	Wang J, Zheng J, Shi W, et al. Dysbiosis of maternal and neonatal microbiota
8		associated with gestational diabetes mellitus. Gut. 2018;67:1614–1625.
9	[37]	Koren O, Goodrich JK, Cullender TC, et al. Host remodeling of the gut microbiome and
10		metabolic changes during pregnancy. Cell. 2012;150:470–480.
11	[38]	Singh SB, Madan J, Coker M, et al. Does birth mode modify associations of maternal
12		pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational weight gain with the infant gut microbiome? Int.
13		J. Obes. [Internet]. 2020;44:23–32. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41366-
14		018-0273-0.
15	[39]	Baumann-Dudenhoeffer AM, D'Souza AW, Tarr PI, et al. Infant diet and maternal
16		gestational weight gain predict early metabolic maturation of gut microbiomes. Nat.
17		Med. [Internet]. 2018;24:1822–1829. Available from:
18		http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0216-2.
19	[40]	Rasmussen KM, Yaktine AL, editors. Weight Gain During Pregnancy [Internet].
20		Washington, D.C., Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2009. Available from:
21		http://www.nap.edu/catalog/12584.
22	[41]	Ferrocino I, Ponzo V, Gambino R, et al. Changes in the gut microbiota composition
23		during pregnancy in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Sci. Rep.
24		[Internet]. 2018;8:1–13. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-

1 30735-9.

2 [42] Crusell MKW, Hansen TH, Nielsen T, et al. Gestational diabetes is associated with 3 change in the gut microbiota composition in third trimester of pregnancy and 4 postpartum. Microbiome. 2018;6:89. 5 [43] Kuang YS, Lu JH, Li SH, et al. Connections between the human gut microbiome and 6 gestational diabetes mellitus. Gigascience. 2017;6:1–12. 7 Hu J, Nomura Y, Bashir A, et al. Diversified microbiota of meconium is affected by [44] 8 maternal diabetes status. PLoS One. 2013;8. 9 Bisanz JE, Upadhyay V, Turnbaugh JA, et al. Meta-Analysis Reveals Reproducible Gut [45] Microbiome Alterations in Response to a High-Fat Diet. Cell Host Microbe [Internet]. 10 2019;1–8. Available from: 11 12 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312819303026. 13 [46] Johnson AJ, Vangay P, Al-Ghalith GA, et al. Daily Sampling Reveals Personalized Diet-Microbiome Associations in Humans. Cell Host Microbe [Internet]. 2019;25:789-14 15 802.e5. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312819302501. 16 17 [47] Ravel J, Gajer P, Abdo Z, et al. Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. Proc. 18 Natl. Acad. Sci. [Internet]. 2011;108:4680–4687. Available from: 19 http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1002611107. 20 [48] Boskey ER, Cone RA, Whaley KJ, et al. Origins of vaginal acidity: high d/l lactate ratio is 21 consistent with bacteria being the primary source. Hum. Reprod. [Internet]. 2001;16:1809–1813. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/humrep/article-22 lookup/doi/10.1093/humrep/16.9.1809. 23 24 [49] Kaewsrichan J, Peeyananjarassri K, Kongprasertkit J. Selection and identification of

1		anaerobic lactobacilli producing inhibitory compounds against vaginal pathogens.
2		FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. [Internet]. 2006;48:75–83. Available from:
3		https://academic.oup.com/femspd/article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1574-
4		695X.2006.00124.x.
5	[50]	Voravuthikunchai SP, Bilasoi S, Supamala O. Antagonistic activity against pathogenic
6		bacteria by human vaginal lactobacilli. Anaerobe [Internet]. 2006;12:221–226.
7		Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1075996406000485.
8	[51]	Martínez-Peña MD, Castro-Escarpulli G, Aguilera-Arreola MG. Lactobacillusspecies
9		isolated from vaginal secretions of healthy and bacterial vaginosis-intermediate
10		Mexican women: a prospective study. BMC Infect. Dis. [Internet]. 2013;13:189.
11		Available from: http://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2334-
12		13-189.
13	[52]	Srinivasan S, Munch MM, Sizova M V., et al. More Easily Cultivated Than Identified:
14		Classical Isolation With Molecular Identification of Vaginal Bacteria. J. Infect. Dis.
15		[Internet]. 2016;214:S21–S28. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jid/article-
16		lookup/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiw192.
17	[53]	DiGiulio DB, Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, et al. Temporal and spatial variation of the
18		human microbiota during pregnancy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2015;112:11060–
19		11065.
20	[54]	Anahtar MN, Gootenberg DB, Mitchell CM, et al. Cervicovaginal Microbiota and
21		Reproductive Health: The Virtue of Simplicity. Cell Host Microbe [Internet].
22		2018;23:159–168. Available from:
23		https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312818300489.
24	[55]	Yamamoto T, Zhou X, Williams CJ, et al. Bacterial Populations in the Vaginas of

1		Healthy Adolescent Women. J. Pediatr. Adolesc. Gynecol. [Internet]. 2009;22:11–18.
2		Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1083318808000740.
3	[56]	Zhou X, Hansmann MA, Davis CC, et al. The vaginal bacterial communities of Japanese
4		women resemble those of women in other racial groups. FEMS Immunol. Med.
5		Microbiol. [Internet]. 2010;58:169–181. Available from:
6		https://academic.oup.com/femspd/article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1574-
7		695X.2009.00618.x.
8	[57]	Serrano MG, Parikh HI, Brooks JP, et al. Racioethnic diversity in the dynamics of the
9		vaginal microbiome during pregnancy. Nat. Med. [Internet]. 2019;25:1001–1011.
10		Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-019-0465-8.
11	[58]	Romero R, Hassan SS, Gajer P, et al. The composition and stability of the vaginal
12		microbiota of normal pregnant women is different from that of non-pregnant
13		women. Microbiome [Internet]. 2014;2:4. Available from:
14		https://microbiomejournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/2049-2618-2-4.
15	[59]	Freitas AC, Hill JE. Bifidobacteria isolated from vaginal and gut microbiomes are
16		indistinguishable by comparative genomics. PLoS One. 2018;13:1–16.
17	[60]	Huang B, Fettweis JM, Brooks JP, et al. The Changing Landscape of the Vaginal
18		Microbiome. Clin. Lab. Med. [Internet]. 2014;34:747–761. Available from:
19		https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S027227121400081X.
20	[61]	Brooks JP, Buck GA, Chen G, et al. Changes in vaginal community state types reflect
21		major shifts in the microbiome. Microb. Ecol. Health Dis. [Internet].
22		2017;28:1303265. Available from:
23		https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/16512235.2017.1303265.
24	[62]	Greenbaum S, Greenbaum G, Moran-Gilad J, et al. Ecological dynamics of the vaginal

- 1 microbiome in relation to health and disease. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. [Internet].
- 2 2019;220:324–335. Available from:
- 3 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0002937818321148.
- 4 Anahtar MN, Byrne EH, Doherty KE, et al. Cervicovaginal Bacteria Are a Major [63]
- 5 Modulator of Host Inflammatory Responses in the Female Genital Tract. Immunity
- 6 [Internet]. 2015;42:965–976. Available from:
- 7 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S107476131500179X.
- 8 [64] Fettweis JM, Brooks JP, Serrano MG, et al. Differences in vaginal microbiome in
- 9 African American women versus women of European ancestry. Microbiology
- [Internet]. 2014;160:2272–2282. Available from: 10
- https://www.microbiologyresearch.org/content/journal/micro/10.1099/mic.0.08103 11 12 4-0.
- 13 [65] Gautam R, Borgdorff H, Jespers V, et al. Correlates of the molecular vaginal
- 14 microbiota composition of African women. BMC Infect. Dis. 2015;15.
- 15 [66] Fettweis JM, Serrano MG, Brooks JP, et al. The vaginal microbiome and preterm birth.
- Nat. Med. [Internet]. 2019;25:1012–1021. Available from: 16
- 17 http://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-019-0450-2.
- 18 [67] Borgdorff H, Van Der Veer C, Van Houdt R, et al. The association between ethnicity
- 19 and vaginal microbiota composition in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. PLoS One.
- 20 2017;12:1-17.
- 21 [68] Atashili J, Poole C, Ndumbe PM, et al. Bacterial vaginosis and HIV acquisition: a meta-
- 22 analysis of published studies. AIDS [Internet]. 2008;22:1493–1501. Available from:
- https://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=00002030-200807310-00013. 23
- 24 [69] Bayigga L, Kateete DP, Anderson DJ, et al. Diversity of vaginal microbiota in sub-

- 1 Saharan Africa and its effects on HIV transmission and prevention. Am. J. Obstet.
- 2 Gynecol. [Internet]. 2019;220:155–166. Available from:
- 3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.10.014.
- 4 [70] Shi Y, Chen L, Tong J, et al. Preliminary characterization of vaginal microbiota in
- 5 healthy Chinese women using cultivation-independent methods. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.
- 6 Res. [Internet]. 2009;35:525–532. Available from:
- 7 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1447-0756.2008.00971.x.
- 8 [71] Shi Y-C, Guo H, Chen J, et al. Initial meconium microbiome in Chinese neonates
- 9 delivered naturally or by cesarean section. Sci. Rep. [Internet]. 2018;8:3255. Available
- 10 from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-21657-7.
- 11 [72] Mueller NT, Bakacs E, Combellick J, et al. The infant microbiome development: mom
- 12 matters. Trends Mol. Med. [Internet]. 2015;21:109–117. Available from:
- 13 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1471491414002160.
- 14 [73] Amabebe E, Anumba DOC. The Vaginal Microenvironment: The Physiologic Role of
- 15 Lactobacilli. Front. Med. [Internet]. 2018;5:1–11. Available from:
- 16 https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmed.2018.00181/full.
- 17 [74] Mirmonsef P, Hotton AL, Gilbert D, et al. Free Glycogen in Vaginal Fluids Is Associated
- 18 with Lactobacillus Colonization and Low Vaginal pH. Fredricks DN, editor. PLoS One
- 19 [Internet]. 2014;9:e102467. Available from:
- 20 http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102467.
- 21 [75] Freitas AC, Chaban B, Bocking A, et al. The vaginal microbiome of pregnant women is
- 22 less rich and diverse, with lower prevalence of Mollicutes, compared to non-pregnant
- 23 women. Sci. Rep. [Internet]. 2017;7:9212. Available from:
- 24 http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-07790-9.

1	[76]	El Aila NA, Tency I, Claeys G, et al. Identification and genotyping of bacteria from
2		paired vaginal and rectal samples from pregnant women indicates similarity between
3		vaginal and rectal microflora. BMC Infect. Dis. [Internet]. 2009;9:167. Available from:
4		http://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2334-9-167.
5	[77]	Ata B, Yildiz S, Turkgeldi E, et al. The Endobiota Study: Comparison of Vaginal, Cervical
6		and Gut Microbiota Between Women with Stage 3/4 Endometriosis and Healthy
7		Controls. Sci. Rep. [Internet]. 2019;9:2204. Available from:
8		http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-019-39700-6.
9	[78]	Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, et al. Delivery mode shapes the
10		acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in
11		newborns. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2017 Jun 21];107:11971–
12		11975. Available from:
13		http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2900693&tool=pmcentr
14		ez&rendertype=abstract.
15	[79]	Yang B, Chen Y, Stanton C, et al. Bifidobacterium and lactobacillus composition at
16		species level and gut microbiota diversity in infants before 6 weeks. Int. J. Mol. Sci.
17		2019;20:1–16.
18	[80]	Shao Y, Forster SC, Tsaliki E, et al. Stunted microbiota and opportunistic pathogen
19		colonization in caesarean-section birth. Nature [Internet]. 2019;574:117–121.
20		Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1560-1.
21	[81]	Wampach L, Heintz-Buschart A, Fritz J V., et al. Birth mode is associated with earliest
22		strain-conferred gut microbiome functions and immunostimulatory potential. Nat.
23		Commun. [Internet]. 2018;9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-
24		07631-x.

1	[82]	Yassour M, Jason E, Hogstrom LJ, et al. Strain-Level Analysis of Mother-to-Child
2		Bacterial Transmission during the First Few Months of Life. Cell Host Microbe
3		[Internet]. 2018;24:146-154.e4. Available from:
4		https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312818303196.
5	[83]	Milani C, Mancabelli L, Lugli GA, et al. Exploring Vertical Transmission of
6		Bifidobacteria from Mother to Child. Griffiths MW, editor. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
7		[Internet]. 2015;81:7078–7087. Available from:
8		http://aem.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/AEM.02037-15.
9	[84]	Milani C, Casey E, Lugli GA, et al. Tracing mother-infant transmission of
10		bacteriophages by means of a novel analytical tool for shotgun metagenomic
11		datasets: METAnnotatorX. Microbiome [Internet]. 2018;6:145. Available from:
12		https://microbiomejournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40168-018-0527-z.
13	[85]	Koenig JE, Spor A, Scalfone N, et al. Succession of microbial consortia in the
14		developing infant gut microbiome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. [Internet]. 2011;108:4578–
15		4585. Available from: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1000081107.
16	[86]	Laursen MF, Andersen LBB, Michaelsen KF, et al. Infant Gut Microbiota Development
17		Is Driven by Transition to Family Foods Independent of Maternal Obesity. mSphere.
18		2016;1:1–16.
19	[87]	Stewart CJ, Ajami NJ, O'Brien JL, et al. Temporal development of the gut microbiome
20		in early childhood from the TEDDY study. Nature [Internet]. 2018;562:583–588.
21		Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-018-0617-x.
22	[88]	Houghteling PD, Walker WA. Why is initial bacterial colonization of the intestine
23		important to infants' and children's health? J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.
24		2015;60:294–307.

1	[89]	Rodríguez JM, Avershina E, Ross RP, et al. The composition of the gut microbiota
2		throughout life, with an emphasis on early life. Microb. Ecol. Heal. Dis. 2015;26.
3	[90]	Gosalbes MJ, Compte J, Moriano-Gutierrez S, et al. Metabolic adaptation in the
4		human gut microbiota during pregnancy and the first year of life. EBioMedicine
5		[Internet]. 2019;39:497–509. Available from:
6		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.10.071.
7	[91]	Vallès Y, Artacho A, Pascual-García A, et al. Microbial Succession in the Gut:
8		Directional Trends of Taxonomic and Functional Change in a Birth Cohort of Spanish
9		Infants. Guttman DS, editor. PLoS Genet. [Internet]. 2014;10:e1004406. Available
10		from: http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004406.
11	[92]	Bokulich NA, Chung J, Battaglia T, et al. Antibiotics, birth mode, and diet shape
12		microbiome maturation during early life. Sci. Transl. Med. [Internet]. 2016;8:343ra82-
13		343ra82. Available from:
14		http://stm.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/scitransImed.aad7121.
15	[93]	Hill CJ, Lynch DB, Murphy K, et al. Evolution of gut microbiota composition from birth
16		to 24 weeks in the INFANTMET Cohort. Microbiome [Internet]. 2017;5:4. Available
17		from: http://microbiomejournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40168-016-
18		0213-у.
19	[94]	Ho NT, Li F, Lee-Sarwar KA, et al. Meta-analysis of effects of exclusive breastfeeding
20		on infant gut microbiota across populations. Nat. Commun. [Internet]. 2018;9.
21		Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06473-x.
22	[95]	Katayama T. Host-derived glycans serve as selected nutrients for the gut microbe:
23		Human milk oligosaccharides and bifidobacteria. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.
24		[Internet]. 2016;80:621–632. Available from:

1 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2015.1132153.

2	[96]	Marcobal A, Barboza M, Sonnenburg ED, et al. Bacteroides in the Infant Gut Consume
3		Milk Oligosaccharides via Mucus-Utilization Pathways. Cell Host Microbe [Internet].
4		2011;10:507–514. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.007.
5	[97]	Al Nabhani Z, Dulauroy S, Marques R, et al. A Weaning Reaction to Microbiota Is
6		Required for Resistance to Immunopathologies in the Adult. Immunity [Internet].
7		2019;50:1276-1288.e5. Available from:
8		https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1074761319300810.
9	[98]	Sandall J, Tribe RM, Avery L, et al. Short-term and long-term effects of caesarean
10		section on the health of women and children. Lancet [Internet]. 2018;392:1349–
11		1357. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31930-5.
12	[99]	Stokholm J, Thorsen J, Chawes BL, et al. Cesarean section changes neonatal gut
13		colonization. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. [Internet]. 2016;138:881-889.e2. Available
14		from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2016.01.028.
15	[100]	Tamburini S, Shen N, Wu HC, et al. The microbiome in early life: Implications for
16		health outcomes. Nat. Med. 2016;22:713–722.
17	[101]	Jakobsson HE, Abrahamsson TR, Jenmalm MC, et al. Decreased gut microbiota
18		diversity, delayed Bacteroidetes colonisation and reduced Th1 responses in infants
19		delivered by Caesarean section. Gut. 2014;63:559–566.
20	[102]	Huda MN, Ahmad SM, Alam MJ, et al. Bifidobacterium abundance in early infancy and
21		vaccine response at 2 years of age. Pediatrics. 2019;143.
22	[103]	Doare K Le, Holder B, Bassett A, et al. Mother's Milk: A purposeful contribution to the
23		development of the infant microbiota and immunity. Front. Immunol. 2018;9.
24	[104]	Fitzstevens JL, Smith KC, Hagadorn JI, et al. Systematic review of the human milk

1		microbiota. Nutr. Clin. Pract. 2017;32:354–364.
2	[105]	Eidelman AI, Schanler RJ. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. Pediatrics.
3		2012;129.
4	[106]	Witkowska-Zimny M, Kaminska-El-Hassan E. Cells of human breast milk. Cell. Mol.
5		Biol. Lett. 2017;22:1–11.
6	[107]	Hinde K, German JB. Food in an evolutionary context: insights from mother's milk. J.
7		Sci. Food Agric. [Internet]. 2012;92:2219–2223. Available from:
8		http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jsfa.5720.
9	[108]	Milani C, Duranti S, Bottacini F, et al. The First Microbial Colonizers of the Human Gut:
10		Composition, Activities, and Health Implications of the Infant Gut Microbiota.
11		Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2017;81:1–67.
12	[109]	Liu B, Newburg DS. Human milk glycoproteins protect infants against human
13		pathogens. Breastfeed. Med. 2013;8:354–362.
14	[110]	Bai Y, Tao J, Zhou J, et al. Fucosylated Human Milk Oligosaccharides and N-Glycans in
15		the Milk of Chinese Mothers Regulate the Gut Microbiome of Their Breast-Fed Infants
16		during Different Lactation Stages. mSystems. 2018;3:1–19.
17	[111]	Garrido D, Ruiz-Moyano S, Lemay DG, et al. Comparative transcriptomics reveals key
18		differences in the response to milk oligosaccharides of infant gut-associated
19		bifidobacteria. Sci. Rep. [Internet]. 2015;5:13517. Available from:
20		http://www.nature.com/articles/srep13517.
21	[112]	Heikkilä MP, Saris PEJ. Inhibition of Staphylococcus aureus by the commensal bacteria
22		of human milk. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2003;95:471–478.
23	[113]	Martín R, Langa S, Reviriego C, et al. Human milk is a source of lactic acid bacteria for
24		the infant gut. J. Pediatr. 2003;143:754–758.

1	[114]	Mastromarino P, Capobianco D, Miccheli A, et al. Administration of a multistrain
2		probiotic product (VSL#3) to women in the perinatal period differentially affects
3		breast milk beneficial microbiota in relation to mode of delivery. Pharmacol. Res.
4		2015;95–96:63–70.
5	[115]	Martín R, Jiménez E, Heilig H, et al. Isolation of bifidobacteria from breast milk and
6		assessment of the bifidobacterial population by PCR-denaturing gradient gel
7		electrophoresis and quantitative real-time PCR. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
8		2009;75:965–969.
9	[116]	Moossavi S, Sepehri S, Robertson B, et al. Composition and Variation of the Human
10		Milk Microbiota Are Influenced by Maternal and Early-Life Factors. Cell Host Microbe
11		[Internet]. 2019;25:324-335.e4. Available from:
12		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.01.011.
13	[117]	Cabrera-Rubio R, Collado MC, Laitinen K, et al. The human milk microbiome changes
14		over lactation and is shaped by maternal weight and mode of delivery. Am. J. Clin.
15		Nutr. [Internet]. 2012;96:544–551. Available from: www.nascop.or.ke.
16	[118]	Zimmermann P, Curtis N. Breast milk microbiota: A complex microbiome with
17		multiple impacts and conditioning factors. J. Infect. [Internet]. 2020; Available from:
18		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.01.023.
19	[119]	Hunt KM, Foster JA, Forney LJ, et al. Characterization of the diversity and temporal
20		stability of bacterial communities in human milk. PLoS One. 2011;6:1–8.
21	[120]	Soto A, Martín V, Jiménez E, et al. Lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in human breast
22		milk: influence of antibiotherapy and other host and clinical factors. J. Pediatr.
23		Gastroenterol. Nutr. [Internet]. 2014;59:78–88. Available from:
24		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24590211.

1	[121]	Jost T, Lacroix C, Braegger CP, et al. Vertical mother-neonate transfer of maternal gut
2		bacteria via breastfeeding. Environ. Microbiol. 2014;16:2891–2904.
3	[122]	Arboleya S, Stanton C, Ryan CA, et al. Bosom Buddies: The Symbiotic Relationship
4		Between Infants and Bifidobacterium longum ssp. longum and ssp. infantis . Genetic
5		and Probiotic Features . Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol. 2016;7:1–21.
6	[123]	Ruiz L, Bacigalupe R, García-Carral C, et al. Microbiota of human precolostrum and its
7		potential role as a source of bacteria to the infant mouth. Sci. Rep. 2019;9:1–13.
8	[124]	Abrahamsson TR, Sinkiewicz G, Jakobsson T, et al. Probiotic lactobacilli in breast milk
9		and infant stool in relation to oral intake during the first year of life. J. Pediatr.
10		Gastroenterol. Nutr. 2009;49:349–354.
11	[125]	de Andrés J, Jiménez E, Chico-Calero I, et al. Physiological translocation of lactic acid
12		bacteria during pregnancy contributes to the composition of the milk microbiota in
13		mice. Nutrients. 2018;10.
14	[126]	Novakova J, Vlkova E, Salmonova H, et al. Anticlostridial agent 8-hydroxyquinoline
15		improves the isolation of faecal bifidobacteria on modified Wilkins-Chalgren agar with
16		mupirocin. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 2016;62:330–335.
17	[127]	Fernández L, Langa S, Martín V, et al. The human milk microbiota: Origin and
18		potential roles in health and disease. Pharmacol. Res. 2013;69:1–10.
19	[128]	Bisanz JE, Enos MK, PrayGod G, et al. Microbiota at multiple body sites during
20		pregnancy in a rural tanzanian population and effects of Moringa-supplemented
21		probiotic yogurt. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2015;81:4965–4975.
22	[129]	Moossavi S, Azad MB. Origins of human milk microbiota: new evidence and arising
23		questions. Gut Microbes. 2019;00:1–10.
24	[130]	Wang M, Li M, Wu S, et al. Fecal microbiota composition of breast-fed infants is

1 correlated with human milk oligosaccharides consumed. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. 2 Nutr. 2015;60:825-833. 3 [131] Hornef MW, Torow N. 'Layered immunity' and the 'neonatal window of opportunity' 4 - timed succession of non-redundant phases to establish mucosal host-microbial 5 homeostasis after birth. Immunology. 2020;159:15–25. 6 [132] Chen Y, Brook TC, Soe CZ, et al. Preterm infants harbour diverse Klebsiella 7 populations, including atypical species that encode and produce an array of 8 antimicrobial resistance- and virulence-associated factors. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2019; 9 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/761924. [133] Zimmermann P, Messina N, Mohn WW, et al. Association between the intestinal 10 11 microbiota and allergic sensitization, eczema, and asthma: A systematic review. J. 12 Allergy Clin. Immunol. [Internet]. 2019;143:467–485. Available from: 13 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.09.025. 14 [134] Arrieta MC, Stiemsma LT, Dimitriu PA, et al. Early infancy microbial and metabolic 15 alterations affect risk of childhood asthma. Sci. Transl. Med. 2015;7. [135] Sela DA, Chapman J, Adeuya A, et al. The genome sequence of Bifidobacterium 16 17 longum subsp. infantis reveals adaptations for milk utilization within the infant 18 microbiome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. [Internet]. 2008;105:18964–18969. Available from: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/long/105/48/18964. 19 20 [136] James K, Motherway MO, Bottacini F, et al. Bifidobacterium breve UCC2003 21 metabolises the human milk oligosaccharides lacto-N-tetraose and lacto-N-neo-22 tetraose through overlapping, yet distinct pathways. Sci. Rep. [Internet]. 23 2016;6:38560. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/srep38560. 24 [137] James K, Bottacini F, Contreras JIS, et al. Metabolism of the predominant human milk

1		oligosaccharide fucosyllactose by an infant gut commensal. Sci. Rep. 2019;9:1–20.
2	[138]	Bartick MC, Schwarz EB, Green BD, et al. Suboptimal breastfeeding in the United
3		States: Maternal and pediatric health outcomes and costs. Matern. Child Nutr.
4		2017;13.
5	[139]	Langdon A, Crook N, Dantas G. The effects of antibiotics on the microbiome
6		throughout development and alternative approaches for therapeutic modulation.
7		Genome Med. [Internet]. 2016;8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13073-
8		016-0294-z.
9	[140]	Suez J, Zmora N, Zilberman-Schapira G, et al. Post-Antibiotic Gut Mucosal Microbiome
10		Reconstitution Is Impaired by Probiotics and Improved by Autologous FMT. Cell
11		[Internet]. 2018;174:1406-1423.e16. Available from:
12		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.047.
13	[141]	Ben Shoham A, Bar-Meir M, Ioscovich A, et al. Timing of antibiotic prophylaxis in
14		cesarean section: retrospective, difference-in-differences estimation of the effect on
15		surgical-site-infection. J. Matern. Neonatal Med. [Internet]. 2019;32:804–808.
16		Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2017.1391784.
17	[142]	van Schalkwyk J, van Eyk N, Yudin MH, et al. Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Obstetric
18		Procedures. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Canada [Internet]. 2010;32:878–884. Available from:
19		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1701-2163(16)34662-X.
20	[143]	Makino H, Kushiro A, Ishikawa E, et al. Mother-to-infant transmission of intestinal
21		bifidobacterial strains has an impact on the early development of vaginally delivered
22		infant's microbiota. PLoS One. 2013;8.
23	[144]	Yassour M, Vatanen T, Siljander H, et al. Natural history of the infant gut microbiome
24		and impact of antibiotic treatment on bacterial strain diversity and stability. Sci.

1 Transl. Med. 2016;8.

2	[145]	Hočevar K, Maver A, Vidmar Šimic M, et al. Vaginal Microbiome Signature Is
3		Associated With Spontaneous Preterm Delivery. Front. Med. [Internet]. 2019;6:1–12.
4		Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmed.2019.00201/full.
5	[146]	Greenwood C, Morrow AL, Lagomarcino AJ, et al. Early empiric antibiotic use in
6		preterm infants is associated with lower bacterial diversity and higher relative
7		abundance of enterobacter. J. Pediatr. [Internet]. 2014;165:23–29. Available from:
8		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.01.010.
9	[147]	Jia J, Xun P, Wang X, et al. Impact of Postnatal Antibiotics and Parenteral Nutrition on
10		the Gut Microbiota in Preterm Infants During Early Life. J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr.
11		2019;00.
12	[148]	Korpela K, Blakstad EW, Moltu SJ, et al. Intestinal microbiota development and
13		gestational age in preterm neonates. Sci. Rep. 2018;8:1–9.
14	[149]	Leggett RM, Alcon-Giner C, Heavens D, et al. Rapid MinION profiling of preterm
15		microbiota and antimicrobial-resistant pathogens. Nat. Microbiol. [Internet].
16		2020;5:430–442. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0626-z.
17	[150]	Alfaleh K, Anabrees J. Probiotics for prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm
18		infants. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2014;2014.
19	[151]	Robertson C, Savva GM, Clapuci R, et al. Incidence of necrotising enterocolitis before
20		and after introducing routine prophylactic Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium
21		probiotics. Arch. Dis. Child. Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2019;1–7.
22	[152]	Stewart CJ, Skeath T, Nelson A, et al. Preterm gut microbiota and metabolome
23		following discharge from intensive care. Sci. Rep. 2015;5:1–9.
24	[153]	Dermyshi E, Wang Y, Yan C, et al. The "golden Age" of Probiotics: A Systematic Review

- 1 and Meta-Analysis of Randomized and Observational Studies in Preterm Infants.
- 2 Neonatology. 2017;112:9–23.