

Title	Hyaluronan molecular weight: effects on dissolution time of dissolving microneedles in the skin and on immunogenicity of antigen
Authors	Leone, Mara;Romeijn, Stefan;Slütter, Bram;O'Mahony, Conor;Kersten, Gideon;Bouwstra, Joke A.
Publication date	2020-02-18
Original Citation	Leone, M., Romeijn, S., Slütter, B., O'Mahony, C., Kersten, G. and Bouwstra, J. A. (2020) 'Hyaluronan molecular weight: effects on dissolution time of dissolving microneedles in the skin and on immunogenicity of antigen', European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 105269. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105269
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0928098720300580 - 10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105269
Rights	© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. under a Creative Commons license - https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Download date	2024-03-28 11:50:55
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/9693



Hyaluronan molecular weight: effects on dissolution time of dissolving microneedles in the skin and on immunogenicity of antigen

Mara Leone, Stefan Romeijn, Bram Slütter, Conor O' Mahony, Gideon Kersten, Joke A. Bouwstra

PII: \$0928-0987(20)30058-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105269

Reference: PHASCI 105269

To appear in: European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Received date: 14 December 2019
Revised date: 11 February 2020
Accepted date: 16 February 2020



Please cite this article as: Mara Leone, Stefan Romeijn, Bram Slütter, Conor O' Mahony, Gideon Kersten, Joke A. Bouwstra, Hyaluronan molecular weight: effects on dissolution time of dissolving microneedles in the skin and on immunogenicity of antigen, *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105269

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Hyaluronan molecular weight: effects on dissolution time of dissolving microneedles in the skin and on immunogenicity of antigen

Mara Leone¹, Stefan Romeijn¹, Bram Slütter¹, Conor O'Mahony², Gideon Kersten^{1,3,+}, Joke A. Bouwstra^{1,+}

¹Division of BioTherapeutics, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Leiden

²Tyndall National Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

³Institute for Translational Vaccinology, Bilthoven, the Netherlands

+ Contributed equally

* Corresponding author at:

Prof. Dr. Joke Bouwstra

Division of BioTherapeutics

Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research

Leiden University

Einsteinweg 55, P.O. Box 9502

2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands

tel +31 71 527 42 08

fax +31 71 527 45 65

bouwstra@lacdr.leidenuniv.nl

Abstract

Biomaterials used as matrix for dissolving microneedles (dMNs) may affect the manufacturing process as well as the potency of the active pharmaceutical ingredient, e.g. the immunogenicity of incorporated vaccine antigens. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of the molecular weight of hyaluronan, a polymer widely used in the fabrication of dMNs, ranging in molecular weight from 4.8 kDa to 1.8 MDa, on the dissolution of microneedles in the skin in time as well as the antibody response in mice and T-cell activation in vitro. Hyaluronan molecular weight (HA-MWs) did not affect antibody responses (when lower than 150 kDa) nor CD4+ T-cell responses against model antigen ovalbumin. However, the HA-MWs had an effect on the fabrication of dMNs. The 1.8 MDa HA was not suitable for the fabrication of dMNs. Similarly, the 4.8 kDa HA generated dMN arrays less robust compared to the other HA-MWs requiring optimization of the drying conditions. Finally, higher HA-MWs led to longer application time of dMN arrays for a complete dissolution of microneedles into the skin. Specifically, we identified 20 kDa HA as the optimal HA-MW for the fabrication of dMNs as with this MW the dMNs are robust and dissolve fast in the skin without affecting immunogenicity.

Keywords

Dissolving microneedles; hyaluronan; molecular weight; immune response; dermal vaccine delivery

1. Introduction

Microneedles are intensively studied for dermal and transdermal drug and vaccine delivery (1, 2). Microneedles are needle-like structures up to 1 mm in length, capable of piercing the upper layer of the skin, the *stratum corneum*, enabling drug and vaccine delivery in a minimally invasive and painless way (3, 4). Several microneedle concept are in development: i) hollow microneedles to inject liquid formulations through the bore of the microneedle, ii) microneedles for skin pretreatment to create microchannels prior application of a patch containing the drug or vaccine formulation, iii) porous, coated, hydrogel-forming microneedles and dissolving microneedles that release the drug or vaccine upon insertion into the skin (5-8). Dissolving microneedles (dMNs), completely dissolve after insertion into the

skin, thereby releasing the encapsulated drug or vaccine (3). As an additional advantage, the dry state of dMNs combined with the presence of excipients can increase protein thermostability (3).

dMNs are produced from different materials, ranging from low molecular weight carbohydrates to biodegradable polymers (3). The selection of the matrix material is based on different factors such as i) safety, ii) compatibility with the active compound and the manufacturing procedure, iii) capability of the manufactured dMNs to efficiently pierce the skin and subsequently dissolve in a short time period and iv) potential adjuvant properties. One of the most frequently used matrix material is the hyaluronan (HA) (3, 9-13). High molecular weight HA is a non-toxic, biodegradable, biocompatible and non-inflammatory linear polysaccharide (14) naturally present in the skin and approved by the FDA as inactive material.

Although frequently used for microneedle manufacturing, the molecular weight of the polysaccharide HA is rarely addressed (1, 3, 9, 11, 12, 15-17). There are indications however, that the molecular weight of the HA influences its immuno-adjuvant properties. Only high molecular weight HA (HMW-HA, MW 10⁷ Da) is an ubiquitous extracellular matrix component (18, 19). Low molecular weight HA (LMW-HA) (4- to 16-oligosaccharide size, 800 – 3200 Da (19, 20)) is able to activate immunocompetent cells such as macrophages (21) and DCs (19-23). These activated human DCs are able to stimulate T-cell mediated immune responses (19, 20, 24). The immune activation is mediated by the HA binding to specific receptors such as CD44, CD168, Toll-like receptor (TLR)-2 and TLR-4 (25-28). The latter is a receptor associated with the innate immunity and the host defence against bacterial infection (20). In the presence of antigens, a specific response may develop (29) and so the LMW-HA can act as an adjuvant during vaccination.

In a previous study from our group, the dissolving microneedle properties of the only 150 kDa HA, such as penetration and dissolution in the skin, have been reported (30).

The aim of this study was to determine whether i) HA with different MW had an effect on the immune response for both antibody (*in vivo* injections by hMN) and cellular (*in vitro* T-cell exposure) responses and ii) it was possible to fabricate dMNs by micromolding from HA with different molecular weight. To this end, first the potential adjuvant effect of HA was assessed by i) performing immunization studies investigating the antibody response in mice injected with the model antigen ovalbumin (OVA) in presence of a range of different HA-MWs and ii) investigating T-cell activation *in vitro* upon exposure to several HA-MWs with OVA. Subsequently, different HA-MWs were used to fabricate dMNs assessing the compatibility of

the matrix material of each MW with the manufacturing procedure. These dMNs were used to investigate their capability to pierce the skin and to dissolve in reasonable short time period.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Hyaluronan (HA) (sodium hyaluronate, average Mw was 4.8 kDa, 20 kDa and 150 kDa (endotoxin level ≤ 0.05 EU/mg) or 1.8 MDa (endotoxin level ≤0.07 EU/mg)) was purchased from Lifecore Biomedical (Chaska, MN, USA). Immunization studies, ELISA and cell culturing were performed by using endotoxin-free ovalbumin (OVA) (endotoxin level < 1 EU/mg) from Invivogen (Toulouse, France). PBS pH 7.4 for hMN injections was obtained from B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany.

For cell culture, Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} -free phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), Iscove's Modified Dulbecco's Medium (IMDM), Roswell Park Memorial Institute Medium (RPMI 1640), penicillin/streptomycin and l-glutamine were purchased from Lonza (Basel, Switzerland). Fetal calf serum (FCS) and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) were purchased from GE Healthcare (Little Chalfont, UK) and PeptroTech (London, UK) respectively. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) extracted from Salmonella typhosa, CFSE and β -mercaptoethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands).

The antibodies CD25-APC (PC 61.5), CD69-PE (H1.2F3), Thy1.2-PE-Cy7 (53–2.1), CD4-eFluor450 (GK1.5), Vα2 TCR-PE (B20.1) and fixable viability dye-eFluor780 were purchased from eBioscience (Thermofisher Scientific, MA, USA).

For dissolving microneedle fabrication, 10 mM PB (7.7 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2.3 mM NaH₂PO₄, pH 7.4) was prepared in the laboratory. Vinylpolysiloxanes A-silicone (Elite Double 32a Normal) and the two-component epoxy glue (Bison, Goes, The Netherlands) were obtained from The Zhermack Group (Badia Polesine, Italy) and Bison International B.V. (Goes, The Netherlands), respectively. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard 184) was purchased from Dow Corning (Midland, MI, USA). Solid silicon MNs, obtained through a potassium hydroxide wet-etching process (31), were kindly provided by the Tyndall National Institute (Cork, Ireland). All the chemicals were of analytical grade and Milli-Q water (18 $M\Omega$ /cm, Millipore Co.) was used for the preparation of all solutions.

2.2 Animals

Immunization studies were performed using female BALB/c (H2d), 8-11 weeks old (Charles River, Maastricht, The Netherlands) and randomly assigned to groups of 8. The studies were approved by the ethical committee on animal experiments of Leiden University (License number (14176).

For *in vitro* T-cell activation studies, C57BL/6 and OT-II transgenic mice on a C57BL/6 background were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (CA, USA), bred in-house under standard laboratory conditions, and provided with food and water *ad libitum*. All animal work was performed in compliance with the Dutch government guidelines and the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament. Experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments of Leiden University (CCD number AVD106002017887).

2.3 Hollow microneedles

Hollow microneedles (hMNs) were prepared as described previously (32, 33) (a representative image of a hMN is reported in the Supplementary data, Figure S1). Briefly, polyimide-coated fused silica capillaries (Polymicro, Phoenix AZ, 375 μ m outer diameter, 50 μ m inner diameter) were filled with silicone oil in a vacuum oven (100 °C) overnight. Subsequently, the capillaries were etched during 4 h in \geq 48% hydrofluoric acid and the polyimide coating was removed from the ends of the capillaries by diving them into heated (250 °C) sulfuric acid for 5 min.

2.4 Immunization studies

BALB/c mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 150 mg/kg ketamine and 10 mg/kg xylazine and the injection site was shaved (approximately 4 cm 2). The same day, mice were immunized by intradermal hMN injection (120 μ m injection depth) of 10 μ l with 0.31 μ g OVA with 3.1 μ g HA for each HA-MW dissolved in PBS pH 7.4. Intradermal hMN injection of each HA-MWs without OVA and PBS were included as control. For controlled depth intradermal microinjections, a hollow-microneedle applicator was used as reported previously (32).

Immunizations were performed at day 1 (prime), day 22 (boost) and day 43 (2nd boost). Prior to each immunization, a blood sample was collected from the tail vein. At day 63, the blood

sample was collected from the femoral artery and all mice were sacrificed. Serum was isolated from the samples and stored at - 80°C.

2.5 Determination of OVA-specific IgG antibodies

OVA-specific antibodies were analysed by a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as described earlier (10). Briefly, well-plates were coated with OVA for 1.5 h at 37 °C and then blocked with bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands). After the blocking, three-fold serial dilutions of serum were applied to the plates and incubated for 1.5 h at 37 °C. Then, the plates were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antibodies against IgG total, IgG1 and IgG2a (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL, USA) for 1 h at 37 °C. Finally, 1-step TM ultra 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) (Thermo-Fischer Scientific, Waltham, USA) was used as substrate and sulfuric acid (H2SO4) (95–98%) (JT Baker, Deventer, The Netherlands) was added to stop the reaction. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm on a Tecan Infinite M1000 plate reader (Männedorf, Switzerland) and the antibody titers were determined as the log10 value of the mid-point dilution of a complete s-shaped absorbance-log dilution curve of the diluted serum level.

2.6 Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs)

To examine T-cell activation *in vitro*, first bone marrow was isolated from the tibias and femurs of C57BL/6 female mouse. A single-cell suspension of bone marrow cells was obtained by using a 70 μ m cell strainer (Greiner Bio-One B.V., Alphen aan den Rijn, NL). The cells were cultured in IMDM medium supplemented with 2 mM 1-glutamine, 8% (v/v) FCS, 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin and 50 μ M β -mercaptoethanol at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in 95 mm Petri dishes (Greiner Bio-One B.V., Alphen aan den Rijn, NL) and 20 ng/mL GM-CSF for 10 days. Medium was refreshed every other day.

On day 11, the BMDCs were harvested from the petri dish and distributed into 96-well plates (100 μ l/well, 10 000 cells/well). Then, 100 μ l/well of formulations consisting of: i) 5 μ g OVA, ii) 5 μ g OVA mixed with 50 μ g HA (per each molecular weight) or iii) 50 μ g HA (per each molecular weight) were added to the wells. OVA (5 μ g/well) + LPS (100 μ g/well) or LPS (100 μ g-well) were added as positive control; medium was included as negative control. The BMDCs were exposed to the formulations overnight at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ and subsequently OVA-specific CD4⁺ T-cells were transferred on BMDCs in co-culture experiments (see 2.6).

2.7 CD4⁺ T-cell activation by antigen loaded BMDC

OT-II (OVA-specific CD4⁺) T-cells were obtained from the spleen of OT-II transgenic C57BL/6 mouse. Single cell suspensions were obtained by forcing the spleens through a 70 µm strainer. After erythrocyte depletion with lysis-buffer (0.15 M NH₄Cl, 1 mM KHCO₃, 0.1 mM Na₂EDTA; pH 7.3), staining with CFSE was performed. Briefly, cells were suspended in PBS containing 1 µM CFSE and incubate 10 min at room temperature. CFSE was neutralized by FCS addition and the cells were washed with RPMI to remove excess of CFSE.

At this point, the percentage of CD4⁺/Valpha2⁺ cells was determined by flow cytometry (BD FACSCanto-II, San Jose, CA). OT-II cells were transferred on BMDCs previously exposed to the formulations (50 000 cells/well).

On day 15, the cell surfaces were stained by incubating the cells with fixable viability dye-eFluor780 (1:1000) and fluorescently labelled antibodies specific for: Thy1.2-PE-Cy7 (1:500), CD25-APC (1:500), CD69-PE (1:500) and CD4-eFluor450 (1:500) for 30 min (100 µl/well) at 4 °C. After 30 min, the excess antibodies were washed by using FACS buffer. The cells were incubated with fixation and permeabilization solution (BD Biosciences) for 10 min at 4 °C. Finally, the cells were washed with FACS buffer and analysed by flow cytometry (BD FACSCanto-II, San Jose, CA). The data were analysed by using FlowJo software.

2.8 Fabrication of dissolving microneedles

dMN arrays were prepared as described previously (10). HA 10% (w/v) was dissolved in phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) and stored overnight. The next day, HA solution was poured in each well of the polydimethylsiloxane mold (PDMS, Sylgard 184, Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) to prepare dMN arrays (4 by 4 dMNs per array of 300 µm length and 100 µm base diameter). After several steps of vacuum and centrifuge, the 20 kDa and 150 kDa HA arrays were oven-dried overnight at 37°C. As this procedure result in fragile dMN arrays when using 4.8 kDa HA, these dMN arrays were dried at room temperature overnight. The next day, a backplate was produced by pouring a mixture of vinylpolysiloxane base and catalyst (in a 1:1 ratio) (Elite Double 32a Normal, Zhermack Group, Badia Polesine, Italy) and subsequently a two-component glue solution (Bison International B.V., Goes, The Netherlands) onto each array and left curing.

Finally, the arrays were removed from the PDMS mold and inspected for shape and sharpness by light microscopy (Stemi 2000-C, Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Göttingen, Germany).

2.9 Human skin

Human abdomen skin was obtained from a local hospital within 24 hours after cosmetic surgery according to the declaration of Helsinki. The fat excess was removed with a scalpel and the skin was stored at -80°C. Before use, the skin was thawed at 37°C for 1 h in a humid petri dish and stretched with pins on parafilm-covered styrofoam. Before starting the experiment, the skin was cleaned with Milli-Q and 70% ethanol.

2.10 Penetration of microneedles in ex vivo human skin

dMN arrays (n=3 per HA-MW) were applied onto the skin by impact velocity, as described elsewhere (10, 34), by using an impact insertion applicator with a constant velocity of 0.54 m/s (Leiden University - applicator with uPRAX controller version 0.3). The dMNs were kept in the skin for 18 seconds and withdrawn. Then, the pierced skin was treated with trypan blue, as previously described (35) and stratum corneum was removed by tape stripping. Finally, the blue spots were visualized using a light microscope (Axioskop and Stemi 2000-C, Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) (a representative image of pierced skin is reported in the Supplementary data, Figure S2) and the penetration efficiency per array was calculated by dividing the number of blue spots by the number of dMNs on the array (16) (Equation 1).

Penetration efficiency =
$$\frac{\text{number of blue spots}}{16} \times 100$$
 (Eqn. 1)

2.11 Dissolution of microneedles in ex vivo human skin

A dMN array (n=7) was applied on the skin as described in section 2.10 and was kept in the skin for 1 min, 5 min, 10 min or 20 min. The microneedle length before and after dissolution was determined with a light microscope (Axioskop and Stemi 2000-C, Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) equipped with a digital camera (Axiocam ICc 5, Carl Zeiss). In order to obtain the dMN dissolved volume for each insertion period, dissolved microneedle length was measured by ZEN 2012 blue edition software (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH) and the dissolved MN volumes were calculated as reported in our previous study in supplementary Figure 3 (10).

2.12 Statistics

IgG titers and T-cell response were analysed using one-way ANOVA with respectively Bonferroni and Tukey's post-test suitable in the software Prism (Graphpad, San Diego, USA). A p-value less than 0.05 was considered to be significant.

Microneedle penetration efficiency was analysed by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison test (p < 0.05).

The remaining dMN length after dissolution at different time points was analysed by two-way ANOVA with a Tukey's post-test (p < 0.05).

3. Results

3.1 Immunization studies

The liquid formulations of OVA alone or mixed with different HA-MWs was intradermally injected by using hollow microneedles. During injection no problems with clogging or leakage were observed. The formation of a blister on the site of the injection indicated a successful intradermal delivery of the formulation. No adverse effects were observed.

The OVA-specific total IgG titers increased after each immunization (Figure 1A-C). The presence of HA, regardless of the molecular weight, did not increase the total IgG response compared to the injection of OVA only. After the first boost, a higher OVA specific IgG response in the mice immunized with OVA-HA 4.8 kDa was observed compared to the mice injected with OVA-HA 150 kDa (p<0.01). However, both OVA-HA 4.8 kDa and OVA-HA 1.8 MDa resulted in equal responses compared to the OVA only group. After the second boost, the group immunized with OVA-HA 1.8 MDa showed lower OVA-specific total IgG titers than OVA only and OVA-HA 4.8 kDa, suggesting a detrimental effect on adding high molecular weight HA. Overall, the presence of high MW HA seemed to reduce the immunogenicity of the antigen while the presence of HAregardless of the molecular weight did not enhance the immune response compared with OVA alone.

The IgG1 response (Figure 1 D-F) followed the same trend as the total IgG response: the presence of HA in different molecular weights did not change the response compared to OVA alone after each immunization. Intradermal injection of OVA, with or without HA, resulted in undetectable OVA specific IgG2a responses (data not shown).




Figure 1. OVA-specific IgG total (**A-C**) and IgG1 (**D-F**) antibody titers measured in BALB/c mice on day 21 (**A** and **D**), day 42 (**B** and **E**) and day 63 (**C** and **F**). Bars represent mean \pm SEM, n = 8. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. OVA: ovalbumin; HA: hyaluronan.

3.2 Analysis of CD4⁺ T-cell activation in vitro

To determine whether the presence of different HA-MW, with or without OVA, affect celllar responses, OT-II T-cell (OVA-specific CD4⁺ cells) activation studies were performed *in vitro*. As expected, addition of OVA induces OT-II proliferation, which is enhanced in the presence of LPS (Figure 2). The co-exposure of cells to OVA and HA however, regardless of the molecular weight, did not increase OT-II proliferation compared to OVA alone. In line with the antibody responses, this suggests that none of the tested HA polymer provided a measurable adjuvant effect.




Figure 2. OT-II activation *in vitro*. (**A**) percentage of dividing cells, (**B**) percentage of CD69 $^+$ /CD25 $^+$ cells. Bars represent mean \pm SEM, n = 3. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. OVA: ovalbumin; HA: hyaluronan; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; s.d.: significantly different.

3.3 Dissolving microneedle fabrication

The immunological analysis of the various HA polymers, suggests a preference for small MW polymer, as the high MW polymer show reduced antibody titers. Next we determined whether the MW of HA affected the fabrication of dissolving microneedles and their dissolution in the skin. Fabrication of dissolving microneedles was possible for the HA-MWs 150 kDa, 20 kDa and 4.8 kDa. The 10% (w/v) concentration of MW 1.8 MDa HA led to gel formation preventing the fabrication of microneedles. For the HA-MW 4.8 kDa, the fabrication of dissolving microneedles with a final step of drying at 37°C, as performed for the 150 kDa and 20 kDa HA, led to ruptures on the microneedle arrays surface (data not shown). Thus, the drying was performed at room temperature, resulting in intact microneedle arrays.

3.4 Penetration and dissolution of microneedles in ex vivo human skin

In order to assess whether the molecular weight of HA had an effect on the capability of microneedles to pierce and dissolve into the skin, first their ability to pierce *ex vivo* human skin was tested. Bright field analysis showed sharp microneedles regardless of the HA-MW (Figure 3A). The penetration efficiency of microneedles into the skin was not affected by the

molecular weight of the HA. The 150 kDa microneedles showed a penetration efficiency of $96 \pm 7\%$; 20 kDa and 4.8 kDa HA microneedles showed a penetration efficiency of $98 \pm 4\%$, (mean \pm SD, n=3).

Dissolution studies showed a gradual dissolution in time irrespective of the HA-MW (Figure 3A). However, while 20 kDa and 4.8 kDa HA dMNs completely dissolved within 20 minutes application in the skin (9 $7\pm$ 2% and $100\pm$ 0% dissolved volumes respectively), the 150 kDa HA dMNs reached only 80% dissolved volume after the same application time (Figure 3A).

No differences in dissolved volumes between 20 kDa and 4.8 kDa HA microneedles at each application time were observed (Figure 3B), however the dissolved volume of the 150 kDa HA microneedles was significantly reduced at each time point compared to the other HA-MW microneedles. This resulted in a complete dissolution of the 20 kDa and 4.8 kDa HA microneedles after 10 minutes application, while the 150 kDa HA microneedles took 20 minutes to almost completely dissolve.




Figure 3. dMN dissolution in *ex vivo* human skin. **A)** Representative bright field images (5x) of 10% (w/v) HA 4.8 kDa, 20 kDa and 150 kDa microneedle before application on the skin (0 min) and after 1, 5, 10 and 20 min dissolution in *ex vivo* human skin. Dissolved volumes are reported as mean \pm SEM (n = 7). Scale bar 100 μ m. **B)** Effect of time (1, 5, 10 and 20 min) on dissolution per each HA-MW dMN type (statistics in black) and effect of HA-MWs on

dissolution of dMNs at different time points (red statistics) are shown. Significance (**p<0.01, **p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001) was determined by a two-way ANOVA with a Tukey's post-test (n = 7). All data are presented as mean \pm SEM. HA: hyaluronan.

4 Discussion

When choosing the HA polymer for the fabrication of dMNs, it is relevant to investigate potential immune modulating effects besides effects related to the dMN manufacturing and physicochemical characteristics of dMNs such as capability to pierce the skin and dissolve quickly.

Although LMW-HA can have inflammatory properties in contrast to HMW-HA (19, 20, 22, 36, 37), to our knowledge, the role of HA-MW on the antibody response has not been reported yet. To this end, in the present study HA ranging from 4.8 kDa to 1.8 MDa mixed with OVA was injected intradermally in mice to assess the antibody response evoked. We did not observe an increase in antibody titers by adjuvanting with LMW-HA; however we did observe that HMW-HA (1.8 MDa) reduced the antibody level after the second boost compared to OVA only and LMW-HA 4.8 kDa HA mixed with OVA. This may be explained by reports that HMW-HA exerts an anti-inflammatory role reducing the side effects of vaccines (38, 39) and displays immunosuppressive properties (40-42). To this end, it has been reported that HMW-HA up-regulates the transcription factor FOXP3 on regulatory T-cells (Treg) (e.g. CD4⁺ CD25⁺) (42) involved in the regulatory mechanism of autoantibody production (43) and likely antibody production. Although we did not investigate Treg activation in this study, they provide a potential mechanism regarding the lack of immunogenicity of HMW-HA.

The role of what in literature is defined as LMW-HA has been extensively investigated suggesting a pro-inflammatory effect *in vitro*: 4-6 oligosaccharides HA induced cytokine synthesis in dendritic cells (19, 20); HA < 250 kDa induced inflammatory cytokines levels (36, 44-48); HA \leq 800 kDa led to activation of macrophages (37). In this study, the effect of HA-MW was examined on the activation of CD4⁺ T-cells. Although this is an in vitro system, it has shown to be predictive in the sense that formulations that induce strong in vitro response of OT-II cells also outperformed other formulations in vivo (49, 50). Conversely to what has been reported in literature, changes in HA-MW did not influence the proliferation and activation of CD4⁺ T-cells *in vitro*. Considerations justifying the referred controversial

effects of HA preparations may be related to i) MW of HA that is not always measured accurately or is not homogeneous in the same HA population, for this reason an effect may be attributed to a minor population, ii) the presence of minor contaminants even in highly purified HA and iii) the conformational diversity of HA highly dependent on pH, temperature, salt concentration and specific cations (48). Furthermore, the effects of HA-MW seems to be cell-specific and depending on the HA medium concentration (26, 48). However, in literature there is not an optimal HA concentration reported and often the same concentration of different HA-MWs may have opposite effects on CD4⁺ cellular response (42). This lack of data should be addressed in future investigations, i.e. i) HAs in a wide range of concentrations with a dose/response on specific cell types and ii) cytokines produced by DCs and CD4⁺ T-cells after exposure to the HA formulations, to obtain additional information on the regulatory effect of HA.

Due to the absence of intrinsic adjuvant properties of HA, regardless of the molecular weight, all the HA-MWs were tested for potential effects on the manufacturing of dMNs and their capability to penetrate the skin and quickly dissolve. As reported in literature, a factor influencing the dMN dissolution time in the skin is the HA concentration (10, 51). However, to our knowledge, the role of the HA-MW on the dMN dissolution in the skin is reported in the present study for the first time highlighting the novelty of this study. HA is being used in experimental drug delivery systems. The lack of immune activation of HA, regardless MW may be used to taylor drug release kinetics. However, although we did not show immunological effects, using low MW HA, may introduce safety concerns, since low MW fragments (oligomers) are associated with inflammatory responses (52).

Difficulties or impossibility in dMN fabrication when choosing too low (4.8 kDa) or high (1.8 MDa) HA-MWs, respectively, identified an optimal HA-MW in the middle range (20 kDa and 150 kDa). The 4.8 kDa HA could only be formulated into dMN by drying at room temperature to avoid ruptures of the dMN arrays. Although drying at low temperature (room temperature) can preserve the antigen stability, it may lead to long processing time not compatible with scalability in fabrication and to a higher residual moisture content in dMN than drying at high temperature, potentially resulting in decrease in antigen stability. The HA-MW 1.8 MDa formed a gel, due to the high viscosity, preventing further processing into dMNs. Furthermore, increase in HA-MW led to prolonged application time for a complete dMN dissolution into the skin.

Based on the result described above, the 20 kDa HA should be preferred to the 4.8 kDa and 150 kDa HA for the fabrication of dMNs.

5 Conclusions

The present study underlines the importance of defining a specific HA-MW for the fabrication of dMNs based on the investigation and assessment of the effects of the HA-MW on the immune response and on the physicochemical characteristics of dMNs such as capability to pierce the skin and dissolve quickly.

We consider, among the HA-MWs investigated, the medium-low HA-MW of 20 kDa the optimal MW as it results in robust dMNs characterized by a fast dissolving process in the skin and has no effect on the immunogenicity of the antigen.

6 Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

7 Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Hirobe S, Azukizawa H, Hanafusa T, Matsuo K, Quan YS, Kamiyama F, Katayama I, Okada N, Nakagawa S. Clinical study and stability assessment of a novel transcutaneous influenza vaccination using a dissolving microneedle patch. Biomaterials. 2015;57:50-58.
- 2. Arya J, Henry S, Kalluri H, McAllister DV, Pewin WP, Prausnitz MR. Tolerability, usability and acceptability of dissolving microneedle patch administration in human subjects. Biomaterials. 2017;128:1-7.
- 3. Leone M, Monkare J, Bouwstra JA, Kersten G. Dissolving Microneedle Patches for Dermal Vaccination. Pharm Res. 2017;34(11):2223-2240.
- 4. van der Maaden K, Jiskoot W, Bouwstra J. Microneedle technologies for (trans)dermal drug and vaccine delivery. J Control Release. 2012;161(2):645-655.
- 5. Larraneta E, Lutton REM, Woolfson AD, Donnelly RF. Microneedle arrays as transdermal and intradermal drug delivery systems: Materials science, manufacture and commercial development. Mat Sci Eng R. 2016;104:1-32.
- 6. Larraneta E, McCrudden MT, Courtenay AJ, Donnelly RF. Microneedles: A New Frontier in Nanomedicine Delivery. Pharm Res. 2016;33(5):1055-1073.

- 7. Tuan-Mahmood TM, McCrudden MT, Torrisi BM, McAlister E, Garland MJ, Singh TR, Donnelly RF. Microneedles for intradermal and transdermal drug delivery. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2013;50(5):623-637.
- 8. van der Maaden K, Luttge R, Vos PJ, Bouwstra J, Kersten G, Ploemen I. Microneedle-based drug and vaccine delivery via nanoporous microneedle arrays. Drug Deliv Transl Re. 2015;5(4):397-406.
- 9. Hirobe S, Azukizawa H, Matsuo K, Zhai Y, Quan YS, Kamiyama F, Suzuki H, Katayama I, Okada N, Nakagawa S. Development and Clinical Study of a Self-Dissolving Microneedle Patch for Transcutaneous Immunization Device. Pharm Res-Dordr. 2013;30(10):2664-2674.
- 10. Leone M, Priester MI, Romeijn S, Nejadnik MR, Monkare J, O'Mahony C, Jiskoot W, Kersten G, Bouwstra JA. Hyaluronan-based dissolving microneedles with high antigen content for intradermal vaccination: Formulation, physicochemical characterization and immunogenicity assessment. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2019;134:49-59.
- 11. Matsuo K, Hirobe S, Yokota Y, Ayabe Y, Seto M, Quan YS, Kamiyama F, Tougan T, Horii T, Mukai Y, Okada N, Nakagawa S. Transcutaneous immunization using a dissolving microneedle array protects against tetanus, diphtheria, malaria, and influenza (vol 160, pg 495, 2012). J Control Release. 2014;184:18-19.
- 12. Matsuo K, Yokota Y, Zhai Y, Quan YS, Kamiyama F, Mukai Y, Okada N, Nakagawa S. A low-invasive and effective transcutaneous immunization system using a novel dissolving microneedle array for soluble and particulate antigens (vol 161, pg 10, 2012). J Control Release. 2014;184:9-9.
- 13. Zhu Z, Ye X, Ku Z, Liu Q, Shen C, Luo H, Luan H, Zhang C, Tian S, Lim C, Huang Z, Wang H. Transcutaneous immunization via rapidly dissolvable microneedles protects against hand-foot-and-mouth disease caused by enterovirus 71. J Control Release. 2016;243:291-302.
- 14. Oh EJ, Park K, Kim KS, Kim J, Yang JA, Kong JH, Lee MY, Hoffman AS, Hahn SK. Target specific and long-acting delivery of protein, peptide, and nucleotide therapeutics using hyaluronic acid derivatives. J Control Release. 2010;141(1):2-12.
- 15. Ito Y, Kashiwara S, Fukushima K, Takada K. Two-layered dissolving microneedles for percutaneous delivery of sumatriptan in rats. Drug Dev Ind Pharm. 2011;37(12):1387-1393.
- 16. Liu S, Jin MN, Quan YS, Kamiyama F, Katsumi H, Sakane T, Yamamoto A. The development and characteristics of novel microneedle arrays fabricated from hyaluronic acid, and their application in the transdermal delivery of insulin. J Control Release. 2012;161(3):933-941.
- 17. Liu S, Wu D, Quan YS, Kamiyama F, Kusamori K, Katsumi H, Sakane T, Yamamoto A. Improvement of Transdermal Delivery of Exendin-4 Using Novel Tip-Loaded Microneedle Arrays Fabricated from Hyaluronic Acid. Mol Pharm. 2016;13(1):272-279.
- 18. Noble PW. Hyaluronan and its catabolic products in tissue injury and repair. Matrix Biol. 2002;21(1):25-29.
- 19. Termeer CC, Hennies J, Voith U, Ahrens T, Weiss JM, Prehm P, Simon JC. Oligosaccharides of hyaluronan are potent activators of dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2000;165(4):1863-1870.
- 20. Termeer C, Benedix F, Sleeman J, Fieber C, Voith U, Ahrens T, Miyake K, Freudenberg M, Galanos C, Simon JC. Oligosaccharides of Hyaluronan activate dendritic cells via toll-like receptor 4. J Exp Med. 2002;195(1):99-111.
- 21. Agren UM, Tammi RH, Tammi MI. Reactive oxygen species contribute to epidermal hyaluronan catabolism in human skin organ culture. Free Radic Biol Med. 1997;23(7):996-1001
- 22. Noble PW, McKee CM, Cowman M, Shin HS. Hyaluronan fragments activate an NF-kappa B/l-kappa B alpha autoregulatory loop in murine macrophages. J Exp Med. 1996;183(5):2373-2378.
- 23. Muto J, Morioka Y, Yamasaki K, Kim M, Garcia A, Carlin AF, Varki A, Gallo RL. Hyaluronan digestion controls DC migration from the skin. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(3):1309-1319.
- 24. Hart DN. Dendritic cells: unique leukocyte populations which control the primary immune response. Blood. 1997;90(9):3245-3287.

- 25. Lesley J, Howes N, Perschl A, Hyman R. Hyaluronan-Binding Function of Cd44 Is Transiently Activated on T-Cells during an in-Vivo Immune-Response. J Exp Med. 1994;180(1):383-387.
- 26. Mizrahy S, Raz SR, Hasgaard M, Liu H, Soffer-Tsur N, Cohen K, Dvash R, Landsman-Milo D, Bremer MG, Moghimi SM, Peer D. Hyaluronan-coated nanoparticles: the influence of the molecular weight on CD44-hyaluronan interactions and on the immune response. J Control Release. 2011;156(2):231-238.
- 27. Jiang DH, Liang JR, Noble PW. Hyaluronan as an Immune Regulator in Human Diseases. Physiol Rev. 2011;91(1):221-264.
- 28. Termeer CS, J. P.; Simon, J. C. Hyaluronan magic glue for the regulation of the immune response? TRENDS in Immunology. 2003;24(3):112-114.
- 29. Kono H, Rock KL. How dying cells alert the immune system to danger. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2008;8(4):279-289.
- 30. Leone M, M. I. Priester, S. Romeijn, M. R. Nejadnik, J. Monkare, C. O'Mahony, W. Jiskoot, G. Kersten, J. Bouwstra. Hyaluronan-based dissolving microneedles with high antigen content for intradermal vaccination: formulation, physicochemical characterization and immunogenicity assessment. In. (Manuscript submitted for pubblication); 2018.
- 31. Wilke N, Reed ML, Morrissey A. The evolution from convex corner undercut towards microneedle formation: theory and experimental verification. J Micromech Microeng. 2006;16(4):808-814.
- 32. Schipper P, van der Maaden K, Romeijn S, Oomens C, Kersten G, Jiskoot W, Bouwstra J. Determination of Depth-Dependent Intradermal Immunogenicity of Adjuvanted Inactivated Polio Vaccine Delivered by Microinjections via Hollow Microneedles. Pharm Res. 2016;33(9):2269-2279.
- 33. van der Maaden K, Trietsch SJ, Kraan H, Varypataki EM, Romeijn S, Zwier R, van der Linden HJ, Kersten G, Hankemeier T, Jiskoot W, Bouwstra J. Novel hollow microneedle technology for depth-controlled microinjection-mediated dermal vaccination: a study with polio vaccine in rats. Pharm Res. 2014;31(7):1846-1854.
- 34. Leone M, van Oorschot BH, Nejadnik MR, Bocchino A, Rosato M, Kersten G, O'Mahony C, Bouwstra J, van der Maaden K. Universal Applicator for Digitally-Controlled Pressing Force and Impact Velocity Insertion of Microneedles into Skin. Pharmaceutics. 2018;10(4).
- 35. van der Maaden K, Sekerdag E, Jiskoot W, Bouwstra J. Impact-insertion applicator improves reliability of skin penetration by solid microneedle arrays. Aaps J. 2014;16(4):681-684.
- 36. D'Agostino A, Stellavato A, Corsuto L, Diana P, Filosa R, La Gatta A, De Rosa M, Schiraldi C. Is molecular size a discriminating factor in hyaluronan interaction with human cells? Carbohyd Polym. 2017;157:21-30.
- 37. Rayahin JE, Buhrman JS, Zhang Y, Koh TJ, Gemeinhart RA. High and Low Molecular Weight Hyaluronic Acid Differentially Influence Macrophage Activation. Acs Biomater Sci Eng. 2015;1(7):481-493.
- 38. Topazio L, Miano R, Maurelli V, Gaziev G, Gacci M, Iacovelli V, Finazzi-Agro E. Could Hyaluronic acid (HA) reduce Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) local side effects? Results of a pilot study. Bmc Urol. 2014;14.
- 39. Zamboni F, Vieira S, Reis RL, Oliveira JM, Collins MN. The potential of hyaluronic acid in immunoprotection and immunomodulation: Chemistry, processing and function. Prog Mater Sci. 2018;97:97-122.
- 40. Litwiniuk M, Krejner A, Grzela T. Hyaluronic Acid in Inflammation and Tissue Regeneration. Wounds. 2016;28(3):78-88.
- 41. Chanmee T, Ontong P, Itano N. Hyaluronan: A modulator of the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Lett. 2016;375(1):20-30.
- 42. Bollyky PL, Lord JD, Masewicz SA, Evanko SP, Buckner JH, Wight TN, Nepom GT. Cutting edge: high molecular weight hyaluronan promotes the suppressive effects of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2007;179(2):744-747.

- 43. Hsu WT, Suen JL, Chiang BL. The role of CD4(+)CD25(+) T cells in autoantibody production in murine lupus. Clin Exp Immunol. 2006;145(3):513-519.
- 44. Hodge-Dufour J, Noble PW, Horton MR, Bao C, Wysoka M, Burdick MD, Strieter RM, Trinchieri G, Pure E. Induction of IL-12 and chemokines by hyaluronan requires adhesion-dependent priming of resident but not elicited macrophages. J Immunol. 1997;159(5):2492-2500.
- 45. Horton MR, Burdick MD, Strieter RM, Bao G, Noble PW. Regulation of hyaluronan-induced chemokine gene expression by IL-10 and IFN-gamma in mouse macrophages. J Immunol. 1998;160(6):3023-3030.
- 46. McKee CM, Penno MB, Cowman M, Burdick MD, Strieter RM, Bao C, Noble PW. Hyaluronan (HA) fragments induce chemokine gene expression in alveolar macrophages. The role of HA size and CD44. J Clin Invest. 1996;98(10):2403-2413.
- 47. Noble PW, Lake FR, Henson PM, Riches DW. Hyaluronate activation of CD44 induces insulinlike growth factor-1 expression by a tumor necrosis factor-alpha-dependent mechanism in murine macrophages. J Clin Invest. 1993;91(6):2368-2377.
- 48. Stern R, Asari AA, Sugahara KN. Hyaluronan fragments: an information-rich system. Eur J Cell Biol. 2006;85(8):699-715.
- 49. Keijzer C, Slutter B, van der Zee R, Jiskoot W, van Eden W, Broere F. PLGA, PLGA-TMC and TMC-TPP nanoparticles differentially modulate the outcome of nasal vaccination by inducing tolerance or enhancing humoral immunity. Plos One. 2011;6(11):e26684.
- 50. Benne N, van Duijn J, Lozano Vigario F, Leboux RJT, van Veelen P, Kuiper J, Jiskoot W, Slutter B. Anionic 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol (DSPG) liposomes induce antigen-specific regulatory T cells and prevent atherosclerosis in mice. J Control Release. 2018;291:135-146.
- 51. Monkare J, Reza Nejadnik M, Baccouche K, Romeijn S, Jiskoot W, Bouwstra JA. IgG-loaded hyaluronan-based dissolving microneedles for intradermal protein delivery. J Control Release. 2015;218:53-62.
- 52. Passi A, Vigetti D. Hyaluronan as tunable drug delivery system. Adv Drug Deliver Rev. 2019;146:83-96.

CRediT author statement

Mara Leone: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing

Stefan Romeijn: Investigation

Bram Slütter: Conceptualization, Review & Editing

Conor O'Mahony: Resources (provision of solid silicon MNs)

Gideon Kersten: Conceptualization, Review & Editing, Supervision

Joke A. Bouwstra: Conceptualization, Review & Editing, Supervision

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

