

Title	Monitoring residue concentrations in milk from farm and throughout a milk powder manufacturing process
Authors	Paludetti, Lizandra F.;Kelly, Alan L.;O'Brien, Bernadette;Gleeson, David
Publication date	2019-08-05
Original Citation	Paludetti, L. F., Kelly, A. L., O'Brien, B. and Gleeson, D. (2019) 'Monitoring residue concentrations in milk from farm and throughout a milk powder manufacturing process', Journal of Dairy Research, pp. 1-6. doi: 10.1017/S0022029919000578
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	https://www.cambridge.org/core/article/monitoring- residue-concentrations-in-milk-from-farm- and-throughout-a-milk-powder-manufacturing- process/4AB849460E66E5A4C75C305D5E97E1C3 - 10.1017/ S0022029919000578
Rights	© Hannah Dairy Research Foundation 2019. Published by Cambridge University Press. This article has been published in a revised form in Journal of Dairy Research, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022029919000578. This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re- distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works.
Download date	2024-12-26 22:58:32
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/8351



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

1 2	Monitoring residue concentrations in milk from farm and throughout a milk powder manufacturing process
3	
4	Lizandra F. Paludetti ^{1,2} , Alan L. Kelly ² , Bernadette O'Brien ¹ , David Gleeson ¹
5	
6	¹ Teagasc Moorepark, Animal & Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Fermoy, County Cork,
7	Ireland
8	² School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, County Cork, Ireland
9	
10	
11	
12	Short title: Milk quality from farm to milk powder
13	
14	
15	
16	Correspondence: David Gleeson,
17	Teagasc Moorepark
18	Animal Grassland Research and Innovation Centre
19	Fermoy
20	County Cork
21	Ireland
22	Tel.: +353 025 42269
23	Email address: David.Gleeson@teagasc.ie
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

29 Summary

The experiments reported in this research paper aimed to investigate differences in the levels 30 of chlorate (CHLO), perchlorate (PCHLO), trichloromethane (TCM) and iodine residues in 31 bulk tank (BT) milk produced at different milk production periods, and to monitor those 32 levels throughout a skim milk powder (SMP) production chain (BTs, collection tankers 33 34 [CTs], whole milk silo [WMS] and skim milk silo [SMS]). Chlorate, PCHLO and iodine were measured in SMP, while TCM was measured in the milk cream. The CHLO, TCM and 35 iodine levels in the mid-lactation milk stored in the WMS were lower than legislative and 36 37 industrial specifications (0.0100 mg/ kg, 0.0015 mg/ kg and 150 μ g/ L, respectively); however, in late-lactation, those levels were numerically higher than the mid-lactation levels 38 and specifications. Consequently, CHLO and iodine levels in SMP were numerically higher 39 in late-lactation than in mid-lactation. Trichloromethane accumulated in the cream portion 40 after separation. Perchlorate was not detected in any of the samples. Regarding iodine, the 41 42 levels in mid-lactation reconstituted SMP were higher than that required by manufacturers (100 μ g/L), indicating that the levels in milk should be lower than 142 μ g/L. The higher 43 44 residue levels observed in late-lactation could be related to the low milk volume produced during that period and changes in sanitation practices, while changes in feed management 45 46 could have affected iodine levels. This study could assist in controlling and setting limits for CHLO, TCM and iodine levels in milk, ensuring premium quality dairy products. 47

48

49 Keywords: chlorate, perchlorate, trichloromethane, iodine, milk quality

50

International markets are setting high specifications for milk and dairy product quality, including stringent guidelines on concentrations of residues that could occur in milk. Potential milk contaminants of most concern include chlorate (CHLO, ClO_3^{-}), perchlorate (PCHLO, ClO_4^{-}) and trichloromethane (TCM, CHCl₃), which arise as a consequence of sanitation with chlorine products.

56 Chlorate and PCHLO were reported to result in thyroid dysfunctions (EFSA, 2015), while 57 TCM could possibly be carcinogenic to humans (ICAR, 1999). There are a few studies 58 available that have discussed contributing factors on-farm (Gleeson *et al.*, 2013; Ryan *et al.*, 59 2013); however, the dynamic of residue concentrations when subjected to different milk 60 processing conditions is not fully understood. Sodium hypochlorite, chlorine gas or dioxide 61 may be used for the sanitation of water, while chlorine-based detergents are used for the 62 sanitation of milking or processing equipment. Chlorine products generally have good

bactericidal properties and are widely used because of their effectiveness and low cost 63 (Garcia-Villanova et al., 2010). The decomposition of chlorine compounds results in the 64 production of oxyhalide species (ClO^{-} and ClO_{2}^{-}), which react and form CHLO. Further 65 reactions of CHLO with those oxyhalides result in the formation of PCHLO (Gordon & 66 Tachiyashiki, 1991). Residual chlorine, CHLO or PCHLO on the surfaces of processing 67 68 equipment can contaminate milk (Asami et al., 2013). The contamination of infant formula with CHLO is a major concern due to the risk of intoxication in infants, which have lower 69 70 tolerance than adults. The contact of chlorine with milk could also result in the formation of 71 TCM (Tiefel & Guthy, 1997). Chlorinated hydrocarbons accumulate in fat-rich fractions; therefore, products such as butter and cream could contain high concentrations of TCM if 72 milk is contaminated with high levels (Hubbert et al., 1996). 73

Excessive levels of residual iodine in raw milk are another concern in the Irish dairy industry, 74 especially in the manufacture of infant formula. Iodine is an essential micronutrient for the 75 76 synthesis of hormones by the thyroid gland (Leung & Braverman, 2014). Even though iodine 77 is a nutrient of extreme importance to the human organism, the daily consumption of iodine 78 at higher levels than recommended could result in dysfunctions of the thyroid gland. Bovine 79 milk is one of the main sources of iodine for humans and its content depends on the daily 80 iodine intake by dairy cows (Flachowsky et al. 2014). The US National Research Council (2001) recommends that the daily iodine intake per cow should be 10 mg, which is the 81 82 reference value applied in Ireland. The utilisation of rations with higher levels of iodine than required or overfeeding cows can result in excessive iodine concentrations secreted into milk. 83 84 Over supplementation of Irish herds is of most concern during early and late-lactation and during winter milk production (O'Brien et al., 2013). O'Brien et al. (1999) recorded an 85 average of 227 ug/ L iodine in Irish milk, while concentrations of 510 and 180 ug/ L were 86 recorded for December and June, respectively. Those levels were not a food safety concern at 87 88 the time; however, processors are currently requiring lower levels of iodine in raw milk destined for the production of infant formula, in order to meet requirements of the 89 international market. Some Irish dairy processors require that raw milk should contain less 90 than 150 µg/ L of iodine. Other iodine sources in milk include mineral-added water, boluses, 91 92 mineral licks and grass (Magowan et al., 2010). The use of iodine-based teat disinfectants could also contribute to iodine content in milk, as those products are absorbed through the 93 teat skin if not completely removed prior to milking (Flachowsky et al. 2014). 94

The first objective of this study was to investigate changes in the CHLO, PCHLO, TCM and iodine levels throughout the milk production chain, from farm to dairy product, in two 97 different milk production periods (mid- and late-lactation). Chlorate, PCHLO and iodine 98 were measured throughout the production stages of skim milk powder (SMP), while TCM 99 was measured throughout the production stages of milk and cream, which were destined for 100 butter manufacture. The second objective was to investigate differences in residue levels in 101 bulk tank (BT) milk produced during mid- and late-lactation. The milk used in this study was 102 produced on commercial dairy farms and processed in a commercial SMP processing plant.

103

104 Materials & Methods

Sampling procedure at the farms and throughout a skim milk powder manufacturing process

106 In Ireland a seasonal spring-calving production system is practiced, with all cows calving within a 10-week period approximately (February to April). This experiment was performed 107 on one occasion during each of mid- (May; 80 DIM) and late-lactation (December; 290 108 DIM). The farms that supplied milk to the factory (mid-lactation: 67 farms; late-lactation: 109 150 farms), milk storage conditions on-farm, amount of milk produced, milk collection and 110 the skim milk powder manufacturing process was the same as described by Paludetti et al. (in 111 112 press). A schematic drawing of the SMP manufacturing process is shown in supplementary Figure S1. 113

114 In mid-lactation, samples were collected at various points of the manufacturing process between the farm BTs and the SMP [BTs, collection tankers (CTs), whole milk silo (WMS), 115 skim milk silo (SMS) and final SMP] and were tested for CHLO, PCHLO and iodine. In late-116 lactation, samples were collected at various points between the CTs and the SMP [CTs, 117 118 WMS, cream silo (CS), SMS and final SMP] and were tested for CHLO, PCHLO and iodine. In both lactation periods, TCM was quantified in all samples, with exception of the SMP 119 samples (supplementary Figure S1). Due to the high number of farms (150) necessary to 120 supply sufficient milk volume to undertake the manufacturing process in late-lactation 121 (December), it was not possible to undertake collection and analysis of all individual BT 122 samples. The collection of samples and preparation of SMP samples for analysis were 123 performed as described by Paludetti et al. (in press). 124

125

126 Comparison between the residue levels in the same 67 farm bulk tanks in mid- and late-

127 *lactation (May and November)*

128 The concentrations of CHLO, PCHLO, TCM and iodine residues were measured in raw milk 129 produced on the same 67 dairy farms sampled in mid-lactation (May, 80 DIM) and in latelactation period (November; 260 DIM), to investigate the effect of milk production period on
residue levels. Milk samples were collected as described by Paludetti *et al.* (in press).

132

133 *Quantification of chlorate and perchlorate*

The quantification of CHLO and PCHLO was performed by high-performance liquid 134 chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC/ MS-MS) with ESI electrospray 135 ionisation in negative mode (-ESI). The mid-lactation milk and SMP samples, as well as the 136 67 late-lactation farm BT samples, were analysed in the laboratory of Labor Friedle GmbH 137 138 group (Labor Friedle GmbH, Von-Heyden-Straße 11, D-93105, Tegernheim, Germany), while the late-lactation samples from the factory (CT, WMS, SMS and SMP samples) were 139 analysed in Teagasc Ashtown (Dublin, Ireland). The methodologies used are based on the 140 procedures described in the European Quick Polar Pesticides method (QuPPe) (EURL-SRM, 141 2015). In the present study, some of the milk samples were analysed by both laboratories and 142 the results were statistically similar (P>0.05). The detection limit of CHLO and PCHLO in 143 milk was 0.0010 mg/ kg and in SMP was 0.010 mg/ kg. 144

145

146 *Quantification of trichoromethane*

147 Trichloromethane was quantified in the milk using static head-space gas chromatography 148 (HS-GC) with electron capture detector (ECD), fitted with a low thermal mass system (LTM) 149 (Agilent 7890A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). The trichloromethane 150 detection limit in this analysis was 0.0001 mg/ kg. The methodology applied was an adaption 151 of the procedure of Resch & Guthy (1999). This analysis was performed in the Milk Quality 152 laboratory in Teagasc Moorepark (Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland).

153

154 *Quantification of iodine*

Iodine was quantified in milk and reconstituted SMP samples using inductively coupled 155 plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), using an Agilent ICP-MS 7700x (Agilent 156 Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). The methodology used was based on the 157 procedures described in the standard method for the determination of iodine compounds in 158 foodstuffs (BS EN 15111:2007, 2007). Standard solutions of Tellurium and 1% TMAH were 159 used to obtain a calibration curve. The limit of detection was $1.31 \mu g/L$. The mid-lactation 160 milk and SMP samples were analysed in Teagasc Moorepark (Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland), 161 while the late-lactation milk and SMP samples were analysed in FBA laboratories 162

163 (Capoquinn, Co. Waterford, Ireland). Those laboratories used the same methodology and
164 samples analysed by both laboratories had statistically similar results (P>0.05).

165

166 *Statistical analysis*

167 Influence of individual farm milk volumes on the residue concentration in each CT and 168 influence of CT milk on the residue concentration in WMS

The statistical analyses were performed using the software SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, 2016). In 169 mid-lactation, the iodine and TCM concentrations of each CT were predicted using the 170 171 volume and iodine or TCM concentrations measured in the milk of all farms that supplied each respective CT. In mid- and late-lactation, the iodine and TCM concentrations in the 172 WMS were also predicted using the volume and iodine or TCM concentrations in the milk of 173 all CTs that supplied that silo. Those predictions were calculated as volume-weighted means 174 with estimated confidence intervals. The actual iodine or TCM concentrations measured in 175 176 each CT and WMS samples were compared to the respective confidence interval for those predicted means. Agreement plots were also used to check for bias in the relationship 177 178 between actual and predicted means. It was not possible to perform the same analyses with the CHLO and PCHLO results, due to the low number of samples in which those residues 179 180 were detected.

181

182 Comparison between the residue levels in the same 67 farm bulk tanks in mid- and late183 lactation (May and November)

Differences between the adjusted least square means of the 67 mid- and late-lactation milk samples, collected in May and November, were calculated using the MIXED procedure in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, 2016). The fixed effects included in each model were lactation period (mid- and late-lactation) and farms (numbered from 1 to 67). Farms were considered the experimental unit and the response variable was iodine or TCM. Residual checks were made to ensure that the assumptions of the analysis were met.

It was not possible to statistically determine the differences between CHLO and PCHLO levels measured in mid- and late-lactation milk samples, due to insufficient number of samples in which those residues were detected. McNemar's test was applied to compare the number of BT milk samples in mid- and late-lactation that had CHLO and TCM concentrations ≥ 0.0010 and 0.0015 mg/kg, respectively. The GLM procedure was used to determine the regression relationship between CHLO and TCM concentrations.

196

197 **Results**

The mean CHLO, TCM and iodine concentrations of samples collected during mid- and latelactation (May and December, respectively) throughout the milk powder production chain are
shown in Table 1.

201

202 *Chlorate and perchlorate*

In mid-lactation (May), CHLO was detected in 14 of the 67 BT and 6 of the 11 CT samples. The weighted mean CHLO concentration was calculated at the basis of the milk volume supplied by those farms and CTs (Table 1). The volume-weighted mean CHLO concentrations of these farms and CTs were numerically similar.

In late-lactation (December), CHLO was detected in 6 of the 11 CT samples also, but the volume-weighted mean of those samples was higher compared to mid-lactation (Table 1).

In both mid- and late-lactation, the mean CHLO concentration in the WMS and SMS werenumerically similar (Table 1).

- The mean CHLO concentration of the SMP samples was higher in late-lactation (December) compared to mid-lactation (May) (Table 1). In both lactation periods, the CHLO concentration in powder increased approximately 50 times compared to the concentrations in SMS samples. In mid-lactation, the CHLO levels in the SMP samples decreased throughout the spray-dryer run. At the start, middle and end of the spray-drying process, the CHLO
- 216 levels were: 0.0630 ± 0.0020 , 0.0610 ± 0.0060 and 0.0470 ± 0.0020 mg/ kg, respectively. In
- contrast, the CHLO concentration of the late-lactation SMP samples did not vary throughout the spray-dryer run (start: 0.124 ± 0.003 mg/ kg; middle: 0.129 ± 0.011 mg/ kg; end: 0.126 ± 0.006 mg/ kg).

Perchlorate was not detected in any of the mid- and late-lactation samples collectedthroughout the manufacturing process.

222

223 Trichloromethane

Trichloromethane was detected in all BT and CT samples collected in mid-lactation (May) and in all CT samples collected in late-lactation (December). The volume-weighted mean TCM concentration of those samples was calculated considering the milk volume supplied by each BT or CT (Table 1). The volume-weighted mean TCM concentration of the CT milk samples was higher in late-lactation compared to mid-lactation. In mid-lactation, the volumeweighted mean TCM concentrations of the milk samples from the BTs and CTs were numerically similar. The mean TCM concentrations of the milk samples from the CTs andWMS were also numerically similar in both mid- and late-lactation.

The comparisons between the actual TCM concentration and the respective confidence interval for the predicted means for each mid-lactation CT sample, are shown in the supplementary Table S1. The TCM concentrations in all of the mid-lactation CT samples were within their respective confidence intervals. A similar comparison for the mid- and latelactation WMS samples is shown in the supplementary Table S2. The TCM concentration in the WMS samples were also within their respective confidence interval in mid- and latelactation.

- In both lactation periods, the mean TCM concentration decreased in the SMS samplescompared to the WMS samples, as expected (Table 1).
- 241
- 242 Iodine

243 In mid-lactation, the volume-weighted mean iodine concentration was numerically higher in the BT samples than in the CT samples. The volume-weighted mean iodine concentration of 244 245 all of the CTs was numerically higher in late-lactation than in mid-lactation. In mid-lactation, the mean iodine concentrations in the CTs and WMS were similar, while in late-lactation, the 246 247 mean concentration was numerically higher in the CTs compared to the WMS (Table 1). In both lactation periods, the iodine concentrations increased in SMS samples; and 248 consequently, as levels were higher in late-lactation BT milk, the iodine concentration in 249 250 SMP was higher in late-lactation than in mid-lactation.

The comparisons between the actual iodine concentrations of each mid-lactation CT sample with the respective confidence interval for the predicted means are shown in the supplementary Table S3, while such comparison for the mid- and late-lactation WMS samples are shown in the supplementary Table S4. All the iodine concentrations measured in each mid-lactation CT sample were within the respective confidence intervals, as well as the WMS samples collected in mid- and late-lactation.

257

258 Comparison between the residue levels in the same 67 farm bulk tanks in mid- and late259 lactation (May and November)

The number of BT samples in which CHLO was detected was significantly higher in latelactation (32 out of the 67 samples) than in mid-lactation (14 out of the 67 samples) (P<0.0001). Also, in contrast to mid-lactation, 8 out of the 67 late-lactation BT samples contained 0.0010 mg/ kg of PCHLO. The volume-weighted mean TCM concentration was significantly higher in late-lactation ($0.0015 \pm 0.0014 \text{ mg/ kg}$; range: 0.0003 to 0.0074 mg/ kg) than in mid-lactation ($0.0009 \pm$

266 0.0008 mg/ kg; range: 0.0002 to 0.0043 mg/ kg) (P<0.0001).

The volume-weighted mean iodine concentrations of the BT samples in mid- and latelactation (142.2 \pm 129.2 and 119.7 \pm 151.6 µg/L, respectively) were not statistically different (P = 0.63).

270

271 Discussion

272 *Residues related to the use of chlorine*

Concentrations of CHLO and PCHLO were monitored throughout the production chain of 273 SMP in mid- and late-lactation (May and December, respectively). In Europe, a default 274 threshold limit of 0.0100 mg/ kg of CHLO and PCHLO is applied for milk (EC no 275 396/2005). In mid-lactation (May), the volume-weighted mean CHLO concentration in the 14 276 277 BTs and 6 CTs (in which CHLO was detected) were lower than that limit; however, in latelactation (December), the mean CHLO concentration of the 6 CTs (in which CHLO was 278 279 detected) was higher than the EC limit and higher than the volume-weighted mean concentration in mid-lactation. 280

281 In mid-lactation, the CHLO concentrations in each of the CTs could have been diluted as CHLO was not detected in 53 of the BTs. For example, CHLO was not detected in 4 CT milk 282 samples, as only one of the BT milk volumes contributing to each of those CTs contained 283 CHLO. Additionally, CHLO was not detected in most of the BT milk supplied to the 6 CTs 284 285 in which CHLO was detected, indicating that the sanitation of those CTs could possibly have influenced the CHLO levels. In both mid- and late-lactation, as CHLO was not detected in 286 most of the CT milk volumes, the CHLO concentrations could have also been diluted in the 287 WMS; therefore, it is likely that the sanitation practices of the silos did not influence the 288 CHLO levels. Consequently, the mean CHLO concentrations in the WMSs were lower than 289 the EC limit of 0.0100 mg/ kg. However, as the milk supplied to the factory during late-290 lactation contained higher levels of CHLO than the mid-lactation milk, the CHLO levels in 291 the WMS in late-lactation were higher compared to mid-lactation; consequently, the CHLO 292 levels in the SMP were higher in late-lactation than in mid-lactation. 293

In mid-lactation, the mean CHLO concentration of the SMP samples was lower than the limit applied by some Irish infant formula manufacturers (0.100 mg/ kg). The difference of 0.0016 mg/ kg between the mean CHLO concentration of the SMP samples collected at the end and start of the spray-drying run, indicated that the sanitation of the spray-dryer could have

contributed to the CHLO levels in SMP. The interior surface of the spray-dryer could have 298 contained residual CHLO, and the majority of that residue was transferred to the first batch of 299 evaporated skim milk that entered the equipment. In late-lactation, the mean CHLO 300 concentration of the SMP samples was higher than 0.100 mg/ kg, indicating that the CHLO 301 level in the bulk milk stored in the WMS should had been lower than 0.0025 mg/ kg. Even 302 303 though no variations in the CHLO concentration were observed in SMP samples collected throughout the spray-drying run in late-lactation, sanitation practices of that equipment could 304 have also contributed to the increased CHLO levels in SMP. Additionally, the variations in 305 306 CHLO concentrations throughout the spray-drying run that were observed in mid-lactation 307 and not observed in late-lactation could be due to differences in the sanitation practices 308 between production periods.

309 The concentrations of TCM were also monitored throughout the production chain of SMP in mid- and late-lactation (May and December, respectively). There are no European regulations 310 311 that have defined a standard TCM limit for milk or dairy products; however, Irish dairy processors apply a limit of 0.0015 mg/ kg to milk destined for the production of lactic butter 312 313 which should have less than 0.0300 mg/ kg of TCM, as required by the export market (Ryan et al., 2013). In mid-lactation, the mean TCM concentrations of the BTs, CTs and WMS were 314 315 all lower than that limit; while, in late-lactation, the mean TCM concentrations of the CTs 316 and WMS were higher than that limit and higher than the concentrations in mid-lactation. The agreement between the TCM concentrations of each mid-lactation CT sample and the 317 contributions of each BT milk volume supplied, as well as the agreement between the TCM 318 319 concentrations of the WMS samples and the contributions of each CT in both lactation periods, indicated that the cleaning protocol of the CTs or WMS did not contribute to any 320 increases in the TCM levels in milk (Tables S1 and S2). 321

In both lactation periods, the decrease in the TCM concentrations in the SMS in relation to the WMS was expected, due to the accumulation of TCM in the cream during separation (Hubbert *et al.*, 1996; Table 1). As the levels of TCM were higher in late-lactation milk, the TCM concentration in late-lactation cream was possibly higher than the levels expected in cream produced with mid-lactation milk.

The concentrations of CHLO and TCM were also monitored in the same 67 farm BTs in midand late-lactation (May and November, respectively) to investigate if those concentrations could differ in milk produced by the same farm during different production periods. None of the mid-lactation BT samples contained CHLO levels higher than 0.0100 mg/ kg (EC limit),

the number of BT samples that contained levels greater than 0.0015 mg/ kg was significantly 332 higher in late-lactation (21 BT samples; range: 0.0016 to 0.0074 mg/ kg) than in mid-333 lactation (7 BT samples, range: 0.0017 to 0.0043 mg/ kg) (P = 0.002). Those increases in the 334 levels of those residues in late-lactation could be related to changes in the sanitation practices 335 on each farm. Chlorine detergent sterilisers should contain a maximum of 3.5% of chlorine 336 337 and should be prepared and applied according to the manufacturer's instructions (Gleeson, 2016). According to Ryan et al. (2012), 14 L of rinse water per milking unit are 338 recommended in order to totally remove the detergent solution, and the solutions should be 339 340 rinsed immediately after the wash cycle. Additionally, the lower volume of milk produced per farm during late-lactation $(1,683 \pm 1,031 \text{ L})$ could have also contributed to the increase in 341 CHLO or TCM levels during that period, as those residues could have been more 342 concentrated. The presence of CHLO and TCM in milk was not correlated; therefore, if milk 343 contains CHLO it will not necessarily contain TCM and vice versa. The contamination of 344 milk with CHLO or TCM might be related to a combination of specific sanitation practices 345 and further studies are necessary to determine them. In addition, the higher number of farms 346 347 in late-lactation that supplied milk containing higher levels of CHLO or TCM indicated that extra care is required during that period for the production of milk powder or butter. 348

349

350 Iodine

Variations in the iodine concentrations were investigated throughout the production chain of 351 SMP in mid- and late-lactation (May and December, respectively). The EFSA (2005) 352 reported that the average iodine concentration in BT milk samples from several European 353 studies was predominately between 100 and 200 μ g/L, which were suitable to meet the 354 required iodine daily intake for children and adults. Some Irish dairy processors specify that 355 the iodine levels in raw milk should be lower than 150 μ g/L to produce infant formula. In 356 mid-lactation, the mean iodine concentration of the BT, CT and WMS samples were all lower 357 than that limit; while in late-lactation, the mean concentrations of the CT and WMS were 358 359 higher than that limit.

Flachowsky *et al.* (2014) suggested that iodine could undergo sublimation throughout processing, as more than 90% of iodine in milk is in the inorganic form. Small decreases in the mean iodine concentration observed from the BTs to CTs (mid-lactation) and from the CTs to WMS (late-lactation) could be associated with the sublimation of iodine (Table 1). The actual iodine concentrations measured in each CT (Table S3) and WMS (Table S4) were in agreement with the contributions of each BT and CT, respectively. However, the actual 366 concentrations of each CT and WMS were slightly lower than the predicted concentrations
367 (Tables S3 and S4), indicating that possibly a small amount of iodine underwent sublimation
368 during transport and storage, but not sufficient to be significant. Those small losses could
369 have resulted in those decreases in the mean iodine concentrations shown in Table 1.

In mid-lactation, two CT samples had levels higher than 150 μ g/L (390.8 and 202.9 μ g/L). 370 371 One of those CTs collected milk from two farms that supplied milk containing 289.1 and 516.0 µg/ L of iodine. The other CT collected milk from 5 farms; however, most of the 372 volume collected was from one farm that supplied milk containing 561.2 µg/ L of iodine. 373 374 Therefore, it is important that individual milk suppliers control the iodine intake of their 375 herds and correctly apply iodine-based teat disinfectants (US National Research Council, 2001; O'Brien et al., 2013). In late-lactation, all of the CT samples had levels higher than 150 376 377 $\mu g/L$, indicating that the iodine levels in BT milk were possibly higher in late-lactation than in mid-lactation. Those higher levels could be due to the contribution of the increased number 378 379 of farms (150) and also due to high levels of iodine in ration supplied to the cows when indoors. 380

In both lactation periods, the mean iodine concentrations increased in the SMS when compared to the WMS. Prior to pasteurisation and cream separation, milk permeate (details were not disclosure by the manufacture) is added to standardise the protein and lactose content in milk; therefore, that permeate could have contributed to an increase in the iodine content in the SMS.

The International Council for Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (ICCIDD; Delange et 386 *al.*, 1993) specified that the iodine content in reconstituted SMP should be lower than 100 μ g/ 387 L. The mean iodine concentrations of the SMP produced in mid- and late-lactation were 388 higher than that limit (Table 1). Therefore, in the case of the conditions of this study, the 389 iodine levels in the bulk milk supplied to the factory should be lower than 142 μ g/L to 390 produce SMP containing iodine levels within the specification. Also, as the iodine levels 391 were higher in late-lactation compared to mid-lactation milk, the iodine content in 392 393 reconstituted SMP was also higher in late-lactation than in mid-lactation.

In order to investigate variations in the levels iodine in BT milk during different production periods, the concentrations of such residue were also measured in the same 67 farm BTs in mid- and late-lactation (May and November, respectively). In mid- and late-lactation, 13 and 12 BT samples had iodine concentrations higher than 150 μ g/L, respectively. Questionnaires were completed on some of those farms, capturing information regarding animal feed. It was established that the majority of those farms were using concentrates from one manufacturer,

which contained at least 10 and a maximum of 43 mg of iodine/ kg of ration. Therefore, the 400 iodine intake from ration per cow on those farms was likely to be higher than that 401 recommended (10 mg per cow per day), as the average ration intake on those farms was 2.5 402 kg per cow per day. Other factors that were not included in the questionnaires could have 403 contributed to iodine levels in milk such as grass, boluses, mineral-supplemented water and 404 405 mineral licks. Furthermore, according to the questionnaires, five and two farms that were using iodine-based teat disinfectants supplied milk with iodine levels higher than 150 µg/ L, 406 in mid- and late-lactation, respectively. O'Brien et al. (2013) also observed increases in the 407 408 iodine levels in milk when applying those teat disinfectants post-milking. Those increases are associated with the absorption of iodine through the teat skin, particularly if pre-milking teat 409 preparation is not being conducted. 410

411

412 Conclusion

413 Incorrect sanitation practices on-farm can result in increases in the CHLO or TCM levels in milk throughout the year, while the production of lower volumes of milk is an additional 414 415 contributing factor in late-lactation; therefore, extra care is necessary during that period. Consequently, increases in the CHLO or TCM levels in milk result in increased residue levels 416 417 in SMP or cream, respectively. Therefore, it is important to control the initial residue levels in milk destined for processing, especially considering that those could concentrate greatly after 418 evaporation and spray-drying processes or cream separation. Appropriate sanitation practices 419 should also be carried out within the processing plant to avoid increases in the residue levels 420 421 throughout the processing stages. In relation to iodine, this study indicated that some Irish dairy herds are over supplemented with iodine, while the use of iodine-based teat 422 disinfectants also contributed to high levels in some BT samples. Also, the iodine content of 423 the SMP produced in mid-lactation was not within the required specification, even though the 424 WMS milk had lower iodine levels than specified, indicating that the levels in BT milk 425 should be even lower. Finally, it is possible to calculate the expected residue levels in milk 426 stored in the CTs or WMS based on the volumes and residue levels of milk supplied by each 427 dairy farm, which could aid dairy processors to identify the stages that may have contributed 428 429 to increases in those levels. This study highlights the importance of controlling the contributing factors on-farm and in the processing plant in order to maintain residues at safe 430 and market-acceptable levels. 431

- 432
- 433

434	Acknowledgments
-----	-----------------

The authors would like to acknowledge the milk suppliers and processing plant staff involved
in this study, Jim Grant for the statistical analysis, Martin Danaher for the quantification of
chlorate and perchlorate, Siobhan Murphy for the quantification of trichloromethane and
Norann Galvin for the iodine quantification.

-

- -03

- 468 **References**
- 469
- BS EN 15111:2007 2007 Foodstuffs, Determination of trace elements, Determination of
 iodine by ICP-MS (inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry). *European Committee for standardization*, Brussels, Belgium
- 473 Delange F, Dunn JT & Glinoer D 1993 Iodine Deficiency in Europe: a Continuing
 474 Concern, Plenum Press New York USA page 478
- EU Regulation 396/2005 2005 Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament
 and of the Council of 23 February 2005 on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or
 on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive
 91/414/ECC. *Official Journal of the European Union* L70 1-16
- European Union Reference Laboratories for Residues of Pesticides Single Residue
 Methods (EURL-SRM) 2015 Quick Method for the Analysis of numerous Highly
 Polar Pesticides in Foods of Plant Origin via LC-MS/MS involving simultaneous
 extraction with methanol (QuPPe-Method). Retrieved from http://www.crlpesticides.eu/library/docs/srm/meth_QuPPe.pdf. Accessed Feb 22 2018
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) 2005 Opinion of the scientific panel on additives
 and products or substances used in animal feed on the request from the commission
 on the use of iodine in feeding stuffs. *EFSA Journal* 168 1-42
- Flachowsky G, Franke K, Meyer U, Leiterer M & Schone F 2014 Influencing factors on
 iodine content of cow milk. *European Journal of Nutrition* 53 351-365
- Garcia-Villanova RJ, Leite MVOD, Hierro JMH, de Castro Alfageme S & Hernandez
 CG 2010 Occurrence of bromate, chlorite and chlorate in drinking waters disinfected
 with hypochlorite reagents: tracing their origins. *Science and the Total Environment* 408 2616-2620
- 493 Gleeson D, O'Brien B & Jordan K 2013 The effect of using non-chlorine products for
 494 cleaning and sanitising milking equipment on bacterial numbers and residues in milk.
 495 *International Journal of Dairy Technology* 66 182-188
- 496Gleeson D 2016 Cleaning protocols for milking equipment to ensure low bacterial counts497andminimumresidues.Retrievedfrom498https://www.teagasc.ie/media/website/animals/dairy/joint-
- 499 programmes/Arrabawn_conference 2016.pdf. Accessed May 31, 2018.

- Gordon G & Tachiyashiki S 1991 Kinetics and mechanism of formation of chlorate ion
 from the hypochlorous acid/ chlorite ion reaction at pH 6-10. *Environmental Science*& Technology 25 468-474
- Hubbert WT, Hagstad HV, Spangler E, Hinton MH & Hughes KL 1996 Food Safety and
 quality assurance: foods of animal origin. 2nd ed. Iowa State University Press United
 States
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (ICAR) 1999 Chloroform. Pages 131-182 in
 Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans Some chemicals that
 cause tumours of the kidney or urinary bladder in rodents and some other substances,
 v 73, IARC Press Geneva Switzerland
- Leung AM & Braverman LE 2014 Consequences of excess iodine. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* 10 136-142.
- Magowan E, Fearon AM & Patterson DC 2010 The effect of supplementary grass silage
 and standard concentrate on milk fat fatty acid composition and iodine value when
 cows are fed a whole rapeseed-based concentrate at pasture. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* 49 129-139
- O'Brien B, Mehra R & Connolly JF 1999 Seasonal variation in the composition of Irish
 manufacturing and retail milks IV Minerals and trace elements. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* 38 87-99
- O'Brien B, Gleeson D & Jordan K 2013 Iodine concentrations in milk. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* 52 209-216
- Paludetti LF, Kelly AL, O'Brien B, Jordan K & Gleeson D (in press) Microbiological
 quality of milk from farms to milk powder manufacture: an industrial case study. *Journal of Dairy Research.*
- Resch P & Guthy K 1999 Chloroform in milk and dairy products. Part A: Analysis of
 chloroform using static headspace gas chromatography. *Deutsche Lebensmittel- Rundschau* 95 418-423
- Ryan S, Gleeson D, Jordan K, Furey A, O'Sullivan K & O'Brien B 2013 Strategy for the
 reduction of trichloromethane residue levels in farm bulk milk. *Journal of Dairy Research* 80 184-189
- 530 SAS 2016 Version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC USA
- Tiefel P & Guthy K 1997 Model tests for the formation of TCM by chlorine containing
 cleaning and disinfection products. *Milchwissenschaft* 52 686-691

533	US	National	Resear	ch Cound	cil 2001	Nutrient	Requirem	nents of I	Dairy Cattle. N	ational
534		Acader	ny	Press,	Washi	ngton	DC	USA.	Retrieved	from
535		http://v	vww.naj	p.edu/open	book.ph	p?isbn=03	809069971	. Accessed	1 14 June 2018	
536										
537										
538										
539										
540										
541										
542										
543										
544										
545										
546										
547										
548										
549										
550										
551										
552										
553										
554										
555										
556										
557										
558										
559										
560										
561										
562										
563										

Table 1. Mean $(\pm$ SD) chlorate (CHLO), trichloromethane (TCM) and iodine concentrations in samples collected from the farm bulk tanks (BTs), collection tankers (CTs), whole milk silo (WMS), skim milk silo (SMS), cream silo (CS) and samples of skim milk powder (SMP) from the mid- and late-lactation periods.

		Mid-Lactation	
	CHLO (mg/ kg)	TCM (mg/ kg)	Iodine (µg/ L)
Farm BTs (n=67) †	0.0021 ± 0.0019 (0.0010 to 0.0070) ‡	0.0009 ± 0.0008 (0.0002 to 0.0043)	142.2 ± 129.2 (10.4 to 561.2)
CT (n=11) †	0.0020 ± 0.0010 (0.0010 to 0.0030) §	$0.0009 \pm 0.0003 \; (0.0006 \; to \; 0.0015)$	$134.2 \pm 89.6 \ (58.3 \ to \ 390.8)$
WMS (n=2)	0.0010 ± 0.0000	0.0009 ± 0.0000	135.5 ± 7.6
SMS (n=2)	0.0010 ± 0.0000	0.0002 ± 0.0000	142.1 ± 9.1
SMP (n=9)	0.0570 ± 0.0090 ¶		$142.2 \pm 10.0 (120.2 \text{ to } 153.5)$
		Late-Lactation	
	CHLO (mg/ kg)	TCM (mg/ kg)	Iodine (µg/ L)
CT (n=11) †	$0.0410 \pm 0.0554 \; (0.0020 \; to \; 0.1550) \; \$$	$0.0020 \pm 0.0007 \ (0.0010 \ to \ 0.0033)$	437.6 ± 155.2 (225 to 709)
WMS (n=2)	0.0025 ± 0.0000	0.0018 ± 0.0000	419.0 ± 2.8
SMS (n=2)	0.0025 ± 0.0000	0.0005 ± 0.0000	450.0 ± 7.1
CS (n=2)		0.0190 ± 0.0000	
SMP (n=9)	0.1263 ± 0.0071 ¶		398.2 ± 22.8 (257 to 425)

567 n = number of samples; ranges are given between parentheses.

568 † Weighted means and standard deviations calculated considering the volumes of milk and residues concentrations of each farm or CT sample.

569 ‡ Weighted mean CHLO of the 14 bulk tank milk samples in which chlorate was detected.

570 § Weighted mean CHLO of the CT milk samples in which chlorate was detected (mid-lactation: 6 samples; late-lactation: 6 samples).

571 ¶ Results for non-reconstituted skim milk powder

- 572
- 573
- 574
- 575

576 577	Monitoring residue concentrations in milk from farm and throughout a milk powder manufacturing process
578	
579	Lizandra F. Paludetti ^{1,2} , Alan L. Kelly ² , Bernadette O'Brien ¹ , David Gleeson ^{1*}
580	
581	¹ Teagasc Moorepark, Animal & Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Fermoy, County Cork,
582	Ireland
583	² School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, County Cork, Ireland
584	
585	
586	
587	Short title: Milk quality from farm to milk powder
588	
589	
590	
591	Correspondence: David Gleeson,
592	Teagasc Moorepark
593	Animal Grassland Research and Innovation Centre
594	Fermoy
595	County Cork
596	Ireland
597	Tel.: +353 025 42269
598	Email address: David.Gleeson@teagasc.ie
599	
600	
601	
602 603	SUPPLEMENTARY FILE
604	

605 Materials & Methods

- 606 *Comparison between the residue levels in farm bulk tanks in mid- and late-lactation*
- In late-lactation (November 2016), the average (\pm SD) milk volume that was stored in each BT of the 67 farms during sampling was 1,683 \pm 1,031 L (range: 125 to 4,519 L), which were
- stored for an average (\pm SD) of 34 \pm 15 h, at 3.3 \pm 1.2 °C.
- 610
- 611 *Quantification of trichoromethane*
- In each sample vial, 2 mL of milk or reconstituted SMP were added with 5 µL of internal 612 613 standard and 5 µL of of ethanol. The internal standard consisted of a solution prepared using 2-bromo-1-chloropropane and ethanol (0.2 mg/ mL). Samples were placed on an autosampler 614 tray (CTC analytics Combi-pal; CTC Analytics AG Industriestrasse 20 CH-4222, Zwingen, 615 Switzerland) and were incubated for 15 min at 80 °C and agitated at 750 rpm. Samples were 616 injected (500 µL) into an Agilent 19095J-121LTM column (10 m x 0.53 mm x 2.65 µm; 617 Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) with a heated gas-tight syringe (90 °C). 618 Helium was used as the carrier gas, and the column temperature was kept at a constant 619 temperature of 200 °C, which decreased to 70 °C in the end of analysis. 620
- 621

622 *Quantification of total iodine*

In each vial, 1:1 (w/v) solutions of sample and 5% tetramethyl-ammonium hydroxide (TMAH) extraction solution were added and gently swirled. Vials were placed in an oven at 90 °C for 3 h and afterwards they were allowed to cool. The standards used for the calibration consisted of solutions of iodine with 0.5 mL of a Tellurium solution (1,000 μ g/ mL), which contained 1% TMAH.

628

- 629
- 630
- 631
- 632
- 633
- 634
- 635

СТ	Number	Total volume	Mean (+ SD) volume	Mean TCM concentration	Predicted TCM concentration	95%	5 CI‡	Mean TCM
numbor	of forms	nor tonkor (I)	masurad par form (I)	of each CT (mg/kg)	(weighted means ± S.E.) [†]	LOL	UCI	concentration of each CT
number	01 101 1115	per tanker (L)	measureu per farm (L)	of each CT (Ing/ kg)	(mg / kg)	LCL	UCL	covered by predicted C.I.
1	4	23771	$5,943 \pm 1,271$	0.0015	0.0014 ± 0.0009	0.0000	0.0043	Yes
2	5	26503	$5,301 \pm 2,385$	0.0008	0.0005 ± 0.0002	0.0000	0.0011	Yes
3	6	29122	$4,854 \pm 1,763$	0.0012	0.0009 ± 0.0003	0.0001	0.0016	Yes
4	6	23780	$3,963 \pm 2,683$	0.0012	0.0009 ± 0.0003	0.0002	0.0016	Yes
5	8	27585	$3,448 \pm 2,214$	0.0008	0.0004 ± 0.0001	0.0002	0.0005	Yes
6	7	28628	$4,090 \pm 1,208$	0.0011	0.0008 ± 0.0004	0.0000	0.0018	Yes
7	7	27188	$3,884 \pm 2,064$	0.0006	0.0004 ± 0.0001	0.0002	0.0006	Yes
8	7	28470	$4,067 \pm 2,437$	0.0007	0.0004 ± 0.0002	0.0001	0.0008	Yes
9	2	27147	$13,574 \pm 11,312$	0.0010	0.0007 ± 0.00004	0.0002	0.0012	Yes
10	5	25248	$5,050 \pm 3,877$	0.0007	0.0003 ± 0.0001	0.0000	0.0006	Yes
11	10	28561	$2,856 \pm 1,764$	0.0008	0.0005 ± 0.0001	0.0003	0.0008	Yes

Table S1. Comparison of mean trichloromethane (TCM) concentrations measured in each collection tanker (CT: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11) during mid-lactation and those predicted (\pm standard error; S.E.) from the combined farm samples in each CT.

[†] Weighted means were calculated considering the volume of milk supplied by each farm or by each CT.

Table S2. Comparison of mean trichloromethane (TCM) concentrations measured in the whole milk silo (WMS) during mid- and late-lactation and those predicted (\pm standard error; S.E.) from the combined collection tankers (CTs) samples.

	Mean TCM concentration of the WMS (mg/ kg)	Mean (± SD) volume measured per CT (L)	Predicted TCM concentration) (weighted means ± S.E.)† (mg/ kg)		CI‡ UCL	Mean TCM concentration of WMS covered by predicted C.I.
Mid-lactation	0.0009	26,909 ± 1,902	0.0007 ± 0.00009	0.0005	0.0009	Yes
Late-lactation	0.0018	$24,357 \pm 3,768$	0.0019 ± 0.0002	0.0014	0.0024	Yes

[†] Weighted means were calculated considering the volume of milk supplied by each CT.

СТ	Number Mean (± SD) volume		Total volume	Iodine concentration measured	Predicted iodine concentrations	95%	CI ‡	Mean iodine concentration of
CI	of farms	measured per farm (L)	per CT (L)	in each CT sample (μ g/ L)	(weighted means ± SE) (µg/ L) \dagger	LCL	UCL	each CT covered by predicted CI
1	4	$5,943 \pm 1,271$	23,771	83.9	89.2 ± 21.8	19.8	158.6	Yes
2	5	$5,301 \pm 2,385$	26,503	81.8	90.0 ± 23.8	23.9	156.2	Yes
3	6	$4,854 \pm 1,763$	29,122	120.0	117.9 ± 45.6	0.6	235.3	Yes
4	6	$3,963 \pm 2,683$	23,780	58.3	61.2 ± 8.5	39.3	83.7	Yes
5	8	$3,\!448\pm2,\!214$	27,585	125.9	141.0 ± 27.8	75.4	206.7	Yes
6	7	$4,090 \pm 1,208$	28628	138.4	144.1 ± 55.7	7.9	280.3	Yes
7	7	$3,884 \pm 2,064$	27188	112.0	116.7 ± 15.7	78.4	155.1	Yes
8	7	$4,067 \pm 2,437$	28470	76.3	82.9 ± 20.9	31.6	134.1	Yes
9	2	$13,574 \pm 11,312$	27147	390.8	335.7 ± 91.6	0	1,500	Yes
10	5	$5,\!050\pm3,\!877$	25248	202.9	282.7 ± 121.2	0	619.7	Yes
11	10	$2,856 \pm 1,764$	28561	80.0	101.7 ± 12.1	74.3	129.1	Yes

Table S3. Comparison of mean iodine concentrations measured in each collection tanker (CT: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11) during midlactation and those predicted (± standard error; S.E.) from the combined farm samples in each CT.

[†] Weighted means were calculated considering the volume of milk supplied by each farm.

Table S4. Comparison of mean iodine concentrations measured in the whole milk silo (WMS) during the mid- and late-lactation periods and those predicted (\pm standard error; S.E.) from the combined collection tankers (CTs) samples.

	Mean (± SD) iodine concentration	Mean (± SD) volume Predicted iodine concentration		95% CI ‡		Mean iodine concentration of the	
	of the WMS (μ g/ L)	measured per CT (L)	(weighted means ± SE) (µg/ L) \ddagger	LCL	UCL	WMS covered by predicted CI	
Mid-lactation	135.5 ± 7.6	26,909 ± 1,902	134.2 ± 28.3	71.0	197.3	Yes	
Late-lactation	419.0 ± 2.8	$24,357 \pm 3,768$	421.4 ± 50.5	308.8	534.0	Yes	

[†] Weighted means were calculated considering the volume of milk supplied by each CT.



Figure S1. Milk supply chain and manufacturing process for conversion to low-heat skim milk powder, conducted in the mid- and late-lactation periods. The sampling points for chlorate (CHLO) and perchlorate (PCHLO), iodine and trichloromethane (TCM) are indicated with a \bullet , \blacktriangle and \blacksquare , respectively.