

Title	Characterization of primary organic aerosol from domestic wood, peat, and coal burning in Ireland					
Authors	Lin, Chunshui;Ceburnis, D.;Hellebust, Stig;Buckley, Paul;Wenger, John C.;Canonaco, Francesco;Prévôt, André Stephan Henry;Huang, Ru-Jin;O'Dowd, Colin D.;Ovadnevaite, Jurgita					
Publication date	2017-08-17					
Original Citation	Lin, C., Ceburnis, D., Hellebust, S., Buckley, P., Wenger, J., Canonaco, F., Prévôt, A. S. H., Huang, RJ., O'Dowd, C., Ovadnevaite, J. (2017) 'Characterization of primary organic aerosol from domestic wood, peat, and coal burning in Ireland', Environmental Science and Technology, 51(18), pp.10624-10632. doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b01926					
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)					
Link to publisher's version	10.1021/acs.est.7b01926					
Rights	© 2017, American Chemical Society. All rights reserved. This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in Environmental Science and Technology, after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.7b01926					
Download date	2025-07-04 19:26:30					
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/4921					



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

1	Supplementary Information							
2	Characterization of primary organic aerosol from domestic wood, peat, and coal							
3	burning in Ireland							
4								
5	Chunshui Lin <sup>a,b</sup> , Darius Ceburnis <sup>a</sup> , Stig Hellebust <sup>c</sup> , Paul Buckley <sup>c</sup> , John Wenger <sup>c</sup> ,							
6	Francesco Canonaco <sup>d</sup> , André Stephan Henry Prévôt <sup>d</sup> , Ru-Jin Huang <sup>a,b,d,*</sup> , Colin							
7	O'Dowd <sup>a,*</sup> , and Jurgita Ovadnevaite <sup>a</sup>							
8								
9	<sup>a</sup> School of Physics and Centre for Climate and Air Pollution Studies, Ryan Institute,							
10	National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, H91CF50, Galway, Ireland							
11	<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology and Key Laboratory of							
12	Aerosol Chemistry and Physics, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of							
13	Sciences, 710075, Xi'an, China							
14	<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry and Environment Research Institute, University College							
15	Cork, T23XE10, Cork, Ireland							
16	<sup>d</sup> Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), 5232 Villigen,							
17	Switzerland							
18	Correspondence to: Ru-Jin Huang (Email: <u>rujin.huang@ieecas.cn</u> ; Tel:							
19	+86-(0)29-6233-6275); and Colin O'Dowd (Email: <u>colin.odowd@nuigalway.ie</u> ; Tel:							
20	+353-91-49-3306)							
21								
22	The following materials are included:							
23	• Combustion and sampling system, Scheme SI							
24	• Emission factors and caloric values for oil, peat, coal, and wood, Table SI							
25	• Correlation coefficient (R <sup>2</sup> ) between ACSM profiles of different sources and							
26	PMF factors							
27	• The households by the type of central heating (oil, peat, coal, and wood) from							
28	Central Statistics Office, 2011, Figure SI							
29	• Relative fraction of ACSM measured species, Figure S2							
30	• Mass spectra of each type of fuel under different states, Figure 83-5							
31	• Relative difference of dry wood and smoky coal MS profile compared to peat							
32	at each m/z, Figure So $T$							
33	<ul> <li>Time series and mass spectra of PMF solutions, Figure 57-9</li> <li>Delative contribution of the mass log 1 for the mass log 1 fo</li></ul>							
34 25	• Relative contribution of the resolved factors and correlation between OOA and sulfate with different strabues $(0, 0, 2)$ . Figure S10							
35	surface with different <i>a</i> values $(0-0.2)$ , Figure S10							
36	• Back trajectory during the measurement period in Galway, Ireland, Figure S11							

37 Summary: 13 pages, 1 scheme, 2 tables, and 11 figures

Figerprinting Setup and ACSM data analysis: A boiler stove is used for both home 38 39 heating and the generation of hot water. The combustion chamber was built into a wall with the water pipe network inside the wall behind the chamber. The water pipes 40 41 will take up part of the heat generated in the chamber, producing hot water for everyday use and also circulating through the central heating system warming up the 42 house. The open fire chamber was directly connected to a chimney having no 43 emission control. During each type of fuel sampling, new fuel was added to maintain 44 the combustion which is always the case for the real application instead of waiting for 45 its extinction and igniting a new burning. And each type of sampling fuel was 46 continuously burned for at least 1 hour with a total use of fuel >5kg. ACSM measured 47 the emission with  $\sim 1$  min resolution and 1 h ACSM data was averaged to get the 48 49 relative mass contribution and mass spectrum. The NR-PM1 aerosols generated from 50 the combustion of fuels were collected using a sampling line connected to the chimney. The sampling line was made of ordinary  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch copper pipe which extended 51 approximately 10 cm inside the chimney flue. An automobile fuel filter was fitted 2 m 52 downstream of the inlet, which was effective in trapping moisture and large 53 particulate matter. This was followed by a gate valve to restrict the flow of smoke and 54 allow dilution with clean air. The gate valve was adjusted to allow a dilution rate in 55 the range of 80-160:1. The total length of copper line between the chimney and the 56 mobile station was around 10 meters, which provided sufficient time for the aerosol to 57 cool down to ambient temperature before ACSM measurement. 58

ACSM spectra analysis were performed using the standard ACSM analysis 59 software (version: ACSM local 1.5.12.0) provided by Aerodyne which is written 60 within Wavemetrics Igor<sup>TM</sup>. Collection efficiency (CE) in terms of the mass fraction 61 of ammonium nitrate, particle acidity, and water content should be considered to 62 account for sampling losses as suggested by Middlebrook et al (2012). However, in 63 this study, CE-corrected NR-PM1 results in higher mass concentration than 64 simultaneous PM<sub>10</sub> measured by TEOM at several evening/night time peaks. Thus, the 65 CE need to be further investigated. Here, we assumed a CE of 1, which provides a 66 lower limit for ACSM-measured mass concentration. A CE of 1 was also used by 67 Canonaco et al. (2013) in which they also found composition dependent CE would 68 underestimate CE resulting in a higher CE-corrected PM<sub>1</sub> than collocated TEOM 69 70 PM<sub>10</sub>. However, changes in CE won't affect the relative contribution of all species since CE is applied to all measured specie 71



<sup>77</sup> 

Table S2. Correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) between ACSM profiles of different sources and

79 PMF factors (dry wood (DW), wet wood (WW), dry raw peat (DP), wet raw peat

(WP), peat briquettes (PB), bituminous (smoky) coal (SC), and ovoids (smokeless,
 based on anthracite) coal (SLC))

$R^2$	DW	WW	DP	WP	PB	SC	SLC	$HOA^4$	BBOA <sup>5</sup>
DW	1	0.91	0.62	0.62	0.69	0.37	0.69	0.30	0.77
WW	0.91	1	0.47	0.48	0.54	0.32	0.54	0.21	0.57
DP	0.62	0.47	1	0.98	0.96	0.87	0.88	0.8	0.78
WP	0.62	0.48	0.98	1	0.99	0.81	0.89	0.82	0.84
PB	0.69	0.54	0.96	0.99	1	0.77	0.92	0.77	0.88
SC	0.37	0.32	0.87	0.81	0.77	1	0.78	0.76	0.51
SLC	0.69	0.54	0.88	0.89	0.92	0.78	1	0.64	0.83
HOA	0.30	0.21	0.80	0.82	0.77	0.76	0.64	1	0.58
BBOA	0.77	0.57	0.78	0.84	0.88	0.51	0.83	0.58	1



- partially decayed vegetation, it contains more minerals (including sulfur) than fresh
   biomass (e.g. wood).
- 96

S4







101



Figure S4. Average normalized mass spectra of measured organic aerosols from the 103 104 combustion of (A) peat briquettes; (B) wet raw pet; (C) dry raw peat in a typical domestic Irish stove using an ACSM. 105

106





108 109

110

Figure S5. Average normalized mass spectra of measured organic aerosols from the combustion of (A) smokeless coal; (B) smoky coal in a typical domestic Irish stove using an ACSM.



111

Figure S6. Relative difference of dry wood and smoky coal MS profile compared to
peat at each m/z.

S6



115 Figure S7. Factor profiles (mass spectra) of the 6-factor solution with four primary 116 factors constrained and two additional left free. Factor 6 is not interpretable by 117 118 comparing with the profiles in AMS database (http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/AMSsd/). The α-value method within ME-2 119 was applied. Oil burning (factor 1) profile is from ambient data PMF-derived 120 hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA) (Crippa et al. 2013)<sup>4</sup>. Peat (factor 2), coal 121 (factor 3), and wood (factor 4) reference profiles are from fingerprinting experiments 122 (Figure 1). Grey bar in the back represents reference profile employed. 123 124





Figure S8. Profiles of 5-factor free PMF solution. Factor 1 is a typical OOA profiles 127 with high m/z 44 signal. Factor 2 shows no signal at m/z 60 and has a higher fraction 128 of signals at lower m/z values, and it is HOA-like. Factor 3 has a higher fraction at 129 higher m/z values, thus it is coal-like. In contrast, both factor 4 and 5 have elevated 130 signals at m/z 60, and the allocation of signals at m/z 29, makes factor 4 wood-like 131 and factor 5 peat like. However, primary factors from free PMF are highly mixed due 132 133 to rotational ambiguity arising from similar emission time. Thus, it is inappropriate to 134 use this solution to estimate the contribution of different sources.



136 Figure S9. Time series of 5-factor free PMF solution.



139

Figure S10. The relative contribution of oil, peat, coal, wood, and OOA (left axis) over the whole periods to total OA mass as well as correlation ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) between sulfate and OOA (right axis) as a function of *a* value. An *a* value of 0.1 was selected (red cycle) from which the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  starts to level off.





Figure S11. Backward trajectory analysis for 48 h by NOAA Hysplit4 model<sup>7</sup> ending at 18:00 from October 17 to November 21, 2015 in Galway, Ireland. From Oct 17 to 19 (or S1 in Figure 3) and from Nov 1 to 4 (or S2 in Figure 3), the air masses have a continental origin (from the mainland Europe, the UK, and Ireland itself). From Oct 19 to 31 (or M1 in Figure 3) and Nov 5 to 21 (M2 and M3 in Figure 3), the air masses have a marine origin with short stay in Ireland.









158 References:

- TNO 2001: TNO Institute of Environmental Sciences, Energy Research and
   Process Innovations: CEPMEIP Database, available at http://www.air.sk/tno/cepmeip,
   Apeldoorn 2001 (last access: December 2016).
- 162 2. Dall'Osto, M.; Ovadnevaite, J.; Ceburnis, D.; Martin, D.; Healy, R. M.; O'Connor, I.
- 163 P.; Kourtchev, I.; Sodeau, J. R.; Wenger, J. C.; O'Dowd, C., Characterization of urban
- aerosol in Cork city (Ireland) using aerosol mass spectrometry. Atmos. Chem. Phys.
- **2013**, *13*, (9), 4997-5015.
- 166 3. <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/</u> (last access: August 2017)
- 4. Crippa, M.; Decarlo, P. F.; Slowik, J. G.; Mohr, C.; Heringa, M. F.; Chirico, R.;
- 168 Poulain, L.; Freutel, F.; Sciare, J.; Cozic, J.; Di Marco, C. F.; Elsasser, M.; Nicolas, J.
- 169 B.; Marchand, N.; Abidi, E.; Wiedensohler, A.; Drewnick, F.; Schneider, J.; Borrmann,
- 170 S.; Nemitz, E.; Zimmermann, R.; Jaffrezo, J. L.; Prévôt, A. S. H.; Baltensperger, U.,
- 171 Wintertime aerosol chemical composition and source apportionment of the organic
- fraction in the metropolitan area of Paris. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2013, 13, (2), 961-981.
- 173 5. Ng, N. L.; Canagaratna, M. R.; Zhang, Q.; Jimenez, J. L.; Tian, J.; Ulbrich, I. M.;
- 174 Kroll, J. H.; Docherty, K. S.; Chhabra, P. S.; Bahreini, R.; Murphy, S. M.; Seinfeld, J.
- 175 H.; Hildebrandt, L.; Donahue, N. M.; DeCarlo, P. F.; Lanz, V. A.; Prévôt, A. S. H.;
- 176 Dinar, E.; Rudich, Y.; Worsnop, D. R., Organic aerosol components observed in
- Northern Hemispheric datasets from Aerosol Mass Spectrometry. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*2010, 10, (10), 4625-4641.
- 6. Central statistical office 2011, Ireland website;
- 180 http://www.cso.ie/px/pxeirestat/Statire/SelectVarVal/Define.asp?Maintable=CDD41&
- 181 Planguage=0 (last access: December 2016).
- 182 7. Draxler, R. R.; Rolph, G., HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated
- 183 Trajectory) model access via NOAA ARL READY website (http://www. arl. noaa.
- 184 gov/ready/hysplit4. html). NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring. In Md:
  2003.