

Title	Women's internationalism and Yugoslav-Indian connections: From the Non-Aligned Movement to the UN Decade for Women
Authors	Bonfiglioli, Chiara
Publication date	2020-10-28
Original Citation	Bonfiglioli, C. (2020) 'Women's internationalism and Yugoslav-Indian connections: From the Non-Aligned Movement to the UN Decade for Women', Nationalities Papers, 49(3), pp. 446-461. doi: 10.1017/nps.2020.11
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1017/nps.2020.11
Rights	© 2020, Association for the Study of Nationalities. Published by Cambridge University Press. This material is free to view and download for personal use only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works.
Download date	2024-12-14 07:06:00
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/11415

Women's Internationalism and Yugoslav-Indian Connections: From the Non-Aligned Movement to the UN Decade for Women

Chiara Bonfiglioli*

Women's Studies, University College Cork, Women's Studies, Cork, Ireland

*Corresponding author. Email: chiara.bonfiglioli@ucc.ie

Abstract

This article addresses women's cross-border internationalist connections within the Non-Aligned Movement (NAM), focusing on the exchanges between women's organizations in socialist Yugoslavia and the Global South, especially India during the 1950s and 1960s as well as during the UN Decade for Women (1975–1985). As a result of the Soviet-Yugoslav split, Yugoslavia was expelled from the Women's International Democratic Federation (WIDF), the main organization federating antifascist, communist, and socialist women, in 1949. To overcome international isolation, Yugoslav representatives established their own bilateral connections with women's organizations internationally, particularly in the Global South. Throughout the Cold War, the main figure behind women's internationalism in Yugoslavia was Vida Tomšič (1913–1998), a former partisan and leading politician, trained as a lawyer, who had a fundamental role both in nonaligned and UN settings. In this article, I further analyze Vida Tomšič's visits to India, examining the correspondence between Vida Tomšič and Indian scholar and Women's Studies pioneer Vina Mazumdar (1927–2013). The exchanges between Vina and Vida, as they amicably addressed each other, exemplify the significance of the alliance between activists from socialist countries and activists from the Global South during the UN Decade for Women.

Keywords: Non-Aligned Movement; Women's movements; Yugoslavia; India; UN Decade for Women

Introduction

During the 2011 Berkshire conference on women's history, a panel on the legacy of the 1975 UN World Conference on Women of Mexico City was organized, hosting notable US feminist activists, such as Mildred Persinger, Charlotte Bunch, and Arvonne Fraser. Though based in the USA, Indian scholar Devaki Jain and Bangladeshi scholar Rounaq Jahan were present as representatives of the Global South. Significantly, the panel did not include any representative from former socialist countries. Devaki Jain, however, recalled the important contribution of Yugoslav politician Vida Tomšič to the UN Decade and nonaligned women's movements.¹ While defining Tomšič as “an extraordinary feminist,” Jain added that she was aware that Tomšič was not part of the Western canon of feminist goddesses (Ghodsee 2014, 245; Bonfiglioli 2016b, 532–533). Responding to this lacuna in transnational women's and gender history, this article is dedicated to the forgotten history of women's cross-border internationalism within the Non-Aligned Movement (NAM), focusing on the exchanges between women's organizations in socialist Yugoslavia and women's organizations founded in

newly independent, decolonized countries. It further considers the correspondence between Yugoslav former partisan and politician Vida Tomšič and Indian scholar and feminist activist Vina Mazumdar as well as their contributions to the UN Decade for Women.

By highlighting the role of state socialist and nonaligned women's networks in shaping transnational conceptions of women's rights, and by paying attention to the overlapping trajectories of female leaders and policy-makers from the Second and Third world in NAM and UN settings, this article challenges canonical narratives on transnational feminism, which tend to overlook the contribution of state socialist women's organizations, and contributes to the growing literature that stresses the impact of East-South and South-South internationalist exchanges on the women's rights agenda during the Cold War (Bonfiglioli 2016a; De Haan 2012; Ghodsee 2010, 2012, 2014, 2019; Jain and Chako 2009; Popa 2009). As highlighted by Ghodsee (2019) in her recent work on women's transnational connections between Bulgaria and Zambia, female activists from the socialist bloc and the Global South emphasized the importance of women's economic and social rights during the UN Decade as well as the inseparable connection between women's emancipation and alternative conceptions of geopolitics and development during the Cold War. This vision contrasted with the Western liberal feminist agenda, which nonetheless has been inscribed as the dominant one in the historiography of transnational feminism (De Haan 2012; Ghodsee 2019). By focusing on Yugoslavia and its specific position "between the blocs," this contribution highlights the significance of transnational discussions on women and its development as an integral part of the more institutional history of the NAM from the early 1970s onward (Dinkel 2016). Moreover, this article stresses the importance of Yugoslav women's organizations for "influencebuilding" (Rubinstein 1970) and soft diplomacy in the Global South, an aspect that has been neglected so far in the existing literature on Yugoslavia, nonalignment, and the Cold War in the Third World (Bott et al. 2016; Byrne 2015; Misković, Fischer-Tiné, and Boškowska 2014; Rubinstein 1970).

Throughout Yugoslavia's existence between 1945 and 1991, women's organizations took a range of forms, which evolved over time as the nature of the Yugoslav socialist project evolved: the first organization was the Antifascist Women's Front of Yugoslavia (*Antifašistički Front Žena*; hereafter AFŽ), which was founded during the

World War II Resistance Struggle, was a top-down, hierarchical mass organization. The AFŽ was also one of the most prominent national branches of the antifascist and anti-imperialist Women's International Democratic Federation (WIDF), founded in Paris in 1945, and comprising communist and socialist women's organizations in the West, the socialist bloc, and the Global South (De Haan 2012).² After the expulsion of Yugoslavia from the socialist bloc in 1948 and the subsequent expulsion of the AFŽ from the WIDF in 1949—a result of the WIDF support of Soviet foreign politics in the early Cold War—the AFŽ was gradually transformed in line with new self-management politics until its final dissolution in 1953. Its successor, the Union of Women's Societies (*Savez Ženskih Društava*; hereafter SŽD), was intended to be a decentralized, bottom-up federation of associations focusing on matters of specific interest to women in each locality. The organization was incorporated within the broader Socialist Alliance of the Working People, in line with the idea that women's issues were fundamentally social and thus not pertaining to women's only (Bonfiglioli 2014). The SŽD nonetheless still relied on federal and republican committees, with substantial continuity in terms of leadership. Former partisan women, many of them carrying the title of national heroes, constituted the core of the organization. The SŽD was renamed in 1961 and became the Conference for the Social Activity of Women (*Konferencija za Društvenu Aktivnost Žena*; hereafter KDAŽ). It acquired a more technocratic outlook and kept focusing on welfare-related issues, in which women were deemed to have an interest alongside the rest of society (men were often involved in the organization as “experts” from various fields; see Dobos 1983). The federal and republican committee of KDAŽ were at the forefront of relations with foreign women's organizations, especially when it came to countries affiliated with the emerging Non-Aligned Movement.

While Western women's organizations and pro-Western women's organizations in the Global South tended to be affiliated with “liberal” institutions—such as the International Council of Women and the International Alliance of Women—and Eastern state socialist women's organizations, as well as pro-socialist women's organizations in the Global South, tended to be affiliated to the WIDF (De Haan 2012; Ghodsee 2019), Yugoslav women engaged in a wide range of international fora that allowed them to work across blocs, especially through the NAM. KDAŽ leaders organized overseas travels and study visits, received foreign delegations, and took

charge of visitors' tours across the country. They were also frequent guests and organizers of international congresses and expert meetings. After the Soviet-Yugoslav reconciliation of the mid-1950s and Yugoslavia's rekindling of connections with the socialist bloc, Yugoslav women were invited to join the WIDF once again, but they made clear that they preferred to attend WIDF congresses as external observers, stressing that they were interested only in ad-hoc cooperation on matters of national interest (Bonfiglioli 2013). While the earlier expulsion from the WIDF had pushed Yugoslav women to establish their own bilateral connections, their presence at WIDF congresses meant that they could benefit from WIDF increasing networks in the developing world. From the late 1950s onward, numerous women's organizations in Asia, Africa, and Latin America were joining the WIDF and were challenging the organization's pro-Soviet dimension (see Bonfiglioli 2016a, 2016b). Yugoslav women were thus active on several fronts, maintaining diplomatic relations with women's organizations in the socialist bloc, in the Western bloc, and in the Global South. In the 1970s and 1980s, transnational exchanges were marked by a more institutionalized, technocratic, and expert-based approach, especially when it came to Yugoslav women's strong advocacy in UN and NAM settings. The NAM and the Group of 77³ countries' focus on the NIEO (New International Economic Order) platform coincided with the UN Decade for Women (1975–1985), leading to frequent cooperation on issues of women and development.

Throughout the Cold War, the figure providing the best insight into Yugoslav women's cross-border internationalism within the Non-Aligned Movement is Vida Tomšič (1913–1998), a former partisan communist activist and leading politician as well as a lawyer who played a fundamental role during the UN World Conference(s) on Women in Mexico City (1975), Copenhagen (1980), and Nairobi (1985). Tomšič was a prolific writer and a sought-after expert on issues of women's welfare, reproductive rights, and economic independence. She represented Yugoslavia on the Commission for Social Development of the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) (1960–1963; 1971–1974) and chaired the commission in 1963, coming into contact with personalities such as the renowned Danish economist and UN consultant Ester Boserup (1910–1999). Tomšič also contributed to the 1978 foundation of the INSTRAW—the UN International Research and Training Institute for the Advancement of Women—based in Santo Domingo, which was directed by the Yugoslav economist Dunja Pastizzi Ferenčić

between 1980 and 1990. She was also strongly involved in the International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) and its Yugoslav branch. Her pioneering work on abortion and reproductive rights had a crucial role in having the right to freely decide about childbirth included within the 1974 Yugoslav Constitution. Moreover, in the developing world, the Slovenian lawyer could mobilize her authority of national hero of the partisan Resistance, which appealed to many activists residing in countries with histories of national liberation struggles, such as India.

In order to highlight Yugoslav representatives' specific nonaligned strategies and contributions to the women's rights agenda during the UN Decade for Women, I will first consider the cross-border internationalist connections established by Yugoslav women's organizations in the 1950s and 1960s, looking at its representatives' overseas travels and addressing foreign delegations' visits to Yugoslavia, especially visits by Indian representatives. In the second part of the article, I discuss Vida Tomšič's visits to India, examining the correspondence that took place between Vida Tomšič and Indian academic and women's studies pioneer Vina Mazumdar (1927–2013). Mazumdar was the secretary of the first Committee on the Status of Women in India, whose *Towards Equality* (1974) report is considered a stepping-stone for feminist movements and gender-sensitive research. Mazumdar was also the director of the first women's studies program between 1976 and 1980 as well as the founding director of the Center for Women's Development Studies in New Delhi from 1980 to 1991 (John 1996, 2017; Mazumdar 1994; Rai 2007). The exchanges between Vina and Vida, as they amicably addressed each other, were representative of the ways in which women active in the Non-Aligned Movement strived to shape the agenda of the UN Decade for Women between 1975 and 1985. This case study exemplifies the significance of the alliance between activists from socialist countries and from the Global South in their developing an intersectional vision of women's rights that saw women's emancipation as inseparable from wider social emancipation and from the establishment of a new global economic and geopolitical order (Bonfiglioli 2016b; Ghodsee 2019). This article is based on original archival research carried out in the Archives of Yugoslavia in Belgrade (AJ), the Croatian State Archives in Zagreb (HDA), and the Archives of the Republic of Slovenia (ARS) in Ljubljana, which hosts Vida Tomšič's archival collection.

Beyond the Soviet Model: Yugoslav Women's Organizations and Nonalignment

Between 1945 and 1948, Yugoslavia appeared to be the dutiful pupil of the USSR in its revolutionary standpoints but also in its policies of rapid industrialization and collectivization. The Yugoslav Antifascist Women's Front (AFŽ), in turn, was hailed as one of the most prominent founding branches of the Women's International Democratic Federation (WIDF) after the WIDF creation in Paris in 1945. The AFŽ largely followed the Soviet model in its campaign for higher labor productivity as well as in its fight against illiteracy, maternal mortality, and women's political passivity in the countryside (Okić and Dugandić 2016). The socialist "working mother" gender model, which combined women's equality in the productive sphere with "social motherhood" (i.e., the socialization of reproductive tasks by the state) became part and parcel of AFŽ discourses and practices (Bonfiglioli 2014).

The expulsion of the AFŽ from the WIDF in 1949 meant that Yugoslav representatives suddenly became extremely isolated in the field of women's internationalism. The WIDF, in fact, was the main transnational organization of reference for antifascist, anti-imperialist, and left-wing women's organizations (Armstrong 2016; De Haan 2012; Donert 2013; Bonfiglioli 2016b). It was also the first transnational organization to establish connections with delegates in the Global South and to voice a condemnation of colonialism since the 1940s (Armstrong 2016). In response to their expulsion, Yugoslav representatives organized a series of mass meetings across Yugoslavia, protesting the WIDF decision, and sent a wide array of published material to women's organizations affiliated to the WIDF (Bonfiglioli 2013). Multilingual publications became a strong asset of Yugoslav women's internationalism, mirroring official Yugoslav diplomacy in its attempt to overcome international isolation.

Once the break between Yugoslavia and the Soviet Union appeared as definitive after 1949, Yugoslav leaders started to look for new transnational allies, turning to the USA for economic and military aid and establishing some bilateral exchanges with Western European socialist parties and with former colonized nations in the developing world. The first contacts with India and Egypt, which later would play a very important role in the establishment of nonalignment, were established while Yugoslavia was occupying a seat as a non-permanent member of the UN Security Council in 1950–

1951 (Rajak 2014; Rubinstein 1970). A first Yugoslav “mission of goodwill” was sent to India from December 1952 until January 1953, and Vida Tomšič took part in it as one of the delegates. Milovan Djilas visited the Asian Socialist Conference in January 1953 in Rangoon and stopped in New Delhi on his way back, while Tito led his first official visit to Asia, namely, to Burma and India, between the end of November 1954 and the beginning of January 1955. These visits anticipated the successive Bandung conference of Afro-Asian solidarity in 1955 (which did not include Yugoslavia) and the tripartite meeting between Tito, Nasser, and Nehru in July 1956. As Rajak (2014) argues, the normalization of relations with the West and the end of Soviet threat after 1955 allowed Yugoslav leaders to venture more confidently into a rapprochement with the Third World.

In this context of growing nonaligned connections, the correspondence between the Belgrade and Zagreb bureaus of the Union of Women’s Societies (*Savez Ženskih Društava*; hereafter SŽD) recorded the visit of an Indian woman, referred to simply as Kamaladevi, in August 1953.⁴ The guest was most certainly Kamaladevi Chattopadhyay (1903–1988), a long-standing anti-colonial activist and socialist feminist, the first Organizing Secretary of the All India Women's Conference (founded in 1927), and the chief of the Indian Cooperative Union in the post-war era. Chattopadhyay was among the generation of women who became active in the nationalist struggle and who went to occupy positions of responsibility in the post-independence era. Another remarkable activist was Jawaharlal Nehru’s sister Virjaya Lakshmi Pandit (1900–1990), ambassador to the USSR, the USA, and Mexico between 1947 and 1951, and the first woman President of the United Nations General Assembly in 1953 (Devenish 2014; see also Jayawardena 2016). Virjaya Lakshmi Pandit led the first fact-finding mission to Yugoslavia in the summer of 1954 (Rajak 2014). The magazine *Žena u Borbi* (*Woman in the Struggle*), published in Zagreb, highlighted how Pandit praised Yugoslavia for its adherence to UN principles and how she compared Yugoslavia's role in Europe to India's role in Asia.⁵

The two most prominent women’s organizations in post-independence India were the All India Women’s Conference—connected to the Congress Party in power—and the Women’s Self Defence League (MARS)—linked to the Communist Party of India—which was especially strong in Bengal and whose representatives were active in the

WIDF (Jayawardena 1986; Armstrong 2016). As a result of their expulsion from the WIDF and of high-level contacts between the Indian and Yugoslav governments, Yugoslav women's organizations were mostly connected to activists belonging to the All India Women's Conference. Following Yugoslav authorities' rapprochement with socialist parties in Western Europe and post-colonial countries in Asia, a 1954 report of the Yugoslav women's organization duly noted that contacts had been made with the women's sections of socialist parties in Belgium, Greece, and West Germany; they also reported some contacts in Sweden and Denmark on issues of domestic education and childcare. Connections with the female representatives of decolonization movements in India, Indonesia, and Burma were also mentioned.⁶

The de-Stalinization of the mid-1950s and the fleeting reconciliation of Yugoslavia with the Soviet Union also meant that Yugoslav female politicians partially rekindled their relationships with Soviet women and other women's organizations of the socialist bloc. Between 1955 and 1956, delegations were exchanged with Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, and China. In April 1956, Yugoslav women attended the WIDF 4th Congress in Beijing, while in November 1956, six prominent Yugoslav activists, including Vida Tomšič, travelled to the Soviet Union for two weeks. They reported that Soviet representatives were largely silent on the previous disputes and put on a display of everlasting friendship, nonetheless appearing skeptical when Yugoslav women presented the new course of Yugoslav self-management and its alleged revival of Leninist ideals.⁷ Yugoslav representatives also felt that the visit and the discussions were kept at a superficial level. They expressed criticism toward the propagandist tone of the Soviet press, especially in relation crushing the Hungarian uprising, which coincided with their time in Moscow.⁸ The Hungarian intervention and the execution of the Hungarian reformist leader Imre Nagy led to a renewed deterioration of Soviet-Yugoslav relations from 1958 onward (Rajak 2016), yet contacts with the WIDF continued, albeit with Yugoslav women acting as critical observers and looking for alternative pathways to the Global South.

Another congress, organized this time by the All-China Women's Federation in August 1957, led Yugoslav representatives to embark upon a journey through Indonesia, India, and Egypt on their way back from China. The travel lasted from August 22 to October 19, 1957.⁹ Indian, Egyptian, and Indonesian women's organizations returned the

courtesy and visited Yugoslavia in the following years. Also, as a result of Tito's next grand tour of Asia and Africa from December 5, 1958 until February 5, 1959, which affirmed his primary role in nonaligned politics (Rajak 2016), Yugoslav representatives' connections with women's organizations in recently decolonized countries intensified. While official exchanges with India, Pakistan, and Egypt continued, new connections with North Africa were made, with Tunisian women visiting Yugoslavia in November 1960. Yugoslav women had joined the Second Congress of the Tunisian Women's Union in August 1960, together with Algerian, Libyan, Iraqi, Moroccan, and Turkish representatives. Alongside an American guest, Yugoslav women were the only foreigners that did not belong to the Middle East or North Africa. Contacts with women involved in the Algerian National Liberation Front (FLN) also increased during the Algerian War, in line with Yugoslav support and military aids to Algerian revolutionaries (Rubinstein 1970, 86–88; see also Byrne 2015).

Despite these increased connections, Yugoslav representatives did not cease from making use of WIDF networks in order to get in touch with left-wing women's movements in the Global South. In 1958, an Asian-African Conference of Women was held in Colombo under the initiative of social reform women's organizations in Indonesia, India, Pakistan, Burma, and Sri Lanka. Further preparations were made for the Women's Conference of Afro-Asian solidarity held in Cairo in February 1961, which affiliated this time left-wing women's organizations associated with the NAM and the Afro-Asian People's Solidarity Organization (see Armstrong 2016; Bier 2010). The conference preparations were described in a 1960 SŽD report but only as a translation of reports contained in the WIDF periodical *Femmes du Monde Entier*.¹⁰ The same happened for the Congress of Women of West Africa held in July 1959 in Bamako. With Ghanaian women's organizations, however, there were direct exchanges due to the Yugoslav embassy in Accra. A delegation of Ghanaian female members of parliament visited Yugoslavia in September 1960. In the coming year, the SŽD made contact with Kenyan women through the Kenyan bureau in Cairo.¹¹ The following diplomatic report of the Conference for the Social Activity of Women (KDAŽ), which replaced the SŽDJ in 1961, explicitly indicated that Yugoslav women had an interest in cooperating with the WIDF in view of the fact that many women's organizations from Africa, Asia, and Latin America were joining the WIDF and bringing a fresh

critical perspective. Yugoslav women explicitly mentioned the possibility of reaching other women's organizations in the developing world through WIDF networks.¹² In their bilateral connections, Yugoslav representatives adhered to the principles of peaceful coexistence, self-determination, and "national roads to socialism". This also applied to the politics of women's emancipation, which had to be adapted to each country's specific political, economic, and social context rather than follow a predetermined (Soviet) model.

In their effort to reform the WIDF and its bloc orientation, Yugoslav women found the support of the Union of Italian Women (UDI), which affiliated women belonging to communist and socialist parties and had an interest in transforming the WIDF in a less pro-Soviet direction from the mid-1950s onward (see Bonfiglioli 2013). The Yugoslavs established the best networks in Western Europe with the UDI followed by cooperation with the communist Union of French Women (hereafter UFF) and socialist women in Belgium and Sweden.¹³ Exchanges of delegations with state socialist women's organizations in Eastern Europe were also frequent, but only Polish and Hungarian women's organizations were deemed significant by Yugoslav observers. Organizations in other countries, especially in East Germany, were deemed as excessively "pro-bloc." Instances of longstanding competition and mistrust with Soviet women persisted in Yugoslav reports and extended to East-South diplomatic relations. During the conference of the All India Women's Congress in April 1960, for instance, which happened simultaneously to a Soviet official visit, two unspecified Indian women (*dve indijke*) reported to Yugoslav delegates Marija Šoljan-Bakarić and Jelica Marić that they had been criticized by Soviet politician and forthcoming Minister of Culture Yekatarina Furtseva (1910–1974) on account that they had not yet paid a visit to the USSR despite repeated invitations, while they had already visited Yugoslavia.¹⁴

Despite the overbearing presence of Soviet women in transnational meetings, Yugoslav women were very proactive in their internationalism between the end of the 1950s and the beginning of the 1960s. Between April 19–21, 1961, five months before the well-known Belgrade summit that marked the official founding of the Non-Aligned Movement and the proclamation of its principles of "peaceful and active coexistence" (Rubinstein 1970, 56), the KDAŽ organized a major conference in Zagreb, which saw the participation of delegates from Eastern Europe, Western Europe, and the Global

South.¹⁵ Soviet, Polish, Hungarian, Bulgarian, and East German women took part in the socialist bloc, while Swedish, Italian, and French women joined Western Europe. The conference focused on anti-imperialism and anti-colonialism, reflecting the growing radicalism of the nonaligned movement (Byrne 2015). Since the event happened shortly after the murder of Patrice Lumumba in Congo, his wife Pauline Lumumba was invited as a guest of honor as well as Algerian activists, who thanked Yugoslav women for their material support during the liberation struggle. Women from the socialist bloc also hailed the example of Cuba, who had just survived the failed Bay of Pigs invasion a few days earlier. The delegates from the Ugandan liberation movement, in turn, praised their Algerian and Cuban sisters, while anti-colonial activists from South Rhodesia (now Zimbabwe) argued that “the task that is placed before humankind is threefold: the question of erasing all the traces of colonialism in the whole world; the question of removing military bases from Asia and Africa and stopping nuclear testing, for instance French tests in Sahara; and thirdly, that Asian and African countries will be no longer a source of profit for Western imperialists and foreigners who comfortably sit in London, New York, and Washington.”¹⁶ Other developing countries represented at the conference were Ghana, Guinea, Kenya, Indonesia, Tunisia, and the short-lived United Arab Republic, which federated Egypt and Syria.

The magazine *Žena* (which replaced *Žena u Borbi*) published detailed reports about women’s movements in newly decolonized countries in the late 1950s and early 1960s, with data about legislative reform, women's participation in liberation movements, and new women's organizations engaged in the promotion of women's political and social rights. The parallels established between Yugoslav women’s participation to the liberation struggle in World War Two and Asian and African women’s fight for liberation from colonialism were especially prominent. Also emphasized was the understanding that new mass-based women’s organizations in post-colonial countries were emerging out of a wider liberation struggle and as part of newly founded state institutions, as the AFŽ did after 1945. In the eyes of socialist women's leaders, such a stance was opposed to interwar liberal feminist organizations in the Global South and Yugoslavia, which had allegedly focused on women's issues in isolation from wider social issues. New industries, agricultural cooperatives, and welfare infrastructures, such as hospitals and schools, were all seen as crucial means to achieve political and

economic self-reliance after colonialism, as Yugoslavia did in its effort to overcome foreign domination. Women's organizations were understood to be crucial actors in this modernization effort.¹⁷

After the high-profile international conference of 1961, and after the intensification of cross-border connections in previous years, Yugoslav initiatives in the field of women's internationalism appear to be less consistent and strategic, even if bilateral travels continued and new connections were established, notably with African movements, such as through Vida Tomšič's visit to Guinea, Mali, and Senegal in 1967, during which she reported in detail about local efforts to build welfare institutions after the end of colonialism (Bonfiglioli 2016c). Yugoslav women's internationalism was influenced by the crisis of coordinated nonaligned initiatives throughout the 1960s, especially toward the end of the decade (Byrne 2015; Dinkel 2016). Divergences in the movement became apparent: India, a powerful interlocutor, got closer to Moscow vis-à-vis China (Mastny 2010) and grew less supportive of the new predominant agitational kind of nonalignment promoted by Yugoslavia, Egypt, and Algeria. Moreover, Nehru died in 1964 (Byrne 2015). During the 1963 Moscow WIDF gathering, Cold War divides, such as the Sino-Soviet conflict, came to the fore. Chinese representatives, supported by Albania, Japan, Korea, and Indonesia, promoted a radical anti-imperialist line that objected to the denomination of the event as the "World Congress of Women" (a denomination which implied a less ideological position and an increased openness to cooperate with non-socialist women's organizations). Even if Yugoslav representatives were not supporting the Chinese line, they nonetheless aimed to maintain their independence from Soviet positions.¹⁸ In 1967, during a visit to Moscow on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the October Revolution, Indian and Bulgarian women invited Yugoslav women to reenter the Federation, but Vida Tomšič repeated once again the usual formula that Yugoslav representatives would only cooperate with the WIDF on matters of common interest on a case by case basis.¹⁹

While the second half of the mid-1960s marked a low in the development of the Non-Aligned Movement, the revival of coordinated developing countries' activism on economic issues in the 1970s, especially within the UN, meant that Yugoslav women had a new platform for internationalist connections. The UN Decade for Women (1975–1985) led to a wide array of meetings within the NAM on the role of women in

development. Vida Tomšič emerged as the uncontested leader of Yugoslav diplomacy in the realm of women's rights. While her cross-border activism went beyond nonalignment and the UN, for the purpose of this article I focus on Tomšič's connections and discussions with Indian activists on the role of women in development.

Yugoslavia, India, and the Role of Women in Development

In the 1970s, nonaligned countries engaged in sustained South-South cooperation with the aim to challenge the existing global economic order. Also due to its trade interests in the Global South, Yugoslavia took a very active role in these processes, liaising with other NAM and G77 countries as well as supporting the 1974 Declaration for a New International Economic Order (NIEO) within the UN General Assembly (Dinkel 2016; Spaskovska 2018). Additionally, an entirely new field of institutional cooperation opened up when the UN General Assembly adopted its 3010 Resolution in 1972, declaring 1975 International Women's Year.²⁰ The UN Decade for Women (1975–1985) coincided with renewed nonaligned activism on economic matters, fostering a wide array of exchanges over issues of women and development as well as encouraging Yugoslav women's organizations to liaise with activists from the Global South.

During the UN World Conferences on Women in Mexico City (1975), Copenhagen (1980), and Nairobi (1985), East-West and North-South divides came to the fore. Not only did the Soviet "peace" agenda gain prominence during the first two conferences, as Ghodsee (2010) noted, but also the Global South agenda, which emphasized the connection between women's rights and the need for new global economic relations (Bonfiglioli 2016b). Western delegations very much resented the "politicization" and perceived "anti-Western" character of these demands. Meanwhile, women affiliated with the Non-Aligned Movement and the G77 organized within and outside UN World Conferences on Women through parallel meetings dedicated to women in development. Already during the 1976 NAM summit in Colombo, a seminar including women from nonaligned countries encouraged the leaders of the Non-Aligned Movement to give proper consideration to the "specific problems of women," supporting the "initiative already taken by Yugoslavia to have this subject included in the agenda for the Summit Conference."²¹ The first Conference of nonaligned and other developing countries on

the Role of Women in Development was held in Baghdad in 1979.²² A follow-up took place during the “Meeting of High-level Experts of Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries Concerned with the Role of Women in Development,” held in Havana in May 1981.²³ Another Ministerial Meeting was held in New Delhi in April 1985, in preparation for the Nairobi World Conference on Women to be held in September of that year.

Yugoslavia had an active role in promoting the nonaligned agenda at the UN, especially due to the role of the International Center for the Promotion of Enterprises in Developing Countries (hereafter ICPE), an intergovernmental organization founded in 1974 in Ljubljana following a United Nations initiative, which cooperated with UNIDO (United Nations Industrial Development Organization), UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development), UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) and other UN institutions (see also the article by Calori and Spaskovska in this issue). ICPE was especially active in women’s issues from 1983 onward, when it was asked to prepare a study on the role of women in developing countries to present at the 1985 Nairobi conference.²⁴ A wide array of expert-based encounters and high profile meetings were held in Yugoslavia during the UN Decade for Women. The 1977 Bled conference on the role of women in development, for instance, was opened by the first-ever female Assistant-Secretary-General of the United Nations, Helvi Sipilä (1915–2009),²⁵ and included an intervention by ILO (International Labor Organization) representative Krishna Ahooja Patel (1929–).²⁶

Throughout the UN Decade for Women, Yugoslav representatives openly sided with nonaligned and developing countries by arguing that the achievement of women’s emancipation was dependent upon the transformation of relations of production across the world. Yugoslav leaders’ long-standing Marxist theorization of the “women’s question”—which saw issues of production and reproduction as intrinsically related—were thus translated on a global scale within the NAM. Vida Tomšič had a leading role in promoting such stances, which coincided with her profound belief that women’s emancipation did not concern women only but society as a whole. As such, women’s emancipation needed to be tackled through the in-depth transformation of social and economic relations (Bonfiglioli 2016b; 2016c). Due to her earlier wariness of “bourgeois” interwar feminism, on the one hand, Tomšič mainly associated second-

wave feminism with Western countries' attempts to depoliticize women's issues and to reduce them to a field of humanitarian intervention in order to pursue neo-colonialism in the developing world. On the other hand, as mentioned earlier, many Western feminist activists, as well as Western governments, read socialist and developing countries' demands for a new economic order as unwarranted attempt to politicize UN conferences on the status of women (Bonfiglioli 2016b).

Given her wariness toward feminism, it would seem paradoxical that Vida Tomšič established relevant connections with women who are considered today the foremothers of the Indian second-wave feminist movement, such as Vina Mazumdar (1927–2013) and Devaki Jain (1933–), or even that someone like Jain would retrospectively define Tomšič as a Yugoslav *feminist* (Bonfiglioli 2016b; Ghodsee 2014). At the same time, figures like Mazumdar and Jain started to express their engagement primarily as academic experts within state-sponsored initiatives before being recognized as feminist foremothers. Even more importantly, as often highlighted by Mazumdar herself, the establishment of the first state-sponsored program in women's studies within the framework of the Indian Council of Social Science Research (ICSSR) coincided with Indian Prime Minister Indira Gandhi's proclamation of a state of emergency. Such profound ambivalence in the relation between the Indian state and Indian women's movements has been analyzed in multiple publications (John 2017; 1996; Mazumdar 1994; Sreekumar 2017).

In the post-Yugoslav region, similarly, the ambivalence of "state feminism" and figures like Tomšič has often come to the fore, in line with debates over the extent of women's agency within state socialist women's organizations (Bonfiglioli 2016c; De Haan 2016). As noted previously (Bonfiglioli 2016b; 2016c), someone like Vida Tomšič held deep beliefs about the modern state and welfare institutions as fundamental factors of social change, equality, and political participation, especially due to the Yugoslav experience of self-managed workplaces and municipalities. She often fostered the nonaligned idea of "collective self-reliance" (Spaskovska 2018), emphasizing the importance of active popular participation, including women's participation, to modernization and development. The significance of women's political engagement within state structures in places like Yugoslavia and India during the 1970s and 1980s cannot be overstated, especially at a time when "national machineries" for the

promotion of women's rights were conceived as the main tool of modernization and social change across the Eastern and Western blocs as well as within the United Nations.²⁷ Due to the multiple institutional mechanisms put in place during the International Women's Decade, "national machineries" became an important instrument of knowledge production in developing countries. Social scientists, in fact, started to engage in gender-sensitive research on women and development in order to report back to UN institutions and expert meetings, and this process often fostered new women's groups and movements, as in the case of India. Yugoslav scholars and activists closely followed research on women in developing countries, especially due to the contacts established by ICPE in Ljubljana.

Vida Tomšič's first contacts with India date from December 1952 to January 1953, when she visited the country as a member of the Yugoslav Goodwill Mission. She took extensive notes and documentation, using it to organize various lectures on India across Yugoslavia as well as to write a children's story on Indian history.²⁸ The most intense contacts with Indian activists, however, happened in the course of the UN Decade for Women, engendering a rich correspondence as well as published material. Tomšič's visited India for a second time in March 1974, after having visited Sri Lanka and its Prime Minister Sirimavo Bandaranaike within the framework of the Non-Aligned Movement and in preparation of the Non-Aligned Movement summit to be held in Colombo in 1976.²⁹ Once in New Delhi, Tomšič met with Indira Gandhi, along with functionaries of the Yugoslav embassy. In her report of the joint visit, she noted that both Bandaranaike and Gandhi were facing strong internal opposition and did not delve into issues related to the UN Decade for Women.³⁰

Cooperation on women's issues, however, was emphasized two years later, when, in November 1976, the Slovenian lawyer received the Spirit of India award from the Indian ambassador in Yugoslavia on behalf of Indira Gandhi for her contribution to women's rights in connection to International Women's Year. The Spirit of India award consisted of a scroll signed by Indira Gandhi with the following inscription: "In this International Women's Year, the women of India recall your services to India and present this to you as a token of their gratitude."³¹ The Indian ambassador's speech also mentioned that Tomšič had successfully led the Yugoslav delegation during the Mexico City Conference (Bonfiglioli 2016b). Despite the fact that Tomšič was held in high

esteem in India, she only returned to the country a decade later for a Ministerial Meeting of “Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries on the Role of Women in Development” (April 8–11, 1985) and then again as a guest of the Indian Center for Social Science Research (ICSSR) (November 2–10, 1985), delivering the “J.P. Naik Memorial Lecture at the Center for Women’s Development Studies” (CWDS).³² During this last visit, besides a reception by Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi, who succeeded his mother Indira Gandhi after her assassination in 1984, Tomšič held several meetings with feminist academics and women’s organizations.³³ A roundtable was also organized by the ICSSR, in cooperation with the Research Group on Women’s Studies based at the Department of Political Sciences, to celebrate the 40th anniversary of defeating fascism in Europe as well as women’s contribution to World War Two and post-war reconstruction. Tomšič was the guest of honor, and she was defined as a “veteran with first-hand experience, being a participant in the national struggle of Yugoslavia.”³⁴ On the same day, Tomšič gave a lecture entitled “Women in the Struggle against Fascism” at the invitation of Janwadi Mahila Samiti, the Delhi branch of the National Association of Indian Women, affiliated with the Indian Communist Party.³⁵

Women’s studies in India had grown significantly since the start of the UN Decade for Women in the mid-1970s. Its founding document, the monumental report *Towards Equality* (1974), authored by the newly founded Committee on the Status of Women in India (CSWI) and led by Vina Mazumdar, was supposed to provide a country-level report for International Women’s Year. The report—which ended up not being presented in Mexico City due to its critical perspective—had pointed at the exclusion of Indian women from development gains and citizenship rights. According to the authors, “masses of women in this country have remained unaffected by the rights guaranteed to them by the Constitution and the laws enacted since Independence.”³⁶ The report was followed by the founding of the Indian Council of Social Science Research Programme of Women’s Studies (1976) and the Center for Women’s Development Studies (CWDS) in New Delhi in 1980, both directed by Mazumdar. The Indian Association for Women’s Studies was founded in 1982 (John 1996, 2017; Mazumdar 1994; Rai 2007).

As recalled by Mazumdar (Rai 2007, 106; Mazumdar 1994), Indian social scientists engaged in fieldwork across the country for the very first time in the mid-1970s, adopting a gender perspective and making clear that enormous social and economic inequalities existed among Indian women, a majority of which lived in poverty. The modernization agenda adopted by Indian authorities was under question, as social scientists showed that development gains were unevenly distributed according to class, caste, and gender. Vida Tomšič clearly tried to follow these developments, as shown by her 1978 request to the Indian ambassador in Yugoslavia to be sent Devaki Jain's 1975 book *From Dissociation to Rehabilitation: Report on an Experiment to Promote Self-Employment in an Urban Area*, dedicated to self-employed women in the informal economy, a theme that was of particular interest for Tomšič due to her vision of women as active agents of social development.³⁷ Jain met Tomšič during her 1985 visit and warmly remembered her contribution to women's nonaligned activism in her later publication *Women, Development and the UN* (Jain 2007) as well as in public interventions on the UN Decade for Women (Bonfiglioli 2016b).

Warm relations were also established with Vina Mazumdar, whose correspondence with Tomšič span from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s and whose coordinating role was crucial in organizing Tomšič's visit in November 1985.³⁸ In 1983, Mazumdar visited Yugoslavia after she was entrusted with coordinating the preparation of the ICPE study on the role of women in developing countries that was to be presented at the "UN World Conference on Women" in Nairobi on behalf of the Non-Aligned Movement.³⁹ Yugoslav and Indian scholars mainly contributed to the study by collecting materials from relevant institutions in other countries. Mazumdar came back to Ljubljana in January 1985, discussing the developments of the study with Vida Tomšič and other ICPE staff. The Yugoslav report emphasized once again that "the accent and political orientation of the study is on the relation between development and the role and status of women, which is directly related to the struggle for a NIEO, that is, for new economic international relations. We do not believe that this standpoint is showing a politicization of the women's question, contrary to what the West argues, simultaneously promoting a partial and sectoral approach to women's issues (women as a marginalised and depressed group)."⁴⁰ The final study—which included a comprehensive overview of women's roles in the world economy and in relevant fields requiring national and international intervention (such as agriculture, industry,

education, and culture, the mass media, science and technology, health and population)—was approved during the Ministerial Meeting held in New Delhi in April 1985 and circulated to the Nairobi conference. ⁴¹

A few months after their meeting in Ljubljana, Vina Mazumdar arranged all the details for Vida Tomšič's November visit to New Delhi, where she delivered the "J.P. Naik Memorial Lecture" at the Center for Women's Development Studies (CWDS). In her lecture, Tomšič reinstated her vision of women as a crucial factor in development, which is now widely accepted as a tenant of gender mainstreaming. Tomšič (1985) stated:

<EXT>It is largely owing to the experience and efforts of developing and non-aligned countries that the problem of the status of women is no longer being seen only as an issue exclusively concerning the women's movement, or as a humanitarian and legal problem, it has become one of the key questions of every country's development. The Decade led not only to a recognition of the needs and problems of women, but also to an awareness of the power that women represent. [...] At the end of the UN Decade for Women, the question could therefore also be turned around: not only what can the United Nations, the Non-Aligned Movement and governments do for women, but what do women contribute, what do they mean for the development of every country and of the world as a whole?</EXT>

The Slovenian politician praised the ways in which women's issues had been tackled in UN and nonaligned settings, as opposed to "extremist feminist movements," reinstating the idea that the status of women was deeply related to global relations of production, and represented an "indicator of development of every country and on the global scale" (Tomšič 1985).

Once she returned to Ljubljana, Tomšič thanked Mazumdar and expressed her satisfaction with the visit, which had included a wide array of meetings with Indian scholars and activists. She told the Indian scholar, "My stay was so rich in discussions and meetings that I haven't managed yet to go through all my notes."⁴² A year later, she reminisced: "One year has passed since my visit in India, but it seems to me much

shorter, while being very busy all this time, I feel like having been with you just few days ago. I feel sorry for not having more time for more frequent contacts with all friends I met in India, and with you especially.”⁴³ The two remained in contact and exchanged greetings for the rest of the 1980s, updating each other on their political and personal struggles. In 1986, Mazumdar told Tomšič about Indian activists' successful struggle to get women's issues incorporated in National Education Policy. They had lost, however, a battle concerning new legislative measures that denied Muslim women the right to maintenance after divorce. In an affectionate tone, Mazumdar wrote: “I have also not been very well recently, and have been wondering how I could imbibe some more of your continued strength and energy.”⁴⁴ Their correspondence encompassed various issues, especially publication projects, including the edited version of Tomšič's lecture.

In her foreword to the published lecture (Tomšič 1985), available on the site of the CWDS, Mazumdar did not spare her admiration when introducing the work of her Yugoslav colleague:

<EXT>Vida Tomšič's life represents the ideal of women's studies, in which scholarship is not relegated to the ivory tower, but is involved in action for change, drawing new inspiration and awareness through such involvement in both action and scholarship. [...] She is a visionary and accepts the challenge of giving shape to a future which is still unreal in most ordinary mortals' views. She was involved from the beginning in the concept of the New International Economic Order and took up the challenge of ensuring the incorporation of the women's issue as an integral part of that concept. She told me once 'if we do not do it now, then the vision will be incomplete, distorted and will defeat its purpose'.</EXT>

Conclusion

This essay addressed Yugoslav women's organizations and their internationalist engagement, looking in particular at the exchanges between women's organizations in socialist Yugoslavia and women's organizations in newly independent countries in the Global South, notably India, first in the 1950s to the 1960s and then during the UN

Decade for Women (1975–1985). It retraced the history of Yugoslav women’s organizations, from their affiliation to the Women’s International Democratic Federation (WIDF) after 1945, to their turn toward nonalignment in the aftermath of the Soviet-Yugoslav split and AFŽ’s expulsion from the WIDF, until their engagement in the reformulation of issues of women and development during the UN Decade for Women (1975–1985). State socialist women’s organizations in Yugoslavia were of crucial importance when it came to “influence building” (Rubinstein 1970) and soft diplomacy in the developing world. Yugoslav politician Vida Tomšič was undoubtedly the most significant representative of women’s internationalism, and her formulation of the interconnection between women’s rights and wider development issues, which stemmed from her Marxist background and her experience as a policy-maker and leader of women’s organizations in Yugoslavia, had an important resonance among Global South activists in nonaligned and United Nations settings. In the last phase of her career, Tomšič established warm and productive relations with Indian activists and scholars who were engaged in bringing nonaligned perspectives to UN World Conferences on Women in Mexico City, Copenhagen, and Nairobi as well as in affirming the agency of female activists from the Second and Third World in international fora.

As argued by Jain and Chako, “the NAM gatherings offered a space where the women from former colonies could reassert the standpoint that they were active agents in their nations, contributors to their country’s progress, and not mere consumers of *social services*” (2009, 898). Yugoslav communist activists strongly supported such efforts, establishing strong parallels between Yugoslav women’s participation to the liberation struggle in World War Two and Asian and African women’s fight for liberation from colonialism. In turn, Yugoslav women could mobilize their authority as members of the antifascist resistance but also as legislators and representatives of successfully established mass-based women’s organizations, a kind of expertise that was very much sought after in the Third World. Even if East-South and South-South internationalist exchanges are mostly erased from dominant historiographies of transnational feminism, the expertise provided by state socialist women’s organizations proved inspirational for Asian and African activists, as highlighted by Ghodsee (2019) when it comes to Bulgarian-Zambian exchanges and as emphasized in this article through the case of Vida Tomšič’s connection with Indian scholar, activist, and women’s studies pioneer Vina Mazumdar. Further scholarship will hopefully explore other case studies of

women's transnational cooperation, doing justice to the significance of socialist and nonaligned women's voices during the UN Decade for Women and beyond.

Disclosure. Author has nothing to disclose.

References

Alexander Street. 2012. *Generations of Change I: The United Nations International Women's Conferences, 1975*. Berkshire Conference on the History of Women, June 2011. Produced by Alexander Street. <https://search.alexanderstreet.com/wasi>. (Accessed July 19, 2019.)

Armstrong, Elisabeth. 2016. "Before Bandung: The Anti-Imperialist Women's Movement in Asia and the Women's International Democratic Federation." *Signs* 41 (2): 305–331.

Bier, Laura. 2010. "Feminism, Solidarity and Identity in the Age of Bandung: Third World Women in the Egyptian Women's Press." In *Making a World After Empire: The Bandung Moment and its Political Afterlives*, edited by Christopher J. Lee, 143–172. Athens: Ohio University Press.

Bonfiglioli, Chiara. 2013. "Cold War Internationalisms, Nationalisms and the Yugoslav – Soviet Split: the Union of Italian Women and the Antifascist Women's Front of Yugoslavia Before and After 1948." in *Women's Activism: Global Perspectives from the 1890s to the Present*, edited by Francisca de Haan, Margaret Allen, June Purvis and Krassimira Daskalova, 59-73. London: Routledge.

Bonfiglioli, Chiara. 2014. "Women's Political and Social Activism in the Early Cold War Era: The Case of Yugoslavia." *Aspasia* 8: 1–25.

Bonfiglioli, Chiara. 2016a. "Cold War Gendered Imaginaries of Citizenship and Transnational Women's Activism: The Case of the Movie *Die Windrose* (1957)." In *Gender and Citizenship in Historical and Transnational Perspective*, edited by Anne Epstein and Rachel G. Fuchs, 166–185. London: Palgrave.

Bonfiglioli, Chiara. 2016b. "The First UN World Conference on Women (1975) as a Cold War Encounter: Recovering Anti-imperialist, Non-Aligned and Socialist Genealogies." *Filozofija i Društvo* 27 (3): 521–541.

Bonfiglioli, Chiara. 2016c. "On Vida Tomšič, Marxist Feminism, and Agency." *Aspasia* 10: 145–151.

Bott, Sandra, Jussi Hanhimaki, Janick Schaufelbuehl, and Marco Wyss, eds. 2015. *Neutrality and Neutralism in the Global Cold War: The Non-Aligned Movement in the East-West Conflict*. London: Routledge.

Byrne, Jeffrey James. 2014. "Beyond Continents, Colours, and the Cold War: Yugoslavia, Algeria, and the Struggle for Non-Alignment." *The International History Review* 37 (5): 912–932.

Government of India. 1974. *Towards Equality: Report of the Committee on the Status of Women in India*, New Delhi: Govt. of India, Ministry of Education and Social Welfare, Dpt. of Social Welfare. <https://pldindia.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Towards-Equality-1974-Part-1.pdf> (Accessed July 12, 2019).

De Haan, Francisca. 2012. "The Women's International Democratic Federation (WIDF): History, Main Agenda, and Contributions, 1945–1991." In *Women and Social Movements International 1840 to Present*, edited by Thomas Dublin and Kathryn Kish Sklar. Alexandria: Alexander Street Press.

De Haan, Francisca, ed. 2016. "Ten Years After: Communism and Feminism Revisited." *Aspasia*, 10: 102–168.

Devenish, Annie. 2014. "Performing the Political Self: A Study of Identity Making and Self Representation in the Autobiographies of India's First Generation of Parliamentary Women." *Women's History Review* 22 (2): 280–294.

Dinkel, Jürgen. 2016. "'Third World Begins to Flex its Muscles': The Non-Aligned Movement and the North-South-Conflict During the 1970s." In *Neutrality and Neutralism in the Global Cold War: The Non-Aligned Movement in the East-West Conflict*, edited by Sandra Bott, Jussi Hanhimaki, Janik Schaufelbuehl, and Marco Wyss, 108–123. London: Routledge.

Dobos, Manuela. 1983. "The Women's Movement in Yugoslavia: The Case of the Conference for the Social Activity of Women in Croatia, 1965–1974." *Frontiers* 7 (2): 47–55.

Donert, Celia. 2013. "Women's Rights in Cold War Europe: Disentangling Feminist Histories." *Past and Present* 218 (8): 180–202.

Dugandić, Andreja, and Okić, Tijana, eds. 2016. *Izgubljena revolucija: AFŽ između mita i zaborava* [The Lost Revolution: Women's Antifascist Front Between Myth and Forgetting]. Sarajevo: Rosa Luxemburg Foundation/CRVENA.

Ghodsee, Kristen. 2010. "Revisiting the United Nations Decade for Women: Brief Reflections on Feminism, Capitalism and Cold War Politics in the Early Years of the International Women's Movement." *Women's Studies International Forum* 33: 3–12.

Ghodsee, Kristen. 2012. "Rethinking State Socialist Mass Women's Organizations: The Committee of the Bulgarian Women's Movement and the United Nations Decade for

- Women, 1975–1985.” *Journal of Women's History* 24 (4): 49–73.
- Ghodsee, Kristen. 2014. “Research Note: The Historiographical Challenges of Exploring Second World – Third World Alliances in the International Women's Movement.” *Global Social Policy* 14 (2): 244–264.
- Ghodsee, Kristen. 2019. *Second World, Second Sex: Socialist Women's Activism and Global Solidarity during the Cold War*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Global Socialist Feminism. n.d. “Bibliographies.” Global Socialist Feminism. <https://www.globalsocialistfeminism.com/bibliographies#>. (Accessed July 12, 2019.)
- Jain, Devaki. 2005. *Women, Development and the UN: A Sixty-Year Quest for Equality and Justice*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Jain, Devaki. 1975. *From Dissociation to Rehabilitation: Report on an Experiment to Promote Self-Employment in an Urban Area*. Mumbai: Allied.
- Jain, Devaki, and Shubha Chacko. 2009. “Walking Together: The Journey of the Non-Aligned Movement and the Women's Movement.” *Development in Practice* 19 (7): 895–905.
- John, Mary E. 1996. “Gender and Development in India, 1970s–1990s: Some Reflections on the Constitutive Role of Contexts.” *Economic and Political Weekly*, November 23.
- John, Mary E. 2017. “Thinking about Politics and State Power with Vina Mazumdar.” *CWDS* 24 (1): 111–125.
- Jayawardena, Kumari. (1986) 2016. *Feminism and Nationalism in the Third World*. Reprint, London: Verso.
- Mastny, Vojtech. 2010. “The Soviet Union's Partnership with India.” *Journal of Cold War Studies* 12 (3): 50–90.
- Mazumdar, Vina. 1994. “Women's Studies and the Women's Movement in India: An Overview.” *Women's Studies Quarterly* 3/4: 42–54.
- Misković, Nataša, Harald Fischer-Tiné, and Nada Boškovića. 2014. *The Non-Aligned Movement and the Cold War: Delhi-Bandung-Belgrade*. London: Routledge.
- Patel, Vibhuti. 2013. “Dr Vina Mazumdar (1927–2013).” *Australian Feminist Studies* 28 (77): 247–249.
- Rai, Shirin. 2007. “Emerging State Feminism in India: A Conversation with Vina Mazumdar, Member Secretary to the First Committee on the Status of Women in India.” *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 9 (1): 104–111.

Rajak, Svetozar. 2016. “‘Companions in Misfortune’: From Passive Neutralism to Actual Un-Commitment – The Critical Role of Yugoslavia.” In *Neutrality and Neutralism in the Global Cold War: The Non-Aligned Movement in the East-West Conflict*, edited by Sandra Bott, Jussi Hanhimaki, Janik Schaufelbuehl, and Marco Wyss, 72–89. London: Routledge.

Rajak, Svetozar. 2014. “No Bargaining Chips, No Spheres of Interest: The Yugoslav Origins of Cold War Non-Alignment.” *Journal of Cold War Studies* 16 (1): 146–179.

Rubinstein, Alvin Z. 1970. *Yugoslavia and the Nonaligned World*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Spaskovska, Ljubica. 2018. “Building a better world? Construction, labour mobility and the pursuit of collective self-reliance in the ‘global South’, 1950–1990.” *Labor History*, 59(3): 331-351

Sreekumar, Sharmila. 2017. “Equivocations of Gender: Feminist Storytelling and Women’s Studies in the Contemporary.” *Indian Journal of Gender Studies* 24 (1): 47–68.

Tomšič, Vida. 1985. “Women, Development and the Non-Aligned Movement.” Fourth JP Naik Memorial Lecture. New Delhi: CWDS.

www.cwds.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Women-Development.pdf (Accessed July 12, 2019.)

Archival Sources

Croatian State Archives (HDA), Zagreb.

Archives of Yugoslavia (AJ), Belgrade.

The Archives of the Republic of Slovenia (ARS), Ljubljana.

¹ Panels 1 and 2 of “Generations of Change: The United Nations International Women’s Conferences, 1975” Panel, Berkshire Conference on the History of Women, June 2011, are available in the online database *Women’s and Social Movements International 1840 to Present*. See Alexander Street (2012). The invisibility of Tomšič’s legacy is further confirmed by her name being transcribed as ‘Rita [Thompson?]’ in the database.

² For a bibliography on the WIDF’s branches worldwide, see Global Socialist Feminism (n.d.).

³ The Group of 77 was established on June 16, 1954, by 77 developing countries and currently encompasses 134 member countries as the largest intergovernmental organization of developing countries in the UN.

⁴ HDA, f. 1863, box 71, collection 1234-5 (AFŽ KDAŽ).

⁵ HDA, n. 8, 1954, box 150-2, collection 1234-5 (AFŽ KDAŽ).

⁶ AJ, Izvestaj o Međunarodnim Vezama, September 2, 1955, Uglavni Odbor SŽD, box 1, collection 354, SŽDJ.

⁷ HDA, f. 2118, Izvestaj o Boravku Delegacije Žena Jugoslavije u SSSR, box 71, collection 1234-5.

⁸ HDA, f. 2118, Izvestaj o Boravku Delegacije Žena Jugoslavije u SSSR, box 71, collection 1234-5.

⁹ HDA, f. 2320, Informativni Bilten o Radu Inostranih Ženskih Organizacija i Međunarodnim Vezama SŽDJ, February 1958, box 71, collection 1234-5.

¹⁰ HDA, f. 2697a, Informativni Bilten o Radu Inostranih Ženskih Organizacija i Međunarodnim Vezama SŽDJ, December 1960, box 71, collection 1234-5.

¹¹ HDA, f. 2697a, Informativni Bilten o Radu Inostranih Ženskih Organizacija i Međunarodnim Vezama SŽDJ, December 1960, box 71, collection 1234-5.

¹² HDA, f. 2697a, Informativni Bilten o Radu Inostranih Ženskih Organizacija i Međunarodnim Vezama SŽDJ, December 1960, box 71, collection 1234-5.

-
- ¹³ HDA, Izveštaj o Međunarodnim Vezama Konferencije za Društvenu Aktivnost Žena Jugoslavije Year 1961, box 72, collection 1234-5.
- ¹⁴ AJ, Stenografski zapisnik, Konferencija Žena Jugoslavije, April 19-21, 1961, box 2, collection 354.
- ¹⁵ AJ, Stenografski zapisnik, Konferencija Žena Jugoslavije, April 19-21, 1961, box 2, collection 354.
- ¹⁶ AJ, Stenografski zapisnik, Konferencija Žena Jugoslavije, April 19-21, 1961, box 2, collection 354.
- ¹⁷ HDA, *Žena*, boxes 150-4 and 150-5, collection 1234-5 (AFŽ KDAŽ). An important protagonist of nonaligned encounters from 1959–1961 was Yugoslavia’s First Lady Jovanka Broz, a former lieutenant colonel in the Yugoslav People’s Army. Many reports on women’s organizations in the Global South were written by *Žena* editor and KDAŽ President for Croatia Marija Šoljan-Bakarić, also a former partisan and wife of prominent politician Vladimir Bakarić.
- ¹⁸ HDA, Izveštaj o Međunarodnim Vezama Konferencije za Društvenu Aktivnost Žena Jugoslavije 1963. godinu, i Plan Međunarodnih Veza za 1964. Godinu, January 1964, box 62, collection 1234-5.
- ¹⁹ HDA, f. 7/31, Izveštaj o Boravku na Proslavi Oktobarske Revolucije u Moskvi, November 1-9, 1967, box 72, collection 1234-5.
- ²⁰ The Resolution explicitly referred to the work of the UN Commission on the Status of Women (CSW), founded in 1946, to the ILO Convention about Equal Remuneration as well as to the 1967 Declaration on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women as preexisting platforms. The proclamation of International Women’s Year was supposed to intensify efforts to “promote equality between men and women” and to “ensure the integration of women in the total development effort” as well as to recognize women’s contribution toward world peace.
- ²¹ Resolutions adopted at the Seminar on “Women in Developing Countries” held in Colombo, Sri Lanka, on 5th Non-Aligned Conference, ARS, box 236, AS 1413 (Vida Tomšič collection).
- ²² ARS, General Federation of Iraqi Women, Final report, Conference on the Role of Women in Development of Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries, Baghdad, May 6–13, 1979, box 49, AS 1413.
- ²³ ARS, Meeting of High-Level Experts of Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries Concerned with the Role of Women in Development, Havana, May 25–27, 1981. Agenda, box 49, AS 1413.
- ²⁴ ARS, First Meeting of the Coordinating Countries of the Action Program for Economic Cooperation of Nonaligned Countries, New Delhi, April 1986, Final Document, chapter 15, p. 92, box 49, AS 1413.
- ²⁵ ARS, Address of Mrs. Helvi Sipilä, United Nations Assistant Secretary-General for Social Development and Humanitarian Affairs, “Women and Development,” Tenth International Seminar of the Conference for Social Activities of Yugoslav Women, October 10–14, 1977 Bled, box 40, AS 1413.
- ²⁶ ARS, Krishna Ahooja-Patel, Representative of the ILO, “Self-Reliance: The Next Step for Women,” box 40, AS 1413.
- ²⁷ A study published by the INSTRAW in 1980 was titled “National Machineries for the Advancement of Women: Selected Case Studies.” It included ministries for women’s affairs, departments of women’s affairs and women’s bureaus, equality councils, women’s organizations, and other “machineries” in Bangladesh, Ivory Coast, Egypt, India, Jamaica, Papua New Guinea, Argentina, Denmark, Ghana, Hungary, Kenya, Poland, Cuba, Seychelles, Canada, New Zealand, and the USSR. ARS, box 52, AS 1413.
- ²⁸ ARS, box 200, AS 1413.
- ²⁹ Tomšič and Bandaranaike met several times and corresponded from the 1960s until the 1990s. They maintained a close friendship, especially due to the fact that Bandaranaike was travelling every year for medical treatment to the Igalo thermal institute in Montenegro. See ARS, boxes 43 and 118, AS 1413.
- ³⁰ ARS, Informacija o poseti Sri Lanki/March 12-18./ i Indiji/March 19-23, 1974, box 90, AS 1413.
- ³¹ ARS, Indian ambassador’s speech, November 11, 1976, box 50, AS 1413.
- ³² ARS, Tovarišica Vida Tomšič bila v Indiji (summary of VT visits to India, undated, 1980s), box 50, ARS 1413.
- ³³ ARS, Program of Dr. Vida Tomšič, box 66, AS 1413.
- ³⁴ ARS, Invitation, Research Group on Women’s Studies, undated, signed by Susheela Kausheek, box 66, AS 1413.
- ³⁵ ARS, Invitation, Janwadi Mahila Samiti, October 12, 1985, box 66, AS 1413.
- ³⁶ Government of India (1974).
- ³⁷ ARS, Letter by VT to Mr. Natarajan Krishnan, December 8, 1978, box 226, AS 1413.
- ³⁸ The Vida Tomšič collection in the Slovenian State Archives, located in Ljubljana, contains over 50 boxes of the politician’s correspondence with various personalities and associations in Yugoslavia and internationally. The direct correspondence with Vida Mazumdar is the most extensive when it comes to Indian activists, but it is limited to the projects and visits reported in this essay. Another Indian activist she corresponded with is ILO representative Krishna Ahooja Patel.
- ³⁹ ARS, Minutes of the discussion with Ms. Vina Mazumdar, February 21, 1983, box 66, AS 1413.

-
- ⁴⁰ ARS, Beleška o razgovoru sa gdj. Vinom Mazumdar, January 18, 1985, box 50, AS 1413.
- ⁴¹ ARS, Report of the Ministerial Conference of Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries on the Role of Women in Development, held at New Delhi on April 10–11, 1985, box 49, AS 1413.
- ⁴² ARS, Letter to Vina Mazumdar, December 26, 1985, box 66, AS 1413.
- ⁴³ ARS, Letter to Vina Mazumdar, March 7, 1986, box 66, AS 1413.
- ⁴⁴ ARS, Letter to Vida Tomšič, December 15, 1986, box 66, AS 1413.