

Title	Aging in the digital era
Authors	Moret-Tatay, Carmen;Murphy, Mike
Publication date	2019-08-07
Original Citation	Moret-Tatay, C. and Murphy, M. (2019) 'Editorial: Aging in the Digital Era', <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 10(1815). (3pp.) DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01815
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01815/full - 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01815
Rights	©2019 Moret-Tatay and Murphy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. - http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Download date	2025-04-29 14:48:14
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/8556



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh



Editorial: Aging in the Digital Era

Carmen Moret-Tatay^{1*} and Mike Murphy²

¹ Departamento de Metodología, Psicología Básica y Psicología Social, Universidad Católica de Valencia, San Vicente Mártir, Valencia, Spain, ² School of Applied Psychology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

Keywords: digitalization, aging, ICT, cognition, technology

Editorial on the Research Topic

Aging in the Digital Era

Digitization has advanced considerably in the last decade. Devices that a few years ago would have been considered close to science fiction, not to mention economically unattainable, are currently at our disposal, increasing the means through which we can relate with the world. As expected, the ways in which humans interact and use these technologies have attracted considerable attention in the scientific community, which has led to innovations in technology, as well as theories related to changes in technology adoption according to jurisdiction (Ricardo-Barreto et al.), behavior (Mangen and Velay, 2010; Marco and Tormo-Irun), and in the human brain itself (Greenfield, 2015; Lemus-Zúñiga et al., 2015). These developments take place in the context of a rapidly aging society, and where many disciplines have struggled to address the interaction of technology with the aging process in terms of human development, cognition, social support, and emotional skills (Charness and Boot, 2009; Mangen, 2016; Kuzmičová et al., 2018).

Technology, and more precisely, digitization, makes our lives easier, and it may also be transforming our mental processes. If so, it seems imperative to examine the impact of its use on our cognition. Digitization can obviously lead to certain skills getting worse, but it also leads to an improvement of other abilities (Hu et al., 2017; Wilmer et al., 2017; Uncapher and Wagner, 2018). These improvements may not be measured properly in traditional IQ assessment tools. In this way, recently there has been talk of a slowdown or reversal in several countries of the well-known Flynn effect on the growth of the Intellectual Quotient. Some Researchers predict a change arising from the cognitive demands of the use of new devices, as well as changes in such social domains as family, education and work (Bratsberg and Rogeberg, 2018; Flynn and Shayer, 2018; Oliveira et al.).

One variable of interest in reflecting our cognitive architecture is reading and, more precisely, it seems to be a key skill for full digital citizenship. Therefore, internet-based technologies have been of interest for the scientific community, as they make research in this field more accessible (Dufau et al., 2015; Moret-Tatay et al., 2018). Reading is a complex process that is based on the efficiency of lexical and sub-lexical linguistic skills such as orthographic, phonological, semantic, morphological and syntactic knowledge, to decode and understand a text (Wolf and Stoodley, 2008). This process is even more complex when variables such as the type of reading resource are considered. A survey conducted almost a decade ago (Rideout et al., 2010), suggested that those who read in print texts were less likely to perform multiple tasks than when they read digitally; more precisely, reading digitally appeared to encourage divided attention. Likewise, the printed texts allow readers to see and feel the spatial extent and physical dimensions of the text, and the material of the article provides fixed physical, tactile and spatially temporal keys throughout the reading (Mangen, 2010). Despite the emerging preference for digital texts (Singer and Alexander, 2017) the literature seems not to be conclusive on its impact on cognition. For example, there are certain exceptions in relation to these findings—Schneps et al. (2019) found that groups of readers with dyslexia showed a more effective and compressive reading in digital texts.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Carl Senior,
Aston University, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Carmen Moret-Tatay
carmenmorettatay@gmail.com;
mariacarmen.moret@ucv.es

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Cognitive Science,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 28 May 2019

Accepted: 22 July 2019

Published: 07 August 2019

Citation:

Moret-Tatay C and Murphy M (2019)
Editorial: Aging in the Digital Era.
Front. Psychol. 10:1815.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01815

Another case where digitization has a clear impact on the cognition is that of human navigation. In real-world situations, such as navigating a city, there may be more than one route to a destination. The more options to consider, the greater demands placed on the brain regions needed to retrieve the network of possible paths and select the optimal route. This process might be affected by the popular use of positioning systems (such as GPS). The spatial navigation carried out by human beings is fundamental to our independence. Therefore, it is not surprising that deficits in spatial navigation can be among the first symptoms of dementia (Gazova et al., 2012). Studies in the field show that this is related to cognitive aspects such as working memory and attention, and that the skill is plastic—a brief navigation training changes a person's brain tissue and improves the way in which the modified tissue communicates with other areas of the brain involved in navigation. According to the literature (Bocchia et al., 2014), when navigating through the environment, people can use two basic navigation strategies associated with different internal representations of the space. Self-centered navigation is a body-centered strategy that uses distances and indications to or from individual reference points with respect to the subject's body position. Allocentric navigation is a world-centered strategy that uses information about distances and angles between different locations in the environment, regardless of the subject's position, and is the one that experiences the greatest age-related decline. This issue could be a challenge for future generations if positioning systems really have an impact in our sense of orientation.

It is also important to understand the barriers to and facilitators of (e.g., technology-related anxiety and self-efficacy) the adoption of emerging technologies, across the lifespan (Moret-Tatay et al., 2019). Some of these factors are of specific interest in the field of aging (Charness et al.; e.g., Rauschnabel et al., 2015), pointed out that the openness trait might predict the use of ICT. According to Bernabé-Valero et al., some other variables may play a crucial role in adoption—in this study, it was found that intellectual humility moderates the adoption process of mobiles and computers.

REFERENCES

- Bocchia, M., Nemmi, F., and Guariglia, C. (2014). Neuropsychology of environmental navigation in humans: review and meta-analysis of fMRI studies in healthy participants. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 24, 236–251. doi: 10.1007/s11065-014-9247-8
- Bratsberg, B., and Rogeberg, O. (2018). Flynn effect and its reversal are both environmentally caused. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, 6674–6678. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1718793115
- Charness, N., and Boot, W. R. (2009). Aging and information technology use: potential and barriers. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 18, 253–258. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01647.x
- Constant, H. M. R. M., Moret-Tatay, C., Oliveira, M. D. S., Barros, H. M. T., and Ferigolo, M. (2018). CBI-20: psychometric properties for the coping behaviours inventory for alcohol abuse in Brazil. *Front. Psychiatry* 9:585. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2018.00585
- Dufau, S., Grainger, J., Midgley, K. J., and Holcomb, P. J. (2015). A thousand words are worth a picture: snapshots of printed-word processing in an event-related potential megastudy. *Psychol. Sci.* 26, 1887–1897. doi: 10.1177/0956797615603934
- Durbin, A., Sirotych, F., Lunsky, Y., and Durbin, J. (2017). Unmet Needs of Adults in community Mental Health Care with and without intellectual and developmental disabilities: a cross-sectional study. *Community Ment. Health J.* 53, 15–26. doi: 10.1007/s10597-015-9961-6
- Flynn, J. R., and Shayer, M. (2018). IQ decline and Piaget: does the rot start at the top? *Intelligence* 66, 112–121. doi: 10.1016/j.intell.2017.11.010
- Gazova, I., Vlcek, K., Laczó, J., Nedelska, Z., Hyncicova, E., Mokrisova, I., et al. (2012). Spatial navigation—a unique window into physiological and pathological aging. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 4:16. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2012.00016
- Greenfield, S. (2015). *Mind Change: How Digital Technologies Are Leaving Their Mark on Our Brains*. New York, NY: Random House Inc.
- Hu, Y., Long, X., Lyu, H., Zhou, Y., and Chen, J. (2017). Alterations in white matter integrity in young adults with smartphone dependence. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 11:532. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00532
- Kuzmíčová, A., Schilhab, T., and Burke, M. (2018). m-Reading: fiction reading from mobile phones. *Convergence*. doi: 10.1177/1354856518770987

Finally, technology aims to be accessible for everyone. This is one of the biggest challenges in our society—not only for the older population, but also for other groups, such as people with intellectual disabilities. The needs of this group are related to their condition (Durbin et al., 2017), where psychological or functional impairments needs should be addressed. One opportunity in the field is the use of e-health interventions (Constant et al., 2018). However, this issue becomes even more complex when combined with aging. According to Vázquez et al., after a systematic review in the topic, literature underscores the need to guarantee equity in e-health adoption for this population.

As expected, the ways in which humans interact with and use these technologies has attracted considerable attention in the scientific community, which has led to innovations in digital environments, as well as theories related to changes in behavior and in the human brain itself. The current Research Topic aimed to integrate some of the key empirical evidence that has emerged regarding the association between digitization, society and cognition. Most of the research studies included are concerned with the growing presence of digitization in our culture, domains in which it may enhance cognitive skills, and domains in which the scientific literature is not developed enough to substantiate such claims. Future lines of research should aim to examine evidence relating to both, as well as the consequences in such domains as human intelligence. Even if this last objective is not straightforward, we expect this call can serve as a basis, or at least a resource, for those conducting further research in this area. Understanding the mind, in an integrative way, requires both theory and empirical evidence that provide further knowledge regarding its organization and development, including individual differences, and learning at different phases of development or life span.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CM-T and MM conceived of the presented idea and drafted the manuscript.

- Lemus-Zúñiga, L. G., Navarro-Pardo, E., Moret-Tatay, C., and Pocinho, R. (2015). "Serious games for elderly continuous monitoring." in *Data Mining in Clinical Medicine* (New York, NY: Humana Press), 259–267.
- Mangen, A. (2010). Point and click: theoretical and phenomenological reflections on the digitization of early childhood education. *Contemp. Issues Early Childhood* 11, 415–431. doi: 10.2304/ciec.2010.11.4.415
- Mangen, A. (2016). The digitization of literary reading: contributions from empirical research. *Orbis Litterarum* 71, 240–262. doi: 10.1111/oli.12095
- Mangen, A., and Velay, J. L. (2010). Digitizing literacy: reflections on the haptics of writing. *Adv. Haptics* 10:8710. doi: 10.5772/8710
- Moret-Tatay, C., Beneyto-Arrojo, M. J., Gutierrez, E., Boot, W. R., and Charness, N. (2019). A spanish adaptation of the computer and mobile device proficiency questionnaires (CPQ and MDPQ) for older adults. *Front. Psychol.* 10:1165. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01165
- Moret-Tatay, C., Gammann, D., Murphy, M., and Kuzmičová, A. (2018). Just google it: an approach on word frequencies based on online search result. *J. Gen. Psychol.* 145, 170–182. doi: 10.1080/00221309.2018.1459451
- Rauschnabel, P. A., Brem, A., and Ivens, B. S. (2015). Who will buy smart glasses? Empirical results of two pre-market-entry studies on the role of personality in individual awareness and intended adoption of Google Glass wearables. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 49, 635–647. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.003
- Rideout, V. J., Foehr, U. G., and Roberts, D. F. (2010). *Generation M 2: Media in the Lives of 8-to 18-Year-Olds*. Menlo Park, CA: Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation.
- Schneps, M. H., Chen, C., Pomplun, M., Wang, J., Crosby, A. D., and Kent, K. (2019). Pushing the speed of assistive technologies for reading. *Mind Brain Educ.* 13, 14–29. doi: 10.1111/mbe.12180
- Singer, L. M., and Alexander, P. A. (2017). Reading across mediums: effects of reading digital and print texts on comprehension and calibration. *J. Exp. Educ.* 85, 155–172. doi: 10.1080/00220973.2016.1143794
- Uncapher, M. R., and Wagner, A. D. (2018). Minds and brains of media multitaskers: current findings and future directions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, 9889–9896. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1611612115
- Wilmer, H. H., Sherman, L. E., and Chein, J. M. (2017). Smartphones and cognition: a review of research exploring the links between mobile technology habits and cognitive functioning. *Front. Psychol.* 8:605. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00605
- Wolf, M., and Stoodley, C. J. (2008). *Proust and the Squid: The Story and Science of the Reading Brain*. New York, NY: Harper Perennial.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Moret-Tatay and Murphy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.