

Title	Risk and protective factors for psychotic experiences in adolescence: a population-based study
Authors	McMahon, Elaine M.;Corcoran, Paul;Keeley, Helen;Clarke, Mary;Coughlan, Helen;Wasserman, Danuta;Hoven, Christina W.;Carli, Vladimir;Sarchiapone, Marco;Healy, Colm;Cannon, Mary
Publication date	2020-02-06
Original Citation	McMahon, E. M., Corcoran, P., Keeley, H., Clarke, M., Coughlan, H., Wasserman, D., Hoven, C. W., Carli, V., Sarchiapone, M., Healy, C. and Cannon, M. (2020) 'Risk and protective factors for psychotic experiences in adolescence: a population-based study', Psychological Medicine, doi: 10.1017/S0033291719004136
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	10.1017/S0033291719004136
Rights	© The Authors 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press. This article has been published in a revised form in Psychological Medicine https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719004136 This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works.
Download date	2023-03-31 16:06:46
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/9744



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Risk and Protective Factors for Psychotic Experiences in Adolescence: a population

–based study

Elaine M. McMahon¹, Paul Corcoran², Helen Keeley³, Mary Clarke⁴, Helen Coughlan⁵, Danuta Wasserman⁶, Christina W. Hoven⁷, Vladimir Carli⁸, Marco Sarchiapone⁹, Colm Healy¹⁰, Mary Cannon¹⁰.

1. National Suicide Research Foundation, University College Cork, Ireland
2. National Suicide Research Foundation, Cork, Ireland/ School of Public Health, University College Cork, Ireland
3. Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services North Cork, Health Service Executive, Ireland.
4. Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland
5. Department of Psychiatry, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland
6. National Centre for Suicide Research and Prevention of Mental Ill-Health (NASP), Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
7. Dept of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, USA/ Dept of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, USA
8. National Centre for Suicide Research and Prevention of Mental Ill-Health (NASP), Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
9. Department of Medicine and Health Science, University of Molise, Campobasso, Italy/ National Institute for Health, Migration and Poverty, Rome, Italy
10. Department of Psychiatry, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland

Corresponding author:

Elaine McMahon PhD,

National Suicide Research Foundation,

School of Public Health,
4.28 Western Gateway Building,
University College Cork
Cork, Ireland
Email: e.mcmahon@ucc.ie

Word Count: 4297

Abstract

Background

Psychotic experiences (PEs) are reported by a significant minority of adolescents and are associated with the development of psychiatric disorders. The aims of this study were to examine associations between PEs and a range of factors including psychopathology, adversity and lifestyle, and to investigate mediating effects of coping style and parental support on associations between adversity and PEs in a general population adolescent sample.

Method: Cross-sectional data were drawn from the Irish centre of the Saving and Empowering Young Lives in Europe (SEYLE) study. Students completed a self-report questionnaire and 973 adolescents, of whom 522 (53.6%) were boys, participated. Psychotic experiences were assessed using the 7-item Adolescent Psychotic Symptom Screener.

Results: Of the total sample, 81 (8.7%) of the sample were found to be at risk of PEs. In multivariate analysis, associations were found between PEs and number of adverse events reported (OR: 4.48; CI: 1.41-14.25; $p < 0.011$), maladaptive/pathological internet use (OR: 2.70; CI: 1.30-5.58; $p = 0.007$), alcohol intoxication (OR: 2.12; CI: 1.10-4.12; $p = 0.025$) and anxiety symptoms (OR: 4.03; CI: 1.57-10.33; $p = 0.004$). There were small mediating effects of parental supervision, parental support and maladaptive coping on associations between adversity and PEs.

Conclusion:

We have identified potential risk factors for PEs from multiple domains including adversity, mental health and lifestyle factors. The mediating effect of parental support on associations between adversity and PEs suggest that poor family relationships may account for some of this mechanism. These findings can inform the development of interventions for adolescents at risk.

Introduction

Psychotic experiences (PEs) are reported by a significant minority of adolescents, with an estimated prevalence of 7.5% of adolescents in non-clinical populations (Kelleher *et al.*, 2012a). Psychotic experiences are associated with elevated risk of suicidal behaviours (Kelleher *et al.*, 2012b, Yates *et al.*, 2019) risk of development of schizophrenia and other psychiatric disorders in adulthood (Fisher *et al.*, 2013, Healy *et al.*, 2019, Trotta *et al.*, 2019) and of persistently poorer functioning through to early adulthood (Healy *et al.*, 2018). Previous research based on a large community sample of Irish adolescents identified associations between PEs and a range of factors including depression, low self-esteem, low optimism, school misconduct and avoidant coping (Dolphin *et al.*, 2015).

Associations have been reported between PEs and mental disorders including ADHD (Hennig *et al.*, 2017), anxiety and depression (Armando *et al.*, 2010) and substance misuse (Mackie *et al.*, 2011).

Adverse life events, including childhood trauma and victimisation, have also been found to be associated with PEs (Crush *et al.*, 2017, Kelleher *et al.*, 2013). Varese *et al.*, in a meta-analysis of 36 studies, found that childhood adversity was overall associated with an almost threefold increased odds of psychotic symptoms or illness, including associations between psychotic symptoms and sexual abuse, physical abuse, emotional abuse and bullying. In the case of most studies examined, risk increased with each additional adversity (Varese *et al.*, 2012). A dose-response effect of accumulated adversity was also reported by Trauelsen and colleagues who suggest a large shared effect of adversities on risk of psychosis (Trauelsen *et al.*, 2015).

The impact of childhood adversity appears to be dependent on the presence of other genetic or environmental factors (Morgan and Gayer-Anderson, 2016). A review by Williams *et al.* examined psychological mediators of associations between adversity and psychotic symptoms, concluding that there is evidence that associations between childhood adversity and psychosis are mediated by post-traumatic sequelae, affective dysfunction and dysregulation and maladaptive cognitive factors including self-esteem and beliefs about the self and others (Williams *et al.*, 2018).

A small number of community surveys have investigated the correlates of PEs including a wide range of potential predictors but research examining protective factors such as personal resources including coping style has been lacking (Dolphin *et al.*, 2015). Youth at ultra-high risk of psychosis report fewer close friends, less diverse social networks, less perceived social support, poorer relationships with family and friends, and more loneliness than their peers (Robustelli *et al.*, 2017). Greater social support was found to be protective against adolescent psychotic experiences in a longitudinal UK study (Crush *et al.*, 2018). A recent study examining the mediating effect of parent-child relationships on associations between adversity and psychopathology found that parent-child conflict explained almost half the relationship between adversity and persisting externalising problems in adolescence and a fifth of the relationship with persisting internalising problems (Dhondt *et al.*, 2019). As few modifiable protective factors have been identified to date, further investigation of the possible mediating role of family relationships and coping style among non-clinical samples of young people is warranted.

Our objectives were to examine the correlates of PEs in a general population sample of Irish adolescents, including factors from three domains: mental health measures; adverse life events and lifestyle factors; and protective factors including parental support and coping style. A second aim was to examine whether associations between adversity and PEs were mediated by parental support and/or coping style.

Method

Cross-sectional data were drawn from the Irish centre of the Saving and Empowering Young Lives in Europe (SEYLE) study (Wasserman *et al.*, 2010). The SEYLE trial is registered at the German Clinical Trials Registry, number DRKS00000214. Participants were recruited from 168 schools in ten European countries (Austria, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Romania, Slovenia and Spain) and the trial evaluated school-based interventions; mental health awareness,

professional screening and gatekeeper training, for prevention of suicidal behaviour. Schools in the study regions were included if they were public, a minimum of 40 students aged 15 were enrolled and no more than 60% of students were of the same sex. All students in participating classes were included. The study was approved ethically by the European Commission. Ethical approval was also obtained in each participating country, including from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork Teaching Hospitals in Ireland. An independent ethical advisor supervised the implementation of the ongoing project to ensure maximum protection of vulnerable individuals. In Ireland, 24 schools in Counties Cork and Kerry were approached based on random selection and 17 schools took part in the study. Of the 1,602 students invited to participate, 1,112 took part (a response rate of 69%). At 12 month follow up, 973 participated (87.5% of the original sample). Full details of trial methodology, consent procedures, response rates and representativeness of the sample have been reported elsewhere (Carli *et al.*, 2013b). Questions on PEs were included in the study protocol for the Irish SEYLE centre only; therefore, the current analyses were based on the Irish site only. Full information on the study was provided to students and their parents and participation was by assent, with both parents and students given the option to decline to participate.

Data collection

Students were administered a self-report questionnaire in their classroom, which included well-established instruments and several items developed for the SEYLE study (Wasserman *et al.*, 2010). Local teams were uniformly trained in the study procedure. Adherence to study procedures and quality control was monitored through site visits and questionnaires. Data were entered at each site following double data entry procedures.

Measures

Psychotic experiences

Psychotic experiences were assessed in the Irish SEYLE centre only, using the 7-item Adolescent Psychotic Symptom Screener (APSS) (Kelleher *et al.*, 2011). This instrument is comprised of the following items, with possible responses “No, never”, “Maybe” and “Yes, definitely” during past 12 months:

- Have other people ever read your mind?
- Have you had messages sent to you through TV or radio?
- Have you ever felt that you were under the control of some special power?
- Have you ever heard voices or sounds that no one else can hear?
- Have you ever seen things that other people could not see?
- Have you ever felt that you have extra-special powers?
- Have you ever thought that people are following you or spying on you?

The APSS has previously been found to have good sensitivity and specificity for identifying PEs in non-clinical populations (Kelleher *et al* 2011). In line with the APSS validation study findings, for the current study, those scoring 2 or above were categorised as “at risk” of PEs (Kelleher *et al.*, 2011).

Parental Support

Parental support was assessed using the following items from the Global School-Based Pupil Health Survey (GSHS) (WHO, 2009) , with possible responses of “Rarely/Sometimes” and “Often/Always”:

“Parents check if my homework is done”; “Parents know how I spend my free time”; “Parents take time to talk about life”; “Parents help me to make decisions”; “Parents come to see me in a performance/play/sport”; “Parents pay attention to my opinion”

Coping Style

To assess coping style participants were asked whether they frequently used each of the following five strategies when faced with a problem, with responses “Rarely/Sometimes” and “Often/Always”: Learn

as much as possible; Get into fights; Do athletics or aerobic sports; Draw, paint, write or compose;
Talk with a parent, teacher or professional.

Mental Health measures

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) was used to assess emotional and behavioural difficulties. The SDQ is a brief measure of psychopathology which can be self-completed by children aged 11-16 (Goodman *et al.*, 1998). It has been validated in community samples in both developed and developing countries, and has been found to have good internal consistency, content, structural and concurrent validity in a range of ethnic groups (Paalman *et al.*, 2013) and good internal reliability in the Irish SEYLE sample (Carli *et al.*, 2013a). The SDQ consists of 25 statements about the participant's behaviour in the past 6 months, consisting of 5 subscales with 5 items each: Emotional, Conduct, Hyperactivity/inattention, Peer problems, and Pro-social behaviour (Goodman, 2001). A Total Difficulties score is calculated by summing the four symptom sub-scales, with those scoring at the 90th centile or above considered at high probability of psychopathology (Ronning *et al.*, 2004). In this case those scoring above 15 on the SDQ total scale were categorised as having a probable disorder.

Depressive symptoms: Severity of depressive symptoms was measured using the Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) (Beck *et al.*, 1996). Items of this instrument assess specific symptoms of depression experienced over the preceding two weeks. Each question was scored from 0 to 3, indicating the severity of the symptom, with total scores ranging from 0 to 60. Cronbach's alpha in our sample was 0.872, indicating good internal reliability (Carli *et al.*, 2013b). The reliability and validity of the BDI-II have been confirmed in clinical and community samples of adolescents (Byrne *et al.*, 2004, Osman *et al.*, 2004). The BDI-II includes an item measuring loss of libido which was excluded from the SEYLE questionnaire as it is considered inappropriate for adolescents in some cultural settings (Byrne *et al.*, 2004). Participants scoring 14 or higher on the BDI were categorised as having mild, moderate or severe depressive symptoms (Schulte-van Maaren *et al.*, 2013).

Anxiety symptoms: Symptoms of anxiety were assessed using the Zung Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) (Zung, 1971), a 20-item self-report questionnaire. Responses to each item range from 1 to 4 with scores ranging from 20 to 80. Higher scores indicate increased levels of anxiety. Cronbach's alpha in our sample was 0.821, indicating good internal reliability (Carli *et al.*, 2013b). The SAS has been shown to have good reliability and validity in samples of undergraduate students (Olatunji *et al.*, 2006). Participants scoring 45 or higher on the SAS were categorised as having mild, moderate or severe anxiety symptoms (McDowell, 2006).

Adverse Life events

Individual items designed for the SEYLE study assessed a wide range of adverse events including bereavement, victimisation and problems with family and peers. Seven life events experienced in the past 3 months were examined, with possible responses Yes and No: trouble with bullies; theft of personal belongings; lower grades than expected; change of school; serious argument with a friend; minor violation of the law; alcohol or drug use by a family member. Three further life events were assessed for the past 12 months: having been physically attacked, having trouble with parents; and death in the family. The number of adverse events reported by participants from this list of ten was also computed.

Lifestyle Factors

A range of lifestyle factors in the past 12 months with responses Yes and No was assessed using the following questionnaire items:

"Have you used cannabis, hashish or marijuana?";

"Have you smoked cigarettes?";

"Have you drunk so much alcohol that you have been really drunk?";

"Do you play sport on a regular basis?"

“On how many days out of the past 14 days have you accumulated at least 60 minutes of physical activity?”. Responses of 4+ days to this question (two or more days’ activity per week) were categorised as physically active with those reporting less than two days per week categorised as inactive.

The questionnaire also included the item “Do you eat breakfast before school?” with responses “Often” and “Always” categorised as positive and “Rarely” and “Never” categorised as negative.

Pathological Internet use (PIU) was assessed using the Young’s Diagnostic Questionnaire (YDQ) (Young, 1998). The 8-item questionnaire has been found to be a reliable instrument for ascertaining PIU among adolescents (Siomos *et al.*, 2008). The YDQ assesses patterns of Internet usage that result in psychological or social distress. The 8-item score reflects eight of the nine criteria for internet gaming disorder in DSM-5; however, the YDQ allows for the assessment of all online activities. Based on the YDQ total score, internet users were categorized into two groups: adaptive internet users (scoring 0–2); maladaptive/pathological internet users (scoring 3+).

Statistical Analysis

We calculated numbers and percentages of participants reporting each of the risk and protective factors examined. We computed crude odds ratios for membership of the group at risk of psychotic experiences for each variable.

Multivariate logistic regression models were constructed separately for each risk domain; lifestyle, adverse events and emotional/behavioural difficulties, and for the postulated protective factors (parental support and coping style). Correlates for which significant univariate associations with risk of PEs were found were included. The method used was backward with the usage of likelihood ratios. Models were adjusted for age, gender and trial arm.

A final multivariate model was constructed including variables which showed independent associations with risk of PEs in each risk domain examined. The probability for stepwise removal was set at 0.01. A low threshold for removal was set due to the large sample size giving adequate power and the fact that a wide range of variables were included with many statistically significant crude associations. All categorical variables entered in the model were dichotomous.

Based on literature indicating causal associations between adversity and psychopathology, potential mediating effects of parental support and coping factors on associations between adverse events and PEs were examined. Potential social support and coping mediators with significant associations with PEs in multi-variate logistic regression were chosen. Mediation analysis was undertaken in line with Baron and Kenny's recommendations (Baron and Kenny, 1986). Logistic regression was used to investigate whether number of adverse events reported predicted PEs (Table 3). Logistic regression was used to investigate whether adverse events predicted mediators: in all cases the predicted mediators were associated with reported adverse events. Logistic regression was used to investigate the association between mediators and PEs (Table 5). The Karlson, Holm and Breen method (Kohler *et al.*, 2011), which allows comparison of estimated coefficients of two nested non-linear probability models, was used in Stata 12 to decompose the effects of the mediators in this logistic regression model.

Missing data ranged from 2% and 12% on included variables. Cases with missing data on the relevant variables were excluded from the analysis.

Results

The study questionnaire was completed by 973 adolescents of whom 522 (53.6%) were male and 437 (45.0%) were female. Gender was not recorded for 14 individuals (1.4%). The mean age was 14.73 years (Table 1).

Of the total sample, 114 participants (10.25%) answered “Yes, definitely” to at least one of the items of the APSS (Supplementary table). Those scoring 2 or above were categorised at risk of PEs, with 81 (8.7%) of the sample considered at-risk.

Parental Support and Coping Style

In univariate analysis, factors relating to parental support and supervision were associated with lower incidence of PEs (Table 2). These included parents knowing how adolescents spent their free time (OR 0.29; CI 0.18-0.46), parents helping with decision-making (OR 0.34; CI 0.12-0.57) and parents understanding problems (OR 0.37; CI 0.23-0.59).

Coping style was also associated with risk of PEs, with those reporting that they get into fights when faced with problems having elevated incidence of PEs (OR 3.65; CI 2.20-6.04) (Table 2).

Adverse Life Events

Of the adverse events examined, the highest odds ratios for PEs were among those reporting having been the victim of theft (OR 6.90; CI 3.50-13.61), having changed school (OR 6.10; 2.35-15.68) or having been physically attacked (OR 5.94; CI 3.40-10.40) (Table 3).

Lifestyle Factors

Several lifestyle factors were also associated with PEs, including maladaptive or pathological internet use (OR 5.92; CI 3.47-10.11), alcohol intoxication (OR 3.99; CI 2.50-6.38), cigarette smoking (OR 3.61; CI 2.24-5.80) and having used cannabis (OR 3.52; CI 1.84-6.71) (Table 3). Frequent physical activity did not have a significant association with PEs.

Mental Health Measures

There were significant differences between the groups with and without PEs in terms of all three mental health measures (Table 3).

A comparison of Total SDQ scores found that those in the PE group were over 8 times more likely to have psychopathological difficulties (OR 8.85; CI .35-14.71). They also had a 7-fold increase in the odds of experiencing symptoms of depression based on their BDI II scores (OR 7.05; CI 4.20-11.82) and an over 12-fold increase in the odds of experiencing anxiety as assessed by the Zung SAS (OR 12.52; CI 6.50-24.13).

Multivariate Analysis

A multivariate logistic regression model was constructed including SDQ Score, BDI score and Zung SAS score, each of which had significant crude associations with risk of psychotic experiences. All three factors remained significant in multivariate analyses.

A further model was constructed including the ten adverse events which all had significant crude associations with risk of PEs, as well as a variable for the number of these events reported. Number of adverse events but not any specific event examined remained significant in the model.

Finally, a model was constructed including the significant lifestyle correlates of risk of PEs. The following factors had significant associations in the multivariate analysis: alcohol intoxication and maladaptive/pathological internet use. In the multi-variate model for the coping style and parental support factors, two of the parental factors were significant (parents know how free time is spent and parents help with decision making) while four of the five coping variables were significant (Coping through: Talk to someone; draw, write or paint; get into fights; engage in athletics/sport).

The variables with significant associations within each risk domain were entered into a final model. Two lifestyle factors were associated with PEs in the final model, maladaptive/pathological internet use (OR: 2.70; CI 1.30-5.58; p=0.007) and alcohol intoxication (OR: 2.12; CI: 1.10-4.12) (Table 4). The number of adverse life events also remained a significant predictor of risk of PEs, with greater number of events reported associated with increased odds of PEs. Those reporting one adverse

event had an over 4-fold increased odds of PEs compared with a reference group reporting none (OR: 4.48; CI: 1.41-14.25; p=0.011).

Of the mental health factors examined, only Zung anxiety score was associated with PEs in the final model (OR: 4.03; CI 1.57-10.33; p=0.004).

Mediation analysis

Multivariate path-decomposition was used to examine mediation effects of potential protective factors on associations between number of adverse events reported and PEs, adjusted for gender and trial arm. Mediators from parental support and coping style domains were selected following multivariate logistic regression analyses including all variables from these domains. Parental support (parents help with decision-making) significantly mediated the relationship between adversity and PEs (indirect OR: 1.06; CI: 1.01-1.12; 8.74% mediation) as did parental supervision (parents know how free time is spent (indirect OR: 1.07 CI: 1.01-1.14; 9.31% mediation). Of the Coping style variables, only one had a significant mediating effect; responding to problems by getting into fights (indirect OR: 1.08; CI 1.12-1.14; 9.77% mediation). The direct pathway remained significant for the remaining coping style variables, while the indirect pathway did not: talking to a parent, teacher or professional (direct OR 2.07; CI 1.71-2.50); drawing, painting, writing or composing (direct OR 2.12; CI 1.75-2.57); and engaging in athletics/sport (direct OR 2.12; CI 1.74-2.57).

Discussion

In this study we examined a range of potential risk and protective factors for PEs among a large community sample of adolescents. Fewer than one in ten participants met criteria for risk of PEs. A wide range of factors from adverse life event, lifestyle and mental health domains had crude

associations with PEs, while parental support was associated with a lower incidence of PEs. In multivariate analysis, independent associations between PEs and the number of adverse events experienced as well as maladaptive or pathological internet use, alcohol intoxication and anxiety symptoms were observed. Maladaptive coping through getting into fights, parental support and parental supervision had small mediating effects on of the relationship between adverse events and PEs.

We found significant associations between PEs and higher levels of depressive symptoms, anxiety and higher scores on the SDQ, which is in keeping with previous research on comorbidity between PEs and other psychopathology (Armando *et al.*, 2010). A previous longitudinal study found that many risk factors are shared between depression and psychosis, including childhood adversity (Niarchou *et al.*, 2015). It may be the case that adversity and negative life events are associated with a range of negative mental health and other outcomes with both non-specific and specific effects, with the activation of stress responses leading to general effects on processes involved in a range of outcomes (Morgan and Gayer-Anderson, 2016). Our findings of a dose-response relationship between the accumulation of adverse events and increasing risk of PEs is in keeping with large scale international research (McGrath *et al.*, 2017).

We also found strong associations between some lifestyle factors and PEs, including maladaptive or pathological internet use. These findings build on previous research arising from the SEYLE study which identified significant associations between pathological internet use and suicidal behaviours, depression, anxiety, conduct problems and hyperactivity/inattention (Kaess *et al.*, 2014). The findings of the strong associations between maladaptive/pathological internet use and PEs in multivariate analysis adds to growing research which has identified a close link between pathological internet use and PEs (Mittal *et al.*, 2013, Pelletier-Baldelli *et al.*, 2015). It may be that the characteristics of individuals experiencing PEs render this group particularly susceptible to problematic internet use, in particular interpersonal deficits, social withdrawal or impulsivity (Mittal *et al.*, 2013).

Despite the clear potential benefits of identifying protective factors which can mitigate the effects of vulnerability to psychosis, few protective factors have been identified to date. Large scale longitudinal studies have reported that involvement in sport (Keskinen *et al.*, 2016) and relatively high levels of physical activity (Crush *et al.*, 2018) in childhood were protective against psychotic symptoms in general population samples. We examined physical activity and found that, although there were significant univariate associations between sport and PEs, these did not remain after adjustment for other factors.

A novel aspect of this study is the focus on the potential mediating roles of both parental support and parental supervision. Previous research has found that trauma in childhood was associated both with psychotic symptomatology and poor parenting style in childhood (Catalan *et al.*, 2017), and has identified poorer family communication and social support in families of young people with a first psychotic episode (Otero *et al.*, 2011) or at high risk of psychosis (Pruessner *et al.*, 2011, Shi *et al.*, 2016). Our focus on adolescents with early indicators of potential risk of psychosis points to opportunities for early intervention, and in particular may provide support for family-based interventions (Falloon, 2003). Our finding that parental support and supervision both have a small but significant mediating effect on the relationship between adversity and PEs provide some support for the suggestion that parent-child relationships are a translating mechanism between adversity and adolescent psychopathology (Dhondt *et al.*, 2019). As greater levels of social support predict willingness to seek help for mental ill-health (Sheffield *et al.*, 2004), interventions that promote family support can also have a positive impact on mental health through developing recognition of the need for the individual to seek help and the importance of parental intervention and support during times of significant distress. Recent research examining early risk and protective factors among young people with a history of PEs reported that those who experience multiple early adversities, childhood trauma and insecure attachment relationships were at highest risk for reoccurring PEs and poor young adult outcomes (Coughlan *et al.*, 2019).

A further potential mediating factor of associations between adversity and PEs which we examined was coping style. A mediating effect of maladaptive coping through getting into fights was found. Previous studies have concluded that coping style, in particular emotion-oriented coping, may mediate the relationship between sub-clinical psychotic experiences and psychosocial functioning among non-clinical adolescent samples (Chisholm *et al.*, 2018, Lin *et al.*, 2011). Associations between high levels of avoidant coping and PEs or risk of psychosis have also been reported (Dolphin *et al.*, 2015, Jalbrzikowski *et al.*, 2014), while it has also been reported that relationships between both traumatic events and perceived stress were mediated by maladaptive coping (Ered *et al.*, 2017). Further research is needed to examine the potential mechanisms through which coping style may impact risk of PEs. As interventions promoting positive coping in adolescents are available, this finding highlights the importance of incorporating such resilience-promoting programmes into mental health interventions for adolescents.

Strengths and Limitations

The cross-sectional design of this study meant that the causal impact of risk and protective factors on subsequent development of PEs was not examined. It is only possible to speculate on the mechanisms by which mediating factors affect the outcome examined. In addition, the limited number of survey items assessing resilience factors limit our understanding of potential protective effects. While we have examined a broad range of adversity-related factors, the list of adverse events examined was not exhaustive. Aspects of parent-child relationships which were examined as mediators may be included in the adverse events examined, as this included trouble with parents. As this study relied on self-reported data, there may have been biases which led to over or under-reporting of mental ill-health and its correlates.

The strengths of this study include the validated measure of PEs, the large, nationally-representative sample and the inclusion of a wide range of potential risk and protective factors. In particular, the inclusion of scales assessing parental support and coping style allow for a novel examination of the

potential mediating role of these factors, while also addressing the relationship between PEs and many established risk factors.

Having examined a wide range of established risk factors for PEs among adolescents, we have identified important associations with adversity, pathological internet use, alcohol intoxication and anxiety symptoms. We have also identified the potential benefits of parental support as a buffer against the development of PEs among young people at risk, through the experience of trauma or stress, which is promising in the context of the dearth of evidence for protective factors. These findings can inform the development of optimal interventions for adolescents at risk of psychosis and their families.

Table 1. Characteristics of study sample (n=973)

Mean Age	14.73 [SD 0.68]
Male Gender	522 (53.6%)
Living with both parents	811 (83.4%)
Born abroad	173 (17.8%)
Have a chronic illness	205 (21.1%)
Good overall health	866 (89.1%)
Parents have financial difficulties	95 (10.2%)

Table 2. Associations between PEs and parental support and coping style.

	No significant psychotic experiences (score <2 on APSS) n=847		At-risk of psychotic experiences (score 2-7 on APSS) n=81		OR (95% CI)	p-value
	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Parental Support						
Parents check if homework done	317 (38.1%)	514 (61.9%)	24 (30.4%)	55 (69.6%)	0.71 (0.43-1.17)	0.173
Parents understand problems	532 (64.6%)	292 (35.4%)	32 (40.0%)	48 (60.0%)	0.37 (0.23-0.59)	<0.0005
Parents know spending of free time	603 (72.9%)	224 (27.1%)	35 (43.8%)	45 (56.3%)	0.29 (0.18-0.46)	<0.0005
Parents help making decisions	414 (50.2%)	410 (49.8%)	20 (25.3%)	59 (74.7%)	0.34 (0.12-0.57)	<0.0005
Parents take time to talk about life	354 (43.1%)	468 (56.9%)	27 (34.6%)	51 (65.4%)	0.70 (0.43-1.14)	0.15
Parents see performance, play or sport	531 (64.7%)	290 (35.3%)	36 (46.8%)	41 (53.2%)	0.48 (0.30-0.77)	0.002
Parents pay attention to opinion	458 (55.7%)	364 (44.3%)	34 (43.6%)	44 (56.4%)	0.61 (0.39-0.98)	0.04
Coping Style: Response when faced with a problem						
Learn as much as possible	649 (82.8%)	135 (17.2%)	61 (85.9%)	10 (14.1%)	1.27 (0.63-2.54)	0.500
Get into fights	170 (21.5%)	620 (78.5%)	34 (50.0%)	34 (50.0%)	3.65 (2.20-6.04)	<0.0005
Athletics or aerobic sports	593 (75.5%)	192 (24.5%)	41 (60.3%)	27 (39.7%)	0.50 (0.30-0.82)	0.006
Draw, paint, write, compose	369 (46.9%)	417 (53.1%)	42 (61.8%)	26 (38.2%)	1.83 (1.10-3.04)	0.019
Talk with parent, teacher, professional	645 (82.7%)	135 (17.3%)	47 (67.1%)	23 (32.9%)	0.43 (0.25-0.73)	0.001

Table 3. Associations between PEs and risk factors from adverse event, lifestyle and mental health domains

	No significant psychotic experiences (score <2 on APSS) n=847	At-risk of psychotic experiences (score 2-7 on APSS) n=81	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Adverse life events				
Trouble with bullies	26 (3.1%)	7 (8.6%)	2.99 (1.25-7.11)	0.010
Theft of personal belongings	27 (3.2%)	15 (18.5%)	6.90 (3.50-13.61)	<0.0005
Physically attacked	53 (6.5%)	23 (29.1%)	5.94 (3.40-10.40)	<0.0005
Lower grades than expected	244 (28.8%)	42 (51.9%)	2.66 (1.68-4.22)	<0.0005
Change of school	13 (1.5%)	7 (8.6%)	6.10 (2.35-15.68)	<0.0005
Serious argument with close friend	107 (12.6%)	28 (34.6%)	3.65 (2.21-6.03)	<0.0005
Minor violation of the law	61 (7.2%)	21 (25.9%)	4.51 (2.57-7.90)	<0.0005
Trouble with parents	121 (14.3%)	27 (33.3%)	3.00 (1.82-4.95)	<0.0005
Alcohol or drug use by a family member	21 (2.5%)	9 (11.1%)	4.92 (2.17-11.13)	<0.0005
Death in the family	199 (23.6%)	32 (41.6%)	2.31 (1.43-3.73)	<0.0005
Mean number of life events reported	1.05	2.64		<0.0005
Lifestyle factors				
Cannabis use	49 (5.9%)	14 (18.2%)	3.52 (1.84-6.71)	<0.0005
Cigarette smoking	163 (19.6%)	37 (46.8%)	3.61 (2.24-5.80)	<0.0005
Alcohol intoxication	205 (24.4%)	45 (56.3%)	3.99 (2.50-6.38)	<0.0005
No regular sport participation	170 (20.4%)	28 (35.0%)	2.08 (1.28-3.45)	0.002
Physically Inactive (<2 days activity per week)	137 (18.5%)	18 (27.3%)	1.65 (0.93-2.93)	0.083
Maladaptive/Pathological Internet Use	81 (10.6%)	28 (41.2%)	5.92 (3.47-10.11)	<0.0005
Eats breakfast before school: Rarely/Never	141 (17.7%)	30 (37.5%)	3.03 (1.82-4.76)	<0.0005
Mental Health Measures				
SDQ Total Difficulties score 16+	68 (8.0%)	35 (43.8%)	8.85 (5.35-14.71)	<0.0005
BDI Depression Score 14+	66 (7.8%)	30 (37.5%)	7.05 (4.20-11.82)	<0.0005
Zung SAS Anxiety Score 45+	25 (3.2%)	20 (29.4%)	12.52 (6.50-24.13)	<0.0005

Table 4. Multi-variate logistic regression model for risk of PEs (n=749; model adjusted for age, gender and trial arm)

		Odds Ratio	95% C.I. for OR		p-value
			Lower	Upper	
Internet Use (Young's Diagnostic Questionnaire)	Adaptive internet use (score 0-2)	1.00	Ref	Ref	NA
	Maladaptive / Pathological internet use (score 3+)	2.70	1.30	5.58	0.007
No. of Adverse Life Events reported	None	1.00	Ref	Ref	NA
	One	4.48	1.41	14.25	0.011
	Two	6.81	1.98	23.46	0.002
	Three	7.42	2.11	26.11	0.002
	Four or more	16.81	5.05	55.94	<0.0005
Zung SAS Anxiety Score	Minimal anxiety symptoms (0-44)	1.00	Ref	Ref	NA
	Mild/ moderate or severe anxiety symptoms (45+)	4.03	1.57	10.33	0.004
Alcohol Intoxication	No intoxication in past 12 months	1.00	Ref	Ref	NA
	Intoxicated at least once in past 12 months	2.12	1.10	4.12	0.025

Table 5. Pathway decomposition for mediators in relationship between adverse events and PEs (adjusted for gender and trial arm)

Mediators	Indirect Odds Ratio*	Direct Odds Ratio*	Percentage Mediation*
Parental Supervision: Parents know how free time is spent (n=888)	1.07 (1.01-1.14)	1.88 (1.56-2.26)	9.31
Parental Support: Parents help with decision making (n=886)	1.06 (1.01-1.12)	1.92 (1.60-2.29)	8.74
Coping Style: Get into Fights (n=844)	1.08 (1.12-1.14)	1.96 (1.61-2.39)	9.77
Coping Style: Talk to someone (Parent, teacher, professional) (n=836)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	2.07 (1.71-2.50)	3.24
Coping Style: Draw, Paint, Write, Compose (n=841)	1.02 (0.99-1.04)	2.12 (1.75-2.57)	2.31
Coping Style: Engage in athletics/sport (n=840)	1.01 (0.99-1.04)	2.12 (1.74-2.57)	1.60

*Statistics in bold are significant at the p<0.05 level

Acknowledgements

The Project Leader and Coordinator of the SEYLE project is Professor Danuta Wasserman, Karolinska Institute (KI), Head of the National Centre for Suicide Research and Prevention of Mental Ill-Health and Suicide (NASP), at KI, Sweden. Other members of the Executive Committee are Senior Lecturer Vladimir Carli, Karolinska Institute, Sweden; Professor Christina W. Hoven, New York State Psychiatric Institute and Columbia University, USA; Anthropologist Camilla Wasserman, New York State Psychiatric Institute, USA and Columbia University, NYC, USA; ,and Professor Marco Sarchiapone, University of Molise, Italy. Site leaders for each SEYLE centre are: Danuta Wasserman (Karolinska Institute, Sweden, Coordinating Centre), Christian Haring (University for Medical Information Technology, Austria), Airi Varnik (Estonian Swedish Mental Health & Suicidology Institute, Estonia), Jean-Pierre Kahn (University of Lorraine, France), Romuald Brunner (University of Heidelberg, Germany), Judit Balazs (Vadaskert Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Hospital, Hungary), Paul Corcoran (National Suicide Research Foundation, Ireland), Alan Apter (Tel-Aviv University, Israel), Marco Sarchiapone (University of Molise, Italy), Doina Cosman (Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania), Vita Postuvan (University of Primorska, Slovenia) and Julio Bobes (University of Oviedo, Spain).

Conflict of interest

None.

Financial Support

The SEYLE project is supported through Coordination Theme 1 (Health) of the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7), Grant agreement nr HEALTH-F2-2009-223091.

Elaine McMahon was supported by the Health Research Board Ireland (ICE/2012/11).

Ethical Standards

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

References

- Armando, M., Nelson, B., Yung, A. R., Ross, M., Birchwood, M., Girardi, P. & Fiori Nastro, P.** (2010). Psychotic-like experiences and correlation with distress and depressive symptoms in a community sample of adolescents and young adults. *Schizophr Res* **119**, 258-65.
- Baron, R. M. & Kenny, D. A.** (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **51**, 1173-1182.
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., Ball, R. & Ranieri, W.** (1996). Comparison of Beck Depression Inventories -IA and -II in psychiatric outpatients. *J Pers Assess* **67**, 588-97.
- Byrne, B. M., Stewart, S. M. & Lee, P. W.** (2004). Validating the beck depression inventory-II for Hong Kong community adolescents. *International Journal of Testing* **4**, 199-216.
- Carli, V., Wasserman, C., Wasserman, D., Sarchiapone, M., Apter, A., Balazs, J., Bobes, J., Brunner, R., Corcoran, P., Cosman, D., Guillemin, F., Haring, C., Kaess, M., Kahn, J. P., Keeley, H., Keresztesy, A., Iosue, M., Mars, U., Musa, G., Nemes, B., Postuvan, V., Reiter-Theil, S., Saiz, P., Varnik, P., Varnik, A. & Hoven, C. W.** (2013a). The saving and empowering young lives in Europe (SEYLE) randomized controlled trial (RCT): methodological issues and participant characteristics. *BMC Public Health* **13**, 479.
- Carli, V., Wasserman, C., Wasserman, D., Sarchiapone, M., Apter, A., Balazs, J., Bobes, J., Brunner, R., Corcoran, P., Cosman, D., Guillemin, F., Haring, C., Kaess, M., Kahn, J. P., Keeley, H., Keresztesy, A., Iosue, M., Mars, U., Musa, G., Nemes, B., Postuvan, V., Reiter-Theil, S., Saiz, P., Varnik, P., Varnik, A. & Hoven, C. W.** (2013b). The saving and empowering young lives in Europe (SEYLE) randomized controlled trial (RCT): methodological issues and participant characteristics. *BMC Public Health* **13**, 479.
- Catalan, A., Angosto, V., Diaz, A., Valverde, C., de Artaza, M. G., Sesma, E., Maruottolo, C., Galletero, I., Bustamante, S., Bilbao, A., van Os, J. & Gonzalez-Torres, M. A.** (2017). Relation between psychotic symptoms, parental care and childhood trauma in severe mental disorders. *Psychiatry Res* **251**, 78-84.
- Chisholm, K. E., Wigman, J. T. W., Hallett, D., Woodall, T., Mahfouda, S., Reniers, R., Killackey, E., Yung, A. R., Wood, S. J. & Lin, A.** (2018). The role of coping in the association between subclinical psychotic experiences and functioning: A within study replication in two independent adolescent samples. *Schizophr Res*.
- Coughlan, H., Healy, C., Ni Sheaghda, A., Murray, G., Humphries, N., Clarke, M. & Cannon, M.** (2019). Early risk and protective factors and young adult outcomes in a longitudinal sample of young people with a history of psychotic-like experiences. *Early Interv Psychiatry*.
- Crush, E., Arseneault, L., Jaffee, S. R., Danese, A. & Fisher, H. L.** (2017). Protective Factors for Psychotic Symptoms Among Poly-victimized Children. *Schizophr Bull*.
- Crush, E., Arseneault, L., Moffitt, T. E., Danese, A., Caspi, A., Jaffee, S. R., Matthews, T. & Fisher, H. L.** (2018). Protective factors for psychotic experiences amongst adolescents exposed to multiple forms of victimization. *J Psychiatr Res* **104**, 32-38.
- Dhondt, N., Healy, C., Clarke, M. & Cannon, M.** (2019). Childhood adversity and adolescent psychopathology: evidence for mediation in a national longitudinal cohort study. *Br J Psychiatry* **215**, 559-564.
- Dolphin, L., Dooley, B. & Fitzgerald, A.** (2015). Prevalence and correlates of psychotic like experiences in a nationally representative community sample of adolescents in Ireland. *Schizophr Res* **169**, 241-247.
- Ered, A., Gibson, L. E., Maxwell, S. D., Cooper, S. & Ellman, L. M.** (2017). Coping as a mediator of stress and psychotic-like experiences. *Eur Psychiatry* **43**, 9-13.
- Falloon, I. R.** (2003). Family interventions for mental disorders: efficacy and effectiveness. *World Psychiatry* **2**, 20-8.

- Fisher, H. L., Caspi, A., Poulton, R., Meier, M. H., Houts, R., Harrington, H., Arseneault, L. & Moffitt, T. E.** (2013). Specificity of childhood psychotic symptoms for predicting schizophrenia by 38 years of age: a birth cohort study. *Psychol Med* **43**, 2077-86.
- Goodman, R.** (2001). Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* **40**, 1337-45.
- Goodman, R., Meltzer, H. & Bailey, V.** (1998). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a pilot study on the validity of the self-report version. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* **7**, 125-30.
- Healy, C., Brannigan, R., Dooley, N., Coughlan, H., Clarke, M., Kelleher, I. & Cannon, M.** (2019). Childhood and adolescent psychotic experiences and risk of mental disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* **49**, 1589-1599.
- Healy, C., Campbell, D., Coughlan, H., Clarke, M., Kelleher, I. & Cannon, M.** (2018). Childhood psychotic experiences are associated with poorer global functioning throughout adolescence and into early adulthood. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **138**, 26-34.
- Hennig, T., Jaya, E. S. & Lincoln, T. M.** (2017). Bullying Mediates Between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Childhood and Psychotic Experiences in Early Adolescence. *Schizophr Bull* **43**, 1036-1044.
- Jalbrzikowski, M., Sugar, C. A., Zinberg, J., Bachman, P., Cannon, T. D. & Bearden, C. E.** (2014). Coping styles of individuals at clinical high risk for developing psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry* **8**, 68-76.
- Kaess, M., Durkee, T., Brunner, R., Carli, V., Parzer, P., Wasserman, C., Sarchiapone, M., Hoven, C., Apter, A., Balazs, J., Balint, M., Bobes, J., Cohen, R., Cosman, D., Cotter, P., Fischer, G., Floderus, B., Iosue, M., Haring, C., Kahn, J. P., Musa, G. J., Nemes, B., Postuvan, V., Resch, F., Saiz, P. A., Sisask, M., Snir, A., Varnik, A., Ziberna, J. & Wasserman, D.** (2014). Pathological Internet use among European adolescents: psychopathology and self-destructive behaviours. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* **23**, 1093-102.
- Kelleher, I., Connor, D., Clarke, M. C., Devlin, N., Harley, M. & Cannon, M.** (2012a). Prevalence of psychotic symptoms in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Psychol Med* **42**, 1857-63.
- Kelleher, I., Harley, M., Murtagh, A. & Cannon, M.** (2011). Are screening instruments valid for psychotic-like experiences? A validation study of screening questions for psychotic-like experiences using in-depth clinical interview. *Schizophr Bull* **37**, 362-9.
- Kelleher, I., Keeley, H., Corcoran, P., Ramsay, H., Wasserman, C., Carli, V., Sarchiapone, M., Hoven, C., Wasserman, D. & Cannon, M.** (2013). Childhood Trauma and Psychosis in a Prospective Cohort Study: Cause, Effect, and Directionality. *Am J Psychiatry*.
- Kelleher, I., Lynch, F., Harley, M., Molloy, C., Roddy, S., Fitzpatrick, C. & Cannon, M.** (2012b). Psychotic symptoms in adolescence index risk for suicidal behavior: findings from 2 population-based case-control clinical interview studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **69**, 1277-83.
- Keskinen, E., Marttila, R., Koivumaa-Honkanen, H., Moilanen, K., Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi, S., Timonen, M., Isohanni, M., McGrath, J., Miettunen, J. & Jaaskelainen, E.** (2016). Search for protective factors for psychosis - a population-based sample with special interest in unaffected individuals with parental psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry*.
- Kohler, U., Karlson, K. & Holm, A.** (2011). Comparing coefficients of nested nonlinear probability models. *Stata J* **11**, 420-438.
- Lin, A., Wigman, J. T., Nelson, B., Vollebergh, W. A., van Os, J., Baksheev, G., Ryan, J., Raaijmakers, Q. A., Thompson, A. & Yung, A. R.** (2011). The relationship between coping and subclinical psychotic experiences in adolescents from the general population--a longitudinal study. *Psychol Med* **41**, 2535-46.
- Mackie, C. J., Castellanos-Ryan, N. & Conrod, P. J.** (2011). Developmental trajectories of psychotic-like experiences across adolescence: impact of victimization and substance use. *Psychol Med* **41**, 47-58.
- McDowell, I.** (2006). *Measuring health: A guide to rating scales and questionnaires (3rd edn)*.

Press. Oxford University: Oxford.

- McGrath, J. J., Saha, S., Lim, C. C. W., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Andrade, L. H., Bromet, E. J., Bruffaerts, R., Caldas de Almeida, J. M., Cardoso, G., de Girolamo, G., Fayyad, J., Florescu, S., Gureje, O., Haro, J. M., Kawakami, N., Koenen, K. C., Kovess-Masfety, V., Lee, S., Lepine, J. P., McLaughlin, K. A., Medina-Mora, M. E., Navarro-Mateu, F., Ojagbemi, A., Posada-Villa, J., Sampson, N., Scott, K. M., Tachimori, H., Ten Have, M., Kendler, K. S., Kessler, R. C. & Collaborators, W. H. O. W. M. H. S.** (2017). Trauma and psychotic experiences: transnational data from the World Mental Health Survey. *Br J Psychiatry* **211**, 373-380.
- Mittal, V. A., Dean, D. J. & Pelletier, A.** (2013). Internet addiction, reality substitution and longitudinal changes in psychotic-like experiences in young adults. *Early Interv Psychiatry* **7**, 261-9.
- Morgan, C. & Gayer-Anderson, C.** (2016). Childhood adversities and psychosis: evidence, challenges, implications. *World Psychiatry* **15**, 93-102.
- Niarchou, M., Zammit, S. & Lewis, G.** (2015). The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) birth cohort as a resource for studying psychopathology in childhood and adolescence: a summary of findings for depression and psychosis. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* **50**, 1017-27.
- Olatunji, B. O., Deacon, B. J., Abramowitz, J. S. & Tolin, D. F.** (2006). Dimensionality of somatic complaints: factor structure and psychometric properties of the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale. *Journal of anxiety disorders* **20**, 543-561.
- Osman, A., Kopper, B. A., Barrios, F., Gutierrez, P. M. & Bagge, C. L.** (2004). Reliability and validity of the Beck depression inventory--II with adolescent psychiatric inpatients. *Psychological assessment* **16**, 120.
- Otero, S., Moreno-Iniguez, M., Paya, B., Castro-Fornieles, J., Gonzalez-Pinto, A., Baeza, I., Mayoral, M., Graell, M. & Arango-Lopez, C.** (2011). Twelve-month follow-up of family communication and psychopathology in children and adolescents with a first psychotic episode (CAFEPS study). *Psychiatry Res* **185**, 72-7.
- Paalman, C. H., Terwee, C. B., Jansma, E. P. & Jansen, L. M.** (2013). Instruments measuring externalizing mental health problems in immigrant ethnic minority youths: a systematic review of measurement properties. *PLoS One* **8**, e63109.
- Pelletier-Baldelli, A., Ives, L. & Mittal, V. A.** (2015). Increased Internet Use and Poorer Ability to Manage Emotions in Youth at High-Risk for Psychosis. *Schizophr Res Cogn* **2**, 220-226.
- Pruessner, M., Iyer, S. N., Faridi, K., Joobar, R. & Malla, A. K.** (2011). Stress and protective factors in individuals at ultra-high risk for psychosis, first episode psychosis and healthy controls. *Schizophr Res* **129**, 29-35.
- Robustelli, B. L., Newberry, R. E., Whisman, M. A. & Mittal, V. A.** (2017). Social relationships in young adults at ultra high risk for psychosis. *Psychiatry Res* **247**, 345-351.
- Ronning, J. A., Handegaard, B. H., Sourander, A. & Morch, W. T.** (2004). The Strengths and Difficulties Self-Report Questionnaire as a screening instrument in Norwegian community samples. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* **13**, 73-82.
- Schulte-van Maaren, Y. W., Carlier, I. V., Zitman, F. G., van Hemert, A. M., de Waal, M. W., van der Does, A. J., van Noorden, M. S. & Giltay, E. J.** (2013). Reference values for major depression questionnaires: the Leiden Routine Outcome Monitoring Study. *J Affect Disord* **149**, 342-9.
- Sheffield, J. K., Fiorenza, E. & Sofronoff, K.** (2004). Adolescents' willingness to seek psychological help: promoting and preventing factors. *J Youth Adolesc* **33**, 495-507.
- Shi, J., Wang, L., Yao, Y., Chen, F., Su, N., Zhao, X. & Zhan, C.** (2016). Protective factors in Chinese university students at clinical high risk for psychosis. *Psychiatry Res* **239**, 239-44.
- Siomos, K. E., Dafouli, E. D., Braimiotis, D. A., Mouzas, O. D. & Angelopoulos, N. V.** (2008). Internet addiction among Greek adolescent students. *Cyberpsychol Behav* **11**, 653-657.
- Trauelson, A. M., Bendall, S., Jansen, J. E., Nielsen, H. G., Pedersen, M. B., Trier, C. H., Haahr, U. H. & Simonsen, E.** (2015). Childhood adversity specificity and dose-response effect in non-affective first-episode psychosis. *Schizophr Res* **165**, 52-9.

- Trotta, A., Arseneault, L., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E., Danese, A., Pariante, C. & Fisher, H. L.** (2019). Mental Health and Functional Outcomes in Young Adulthood of Children With Psychotic Symptoms: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. *Schizophr Bull*.
- Varese, F., Smeets, F., Drukker, M., Lieverse, R., Lataster, T., Viechtbauer, W., Read, J., van Os, J. & Bental, R. P.** (2012). Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophr Bull* **38**, 661-71.
- Wasserman, D., Carli, V., Wasserman, C., Apter, A., Balazs, J., Bobes, J., Bracale, R., Brunner, R., Bursztein-Lipsicas, C., Corcoran, P., Cosman, D., Durkee, T., Feldman, D., Gadoros, J., Guillemin, F., Haring, C., Kahn, J. P., Kaess, M., Keeley, H., Marusic, D., Nemes, B., Postuvan, V., Reiter-Theil, S., Resch, F., Saiz, P., Sarchiapone, M., Sisask, M., Varnik, A. & Hoven, C. W.** (2010). Saving and empowering young lives in Europe (SEYLE): a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health* **10**, 192.
- WHO** (2009). Global School-Based Student Health Survey. Available at: <http://www.who.int/chp/gshs/en/>. Geneva.
- Williams, J., Bucci, S., Berry, K. & Varese, F.** (2018). Psychological mediators of the association between childhood adversities and psychosis: A systematic review. *Clin Psychol Rev* **65**, 175-196.
- Yates, K., Lang, U., Cederlof, M., Boland, F., Taylor, P., Cannon, M., McNicholas, F., DeVlyder, J. & Kelleher, I.** (2019). Association of Psychotic Experiences With Subsequent Risk of Suicidal Ideation, Suicide Attempts, and Suicide Deaths: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Longitudinal Population Studies. *JAMA Psychiatry* **76**, 180-189.
- Young, K. S.** (1998). Internet addiction: the emergence of a new clinical disorder. *Cyberpsychol Behav* **1**, 237-244.
- Zung, W. W.** (1971). A rating instrument for anxiety disorders. *Psychosomatics* **12**, 371-9.