

Title	Organic functionalization of germanium nanowires using arenediazonium salts
Authors	Collins, Gillian;Fleming, Peter G.;O'Dwyer, Colm;Morris, Michael A.;Holmes, Justin D.
Publication date	2011-03-04
Original Citation	Collins, G., Fleming, P., O'Dwyer, C., Morris, M. A. and Holmes, J. D. (2011) 'Organic Functionalization of Germanium Nanowires using Arenediazonium Salts', Chemistry of Materials, 23(7), pp. 1883-1891. doi: 10.1021/cm103573m
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/cm103573m - 10.1021/cm103573m
Rights	© 2011 American Chemical Society. This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in Chemistry of Materials, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/cm103573m
Download date	2025-04-28 21:05:23
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/6294



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Organic Functionalization of Germanium Nanowires using Arenediazonium Salts

*Gillian Collins^{†,ϕ}, Peter Fleming^{†,ϕ}, Colm O'Dwyer[§], Michael A. Morris^{†,ϕ}
and Justin D. Holmes^{†,ϕ,*}*

[†]Materials and Supercritical Fluids Group, Department of Chemistry and the Tyndall National Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland. ^ϕCentre for Research on Adaptive Nanostructures and Nanodevices (CRANN), Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland. [§]Department of Physics and Materials and Surface Science Institute (MSSI), University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel: +353 (0)21 4903608; Fax: +353 (0)21 4274097; E-mail: j.holmes@ucc.ie

Abstract

The formation of organic functionalization layers on germanium (Ge) nanowires was investigated using a new synthetic protocol employing arenediazonium salts. Oxide-free, H-terminated Ge nanowires were immersed in diazonium salt/acetonitrile solutions and the molecular interface of the functionalized nanowires was analyzed by reflectance infrared spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The morphology of the modified nanowires was investigated by electron microscopy. Surface functionalization of the nanowires was found to be slow at room temperature, but proceeded efficiently with moderate heating (50 °C). The use of arenediazonium salts can result in the formation of aryl multilayers, however the thickness and uniformity of the organic layer was found to be

1
2
3 strongly influenced by the nature of the substituents on the aromatic ring. Substituents
4 attached to the 3-, 4- and 5- ring positions hindered the formation of multilayers, while the
5 presence of sterically bulky ring substituents affected the homogeneity of the organic layers.
6
7
8 We successfully demonstrate that arenediazonium salts are very flexible precursors for
9
10 nanowire functionalization, with the possibility to covalently attach a wide variety of
11
12 aromatic ligands, offering the potential to alter the thickness of the resulting outer organic
13
14 shell.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Introduction

23
24
25 Nanowires exhibit extremely large surface-to-volume ratios and consequently surface
26 reactivity plays an important role in determining their chemical and electrical properties. The
27 germanium (Ge)/GeO_x interface is characterized by a high density of surface defects and Ge
28 displays far more complex oxidation chemistry¹⁻². Unlike Si, which possesses one stable
29 oxide (SiO₂), Ge forms oxides in the 2+ (GeO) and 4+ (GeO₂) oxidation states. Schmeisser
30 *et al.*³ carried out synchrotron studies on planar Ge surfaces and reported the presence of all
31 four oxidation states. The composition of the surface oxide is strongly dependent on the
32 oxidative environment, for example, dry oxidation favors the formation of Ge²⁺, while the
33 presence of water vapor gives rise to the formation of higher Ge oxidation states⁴.
34
35 Furthermore, oxidation of the Ge surface is greatly accelerated by exposure to UV light,
36 producing predominately GeO₄⁵. Surface oxides are typically removed by treatment with
37 aqueous HF, producing a hydrogen-terminated surface but the stability of the H-passivation
38 layer under ambient conditions is limited to a few minutes before re-oxidation of the surface
39 starts to occur⁶⁻⁸. GeO_x can also be removed by treatment with the other halogenic acids -
40 HCl, HBr and HI, yielding oxide free surfaces passivated by the corresponding halogen
41 species⁹⁻¹⁰.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cullen and co-workers¹¹ first reported organic functionalization of Ge in 1962 when they
4 demonstrated the attachment of ethyl groups via Grignard reagents to Cl-terminated Ge
5 surfaces. Wet organic functionalization of Ge has been limited to the attachment of relatively
6 simple ligands including alkanes¹¹⁻¹⁷, alkenes¹⁸⁻¹⁹ and alkanethiols¹⁹⁻²⁴. There are a number
7 of drawbacks associated with many of the methodologies utilized for the surface
8 functionalization of Ge, for example hydrogermylation reactions require relatively high
9 reaction temperatures ($> 200\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$)¹⁸, alkyl Grignard reagents often require long reaction times
10 (6 h - 8 days)²⁵. Furthermore, the reactive nature of Grignard reagents makes them
11 incompatible with organic functionalities containing acidic protons (alcohols, carboxylic
12 acids, amines), thereby limiting their use to relatively simple aliphatic ligands. The ability to
13 introduce a range of chemical functionalities is essential for the integration of nanowires for
14 electrical, chemical and biological applications. One strategy that has proved effective for
15 the functionalization of several surfaces is the use of arenediazonium salts. Arenediazonium
16 salts can be easily synthesized from their corresponding amines, from which there are a huge
17 range of diverse and commercially available precursors. Attachment of organic ligands by
18 electrochemical reduction of diazonium salts is well established on carbon²⁶⁻²⁸, metal²⁹⁻³⁰, and
19 oxide³¹⁻³² surfaces. Covalent grafting of ligands can also be initiated by chemical reduction
20 with hypophosphorous acid³³ and metal catalysts³⁴. There have also been reports of
21 spontaneous attachment of aromatic ligands onto carbon³⁵⁻³⁷, metal³⁸⁻⁴² and semiconductor
22 surfaces⁴³⁻⁴⁶, making diazonium salts a promising functionalization approach.

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 He *et al.*⁴⁷⁻⁴⁸ demonstrated that the electronic properties of planar Si could be altered by
55 attaching organic molecules with different electron donating abilities. Tailoring the transport
56 properties via surface functionalization is highly advantageous for Ge nanowires, where the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 use of conventional dopants, such as diborane, is known to negatively affect the nanowire
4 morphology⁴⁹.
5
6

7
8 As far as we are aware, the reactivity of arenediazonium salts towards Ge surfaces has not
9 been reported in the literature. Furthermore, there has been little investigation into how the
10 presence of ring substituents influence the formation of the chemical grafted functionalization
11 layer. In this paper we report the covalent attachment of organic ligands, via arenediazonium
12 salts, onto H-terminated Ge nanowire surfaces. XPS and attenuated total reflectance infrared
13 (ATR-IR) spectroscopy were utilized to probe the organic ligands, derived from
14 arenediazonium salts, at the nanowire surfaces. The morphology of the functionalized
15 nanowires was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission
16 electron microscopy (TEM). We also explore the effects of the aromatic ring substituents on
17 the structure and uniformity of the functionalization layer.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **Experimental**

38 *Ge Nanowire Synthesis*

39 Gold-seeded Ge nanowires were synthesized on Si substrates by the thermal decomposition
40 of diphenyl germane (purchased from ABCR) in supercritical toluene at a temperature of 400
41 °C and a pressure of 24.1 MPa. Details of the experimental set-up are described elsewhere⁵⁰.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 After synthesis, the nanowires were rinsed with chloroform, hexane and isopropyl alcohol
49 (IPA) and dried under Ar. The nanowires had diameters ranging from 20-120 nm, with a
50 mean diameter of ~80 nm and displayed a predominate (111) growth direction with (110) and
51 (112) growth directions also present. The nanowires examined were mostly cylindrical in
52 nature, however a significant proportion of the (111) and (110)-oriented nanowires exhibited
53 hexagonal cross sections displaying {110}, {111} and {100} surface facets^{13, 51-52}.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

General Procedure for the Diazotization of Anilines

The arenediazonium tetrafluoroborate salts were synthesized from the corresponding anilines according to literature procedures⁴⁴. All glassware was cleaned with aqua regia, dried overnight in an oven at 180 °C and allowed to cool down under a N₂ atmosphere. Diethyl ether (Et₂O) was distilled from sodium/benzophenone. Acetonitrile (MeCN) was distilled from calcium hydride onto freshly prepared molecular sieves (3 Å). Anhydrous methanol (MeOH) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. In a glovebox, nitrosonium tetrafluoroborate (NOBF₄, purchased from ABCR) (1.1 molar equivalents per aniline) was added to a Schlenk flask and sealed with a rubber septum. A minimum amount of anhydrous MeCN was added to dissolve the salt and the solution was cooled to -30 °C using a *p*-xylene-liquid N₂ cooling bath. Separately, the aniline was added to a 2-neck round bottom flask and degassed with N₂ for 30 min. A minimum amount of MeCN was added to dissolve the aniline and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. The organic solution was transferred to the salt solution dropwise via a cannula. After the addition, the solution was left at -30 °C for ~30 min. The temperature was raised to 0 °C and anhydrous Et₂O was added slowly until the product precipitated out of solution. The product was collected by filtration and washed with anhydrous Et₂O (× 3). The arenediazonium salts displayed good stability in air but decomposed on exposure to light. The salts were wrapped in alumina foil to prevent photochemical decomposition and stored in a freezer (-20 °C). Prior to the nanowire functionalization reactions the diazonium salts were re-crystallized from cold anhydrous Et₂O.

Synthesis of 3,4,5-Trifluorophenyl Diazonium Tetrafluoroborate

150 mg (0.6 mmol) of 3,4,5-trifluoroaniline was dissolved in 1 ml of MeCN and converted to its benzenediazonium (BD) salt following the general procedure previously described to give

1
2
3 a very pale yellow solid in a 89 % yield. IR (KBr): 2324 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{\text{N}=\text{N}}$), 1617 cm⁻¹, 1411 cm⁻¹
4
5 ($\nu_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$), 1220 cm⁻¹ (ν_{CF}). H¹ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.42 (d, 2H).
6
7
8
9

10 11 *Synthesis of (Heptadecafluorooctyl)phenyl Diazonium Tetrafluoroborate*

12
13 200 mg (0.4 mmol) of heptadecafluorooctyl aniline was dissolved in 2 ml of MeCN and
14
15 sonicated briefly. The aniline was converted into the corresponding BD salt by the procedure
16
17 described to afford a colourless solid with a 76 % yield. IR (KBr): 2320 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{\text{N}=\text{N}}$), 1415
18
19 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$), 1150-1240 cm⁻¹ (ν_{CF}). H¹ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.42 (dd, 2H) 7.73 (dd, 2H).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 29 *Synthesis of 4-Nitrobiphenyldiazonium Tetrafluoroborate*

30
31 100 mg (0.46 mmol) of 3,4-amino-4'-nitrobiphenyl was dissolved in ~ 5ml of MeCN,
32
33 sonication was required to completely dissolve the aniline, which was converted to the
34
35 corresponding BD salt according to the general procedure described to give an very pale
36
37 yellow coloured solid with a 67 % yield. IR (KBr) 2355 cm⁻¹, 2270 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{\text{N}=\text{N}}$), 1518 cm⁻¹
38
39 as($\nu_{\text{N}-\text{O}}$) 1350 cm⁻¹ s($\nu_{\text{N}-\text{O}}$), 1580 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$), 3068 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{\text{C}-\text{H}}$). H¹ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃):
40
41 δ 7.72 (m, $J = 8.5, 4.7, 1.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.85 (m, $J = 8.5, 4.7, 1.4$ Hz, 2H), 8.05 (dd, $J = 8.8, 4.8$
42
43 Hz, 2H), 8.51 (dd, 2H).
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 52 *General Procedure for Ge Nanowire Functionalization using Arenediazonium Salts*

53
54 Ge nanowires were functionalized on the Si substrates from which they were grown and later
55
56 removed for analysis. The native Ge oxide was first removed by immersing the nanowires
57
58 into 5 % aqueous HF solution for 5 min. The nanowires were then rinsed with deionized
59
60 water, dried with Ar and transferred into a N₂ glovebox (< 1 ppm O₂). The nanowires were

1
2
3 immersed in freshly prepared diazonium salt solutions (1-5 mM) in anhydrous de-oxygenated
4 MeCN. Functionalization reactions were carried out at room temperature in a glove box, or
5
6 MeCN. Functionalization reactions were carried out at room temperature in a glove box, or
7
8 at 50 °C on a Schlenk line under a N₂ atmosphere. Reaction times ranging from 0.5, 2, 12
9
10 and 24 h were used. During the functionalization procedure the nanowires were protected
11
12 from exposure to light by alumina foil to avoid the possibility of photochemical
13
14 decomposition, as diazonium salts are known to be light sensitive. However, no differences
15
16 in the XPS and IR spectra were observed when the reaction apparatus was not protected from
17
18 light. After functionalization the nanowires were immersed in MeCN, soaked for 5 min and
19
20 then rinsed with more MeCN. This soaking/rinsing procedure was repeated three times to
21
22 remove unreacted or physisorbed products. The nanowires were then washed with anhydrous
23
24 MeOH followed by drying under a stream of N₂.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 *Materials Characterization*

34
35 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were acquired on a FEI Inspect F, operating at
36
37 5 kV accelerating voltage. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were acquired
38
39 on a Jeol 2100 operating at voltage 200 kV accelerating voltage. X-ray photoelectron
40
41 spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was conducted on a VSW Atom tech System with a twin anode
42
43 X-ray source (Al/Mg). Survey spectra were captured at a pass energy of 100 eV, a step size
44
45 of 0.7 eV and a dwell time of 0.1 ms. The core level spectra were an average of 15 scans
46
47 captured at a pass energy of 50 eV, a step size of 0.2 eV and a dwell time of 0.1 ms. The
48
49 spectra were corrected for charge shift by reference to C 1s line at a binding energy of 284.8
50
51 eV. The photoemission data was processed using a Shirley background correction and peaks
52
53 were fit to Voigt profiles. The Ge 3d signals were fit to two peaks with a spin-orbit coupling
54
55 of 0.585 eV and an intensity ratio of 3:2, corresponding to the Ge 3d_{5/2} and Ge 3d_{3/2} at
56
57 binding energies of 28.9 and 29.5 eV (fwhm 1.5 eV), respectively. Attenuated total
58
59
60

1
2
3 reflectance Fourier transfer Infrared (ATR-FTIR) analysis was carried out using a
4
5 PerkinElmer spectrum 100 fitted with an ATR attachment containing a ZnSe crystal. The
6
7 spectra were averaged over 10 scans at a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} . For the analysis, the nanowires
8
9 were removed from the Si substrate and transferred to the ATR crystal.
10
11

12
13 Figure 1 illustrates the various diazonium salts that were investigated for surface
14
15 functionalization of Ge nanowires: (a) 3,4,5-trifluorobenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (F_3 -
16
17 BD), (b) heptadecafluorooctyl benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (F_{17}C_8 -BD) and (c)
18
19 nitrobiphenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborate (NO_2Ph -BD). Fluorine substituents make excellent
20
21 analytical reporting groups for XPS, having high photoionization cross sections, as well as
22
23 exhibiting strong and characteristic IR absorbances. Similarly, nitro groups display strong IR
24
25 vibrations and the XPS binding energy of the nitrophenyl group is easily distinguished from
26
27 other N-containing functionalities. As well as ease of identification, the nature of the ring
28
29 substituents of each diazonium salt differs considerably, for example F_{17}C_8 -BD contains an
30
31 electron withdrawing group (EWG), while F_3 -BD displays electron donating mesomeric
32
33 effects and electron withdrawing inductive effects. These properties are significant as EWGs
34
35 are known to influence the ease of reduction of the diazonium group, and consequently may
36
37 affect reactivity towards the Ge surface⁵³. Additionally, other factors influencing nanowire
38
39 functionalization such as the location of the ring substituents and steric effects of the
40
41 diazonium salts are compared.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Structures of arenediazonium salts investigated for Ge nanowire functionalization: (a) 3,4,5-trifluorobenzenediazonium (F_3 -BD), (b) heptadecafluorooctyl benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate ($F_{17}C_8$ -BD) and (c) nitrophenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborate (NO_2 Ph-BD).

Results and Discussion

Figure 2(a)(i) displays the Ge 3d core level spectrum of untreated Ge nanowires exposed to air for 12 h after synthesis. The Ge $3d_{5/2}$ and Ge $3d_{3/2}$ doublet peaks are located at binding energies of 28.9 and 29.5 eV, respectively, as well as four chemically shifted peaks located at higher binding energies which are associated with the four oxidation states of Ge^3 . Figure 2(a)(ii) and (iii) displays Ge 3d XPS data of H-terminated Ge nanowires after reaction with compounds $F_{17}C_8$ -BD and F_3 -BD at 50 °C for 6 h. The spectra of the functionalized Ge nanowires treated with the diazonium salt solutions were predominately oxide free, but trace amounts of Ge^{+1} were present. To identify if the oxide peak is associated with the functionalization procedure or originating from post-functionalisation oxidation, Ge nanowires functionalized with F_3 -BD were exposed to ambient conditions for 6 h before carrying out XPS analysis. The air protected and ambient exposed samples contained similar amounts of Ge^{+1} oxide as determined by the $Ge3d:Ge^{+1}$ XPS peak intensity (see Supporting

Information, figure S1), indicating that the oxide is most likely stemming from the HF treatment⁸. Functionalization is also accompanied by the appearance of the F *1s* peak in the XPS data, as shown figure 2(b). Nanowires modified with F₃-BD show the F *1s* peak centred at a binding energy of 688 eV, typical of aromatic fluorocarbons (=C-F)⁵⁴. For nanowires treated with F₁₇C₈-BD, the F *1s* peak is located at a higher binding energy of 689 eV, consistent with the presence of aliphatic fluorocarbon chains (-CF₂)⁵⁵. There was no or only trace amounts of inorganic fluorides, *i.e.* BF₄⁻, present at a binding energy of 685 eV, suggesting that the BF₄⁻ group is no longer associated with the ligand.




Figure 2. (a) Ge *3d* XPS core level spectra of the oxidized and functionalized Ge nanowires and (b) the F *1s* XPS core level spectra of H-terminated Ge nanowires and after reaction with arenediazonium salts.

The presence of organic ligands is also indicated by the C *1s* XPS core level spectra, as shown in figure 3. Fluorocarbons induce a large chemical shift in the C *1s* spectra which can be seen in figure 3 at binding energies of 288.6 eV (=C-F), 290.3 eV (-CF₂) and 292.3 eV (-CF₃)⁵⁶. The presence of an aliphatic carbon signal at 284.6 eV, most likely originates from adventitious carbon contamination and residual carbon species from the nanowire synthesis and the functionalization reactions. Similarly, the C-O signal possibly originates from solvents used in the reaction synthesis or the functionalization procedure such as IPA, or due to hydrocarbons physisorbed on top of the functionalization layer²⁵.




Figure 3. C *1s* XPS core level spectra of Ge nanowires functionalized with (a) F₃-BD and (b) F₁₇C₈-BD.

Figure 4 illustrates an N *1s* XPS core level spectrum of Ge nanowires after reaction with NO₂Ph-BD at 50 °C. The appearance of two peaks in the N *1s* spectrum is well reported in the literature for surfaces functionalized with nitrophenyl-containing compounds^{28, 34, 57}. The peak located at a binding energy of 405.9 eV is characteristic of the NO₂ group, while the second peak located at a lower binding energy of 399 eV corresponds to a reduced form of

nitrogen. Several groups have attributed the latter peak to the reduction of the NO_2 group to amines ($-\text{NH}_2$) under the XPS beam^{41,58}. Lud and co-workers⁵⁹ found that the intensity of the peak increased with exposure to X-ray irradiation. A decrease in the NO_2 group peak intensity was observed after several XPS scans indicating that irradiation induced reduction plays a role in the presence of the peak at 399 eV. However, studies have also associated the peak at ~ 400 eV to the presence of azo ($\text{N}=\text{N}$) or azoxy ($\text{N}=\text{N}-\text{O}$) species, which are incorporated into the functionalization layer during the reaction^{28, 60-61}. Importantly, the absence of a peak associated with the diazonium group ($\text{N}\equiv\text{N}^+$) at ~ 402 eV⁶², suggests that the salt has reacted with the Ge surface.




Figure 4. N *1s* XPS core level spectrum of H-terminated Ge nanowires functionalized with $\text{NO}_2\text{Ph-BD}$.

FTIR Analysis of Functionalized Ge Surfaces

Figure 5 compares the IR spectra of the bulk arenediazonium salts and the ATR-IR spectra after reaction with H-terminated Ge nanowires, at 50 °C. The IR spectra of H-terminated Ge nanowires, shown in figure 5(a) consists of a broad vibrational stretch located at ~ 2050 cm^{-1} ,

which corresponds to the presence of mono-, di- and trihydride species^{13, 18}. The Ge nanowire spectra display several structural features associated with the passivating ligands. Figure 5(a) illustrates the spectra of Ge nanowires treated with NO₂Ph-BD. The symmetric (ν_s) and asymmetric (ν_{as}) NO₂ stretches are observed at 1346 cm⁻¹ and 1522 cm⁻¹, respectively⁶³. The C=C stretching vibration is also observed at 1597 cm⁻¹. Notably, there is a disappearance of the vibrational band at 2250 cm⁻¹, characteristic of the N₂⁺ group and the absence of the strong broad absorption peak for BF₄⁻, located at ~1050 cm⁻¹⁴¹. The absence of these features is consistent with the XPS analysis and suggests the diazonium salt moiety (N₂⁺BF₄⁻) is no longer associated with the ligands.

Figure 5(b) displays the ATR-IR spectra of F₁₇C₈-BD salt and functionalized Ge nanowires. The spectral signature of the C-F bonds can be observed in the region ranging from 1100-1300 cm⁻¹. The CF₂ symmetric (ν_s CF₂) and asymmetric (ν_{as} CF₂) stretching vibrations occur at 1150 cm⁻¹ and 1240 cm⁻¹ respectively, as well as the bending modes (δ (CC)) at 1206 cm⁻¹⁶⁴.




Figure 5. IR spectra of bulk diazonium salts and the ATR-IR spectra of Ge nanowires functionalized by (a) NO₂Ph-BD and H-termination, (b) F₁₇C₈-BD.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 6(a) compares the IR spectra for the starting aniline, the diazonium salt and functionalized Ge nanowires. Similar to spectra shown in figure 5(a), the absence of vibrational bands attributed to the N_2^+ and BF_4^- groups in the functionalized Ge nanowires suggest a reaction with the surface. The nanowire spectrum displays the presence of the =C-F absorption at 1242 cm^{-1} , typical of aromatic fluorocarbons⁶⁵, as well as the presence of the C=C stretching vibration at 1518 cm^{-1} . Due to the high electronegativity of F substituents, the intensity of the C-H in-plane bending vibration is greatly enhanced and this can be seen in all of the spectra at 1020 cm^{-1} ⁶⁶.

Reaction of the $\text{F}_3\text{-BD}$ diazonium salt with the Ge surface can also be detected indirectly from its electronic impact on the C-H out-of-plane bending vibrations. The C-H bending vibrations are very informative about the nature of the substituents attached to the aromatic ring⁶⁶. Figure 6(b) illustrates the C-H out-of-plane bending vibrations for the starting aniline, the diazonium salt and functionalized Ge nanowires. The aniline displays a C-H bend at 832 cm^{-1} and after conversion into the diazonium salt the position of the vibration shifts to 866 cm^{-1} . This shift to higher frequencies is consistent with the attachment of the highly electron-withdrawing N_2^+ group⁶³. After reaction with H-terminated Ge nanowires, the position of the C-H bend shifts back to a lower frequency (830 cm^{-1}), as illustrated in figure 6(b), providing indirect evidence that the diazonium functionality is no longer associated with the ligand.




Figure 6. ATR-IR spectra of (a) trifluoroaniline, the corresponding diazonium salt (F₃-BD) and functionalized Ge nanowires and (b) spectra illustrating the frequency shifts in the aromatic C-H out of plane bending modes.

SEM and TEM of Surface Modified Ge Nanowires

Figures 7(a)-(c) display SEM images of Ge nanowires before and after treatment with arenediazonium salt solutions and shows that the functionalization procedure did not significantly affect the nanowire morphology. Figure 8(a) displays a TEM image of an untreated, oxidised Ge nanowire with a typical oxide thickness of 2-4 nm. Nanowires functionalized by immersion into NO₂Ph-BD solutions for 2h and 12 h are compared in figures 8(b) and (c), respectively. A reaction time of 2 h yields a thin (1.5 – 2 nm) homogenous organic layer on the nanowire surface. After a 12 h reaction time, the thickness of the organic film increases to ~4 nm, accompanied by a slight decrease in uniformity relative to the thinner functionalization layer. TEM analysis suggests the presence of aryl multilayers, which form as a result of an aryl radical attaching to an already covalently bound ligand, as illustrated in figure 8(d). Diazonium ligands grafted electrochemically can yield

organic layers ranging from nanometer to micrometer thickness⁶⁷⁻⁶⁸ and similarly, multilayer formation has also been reported with electroless surface modification^{44, 69}.




Figure 7. SEM images of (a) oxidised Ge nanowires and nanowires treated with acetonitrile solutions of (b) NO₂Ph-BD, (c) F₃-BD and (d) F₁₇C₈-BD.

The addition of aryl radicals to form multilayers proceeds preferentially via attack at the 3- and 5- ring positions (numbered relative to the diazonium group). A Ge nanowire modified with F₃-BD, in which the 3-, 4- and 5- ring positions contain F substituents, is shown in figure 9(a). The influence of ring substituents on multilayer formation is apparent from the thickness of the functionalization layer; after a 12 h reaction time the organic layer remains thin (~ 1 nm) and does not undergo multilayer formation as observed with NO₂Ph-BD. Side reactions with F₃-BD can only proceed via radical attack on the 2- and 6- positions, which is disfavoured by steric constraints from the nanowire surface, as illustrated by the schematic shown in figure 9(a). Podvorica and co-workers⁷⁰ reported that electrografting of aryl ligands with bulky *t*-butyl substituents on the 3- and 5- ring positions producing ultrathin organic layers close to monolayer thickness, on Si surfaces.




Figure 8. TEM images of (a) non-functionalized Ge nanowire, (b) Ge nanowire functionalized with NO₂Ph-BD after 2h reaction time, (c) NO₂Ph-BD functionalized Ge nanowire after 12 h reaction time and (d) schematic illustrating the formation of aryl multilayers and possible introduction of azo species during multilayer formation⁴⁵.

Figure 9(b) shows a TEM image of the functionalization layer obtained on Ge nanowires treated with F₁₇C₈-BD for 12 h. There is a large variation in the thickness of the functionalization layer along the length of the nanowire, ranging from ~2-10 nm. The poor uniformity of the organic layer can be attributed to the sterically bulky fluorocarbon segment attached to the aromatic ring, which disrupts the packing of the aryl layers as illustrated in figure 9(b).




Figure 9. TEM image of Ge nanowire modified with (a) F_3 -BD, (b) $F_{17}C_8$ -BD and schematics illustrating the influence of ring substituents on the formation of organic functionalization layers.


Influence of the Reaction Time and Temperature

Functionalization reactions were carried out at 50 °C and at room temperature to investigate if a spontaneous reaction with the H-Ge surface was possible. XPS analysis found that only one of the diazonium salts investigated in the study, $F_{17}C_8$ -BD, underwent reaction with the H-Ge surface at ambient temperature (~25 °C). The relative amount of F present at 25 °C and 50 °C, obtained from the integral intensity of the Ge 3d: F 1s spectra was ~2.5 times smaller at room temperature compared to the nanowires functionalized at 50 °C (see Supporting Information), indicating that the reaction is less favorable at lower temperatures.

1
2
3 Similarly, no N *1s* signal was detected for Ge nanowires treated with NO₂Ph-BD at room
4
5 temperature (figure S3). While both F₃-BD and NO₂Ph-BD did not display significant
6
7 reaction with the Ge surface at room temperature, the reaction did proceed at 50 °C. The
8
9 reduction potential of the diazonium moiety was dependent on the nature of the ring
10
11 substituents; electron withdrawing substituents on the *para*-position increase the ease by
12
13 which diazonium salts can be reduced⁷¹. Studies have shown that electrochemical reduction
14
15 of diazonium salts containing electron withdrawing substituents occurs faster and at less
16
17 negative reduction potentials, compared to salts containing electron donating substituents⁷².
18
19 Fluorocarbons are electron withdrawing groups and the presence of a fluorocarbon chain
20
21 located at the *para*-position should give rise to the easier reduction of the diazonium group
22
23 and consequently result in reaction with the Ge surface at room temperature.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Haight and co-workers⁷³ observed that simply spraying a mist of diazonium salt/MeCN
34
35 solution onto Si nanowires was adequate to achieve spontaneous surface functionalization,
36
37 illustrating a very efficient reaction between the diazonium salt and the surfaces of the Si
38
39 nanowires. The influence of the reaction time for Ge nanowire functionalization was
40
41 investigated and figure 10 displays the IR spectra of nanowires treated with NO₂Ph-BD at 50
42
43 °C for 0.5, 2, 6 and 12 h. After 2 h an absorption peak attributed to the NO₂ stretching
44
45 vibrations at 1345 and 1520 cm⁻¹ was observed. There was also a Ge-H vibrational stretch
46
47 present as a broad peak in the range of 1990-2050 cm⁻¹. After longer immersion times (6 h
48
49 and 12 h), the intensity of the Ge-H vibrational stretch decreases. Both XPS and IR data
50
51 indicated that reaction of diazonium salts on Ge nanowire surfaces required elevated
52
53 temperatures and longer reaction times, relative to Si surfaces. Stewart *et al.*⁴⁴ suggested that
54
55 the presence of a highly reactive Si-H surface may play a role in activating the diazonium
56
57 reaction. Si(100) surfaces treated with aqueous HF solution are known to give rise to a
58
59
60

1
2
3 mainly dihydride terminated surface⁷⁴, while Ge(100) surfaces tend to yield a more complex
4
5 mixture of mono- and dihydride species as well as small amounts of trihydride⁶, which may
6
7 influence the reactivity of diazonium salts towards the Ge surface. The IR spectra of H-
8
9 terminated Ge nanowires consists of a broad peak centred at $\sim 2050\text{ cm}^{-1}$, which is indicative
10
11 of the mono-, di- and trihydride species.
12
13
14
15



16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 **Figure 10.** ATR-IR spectra of H-terminated Ge nanowires immersed in NO₂Ph-BD solutions
38
39 at 50 °C for 0.5 h, 2h, 6 h and 12 h.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 47 *Mechanism of Attachment*

48
49 The synthetic utility of arenediazonium salts as precursors for surface functionalization is
50
51 based on the ease of reduction of the diazonium group. There are two possible reaction
52
53 mechanisms by which diazonium salts can react with the H-terminated Ge surface and are
54
55 illustrated in figure 11. The first is heterolytic dediazonation producing an aryl cation, a
56
57 mechanism which involves hydrogen abstraction from the H-Ge surface⁵³. However,
58
59 germanes have a greater H-donor ability than silanes⁷⁵ and so a hydrogen-abstraction
60

mechanism would imply that the reaction would proceed faster on a H-Ge surface than on a H-Si surface, which was not the case observed here. Furthermore, organo silyl (R_3Si-H) and stannyls (R_3Sn-H) hydrides do not react with diazonium salts via hydride abstraction and it is likely that germlyl hydrides also exhibit this trend in reactivity⁷⁶.




Figure 11. Reaction scheme outlining two possible reaction mechanisms for the covalent attachment of aryl ligands to H-terminated Ge nanowires based on the formation of an aryl radical or aryl cation⁴⁸.

The second possible reaction mechanism involves homolytic dediazonation. This reaction pathway involves electron transfer from the Ge surface (or possible trace impurities) to the arenediazonium salt forming an arenediazenyl radical, which then loses N₂ to yield an aryl radical⁵³. Stewart and co-workers⁴⁴ provided evidence for this mechanism by observing that the introduction of a radical scavenger reduced the thickness of the functionalization layers on semiconductor surfaces. Wang and Buriak⁷⁷ conducted radical trapping experiments and showed the spontaneous electron reduction of diazonium salts in the presence of H-

1
2
3 terminated planar and porous Si, produces surface Si radicals. A radical mechanism would
4
5 be more favorable at a H-terminated Si surface than a H-terminated Ge surface due to the
6
7 greater polarization of the Si-H bond ^{24, 78}, which may account for the lower reactivity
8
9 observed at Ge surfaces. Furthermore, a homolytic dediazonation mechanism is consistent
10
11 with our observation that only F₁₇C₈-BD, underwent spontaneous reaction with the H-Ge
12
13 surface. The formation of aryl radicals is favored by the presence of electron withdrawing
14
15 substituents at the *para*-position, as is the case with the fluorocarbon chain in F₁₇C₈-BD⁷⁹.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
TEM analysis indicates the presence of thin aryl multilayers and this observation is
suggestive of a radical mechanism^{72, 80}.

While a radical mechanism similar to that observed for Si surfaces may be responsible for the
spontaneous grafting to Ge nanowires, heating of the diazonium salts solutions was required
to induce grafting of NO₂Ph-BD and F₃-BD ligands and so thermal initiation clearly plays a
role in the functionalization procedure. Thermal decomposition of arenediazonium salts can
proceed through the formation of aryl radicals or cations and many factors such as solvent
polarity, ring substituents and the reaction atmosphere (N₂ versus O₂), are known to influence
the mechanistic pathway and the reaction products⁸¹. While further studies are required to
fully elucidate the mechanism, a combination of thermally induced dediazonation in
solution, the presence of a highly reactive Ge-H surface and possibly the presence of trace
impurities from the nanowire synthesis may all contribute to the covalent grafting of aryl
ligands on Ge nanowire surfaces.

Conclusions

Organic surface functionalization of Ge nanowires using diazonium salts was investigated. XPS and ATR-FTIR results indicate that functionalization of H-terminated Ge nanowire surfaces is possible through the decomposition of arenediazonium salts in MeCN solutions. XPS and ATIR analysis clearly identifies the spectral signatures of the functionalization ligands on the Ge nanowires while also indicating the loss of the N_2^+ and BF_4^- functional groups. The nature of the ring substituents was found to influence the structure of the organic functionalization layer obtained on the Ge nanowires. For mono-substituted arenediazonium salts the thickness of the organic layer increases with reaction time due to the formation of aryl multilayers. Highly substituted aromatic rings however, resulted in thin functionalization layers as multilayer formation is hindered. Furthermore, sterically crowded ring substituents produce poorly uniform functionalization layers. The results here illustrate the potential of arenediazonium salts as precursors for the functionalization of Ge nanowires, which may allow for the controlled growth of multilayer thin films or organic monolayers on the nanowire surface.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Irish Research Council for Science and Engineering Technology (IRCSET) and Science Foundation Ireland (Grant 08/CE/I1432). Part of this work was conducted under the framework of the INSPIRE programme, funded by the Irish Government's Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions, Cycle 4, National Development Plan 2007-201. We would also like to thank Michael Schmidt in the Electron Microscopy and Analysis Facility (EMAF) at the Tyndall National Institute, Ireland, for help with HRTEM imaging.

References

1. Zyubin, A. S.; Mebel, A. M.; Lin, S. H., *J. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *123* (4), 044701.
2. Hanrath, T.; Korgel, B. A., *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109* (12), 5518.
3. Schmeisser, D.; Schnell, R. D.; Bogen, A.; Himpsel, F. J.; Rieger, D., *Surf. Sci.* **1986**, *172*, 455.
4. Prabhakaran, K.; Ogino, T., *Surf. Sci.* **1995**, *325*, 263.
5. Amy, S. R.; Chabal, Y. J., Passivation and Characterisation of Germanium Surfaces. In *Advanced Gate Stacks for High-Mobility Semiconductors*, Springer: Berlin, 2007; Vol. 27.
6. Rivillon, S.; Chabal, Y. J.; Amy, F.; Kahn, A., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2005**, *87*, 253101.
7. Park, K.; Lee, Y.; Lee, J.; Lim, S., *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2008**, *254*, 4828.
8. Sun, Y.; Liu, Z. L., D.; Peterson, S.; Pianetta, P., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *88*, 021903.
9. Lu, Z. H., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1996**, *68* (4), 520.
10. Jagannathan, H.; Kim, J.; Deal, M.; Kelly, M.; Nishi, Y., *ECS Trans.* **2006**, *3* (7), 1175.
11. Cullen, G. W.; Amick, J. A.; Gerlich, D., *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1962**, *109*, 124.
12. Sharp, I. D.; Schoell, S. J.; Hoeb, M.; Brandt, M. S.; Stutzmann, M., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, *92*, 223306.
13. Hanrath, T.; Korgel, B. A., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 15466.
14. Wang, D.; Dai, H., *Appl. Phys. A* **2006**, *85*, 217.
15. Choi, H. C.; Buriak, J. M., *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1669.
16. He, J.; Lu, Z. H.; Mitchell, S. A.; Wayner, D. D. M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 2660.
17. Knapp, D.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Lewis, N. S., *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2010**, *114* (28), 12300.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
18. Choi, K.; Buriak, J. M., *Langmuir* **2000**, *16* (20), 7737.
 19. Holmberg, V. C.; Korgel, B. A., *Chem. Mat.* **2010**, *22* (12), 3698.
 20. Kosuri, M. R.; Cone, R.; Li, Q. M.; Han, S. M.; Bunker, B. C.; Mayer, T. M., *Langmuir* **2004**, *20* (3), 835.
 21. Han, S. M.; Ashurt, R.; Carraro, C.; Roya, M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 2422.
 22. Ardalan, P.; Musgrave, C. B.; Bent, S. F., *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 2013.
 23. Wang, D.; Chang, Y.-L.; Liu, Z.; Dai, H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 11871.
 24. Ardalan, P.; Sun, Y.; Pianetta, P.; Musgrave, C. B.; Bent, S. F., *Langmuir* **2010**, *26* (11), 8419.
 25. Bashouti, M. Y.; Stelzner, T.; Christiansen, S.; Haick, H., *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 14823.
 26. Allongue, P.; Delamar, M.; Desbat, B.; Fagebaume, O.; Hitmi, R.; Pinson, J.; Saveant, J. M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119* (1), 201.
 27. Kuo, T. C.; McCreery, R. L.; Swain, G. M., *Electrochem. Solid St.* **1999**, *2* (6), 288.
 28. Yu, S. S. C.; Tan, E. S. Q.; Jane, R. T.; Downard, A. J., *Langmuir* **2007**, *23* (22), 11074.
 29. Stockhausen, V.; Ghilane, J.; Martin, P.; Trippe-Allard, G.; Randriamahazaka, H.; Lacroix, J. C., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131* (41), 14920.
 30. Boukerma, K.; Chehimi, M. M.; Pinson, J.; Blomfield, C., *Langmuir* **2003**, *19* (15), 6333.
 31. Maldonado, S.; Smith, T. J.; Williams, R. D.; Morin, S.; Barton, E.; Stevenson, K. J., *Langmuir* **2006**, *22* (6), 2884.
 32. Merson, A.; Dittrich, T.; Zidon, Y.; Rappich, J.; Shapira, Y., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *85* (6), 1075.
 33. Toupin, M.; Belanger, D., *Langmuir* **2008**, *24* (5), 1910.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
34. Mevellec, V.; Roussel, S.; Tessier, L.; Chancolon, J.; Mayne-L'Hermite, M.; Deniau, G.; Viel, P.; Palacin, S., *Chem. Mat.* **2007**, *19* (25), 6323.
35. Seinberg, J. M.; Kullapere, M.; Maeorg, U.; Maschion, F. C.; Maia, G.; Schiffrin, D. J.; Tammeveski, K., *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2008**, *624* (1-2), 151.
36. Sinitskii, A.; Dimiev, A.; Corley, D. A.; Fursina, A. A.; Kosynkin, D. V.; Tour, J. M., *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4* (4), 1949.
37. Zhu, Y.; Higginbotham, A. L.; Tour, J. M., *Chem. Mat.* **2009**, *21* (21), 5284.
38. Gehan, H.; Fillaud, L.; Felidj, N.; Aubard, J.; Lang, P.; Chehimi, M. M.; Mangeney, C., *Langmuir* **2010**, *26* (6), 3975.
39. Chamoulaud, G.; Belanger, D., *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, *111* (20), 7501.
40. Combellas, C.; Delamar, M.; Kanoufi, F.; Pinson, J.; Podvorica, F. I., *Chem. Mater.* **2005**, *17* (15), 3968.
41. Adenier, A.; Cabet-Deliry, E.; Chausse, A.; Griveau, S.; Mercier, F.; Pinson, J.; Vautrin-UI, C., *Chem. Mater.* **2005**, *17* (3), 491.
42. Adenier, A.; Barre, N.; Cabet-Deliry, E.; Chausse, A.; Griveau, S.; Mercier, F.; Pinson, J.; Vautrin-UI, C., *Surf. Sci.* **2006**, *600* (21), 4801.
43. Pinson, J.; Podvorica, F., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2005**, *34*, 429.
44. Stewart, M. P.; Maya, F.; Kosynkin, D. V.; Dirk, S. M.; Stapleton, J. J.; McGuinness, C. L.; Allara, D. L.; Tour, J. M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126* (1), 370.
45. Charlier, J.; Golus, E.; Bureau, C.; Palacin, S., *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2009**, *625* (1), 97.
46. Haight, R.; Sekaric, L.; Afzali, A.; Newns, D., *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9* (9), 3165.
47. He, T.; Ding, H. J.; Peor, N.; Lu, M.; Corley, D. A.; Chen, B.; Ofir, Y.; Gao, Y. L.; Yitzchaik, S.; Tour, J. M., *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2008**, *130* (5), 1699.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
48. He, T.; He, J. L.; Lu, M.; Chen, B.; Pang, H.; Reus, W. F.; Nolte, W. M.; Nackashi, D. P.; Franzon, P. D.; Tour, J. M., *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2006**, *128* (45), 14537.
49. Tutuc, E.; Guha, S.; Chu, J. O., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *88*, 043113.
50. Chlistunoff, J.; Ziegler, K. J.; Lasdon, L.; Johnston, K. P., *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1999**, *103*, 1678.
51. Hanrath, T.; Korgel, B. A., *Small* **2005**, *1* (7), 717.
52. Li, C.-P.; Lee, C. S.; Ma, X.-L.; Wang, N.; Zhang, R.-Q.; Lee, S.-T., *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15* (7), 607.
53. Zollinger, H., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1978**, *17*, 141.
54. Pola, J.; Urbanova, M.; Bastl, Z.; Plzak, Z.; Subrt, J.; Gregora, I.; Vorlicek, V., *J. Mater. Chem.* **1998**, *8* (1), 187.
55. Ferraria, A. M.; da Silva, J. D. L.; do Rego, A. M. B., *Polymer* **2003**, *44* (23), 7241.
56. Lei, Y. G.; Ng, K. M.; Weng, L. T.; Chan, C. M.; Li, L., *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2003**, *35* (10), 852.
57. Chakraborty, A. K.; Coleman, K. S.; Dhanak, V., *Nanotechnology* **2009**, *20*, 155704.
58. Mendes, P.; Belloni, M.; Ashworth, M.; Hardy, C.; Nikitin, K.; Fitzmaurice, D.; Critchley, K.; Evans, S.; Preece, J., *ChemPhysChem* **2003**, *4* (8), 884.
59. Lud, S. Q.; Steenackers, M.; Jordan, R.; Bruno, P.; Gruen, D. M.; Feulner, P.; Garrido, J. A.; Stutzmann, M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 16884.
60. Shewchuk, D. M.; McDermott, M. T., *Langmuir* **2009**, *25* (8), 4556.
61. Doppelt, P.; Hallais, G.; Pinson, J.; Podvorica, F.; Verneyre, S., *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19* (18), 4570.
62. Szunerits, S.; Boukherroub, R., *J. Solid State Electrochem.* **2008**, *12*, 1205.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
63. Smith, B., *Infrared Spectral Interpretation: A systematic Approach*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida, 1999;
64. Tsao, M. W.; Hoffmann, C. L.; Rabolt, J. F.; Johnson, H. E.; Castner, D. G.; Erdelen, C.; Ringsdorf, H., *Langmuir* **1997**, *13* (16), 4317.
65. Wall, L. A.; Donadio, R. E.; Pummer, W. J., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, *82* (18), 4846.
66. Coates, J., Interpretation of Infrared Spectra, A Practical Approach. In *Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry*, Meyers, R. A., Ed. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, 2000; pp 10815.
67. Adenier, A.; Combellas, C.; Kanoufi, F.; Pinson, J.; Podvorica, F. I., *Chem. Mat.* **2006**, *18* (8), 2021.
68. Hunger, R.; Jaegermann, W.; Merson, A.; Shapira, Y.; Pettenkofer, C.; Rappich, J., *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2006**, *110* (31), 15432.
69. Combellas, C.; Kanoufi, F.; Pinson, J.; Podvorica, F. I., *Langmuir* **2005**, *21* (1), 280.
70. Combellas, C.; Kanoufi, F.; Pinson, J.; Podvorica, F. I., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130* (27), 8576.
71. Galli, C., *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88* (5), 765.
72. Bernard, M. C.; Chausse, A.; Cabet-Deliry, E.; Chehimi, M. M.; Pinson, J.; Podvorica, F.; Vautrin-UI, C., *Chem. Mat.* **2003**, *15* (18), 3450.
73. Haight, R.; Sekaric, L.; Afzali, A.; Newns, D., *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9* (9), 3165.
74. Miyata, N.; Watanabe, S.; Okamura, S., *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1997**, *117*, 26.
75. Chatgialloglu, C.; Ballestri, M.; Escudie, J.; Pailhous, I., *Organometallics* **1999**, *18* (12), 2395.
76. Nakayama, J.; Yoshida, M.; Simamura, O., *Tetrahedron* **1970**, *26*, 4609.
77. Wang, D.; Buriak, J. M., *Langmuir* **2006**, *22*, 6214.
78. Fujimoto, H.; Inagaki, S., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99* (23), 7426.

- 1
2
3 79. Zollinger, H., *Diazo Chemistry I: Aromatic and Heteroaromatic Compounds*. VCH:
4 Weinheim, 1994;
5
6
7
8 80. Anariba, F.; Viswanathan, U.; Bocian, D. F.; McCreery, R. L., *Anal. Chem.* **2006**, 78
9 (9), 3104.
10
11
12 81. Canning, P. S. J.; McCrudden, K.; Maskill, H.; Sexton, B., *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin*
13 *Trans. 2* **1999**, (12), 2735.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60