

Title	Exploring obstetricians', midwives' and general practitioners' approach to weight management in pregnant women with a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ² : a qualitative study
Authors	Flannery, Caragh;McHugh, Sheena M.;Kenny, Louise C.;O'Riordan, Mairead N.;McAuliffe, Fionnuala M.;Bradley, Colin P.;Kearney, Patricia M.;Byrne, Molly
Publication date	2019
Original Citation	Flannery, C., McHugh, S. M., Kenny, L. C., O'Riordan, M. N., McAuliffe, F. M., Bradley, C., Kearney, P. M. and Byrne, M. (2019) 'Exploring obstetricians', midwives' and general practitioners' approach to weight management in pregnant women with a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ² : a qualitative study', BMJ Open, 9(1), 8 pp. e024808. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024808
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/bmjopen/9/1/e024808.full.pdf - 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024808
Rights	© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ - http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
Download date	2024-10-13 11:38:59
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/7496



UCC

University College Cork, Ireland
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Table S2: Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

Developed from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page no.
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
<i>Personal Characteristics</i>		
1. Inter viewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the inter view or focus group?	5
2. Credentials	What were the researcher’s credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	1
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	1
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	1
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	5
<i>Relationship with participants</i>		
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	5
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	5
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	1, 5
Domain 2: study design		
<i>Theoretical framework</i>		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	5, 6
<i>Participant selection</i>		
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	5
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	5
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	6
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	6
<i>Setting</i>		
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	5, 6

15. Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	n/a
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	6, 20
<i>Data collection</i>		
17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	5, 6
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many?	n/a
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	6
20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	Yes
21. Duration	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	6
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	6
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No
Domain 3: analysis and findings		
<i>Data analysis</i>		
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	6
25. Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	n/a
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	6
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	6
28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	n/a
<i>Reporting</i>		
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	6-11
30. Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	6-11
31. Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	6-11
32. Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	6-11

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. When requested to do so as part of the upload process, please select the file type: *Checklist*. You will NOT be able to proceed with submission unless the checklist has been uploaded. Please DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.