

Title	Plant-based diets: a review of the definitions and nutritional role in the adult diet
Authors	Kent, Gráinne;Kehoe, Laura;Flynn, Albert;Walton, Janette
Publication date	2021-12-20
Original Citation	Kent, G., Kehoe, L., Flynn, A. and Walton, J. (2021) 'Plant-based diets: a review of the definitions and nutritional role in the adult diet', Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 81(1), pp.62-74. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665121003839
Type of publication	Conference item
Link to publisher's version	10.1017/S0029665121003839
Rights	© 2021, the Authors. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This material is free to view and download for personal use only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works.
Download date	2026-08-10 15:33:24
Item downloaded from	https://hdl.handle.net/10468/13095

The Nutrition Society Irish Section Conference 2021 was held virtually on 22–24 June 2021

Conference on Nutrition, health and ageing – translating science into practice Postgraduate Symposium

Plant-based diets: a review of the definitions and nutritional role in the adult diet

Gráinne Kent^{1,2}, Laura Kehoe^{1*} , Albert Flynn¹ and Janette Walton²

¹*School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Republic of Ireland*

²*Department of Biological Sciences, Munster Technological University, Cork, Republic of Ireland*

Plant-based (PB) diets are associated with good health and are also recommended for environmental sustainability. The present review aimed to summarise the definitions of PB diets globally and to investigate the nutritional role of PB diets in adults. This review found that there is a wide range of PB definitions ranging from the traditional vegetarian diets (including vegan) to semi-vegetarian/flexitarian diets. Furthermore, other diets which were originally developed due to their associations with positive health outcomes, such as the portfolio, Mediterranean-style, DASH, healthy US-style, planetary health and Nordic-style diets, have been encompassed in PB definitions due to their emphasis on certain PB components. This review has highlighted that those consuming a PB diet are more likely to meet recommended intakes for carbohydrate, dietary fibre and vitamin E and are less likely to meet recommendations for protein, vitamin B12 and iodine compared to omnivores. Regardless of consumer type, neither PB consumers nor omnivores met recommendations for intakes of vitamin D, calcium and sodium. While intakes of protein, *n*-3, iron and zinc were generally sufficient from the PB diet, it is important to acknowledge the lower bioavailability of these nutrients from PB foods compared to animal-derived products. As dietary patterns shift towards a more PB diet, there is a need for further studies to investigate the role of PB diets for nutritional adequacy and status in populations currently accustomed to consuming a primarily omnivorous diet.

Keywords: Plant-based diets: Nutrient recommendations: Vegan: Vegetarian

The consumption of a plant-based (PB) diet has been associated with a reduced risk of type 2 diabetes, CVD and other cardiometabolic risk factors, some cancers and all-cause mortality^(1–5). The recent report from the Eat-Lancet Commission recommends a global shift towards PB diets, emphasising an increased intake of PB foods such as fruits, vegetables, wholegrains, legumes and nuts and a reduced intake of animal-derived foods, for both health and environmental sustainability⁽⁶⁾. While food-based dietary guidelines have traditionally provided guidance to consume fruit and vegetables and starchy staples (i.e. PB foods) as the bulk of

the diet, ongoing updates to food-based dietary guidelines globally are placing even more emphasis on PB foods^(7,8). Nonetheless, almost all countries still recommend the consumption of animal-derived foods, along with other food groups, in recognition of the important contribution of animal-derived foods towards providing high-biological value protein, bioavailable *n*-3 and a range of micronutrients, including riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B6, vitamin B12, iron and zinc^(9–12).

The term ‘plant-based diet’ encompasses a wide spectrum of dietary patterns which emphasise plant

Abbreviations: DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; DOH, Department of Health; NNR, Nordic Nutrition Recommendations; PB, plant-based; PDI, plant-based dietary indexes.

*Corresponding author: Laura Kehoe, email laura.kehoe@ucc.ie

products, such as fruits and vegetables, wholegrains, legumes, nuts and seeds and PB alternatives and limit or exclude animal-derived products^(13,14). However, there is huge variability in PB definitions between studies and as the popularity of PB diets grows, PB terminology is also evolving as PB diets are being described as 'plant-centred', 'plant-predominant', 'plant-rich', 'plant-focused', 'plant-forward', etc.^(15–18).

In the Western world, media sources, consumer bodies and vegan and vegetarian societies are reporting a shift towards an increase in PB consumers⁽¹⁹⁾; however, data from national food consumption surveys continue to show that 98–99% of people in all population groups still consume meat^(20–22). While these figures may not be reflective of other PB diets that include small amounts of meat and/or dairy, generally the number of PB diet consumers remains largely unknown and difficult to elucidate due to the large variation in definitions of PB diets.

While it has been acknowledged that not all PB diets are necessarily healthy, few studies have differentiated between 'healthful' and 'unhealthful' PB diets^(23,24). Furthermore, concerns remain regarding the nutritional adequacy of some restrictive PB diets, such as vegan diets with respect to some key micronutrients such as vitamin D and B12, which are only naturally occurring in animal-derived products⁽²⁵⁾. Simultaneously, the global market for PB alternative foods and beverages is growing rapidly^(26,27); however, the dietary quality of ultra-processed PB alternative products is under scrutiny, with some studies showing that PB alternative foods may contain higher sodium and many do not contain key micronutrients, such as vitamins D, B12, iron or zinc, that would traditionally be found in their animal-source counterparts^(28–31).

While there is a general consensus that consuming a PB diet confers health and environmental benefits, there remains a significant challenge in understanding the nutritional role of PB diets due to the variations in definitions and the paucity of studies reporting nutrient intake from PB diets. This review aims to summarise the definitions of PB diets globally and to investigate the nutritional role of PB diets in adults.

Methods

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

The present paper includes a review of definitions of PB diets from peer-reviewed literature, position statements and vegan and vegetarian society websites. Furthermore, this review includes intervention or large observational studies of adults (≥ 18 years) that report nutrient intakes from those consuming a PB diet compared to a general omnivorous/baseline diet^(32,33). This review includes studies that were published in English and post the year-2000.

Search strategy

To search for PB definitions, an electronic search was conducted in PubMed and Web of Science. A search of

the grey literature was also conducted which included UK vegan and vegetarian society websites and position statements. Subject index terms included 'plant-based', 'plant-based diet', 'plant-centric', 'plant-centred' and 'definition' and the final search builder was ((plant-based OR plant-based diet OR plant-centred OR plant-centric) AND (definition)). For data on nutrient intake from PB diets and/or compliance with recommendations, an electronic search was also conducted in PubMed and Web of Science. Subject index terms included 'plant-based', 'plant-based diet', 'vegan', 'vegetarian', 'pescatarian', 'semi-vegetarian', 'flexitarian', 'portfolio diet', 'Mediterranean', 'DASH', 'healthy US-style diet', 'planetary health diet', 'Nordic diet' and 'nutrient', 'nutrient intake', 'diet quality' and 'adults' and the final search builder was ((plant-based OR plant-based diet OR vegan OR vegetarian OR pescatarian OR semi-vegetarian OR flexitarian OR portfolio diet OR Mediterranean OR DASH OR healthy US-style diet OR planetary health diet OR Nordic diet) AND (nutrient OR nutrient intake OR diet quality) AND (adults)).

Plant-based definitions

Table 1 outlines the PB diet definitions identified in this review. Traditionally, PB diets referred to vegetarian diets, which include fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans and pulses but exclude animal-derived foods in different amounts⁽³⁴⁾. A lacto-vegetarian diet excludes meat, fish and eggs but includes dairy, an ovo-vegetarian diet excludes meat, fish and dairy, but includes eggs and a lacto-ovo vegetarian diet, generally excludes meat and fish but includes dairy and eggs^(5,13,35–49). Veganism refers to a philosophy or way of life rooted in animal welfare, which seeks to exclude the use of animals for food, clothing and other purposes⁽⁵⁰⁾. In dietary terms, the vegan diet is the most extreme form of a vegetarian diet and excludes all foods and beverages wholly or partly derived from animals^(35,48,50). Iterations of a vegan diet are defined in the literature, such as a whole-food vegan diet (excludes processed foods), a whole-food low-fat vegan diet (excludes processed and high fat plant foods) and a raw food vegan diet (excludes all cooked food)^(35,48,51–61).

Other variations of vegetarian diets include the pescatarian diet, which is similar to a lacto-ovo vegetarian diet but additionally includes fish^(13,35–38,62,63). The flexitarian or semi-vegetarian diet is described as a primarily vegetarian diet but allows some animal food consumption, however, the amount and type of animal foods varies, from a specified amount of animal food per month to exclusion of red meat only but inclusion of poultry, fish and other animal foods^(13,35,37,38,41,47,49,62,64–66).

Other primarily PB diets, associated with good health and sustainability, are now included within the PB literature. These diets are also high in fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans and pulses and encourage moderate (or no) intake of animal-derived foods but emphasise certain PB components. The portfolio diet, originally developed to incorporate cholesterol-lowering foods into one diet, is a primarily vegetarian diet, but with specific

Table 1. Definitions of plant-based diets

Plant-based diet	Definition
Vegetarian ⁽³⁴⁾	Includes fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans, pulses $\pm$ dairy and/or eggs. Excludes meat and fish $\pm$ dairy and/or eggs
Lacto- ^(13,35–37,48,49)	Includes fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans, pulses and dairy. Excludes meat, fish and eggs
Ovo- ^(35–38,48)	Includes fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans, pulses and eggs. Excludes meat, fish and dairy
Lacto-ovo- ^(5,13,35,37,39–48)	Includes fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans, pulses, and dairy and eggs. Excludes meat and fish
Vegan ^(35,48,50)	Includes fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans and pulses. Excludes all animal and animal-derived products
Whole food vegan ^(35,54–57)	Includes unprocessed fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans and pulses. Excludes all animal and animal-derived products and processed foods
Whole food low-fat vegan ^(51,53,58–61)	Includes unprocessed and low-fat fruits, vegetables, grains, beans and pulses. Excludes all animal and animal-derived products and processed and high-fat plant foods (oils, avocado, nuts, etc.)
Raw vegan ^(35,48,52,59)	Includes uncooked fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans and pulses. Excludes all animal and animal-derived products and cooked foods
Pescatarian (pesctarian, pesco-vegetarian, fish-eater) ^(13,35–38,62,63)	Includes fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans, pulses and fish, dairy and eggs. Excludes meat
Semi-vegetarian/flexitarian ^(13,35,37,38,41,47,49,62,64–66)	Includes fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, seeds, beans, pulses and fish, dairy, eggs and meat (on some but not all days of the week). Excludes restrictions on meat
Portfolio diet ^(1,41,67)	A primarily vegetarian diet with the inclusion of 4 core food components: 42 g nuts (tree nuts or peanuts); 50 g plant protein from soy products or dietary pulses such as beans, peas, chickpeas and lentils; 20 g viscous soluble fibre from oats, barley, psyllium, eggplant, okra, apples, oranges or berries; and 2 g plant sterols initially provided in a plant sterol-enriched margarine
Mediterranean-style diet ^(66,68–71)	Moderate meat and dairy and emphasis on certain plant-based components, such as olive oil, olives, nuts and moderate red wine intake
Dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet ^(66,67,72)	Fat-free/low-fat dairy over full-fat dairy products. Poultry and fish in place of red and processed meats. Limited sugar-sweetened foods and beverages and sodium
Healthy US-style diet ^(8,66,68)	Based on recommendations from the USDA Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Moderate dairy, mostly low-fat or fat-free. Protein sources from seafood, lean meats, poultry, eggs, soy products, nuts and seeds. Limited saturated fats, sodium and added sugars
Planetary health diet ^(6,66)	Moderate seafood, poultry and dairy (if included at all). Limit red meat, processed meat, added sugar, refined grains, starchy vegetables and highly processed foods
Nordic-style diet ^(72–74)	Rich in fruits and berries, vegetables, rye, low-fat dairy products and fish. Emphasis on local foods

proportions of four core PB components, i.e. 42 g of nuts, 50 g plant protein, 20 g viscous soluble fibre and 2 g plant sterols^(1,41,67). The Mediterranean-style diet places an emphasis on olive oil, olives, nuts and moderate red wine consumption^(66,68–71). The dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet emphasises fat-free/low-fat dairy over full fat, and limits sodium and added sugar, which is quite similar to the healthy US-style diet^(8,66–68,72). Both the DASH and healthy US-style diet additionally promote protein sources other than red and processed meats, such as seafood, lean meats, poultry, eggs, soy products, nuts and seeds. The planetary health diet places an emphasis on limiting highly processed foods and the Nordic-style diet emphasises low-fat dairy, fish, fruits, berries, rye and local foods^(6,66,72–74).

As not all PB diets conform to one diet type, plant-based dietary indexes (PDI) have recently been developed to measure adherence to a PB dietary pattern within an omnivorous population⁽⁷⁵⁾. PDI are a type of dietary quality index which positively weight PB foods and negatively weight animal foods. They offer an alternative to defining PB diets in terms of complete exclusion of some or all animal foods. While not all PB foods are necessarily

‘healthy’, some studies have differentiated between what the authors describe as ‘healthy’ and ‘unhealthy’ PB dietary patterns by positively weighting healthy PB foods (e.g. wholegrain cereal products) and negatively weighting low-quality PB foods (e.g. refined cereal products); however, what is included and excluded in the healthy PDI and unhealthy PDI varies between studies^(23,24,76).

While PDI can help to make associations between adherence to a PB diet and health outcomes, they rarely provide information on nutrient contributions to the diet from the various components and so little is known about the impact of PB diets on nutritional quality. Therefore, this review also aimed to examine the nutritional role of PB diets in adults specifically investigating the intake of energy, macro- and micronutrients and compliance with current dietary recommendations when consuming a PB diet compared to an omnivorous diet.

The nutritional role of plant-based diets

Eleven observational and intervention studies comparing PB diets (raw vegan, vegan, lacto-vegetarian, lacto- and/

Table 2. Characteristics of studies comparing nutrient intakes from plant-based diets with omnivorous diets

Reference	Study type	Country	Study year(s)	Age (years)	No. of participants per diet group	Dietary assessment method	Diets compared
Bowman ⁽⁴³⁾	Observational	USA	2013–2016	20+	Non-vegetarian (9389), vegetarian (675)	24 hour recall	Non-vegetarian diet (non-lacto-ovo) v. vegetarian (lacto-ovo) diet*
Allès ⁽⁴⁴⁾	Observational	France	2009–2015	18–65+	Meat-eaters (90 664), vegans (789), vegetarians (2370)	3 × 24 hour recall	Meat-eater diet (non-vegetarian) v. vegan and vegetarian (lacto-ovo) diets†
Sobiecki ⁽⁴⁵⁾	Observational	UK	2010	30–90	Meat-eaters (18 244), vegans (803), vegetarians (6673), fish-eaters (4531)	Semi-quantitative FFQ	Meat-eater diet (omnivorous) v. vegan, vegetarian (lacto- and/or ovo) and fish-eater (pescatarian) diets*
Kristensen ⁽⁷⁹⁾	Observational	Denmark	2013–2014 DANSDA: 2005–2008	18–61	Non-vegetarians (1257), vegans (70)	4 d food diary	Non-vegetarian diet (DANSDA data excluding vegetarian and vegan diets) v. vegan diet†
Bradbury ⁽⁴⁶⁾	Observational	UK	1993–2001	20–90	Meat-eaters (424), vegans (422), vegetarians (423), fish-eaters (425)	FFQ	Meat-eater diet (omnivorous) v. vegan, vegetarian (lacto- and/or ovo) and fish-eater (pescatarian) diets*
Clarys ⁽⁴⁷⁾	Observational	Belgium	2012	20+	Omnivores (155), vegan (104), vegetarians (573), semi-vegetarians (498), pesco-vegetarians (145)	FFQ	Omnivorous diet v. vegan, vegetarian (lacto-ovo), semi-vegetarian and pesco-vegetarian (pescatarian) diets*
Newby ⁽⁴⁹⁾	Observational	Sweden	1987–1990	40+	Omnivores (54 257), vegans (83), lacto-vegetarians (159), semi-vegetarians (960)	FFQ	Omnivorous diet v. vegan, vegetarian (lacto) and semi-vegetarian (description is pescatarian) diets†
Davey ⁽⁴²⁾	Observational	UK	1993–2001	20–97	Meat-eaters (33 883), vegans (2596), vegetarians (18 840), fish-eaters (10 110)	FFQ	Meat-eater diet v. vegan, vegetarian (lacto-ovo) and fish-eater (pescatarian) diets*
Mishra ⁽⁶⁰⁾	Intervention	USA	Not stated	18+	Baseline (119), low-fat vegan (119)	2 × 24 hour recall	Baseline diet v. low-fat vegan diet (same participants)
Najjar ⁽⁵²⁾	Intervention	USA	2017	32–69	Baseline (30), raw vegan (30)	2 × 24 hour recall	Baseline diet v. raw vegan diet v. (same participants)
Rogerson ⁽⁶⁹⁾	Intervention	UK	Not stated	18–35	Baseline (12), Mediterranean diet (12), baseline (12), vegan diet (12)	3 d food diary	Baseline (omnivorous) diet v. Mediterranean diet (same participants) and baseline (omnivorous) diet v. vegan diet with B12 supplement (same participants)

DANSDA, The Danish National Survey of Dietary Habits and Physical Activity.

* Classified into consumer groups by the researcher based on participant self-reported intakes.

† Self-reported consumers.

or ovo vegetarian, pescatarian, semi-vegetarian and Mediterranean) with omnivorous diets (meat-eater or baseline diets) met the eligibility criteria for this review and are described in Table 2. Hereafter, all variations of PB diets within these studies will be referred to collectively as PB diets and all comparative/baseline diets will be described as omnivorous. Tables 3–5 present the energy, macronutrients, dietary fibre and micronutrient intake data from these studies. All values are reported as mean intakes with the exception of one study, which reported medians, as outlined in the respective tables. Where energy in MJ and percentage energy (%E) of nutrients were not provided, this was calculated using standardised conversion factors for easier comparison between studies⁽⁷⁷⁾. Micronutrient intakes are reported

from the food component of the diet only (i.e. intakes from nutritional supplements are not included). Where retinol was presented as international units (IU), this was converted using standardised conversions for easier comparison between studies⁽⁷⁸⁾.

Energy and macronutrients

Data from both observational and intervention studies showed that the intake of energy from PB diets (regardless of type) (5–12 MJ) was lower or similar than that of omnivorous diets (6–13 MJ)^(42–47,49,52,60,69,79). While this may be expected due to the lower energy density of many staple plant foods, such as fruits, vegetables, legumes and wholegrains, compared to animal-derived products, it



Table 3. Mean daily energy and macronutrient intakes from plant-based diets compared to omnivorous diets

Study	Energy (MJ)*	Protein (%E)*	Fat (%E)*	Saturated fat (%E)*	MUFA (%E)*	PUFA (%E)*	n-3 (g)	n-6 (g)	CHO (%E)*	Total sugars (%E)	Dietary fibre (g)
Bowman ⁽⁴³⁾											
Non-vegetarian	9.0	15.8	35.6	11.7	12.4	8.5	–	–	43.5	–	17.0
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	7.6↓	12.0	34.3	10.9	12.1	8.0	–	–	49.5	–	20.0
Allès ⁽⁴⁴⁾											
Meat-eater	7.9	17.6	39.0	15.6	14.0	4.5	1.3	9.2	43.3	20.4	19.5
Vegan	7.9	12.8↓	35.0	9.6	14.8	7.1	1.7	15.0↑	51.2	23.6	34.1↑
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	7.6	14.2↓	38.0	14.2	14.9	5.4	1.5	11.2↑	47.3	22.5	25.9↑
Sobiecki ⁽⁴⁵⁾											
Meat-eater	8.7	17.2	31.3	10.4	–	7.1	–	–	48.0	23.2	21.7↑
Vegan	8.1↓	13.1↓	30.5↓	6.9↓	–	10.3↑	–	–	54.0↑	23.7	28.9↑
Lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian	8.4↓	14↓	30.0↓	9.5↓	–	7.8↑	–	–	52.8↑	24.5↑	25.6↑
Pescatarian	8.5↓	15.5↓	30.3↓	9.4↓	–	7.9↑	–	–	50.7↑	24.0↑	24.9↑
Kristensen ^{†(79)}											
Omnivorous	10.6 (m) 8.0 (f)	15.0 (m) 14.9 (f)	36.6 (m) 34.9 (f)	15.0 (m) 14.4 (f)	12.9 (m) 12.0 (f)	5.2 (m) 5.1 (f)	–	–	43.3 (m) 46.1 (f)	–	23.0 (m) 20.0 (f)
Vegan	11.7 (m↑) 8.7 (f)	10.9 (m↓) 11.6 (f↓)	27.9 (m↓) 28.4 (f↓)	5.4 (m↓) 5.6 (f↓)	8.2 (m↓) 9.4 (f↓)	8.2 (m↑) 8.1 (f↑)	–	–	45.3 (m) 41.0 (f↓)	–	56.0 (m↑) 40.0 (f↑)
Bradbury ⁽⁴⁶⁾											
Meat-eater	8.1	16.0 (m) 17.0 (f)	32.0 (m) 31.0 (f)	12.0 (m) 11.0 (f)	11.0 (m) 11.0 (f)	6.0 (m) 6.0 (f)	–	–	48.0 (m) 49.0 (f)	–	19.0 (m) 20.0 (f)
Vegan	7.1	13.0 (m) 13.0 (f)	29.0 (m) 29.0 (f)	6.0 (m) 7.0 (f)	10.0 (m) 10.0 (f)	10.0 (m) 10.0 (f)	–	–	54.0 (m) 55.0 (f)	–	27.0 (m) 26.0 (f)
Lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian	8.0	13.0 (m) 14.0 (f)	31.0 (m) 30.0 (f)	11.0 (m) 10.0 (f)	10.0 (m) 10.0 (f)	7.0 (m) 7.0 (f)	–	–	51.0 (m) 53.0 (f)	–	23.0 (m) 23.0 (f)
Fish-eater	7.6	14.0 (m) 15.0 (f)	31.0 (m) 30.0 (f)	11.0 (m) 10.0 (f)	10.0 (m) 10.0 (f)	7.0 (m) 7.0 (f)	–	–	50.0 (m) 52.0 (f)	–	23.0 (m) 22.0 (f)
Clarys ⁽⁴⁷⁾											
Omnivorous	12.5	15.0	36.0	16.0	13.9	6.6	–	–	44.0	21.0	27.0
Vegan	10.0↓	14.0↓	25.0↓	8.0↓	7.2↓	10.6↑	–	–	57.0↑	27.0↑	41.0↑
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	11.4↓	14.0↓	31.0↓	13.0↓	10.2↓	7.9	–	–	51.0↑	24.0↑	34.0↑
Pescatarian	11.5	15.0	32.0↓	14.0↓	10.5↓	7.9	–	–	49.0↑	23.0	33.0↑
Semi-vegetarian	11.9	15.0	33.0↓	14.0↓	11.4	7.9	–	–	48.0↑	22.0↑	34.0↑
Newby ⁽⁴⁹⁾											
Omnivorous	5.8	16.3	30.7	13.0	11.2	4.4	–	–	50.9	–	17.0
Vegan	4.8↓	12.4↓	23.0↓	9.0↓	8.1↓	4.1	–	–	62.7↑	–	23.0↑
Lacto-vegetarian	5.1↓	13.5↓	25.2↓	11.1↓	8.4↓	3.7↓	–	–	59.8↑	–	22.4↑
Pescatarian	5.2↓	14.7↓	26.0↓	11.4↓	8.8↓	3.9↓	–	–	57.3↑	–	20.9↑
Davey ⁽⁴²⁾											
Meat-eater	9.2 (m) 8.0 (f)	16.0 (m) 17.3 (f)	31.9 (m) 31.5 (f)	10.7 (m) 10.4 (f)	–	5.2 (m) 5.2 (f)	–	–	46.9 (m) 48.3 (f)	–	18.7 (m) 18.9 (f)↑
Vegan	8.0 (m) 7.0 (f)	12.9 (m) 13.5 (f)	28.2 (m) 27.8 (f)	5.0 (m) 5.1 (f)	–	7.5 (m) 7.2 (f)	–	–	54.9 (m) 56.1 (f)	–	27.7 (m) 26.4 (f)↑
Lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian	8.8 (m) 7.6 (f)	13.1 (m) 13.8 (f)	31.1 (m) 30.4 (f)	9.4 (m) 9.3 (f)	–	5.7 (m) 5.3 (f)	–	–	51.2 (m) 52.9 (f)	–	22.7 (m) 21.8 (f)↑
Pescatarian	8.9 (m) 7.8 (f)	13.9 (m) 14.9 (f)	31.1 (m) 30.7 (f)	9.4 (m) 9.3 (f)	–	5.6 (m) 5.4 (f)	–	–	49.8 (m) 51.2 (f)	–	22.1 (m) 21.8 (f)↑
Mishra ⁽⁶⁰⁾											
Baseline	7.9	15.7	35.1	11.3	–	–	–	–	50.1	–	19.3
Low-fat vegan	6.8↓	14.6↓	31↓	8.5↓	–	–	–	–	56.6↑	–	22.9↑
Najjar ⁽⁵²⁾											
Baseline	8.6	16.5	36.4	11.6	13.2	8.4	2.1	18.5	46.3	–	20.4
Raw vegan	5.7↓	7.5↓	19.0↓	3.8↓	7.0↓	5.4↓	2.1	6.0↓	72.6↑	–	51.0↑
Rogerson ⁽⁶⁹⁾											
Baseline (vegan arm)	8.7	16.4	41.5	16.3	13.3	5.8	0.8	6.5	39.3	–	20.5
Vegan	7.6	12.6↓	36.6↓	7.2↓	14.8	8.9	1.5	13.5	47.6	–	37.7↑

Nutritional role of plant-based diets

Table 3. (Cont.)

Study	Energy (MJ)*	Protein (%E)*	Fat (%E)*	Saturated fat (%E)*	MUFA (%E)*	PUFA (%E)*	n-3 (g)	n-6 (g)	CHO (%E)*	Total sugars (%E)	Dietary fibre (g)
Baseline (Mediterranean arm)	8.7	15.9	38.0	13.2	13.0	5.8	1.4	7.4	39.7	-	20.1
Mediterranean	7.8	17.6	35.6↓	9.6↓	15.4	7.2	1.4	6.8	40.0	-	26.9†

CHO, carbohydrate; - indicates no data available; m, males; f, females.

All values are reported as mean intakes, except for where ‡ (median) is present; *where energy in MJ and percentage energy (%E) of nutrients were not provided, this was calculated using standardised conversion factors⁽⁷⁾.

†NSP reported.

‡Indicates significantly higher or lower in plant-based diet. Arrows within () indicate a significant difference within males or females only.

may also be partly explained by the conscious lifestyle choice of many who consume PB diets^(80–82). With regard to protein intake, this review found that despite protein intake being lower or similar from PB diets (8–18 % of total energy intake (%E)) compared to omnivorous diets (15–18 %E)^(42–47,49,52,60,69,79), protein intake from both PB and omnivorous diets met generally accepted population guidelines^(45,79). In contrast, at an individual level, a study by Allès *et al.*⁽⁴⁴⁾ found that 27 % of vegans and 15 % of vegetarians had intakes below the acceptable distribution intake range for total protein compared to 4 % of omnivores and Sobiecki *et al.*⁽⁴⁵⁾ reported that 8–16 % of vegans and 6–10 % of vegetarians had inadequate intakes for protein compared to 1–3 % of meat-eaters. Since many PB diets lack the main sources of high biological value protein that are found in animal-derived products, protein intake from PB diets should be carefully considered to ensure that not only an adequate amount of protein is consumed, but that the variety of protein sources provides a full complement of essential amino acids⁽⁸³⁾.

With regard to total fat intake, PB diets (19–38 %E) provided a lower or similar amount of fat compared to omnivorous diets (31–42 %E)^(42–47,49,52,60,69,79) with studies generally showing that intake of total fat from both the PB and omnivorous diets was in line with widely accepted population recommendations for fat intake^(45,79). Given that some of the key sources of saturated fat (i.e. animal products) are restricted or eliminated from PB diets, it is not unexpected that intake of saturated fat from PB diets (4–14 %E) was lower or similar compared to omnivorous diets (10–16 %)^(42–47,49,52,60,69,79). A study by Sobiecki *et al.*⁽⁴⁵⁾ investigating compliance to nutrient recommendations found that mean saturated fat intake from PB diets (7–9.5 %E) met dietary recommendations from the UK Department of Health (DOH) of <10 %E, while intake from omnivorous diets exceeded recommendations, however only by 0.4 %E. However, it is important to acknowledge that intakes of saturated fat in most Western populations is considerably >10 %E and so the wider applications of this study should be interpreted with caution⁽⁸⁴⁾.

Studies in this review found that intake of MUFA was lower or similar from PB diets (7–15 %E) compared to omnivorous diets (11–14 %E)^(43,44,46,47,49,52,69,79). Regarding PUFA intakes, most studies reported a similar or higher intake of PUFA from PB diets (5–11 %E) compared to omnivorous diets (5–9 %E)^(42–47,69,79), however two studies reported a lower intake of PUFA from PB diets (4–5 %E) compared to omnivorous diets (4–8 %E)^(49,52). Regardless, studies investigating compliance to nutrient recommendations found that PUFA intake from both PB and omnivorous diets met recommendations from the UK DOH and the Nordic Nutrition Recommendations (NNR)^(45,79). Intake of n-3 and n-6 was similar or higher from the PB diets (n-3: 1–2 g, n-6: 7–15 g), compared to omnivorous diets (n-3: <1–2 g, n-6: 7–9 g)^(44,69) with the exception of Najjar *et al.*⁽⁵²⁾ who reported a lower intake of n-6 from a raw vegan diet (6 g), compared to an omnivorous diet (19 g) which may be explained by the exclusion of

Table 4. Mean daily vitamin intakes in plant-based diets compared to omnivorous diets

Study	Vitamin A (µg)	Vitamin D (µg)	Vitamin E (mg)	Vitamin C (mg)	Thiamin (mg)	Riboflavin (mg)	Niacin (mg)	Pantothenate (mg)	Vitamin B6 (mg)	Biotin (µg)	Folate (µg)	Vitamin B12 (µg)
Bowman⁽⁴³⁾												
Non-vegetarian	629	4.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	2.2	–	–	5.1
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	692	4.0↓	–	–	–	–	–	–	1.8↓	–	–	3.5↓
Allès⁽⁴⁴⁾												
Meat-eater	1049	2.7	11.3	117	1.2	1.8	19.1	5.3	1.8	–	327	5.3
Vegan	1361↑	1.9↓	17.6↑	165↑	1.6↑	1.7↓	18.2↓	5.3	2.3↑	–	481↑	2.7↓
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	1163	2.4↓	14.3↑	131↑	1.2	1.7	16.1↓	5.1↓	1.8	–	394↑	3.6↓
Sobiecki⁽⁴⁵⁾												
Meat-eater	1394	3.8	12.1	167	1.9	2.4	25.1	–	2.6	–	413	7.9
Vegan	1083↓	1.8↓	16.3↑	190↑	2.3↑	1.8↓	21.5↓	–	2.4↓	–	504↑	0.8↓
Lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian	1085↓	2.0↓	13.6↑	174↑	2.0↑	2.3↓	19.1↓	–	2.4↓	–	452↑	3.1↓
Pescatarian	1098↓	3.7↓	13.5↑	174↑	2.0↑	2.3↓	21.4↓	–	2.5↓	–	446↑	6.4↓
Kristensen^{*(79)}												
Omnivorous	1240 (m)	2.9 (m)	7.9 (m)	100 (m)	1.5 (m)	1.9 (m)	37.4 (m)	–	1.7 (m)	–	339 (m)	6.1 (m) 4.2 (f)
	929 (f)	2.2 (f)	7.1 (f)	110 (f)	1.1 (f)	1.5 (f)	26.8 (f)	–	1.4 (f)	–	308 (f)	
Vegan	592 (m↓)	0 (m↓)	19.6 (m↑)	221 (m↑)	2.1 (m↑)	1.2 (m↓)	21.3 (m↓)	–	2.5 (m↑)	–	628 (m↑)	0 (m↓) 0 (f↓)
	542 (f↓)	0 (f↓)	15.3 (f↑)	221 (f↑)	1.5 (f↑)	1.0 (f↓)	17.5 (f↓)	–	1.9 (f↑)	–	578 (f↑)	
Davey⁽⁴²⁾												
Meat-eater	740 (m)†	3.4 (m)	11.8 (m)	119 (m)	1.7 (m)	2.3 (m)	24.7 (m)	–	2.3 (m)	–	329 (m)	7.4 (m) 7.0 (f)
	654 (f)†	3.3 (f)	10.7 (f)	138 (f)	1.7 (f)	2.2 (f)	23.2 (f)	–	2.2 (f)	–	321 (f)	
Vegan	74.2 (m)†	0.9 (m)	16.1 (m)	155 (m)	2.3 (m)	2.3 (m)	23.9 (m)	–	2.2 (m)	–	431 (m)	0.4 (m) 0.5 (f)
	76.6 (f)†	0.9 (f)	14.0 (f)	169 (f)	2.1 (f)	2.1 (f)	21.1 (f)	–	2.1 (f)	–	412 (f)	
Lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian	306 (m)†	1.6 (m)	13.7 (m)	123 (m)	1.9 (m)	2.2 (m)	20.8 (m)	–	2.0 (m)	–	367 (m)	2.6 (m) 2.5 (f)
	277 (f)†	1.5 (f)	11.6 (f)	147 (f)	1.8 (f)	2.1 (f)	18.3 (f)	–	1.9 (f)	–	350 (f)	
Pescatarian	337 (m)†	2.9 (m)	13.0 (m)	130 (m)	1.8 (m)	2.2 (m)	21.7 (m)	–	2.1 (m)	–	358 (m)	5.0 (m) 4.9 (f)
	308 (f)	2.8 (f)	11.4 (f)	147 (f)	1.7 (f)	2.1 (f)	19.5 (f)	–	2.0 (f)	–	346 (f)	
Najjar⁽⁵²⁾												
Baseline	2480‡	4.0	9.9	88.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	298	4.0
Raw vegan	10 016‡↑	0.3	10.6	413↑	–	–	–	–	–	–	741↑	0.3↓
Rogerson⁽⁶⁹⁾												
Baseline (vegan arm)	799	2.4	9.7	107	1.5	1.7	32.0	5.5	1.7	34.0	223	4.9
Vegan	1123	2.0	11.6	109	1.6	1.1↓	23.0	3.5	1.4	41.0	272	0.9↓
Baseline (Mediterranean arm)	627	3.4	10.1	99.0	1.5	1.6	40.2	5.6	1.8	34.0	278	4.6
Mediterranean	1054↑	4.3	10.7	116	2.2↑	1.7	47.9	6.3	2.3	36.0↑	295	5.0

All values are reported as mean intakes, except for where * (median) is present †↑ indicates significantly higher or lower in plant-based diet. Arrows within () indicate a significant difference within males or females only.

†Retinol; ‡converted to µg from IU using standardised conversions⁽⁷⁸⁾.

**Table 5.** Mean daily mineral intakes in plant-based diets compared to omnivorous diets

Study	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg)	Magnesium (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Copper (mg)	Iodine (µg)	Manganese (mg)	Selenium (µg)	Phosphorous (mg)	Potassium (mg)	Sodium (mg)
Bowman ⁽⁴³⁾											
Non-vegetarian	956	14.3	305	11.4	–	–	–	–	1408	2668	3615
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	975	13.9	315	8.7↓	–	–	–	–	1177↓	2343↓	2426↓
Allès ⁽⁴⁴⁾											
Meat-eater	924	13.4	336	10.9	1.7	180	4.1	70.5	1276	2997	2719
Vegan	760↓	18.6↑	495↑	10.0↓	2.5↑	248↑	7.7↑	64.1↓	1250	3676↑	2590↓
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	960↑	15.4↑	408↑	9.9↓	2.0↑	223↑	6.0↑	64.5↓	1258	3139↑	2480↓
Sobiecki ⁽⁴⁵⁾											
Meat-eater	1083	16.3	390	10.5	1.6	212	–	66.3	–	4158	2624
Vegan	848↓	18.3↑	470↑	8.7↓	2.1↑	58.5↓	–	54.9↓	–	4115	2645
Lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian	1117↑	16.7↑	419↑	10.3↓	1.7↑	148↓	–	47.2↓	–	4013↓	2631
Pescatarian	1131↑	16.7↑	421↑	10.2↓	1.7↑	197↓	–	65.5	–	4140	2701
Kristensen ^{*(79)}											
Omnivorous	1154 (m) 1054 (f)	12.0 (m) 9.3 (f)	412 (m) 332 (f)	13.0 (m) 9.6 (f)	–	213 (m) 178 (f)	–	52.0 (m) 39.0 (f)	1686 (m) 1297 (f)	3871 (m) 3183 (f)	4226 (m) 3020 (f)
Vegan	885 (m↓) 724 (f↓)	18.5 (m↑) 13.5 (f↑)	645 (m↑) 484 (f↑)	10.5 (m↓) 8.6 (f↓)	–	64.0 (m↓) 65.0 (f↓)	–	33.0 (m↓) 25.0 (f↓)	1555 (m↓) 1249 (f↓)	4274 (m↑) 3602 (f↑)	2068 (m↓) 1589 (f↓)
Clarys ⁽⁴⁷⁾											
Omnivorous	1199	17.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3296
Vegan	738↓	23.0↑	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1316↓
Lacto-ovo vegetarian	1465↑	20.0↑	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2228↓
Pescatarian	1470	20.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2371
Semi-vegetarian	1470	20.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2679
Davey ⁽⁴²⁾											
Meat-eater	1057 (m) 989 (f)	13.4 (m) 12.6 (f)	366 (m) 341 (f)	9.8 (m) 9.2 (f)	–	–	–	–	–	3965 (m) 3839 (f)	–
Vegan	610 (m) 582 (f)	15.3 (m) 14.1 (f)	440 (m) 391 (f)	8.0 (m) 7.2 (f)	–	–	–	–	–	4029 (m) 3817 (f)	–
Lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian	1087 (m) 1012 (f)	13.9 (m) 12.6 (f)	396 (m) 352 (f)	8.4 (m) 7.7 (f)	–	–	–	–	–	3867 (m) 3656 (f)	–
Pescatarian	1081 (m) 1021 (f)	14.0 (m) 12.8 (f)	396 (m) 358 (f)	8.6 (m) 7.9 (f)	–	–	–	–	–	3940 (m) 3759 (f)	–
Najjar ⁽⁵²⁾											
Baseline	796	15.4	288	12.2	–	–	–	–	–	2668	3730
Raw vegan	566↓	15.3	488↑	7.8↓	–	–	–	–	–	5078↑	839↓
Rogerson ⁽⁶⁹⁾											
Baseline (vegan arm)	988	9.7	284	9.2	1.1	169	3.6	50.2	1368	2796	2092
Vegan	669	13.5↑	375↑	7.0	1.9↑	19.1↓	5.8↑	38.1	1020↓	3063	1161↓
Baseline (Mediterranean arm)	747	12.1	423	8.5	1.3	145	3.2	52.6	1337	3151	1569
Mediterranean	836	13.6↑	412	9.3	1.6	131	3.8↑	65.7	1292	3142	2146

All values are reported as mean intakes, except for where * (median) is present ↑↓ indicates significantly higher or lower in plant-based diet. Arrows within () indicate a significant difference within males or females only.

oils and PB fats from this diet. It should be noted that while the body can convert α -linolenic acid from PB foods, such as nuts and seeds to n -3, research suggests that the process is inefficient and that n -3 from animal sources (i.e. oily fish) is more bioavailable^(12,85,86).

This review found that carbohydrate intake was similar or higher from PB diets (40–73 %E) compared to omnivorous diets (39–51 %E)^(42–47,49,52,60,69,79) with studies showing that carbohydrate intake from PB diets met recommendations while carbohydrate intake in omnivorous diets was below recommendations from the UK Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition and the NNR^(45,79). Furthermore, at an individual level, a study by Allès *et al.*⁽⁴⁴⁾ showed that 36 % of meat-eaters had intakes below the acceptable distribution range for carbohydrates, compared to 16 % of vegans and 23 % of vegetarians. With regard to dietary sugars, those consuming PB diets had a similar or higher intake (22–27 %E) of total sugar compared to omnivorous diets (20–23 %E)^(44,45,47). No study reported free sugar intake and only Kristensen *et al.*⁽⁷⁹⁾ provided an estimate of added sugar intake, where intake of added sugars in the PB diet was lower (3–4 %E) than the omnivorous diet (8 %E) (data not shown). However, the omnivorous diet in this study may not be representative of a typical adult diet in the Western world where intakes of added sugars are typically about 10 %E⁽⁸⁷⁾. Intake of dietary fibre was similar or higher from PB diets (20–56 g) compared to omnivorous diets (17–27 g)^(42–47,49,52,60,69,79) with studies showing that dietary fibre intake from PB diets (NSP 25–29 g, dietary fibre 40–56 g) met recommendations from the UK Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition and NNR, while intake from omnivorous diets (NSP 22 g, dietary fibre 20–23 g) did not^(45,79). These findings may be expected due to the high dietary fibre content of PB foods such as fruits, vegetables, legumes and wholegrains, compared to animal-derived products.

Micronutrients

Intake of vitamin A from PB diets (692–10 016 μ g) was generally higher than omnivorous diets (627–2480 μ g), however two studies reported lower intakes from the PB diet (542–1098 μ g) compared to the omnivorous diet (929–1394 μ g)^(43–45,52,69,79). One study reported that retinol intakes from the PB diet were lower than the omnivorous diet which is not surprising given that animal-derived products are key sources of retinol⁽⁴²⁾. Regardless, the prevalence of inadequate intakes of vitamin A is low with just 1–8 % of those consuming PB diets and 1–3 % of omnivores having inadequate intakes of vitamin A⁽⁴⁵⁾.

Vitamin D intake was lower or similar from PB diets (0–4 μ g) compared to omnivorous diets (2–5 μ g)^(42–45,52,69,79), which is not an unexpected finding, given that, natural dietary sources of vitamin D are limited to animal-derived products (with the exception of mushrooms grown under UV light). Kristensen *et al.*⁽⁷⁹⁾ found that vitamin D intakes from the PB diet and the omnivorous diet were below national recommendations (NNR) which is not surprising given that low intakes of vitamin

D are reported in populations globally^(88,89). Even in non-vegetarians, supplementation is often recommended to ensure adequate intakes particularly in winter months^(90,91). Furthermore, foods fortified with vitamin D, e.g. ready-to-eat breakfast cereals or PB alternative foods, may make a useful contribution to vitamin D^(19,89,92).

Intake of vitamin E was similar or higher from PB diets (11–20 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (7–12 mg)^(42,44,45,52,69,79), partially explained by the presence of vitamin E in vegetable oils, nuts and green vegetables. Studies showed that intake of vitamin E from both PB diets and omnivorous diets did not meet recommendations from the US Institute of Medicine and the NNR; however, one study found that vitamin E intake from the PB diet met population recommendations (NNR)^(45,79).

Intake of vitamin C was similar or higher from PB diets (109–413 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (88–167 mg)^(42,44,45,52,69,79), but vitamin C intake from both PB and omnivorous diets met population recommendations nonetheless (UK DOH and NNR)^(45,79).

Intakes of thiamin, folate and biotin were similar or higher from PB diets compared to omnivorous diets^(42–45,52,69,79). Studies investigating compliance with recommendations found that intakes of thiamin and folate in both PB and omnivorous diets were in line with recommendations from the UK DOH for general population intake (however, for folate this is dependent on the dietary reference value used as recommendations vary between countries)^(45,79). Vitamin B6 intake was lower or similar from PB diets (1.4–2.3 mg) in most studies, compared to omnivorous diets (1.7–2.6 mg)^(42–45,69,79), which is expected as meat and fish are good sources of vitamin B6. However, vitamin B6 intake from the vegan diet according to Allès *et al.*⁽⁴⁴⁾ and Kristensen *et al.*⁽⁷⁹⁾ was similar or higher (1.8–2.5 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (1.4–1.8 mg). Regardless, intake of vitamin B6 from both PB and omnivorous diets met population recommendations from the UK DOH and the NNR^(45,79). Intakes of other B vitamins, such as riboflavin, niacin and pantothenate, were lower or similar from PB diets compared to omnivorous diets^(42–45,52,69,79). Studies investigating compliance with recommendations found that intakes of riboflavin and niacin from both PB and omnivorous diets met national population recommendations (UK DOH and NNR)^(45,79). Vitamin B12 intake was lower or similar from PB diets (0–6 μ g) compared to omnivorous diets (4–8 μ g)^(42–45,52,69,79), which is expected as vitamin B12 is naturally found in animal-derived products, including meat, fish eggs and dairy and not usually a constituent of PB foods⁽⁹³⁾. Vitamin B12 intake from diets which included some animal-derived foods, including lacto- and/or ovo vegetarian and pescatarian diets, as well as omnivorous diets met population recommendations; however, intake of vitamin B12 from the vegan diet did not meet population recommendations (UK DOH and NNR)^(45,79). As vegan foods do not naturally contain vitamin B12, the consumption of dietary supplements and/or fortified foods is required to maintain an adequate supply of vitamin B12 in the diet of vegans or those who significantly limit their intake of animal-derived foods⁽⁹⁴⁾.

Generally, calcium intake from PB diets varied depending on the level of exclusion of dairy products^(42–45,47,52,69,79). For example, intake of calcium from vegan diets was lower or similar (566–885 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (747–1199 mg)^(42,44,45,47,52,69,79), while intake of calcium from other PB diets which include dairy (i.e. vegetarian, pescatarian, semi-vegetarian and Mediterranean) was similar or higher (960–1470 mg) compared to omnivorous diets^(42–45,47,69). Kristensen *et al.*⁽⁷⁹⁾ showed that intake of calcium from both the PB and omnivorous diet generally met population recommendations (NNR). However, Sobiecki *et al.*⁽⁴⁵⁾ found that the prevalence of inadequate intake of calcium was notable in meat eaters (26–39 %) but higher in vegans (52–64 %).

Intake of iron from PB diets was similar or higher (13–23 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (9–17 mg)^(42–45,47,52,69,79), which may be unexpected; however, a recent review has suggested that higher iron intakes from PB diets may be due to consumption of green leafy vegetables, beans, nuts and seeds which are highly consumed in PB diets⁽²⁵⁾. While studies considered in this review found that iron intake from both PB and omnivorous diets met recommendations from the UK DOH and the NNR, it is important to acknowledge the bioavailability of haem iron compared to non-haem iron. The US Institute of Medicine recommends an iron intake 1.8 times higher for vegetarians than that of omnivores due to the lower bioavailability of non-haem iron arising from PB foods compared with the haem iron from animal-derived sources^(45,95). While lower ferritin levels have been reported in those consuming PB diets compared to omnivorous diets, a recent systematic review found that there is no difference in the prevalence of iron deficiency between those following a PB diet and those following an omnivorous diet⁽²⁵⁾.

Zinc intake was lower or similar from PB diets (7–11 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (9–13 mg)^(42–45,52,69,79). Kristensen *et al.*⁽⁷⁹⁾ showed that both PB and omnivorous diets met population recommendations (NNR). While Sobiecki *et al.*⁽⁴⁵⁾ found that 4–27 % of vegetarians and vegans had inadequate intake of zinc compared to 2–8 % of meat-eaters; however when adjusted for bioavailability, 30–55 % of vegetarians and 56–74 % vegans had inadequate intake of zinc. Those consuming PB diets may have up to 50 % higher requirements of zinc due to lower bioavailability of zinc-rich plant foods, which contain phytate, a zinc inhibitor, compared to animal-derived sources^(11,25,95).

Intake of iodine was generally lower or similar from PB diets (19–197 µg) compared to omnivorous diets (145–213 µg), which is as expected given key sources of iodine include fish, seafood, eggs and milk^(45,69,79). One exception was a study by Allès *et al.*⁽⁴⁴⁾, who reported higher iodine intakes in PB diets. A high consumption of PB drinks was observed among vegans (419 g/d), which (if fortified with iodine) may explain this higher iodine intake⁽⁴⁴⁾. However, studies comparing intakes to recommendations from the US Institute of Medicine and NNR found that intakes from omnivorous diets generally met recommendations for iodine while intakes from PB diets did not, with approximately 30 % of vegetarians and 93–94 % of vegans estimated to have inadequate intakes of iodine^(45,79).

Intake of selenium was lower or similar from PB diets (25–66 µg) compared to omnivorous diets (39–71 µg)^(44,45,69,79). While animal-derived foods are a good source of selenium, the content of selenium in plant foods depends on the content of the soil in which it is grown and therefore varies significantly but is generally lower⁽⁹⁵⁾. However, studies which compared intakes to recommendations from the US Institute of Medicine and NNR found that intakes from both the PB and omnivorous diets did meet recommendations^(45,79).

Those consuming PB diets had similar or higher intakes of magnesium compared to omnivorous diets and studies showed that magnesium intake from both diets met population recommendations (UK DOH and NNR)^(42–45,52,69,79). Few studies provided data on intakes of copper, manganese and phosphorus; however, where available, intakes of copper and manganese were similar or higher and intake of phosphorus was lower or similar from PB diets compared to omnivorous diets^(43–45,52,69,79).

Potassium intake was generally similar or higher from PB diets (3063–5078 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (2668–3965 mg)^(42–45,52,69,79), with the exception of two studies which showed a lower intake of potassium in vegetarian diets compared to omnivorous diets^(43,45). Nonetheless, studies found that potassium intake in both the PB and omnivorous diets met population recommendations (World Health Organisation and NNR)^(45,79).

Sodium intake was lower or similar from PB diets (839–2701 mg) compared to omnivorous diets (1569–4226 mg); however, intake of sodium in both the PB and omnivorous diets exceeded population recommendations (UK DOH and NNR)^(45,79). This is not unexpected as it is widely reported that sodium intake worldwide is well in excess of recommendations⁽⁹⁶⁾.

Conclusions

A global shift towards a more PB diet has been recommended for both health and environmental sustainability. This review aimed to summarise the definitions of PB diets globally and to investigate the nutritional role of PB diets in adults. This review found that there is a wide range of PB definitions in the literature including the traditional vegetarian diets, which exclude animal-derived foods in different amounts including lacto-vegetarian, ovo-vegetarian, lacto-ovo vegetarian, pescatarian and vegan. Furthermore, definitions have expanded to include semi-vegetarian/flexitarian diets which allow some animal-derived food consumption. Other diets (e.g. portfolio, Mediterranean-style, DASH, healthy US-style, planetary health and Nordic-style diets) are generally high in fruit, vegetables, legumes, wholegrains, nuts and seeds, and place further emphasis on certain PB components, such as olive oil, olives, nuts and moderate red wine intake or specific proportions of PB components and encourage moderate (or no) intake of animal-derived foods. PDI which positively weight PB foods and negatively weight animal foods have also been developed to measure adherence to a PB dietary

pattern in an omnivorous diet, however, what is defined within each PDI varies.

Notwithstanding the variations in PB diet definitions, data from observational and intervention studies have shown that those consuming a PB diet have lower or similar intakes of energy, protein, total fat, saturated fat, MUFA and added sugar and higher or similar intakes of carbohydrate, PUFA (including *n*-3 and *n*-6), total sugars and dietary fibre than those consuming an omnivorous diet.

Those consuming a PB diet had lower or similar intakes of vitamin D, riboflavin, niacin, pantothenate, vitamin B6, vitamin B12, zinc, iodine, selenium, phosphorous and sodium and higher or similar intakes of vitamins A, E, C, thiamin, folate, biotin, iron, magnesium, copper, manganese and potassium than those consuming an omnivorous diet. Findings for calcium varied depending on the level of exclusion of dairy products with intakes from vegan diets being lower than other PB and omnivorous diets.

Overall, this review has highlighted that those consuming a PB diet are more likely to meet recommended intakes for carbohydrate, dietary fibre and vitamin E and are less likely to meet recommendations for protein, vitamin B12 and iodine compared to omnivores. Regardless of consumer type, both PB consumers and omnivores were noted to have low intakes of vitamin D and calcium and high intakes of sodium compared to recommendations.

While intakes of protein, *n*-3, iron and zinc were generally sufficient from the PB diet, it is important to acknowledge the lower bioavailability of these nutrients from PB foods compared to animal-derived products. As dietary patterns shift towards a more PB diet there is a need for further studies to investigate the role of PB diets for nutritional adequacy and status in populations currently accustomed to consuming a primarily omnivorous diet.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Irish section of the Nutrition Society for inviting the present review paper as part of the postgraduate review competition.

Financial Support

This work was supported by funding from the Irish Department of Agriculture Food and the Marine.

Conflict of Interest

None.

Authorship

G. K., L. K. and J. W. contributed to the scope of this review. G. K. contributed to the data extraction and wrote the first draft. All authors contributed to the writing of the final manuscript. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version submitted for publication.

References

- Chiavaroli L, Nishi SK, Khan TA *et al.* (2018) Portfolio dietary pattern and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* **61**, 43–53.
- Qian F, Liu G, Hu FB *et al.* (2019) Association between plant-based dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* **179**, 1335–1344.
- Rees K, Takeda A, Martin N *et al.* (2019) Mediterranean-style diet for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **3**, CD009825.
- Toumpanakis A, Turnbull T & Alba-Barba I (2018) Effectiveness of plant-based diets in promoting well-being in the management of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* **6**, e000534.
- Dinu M, Abbate R, Gensini GF *et al.* (2017) Vegetarian, vegan diets and multiple health outcomes: a systematic review with meta-analysis of observational studies. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **57**, 3640–3649.
- Willett W, Rockström J, Loken B *et al.* (2019) Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet* **393**, 447–492.
- Gonzalez Fischer C & Garnett T (2016) *Plates, Pyramids, Planet. Developments in National Healthy and Sustainable Dietary Guidelines: A State of Play Assessment*. Oxford, England: Food and Agriculture Organization and The University of Oxford.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture (2015) 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 8th ed. <http://health.gov/dietary-guidelines/2015/guidelines/>.
- Herforth A, Arimond M, Alvarez-Sanchez C *et al.* (2019) A global review of food-based dietary guidelines. *Adv Nutr* **10**, 590–605.
- Cocking C, Walton J, Kehoe L *et al.* (2020) The role of meat in the European diet: current state of knowledge on dietary recommendations, intakes and contribution to energy and nutrient intakes and status. *Nutr Res Rev* **33**, 181–189.
- Allen LH, Carriquiry AL & Murphy SP (2019) Perspective: proposed harmonized nutrient reference values for populations. *Adv Nutr* **11**, 469–483.
- Swanson D, Block R & Mousa SA (2012) Omega-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA: health benefits throughout life. *Adv Nutr* **3**, 1–7.
- Satija A & Hu FB (2018) Plant-based diets and cardiovascular health. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* **28**, 437–441.
- Plant-Based Foods Association (2019) *Certified Plant-Based Claim Certification Program*. California, USA.
- Remde A, DeTurk SN, Almardini A *et al.* (2021) Plant-predominant eating patterns – how effective are they for treating obesity and related cardiometabolic health outcomes? – a systematic review. *Nutr Rev*, nuab060, doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuab060.
- Rajaram S, Jones J & Lee GJ (2019) Plant-based dietary patterns, plant foods, and age-related cognitive decline. *Adv Nutr* **10**, S422–S436.
- Katz DL (2019) Plant-based diets for reversing disease and saving the planet: past, present, and future. *Adv Nutr* **10**, S304–S307.
- Graça J, Truninger M, Junqueira L *et al.* (2019) Consumption orientations may support (or hinder) transitions to more plant-based diets. *Appetite* **140**, 19–26.



19. Alcorta A, Porta A, Tárrega A *et al.* (2021) Foods for plant-based diets: challenges and innovations. *Foods* **10**, 293.
20. Bates B, Lennox A, Bates C *et al.* (2011) *National Diet and Nutrition Survey. Headline results from years 1 and 2 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009–2009/10)*. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-headline-results-from-years-1-and-2-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-2008-9-2009-10>.
21. Juan W, Yamini S & Britten P (2015) Food intake patterns of self-identified vegetarians among the U.S. population, 2007–2010. *Procedia Food Sci* **4**, 86–93.
22. Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance (2011) *The National Adult Nutrition Survey Summary Report*. <https://www.iuna.net>.
23. Satija A, Bhupathiraju SN, Rimm EB *et al.* (2016) Plant-based dietary patterns and incidence of type 2 diabetes in US men and women: results from three prospective cohort studies. *PLoS Med* **13**, e1002039.
24. Kim H, Caulfield LE & Rebholz CM (2018) Healthy plant-based diets are associated with lower risk of all-cause mortality in US adults. *J Nutr* **148**, 624–631.
25. Bakaloudi DR, Halloran A, Rippin HL *et al.* (2020) Intake and adequacy of the vegan diet. A systematic review of the evidence. *Clin Nutr* **40**, 3503–3521.
26. Markets and Markets (2021) Meat substitutes market by source (soy protein, wheat protein, pea protein), type (concentrates, isolates, and textured), product (tofu, tempeh, seitan, and Quorn), form (solid and liquid), and region – global forecast to 2026. <https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/meat-substitutes-market-979.html> (accessed 21 October 2021).
27. Smart Protein (2021) *Plant-based foods in Europe: How big is the market? Smart Protein Plant-based Food Sector Report by Smart Protein Project, European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (No 862957)*.
28. Wickramasinghe K, Breda J, Berdzuli N *et al.* (2021) The shift to plant-based diets: are we missing the point? *Glob Food Sec* **29**, 100530.
29. Gehring J, Touvier M, Baudry J *et al.* (2020) Consumption of ultra-processed foods by pesco-vegetarians, vegetarians, and vegans: associations with duration and age at diet initiation. *J Nutr* **151**, 120–131.
30. Curtain F & Grafenauer S (2019) Plant-based meat substitutes in the flexitarian age: an audit of products on supermarket shelves. *Nutrients* **11**, 2603.
31. Safefood (2021) Vegetarian meat substitutes; products available in supermarkets on the island of Ireland and consumer behaviours and perceptions. <https://www.safefood.net/research-reports/vegetarian-meat-substitutes>.
32. Fagerland MW (2012) t-tests, non-parametric tests, and large studies – a paradox of statistical practice? *BMC Med Res Methodol* **12**, 78.
33. European Food Safety Authority (2014) Guidance on the EU menu methodology. *EFSA J* **12**, 80.
34. The Vegetarian Society UK (n.d.) What is a vegetarian? <https://vegsoc.org/info-hub/definition/> (accessed 13th October 2021).
35. Rocha JP, Laster J, Parag B *et al.* (2019) Multiple health benefits and minimal risks associated with vegetarian diets. *Curr Nutr Rep* **8**, 374–381.
36. Patel H, Chandra S, Alexander S *et al.* (2017) Plant-based nutrition: an essential component of cardiovascular disease prevention and management. *Curr Cardiol Rep* **19**, 104.
37. Corrin T & Papadopoulos A (2016) Understanding the attitudes and perceptions of vegetarian and plant-based diets to shape future health promotion programs. *Appetite* **109**, 40–47.
38. Yokoyama Y, Levin SM & Barnard ND (2017) Association between plant-based diets and plasma lipids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev* **75**, 683–698.
39. Kahleova H, Levin S & Barnard N (2017) Cardio-metabolic benefits of plant-based diets. *Nutrients* **9**, 848.
40. Barnard ND, Levin SM & Yokoyama Y (2015) A systematic review and meta-analysis of changes in body weight in clinical trials of vegetarian diets. *J Acad Nutr Diet* **115**, 954–969.
41. Ferdowsian HR & Barnard ND (2009) Effects of plant-based diets on plasma lipids. *Am J Cardiol* **104**, 947–956.
42. Davey GK, Spencer EA, Appleby PN *et al.* (2003) EPIC-Oxford: lifestyle characteristics and nutrient intakes in a cohort of 33 883 meat-eaters and 31 546 non meat-eaters in the UK. *Public Health Nutr* **6**, 259–269.
43. Bowman SA (2020) A vegetarian-style dietary pattern is associated with lower energy, saturated fat, and sodium intakes; and higher whole grains, legumes, nuts, and soy intakes by adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys 2013–2016. *Nutrients* **12**, 2668.
44. Allès B, Baudry J, Mejean C *et al.* (2017) Comparison of sociodemographic and nutritional characteristics between self-reported vegetarians, vegans, and meat-eaters from the NutriNet-Santé study. *Nutrients* **9**, 1023.
45. Sobiecki JG, Appleby PN, Bradbury KE *et al.* (2016) High compliance with dietary recommendations in a cohort of meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians, and vegans: results from the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition – Oxford study. *Nutr Res* **36**, 464–477.
46. Bradbury KE, Crowe FL, Appleby PN *et al.* (2014) Serum concentrations of cholesterol, apolipoprotein A-I and apolipoprotein B in a total of 1694 meat-eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **68**, 178–183.
47. Clarys P, Deliens T, Huybrechts I *et al.* (2014) Comparison of nutritional quality of the vegan, vegetarian, semi-vegetarian, pesco-vegetarian and omnivorous diet. *Nutrients* **6**, 1318–1332.
48. Melina V, Craig W & Levin S (2016) Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: vegetarian diets. *J Acad Nutr Diet* **116**, 1970–1980.
49. Newby PK, Tucker KL & Wolk A (2005) Risk of overweight and obesity among semivegetarian, lactovegetarian, and vegan women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **81**, 1267–1274.
50. The Vegan Society (2021) Definition of veganism. <https://www.vegansociety.com/go-vegan/definition-veganism> (accessed 19 March 2021).
51. Tuso P, Stoll SR & Li WW (2015) A plant-based diet, atherogenesis, and coronary artery disease prevention. *Perm J* **19**, 62–67.
52. Najjar RS, Moore CE & Montgomery BD (2018) A defined, plant-based diet utilized in an outpatient cardiovascular clinic effectively treats hypercholesterolemia and hypertension and reduces medications. *Clin Cardiol* **41**, 307–313.
53. Wright N, Wilson L, Smith M *et al.* (2017) The BROAD study: a randomised controlled trial using a whole food plant-based diet in the community for obesity, ischaemic heart disease or diabetes. *Nutr Diabetes* **7**, e256.
54. Jakse B, Jakse B, Pinter S *et al.* (2020) Nutrient and food intake of participants in a whole-food plant-based lifestyle program. *J Am Coll Nutr* **40**, 333–348.
55. Storz MA (2018) Is there a lack of support for whole-food, plant-based diets in the medical community? *Perm J* **23**, 18–068.
56. Ostfeld RJ (2017) Definition of a plant-based diet and overview of this special issue. *J Geriatr Cardiol* **14**, 315.
57. McMacken M & Shah S (2017) A plant-based diet for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes. *J Geriatr Cardiol* **14**, 342–354.

58. Bunner AE, Wells CL, Gonzales J *et al.* (2015) A dietary intervention for chronic diabetic neuropathy pain: a randomized controlled pilot study. *Nutr Diabetes* **5**, e158.
59. Tuso PJ, Ismail MH, Ha BP *et al.* (2013) Nutritional update for physicians: plant-based diets. *Perm J* **17**, 61–66.
60. Mishra S, Xu J, Agarwal U *et al.* (2013) A multicenter randomized controlled trial of a plant-based nutrition program to reduce body weight and cardiovascular risk in the corporate setting: the GEICO study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **67**, 718–724.
61. Trapp C & Levin S (2012) Preparing to prescribe plant-based diets for diabetes prevention and treatment. *Diabetes Spectr* **25**, 38–44.
62. Turner-McGrievy GM, Davidson CR, Wingard EE *et al.* (2015) Comparative effectiveness of plant-based diets for weight loss: a randomized controlled trial of five different diets. *Nutrition* **31**, 350–358.
63. Wozniak H, Larpin C, de Mestral C *et al.* (2020) Vegetarian, pescatarian and flexitarian diets: sociodemographic determinants and association with cardiovascular risk factors in a Swiss urban population. *BJN* **124**, 844–852.
64. Derbyshire EJ (2016) Flexitarian diets and health: a review of the evidence-based literature. *Front Nutr* **3**, 55.
65. Harland J & Garton L (2016) An update of the evidence relating to plant-based diets and cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes and overweight. *Nutrition Bulletin* **41**, 323–338.
66. Hemler EC & Hu FB (2019) Plant-based diets for cardiovascular disease prevention: all plant foods are not created equal. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* **21**, 18.
67. Chiavaroli L, Viguiouk E, Nishi SK *et al.* (2019) DASH dietary pattern and cardiometabolic outcomes: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Nutrients* **11**, 338.
68. Hemler EC & Hu FB (2019) Plant-based diets for personal, population, and planetary health. *Adv Nutr* **10**, S275–S283.
69. Rogerson D, Macas D, Milner M *et al.* (2018) Contrasting effects of short-term Mediterranean and vegan diets on microvascular function and cholesterol in younger adults: a comparative pilot study. *Nutrients* **10**, 1897.
70. Nelson ME, Hamm MW, Hu FB *et al.* (2016) Alignment of healthy dietary patterns and environmental sustainability: a systematic review. *Adv Nutr* **7**, 1005–1025.
71. Trichopoulou A, Martínez-González MA, Tong TYN *et al.* (2014) Definitions and potential health benefits of the Mediterranean diet: views from experts around the world. *BMC Med* **12**, 112.
72. Eichelmann F, Schwingshackl L, Fedirko V *et al.* (2016) Effect of plant-based diets on obesity-related inflammatory profiles: a systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention trials. *Obes Rev* **17**, 1067–1079.
73. Adamsson V, Reumark A, Fredriksson IB *et al.* (2011) Effects of a healthy Nordic diet on cardiovascular risk factors in hypercholesterolaemic subjects: a randomized controlled trial (NORDIET). *J Intern Med* **269**, 150–159.
74. Kanerva N, Rissanen H, Knekt P *et al.* (2014) The healthy Nordic diet and incidence of type 2 diabetes – 10-year follow-up. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **106**, e34–e37.
75. Martínez-González MA, Sánchez-Tainta A, Corella D *et al.* (2014) A provegetarian food pattern and reduction in total mortality in the Prevención con Dieta Mediterránea (PREDIMED) study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **100**(Suppl. 1), 320s–328s.
76. Chen Z, Zuurmond MG, van der Schaft N *et al.* (2018) Plant versus animal based diets and insulin resistance, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes: the Rotterdam study. *Eur J Epidemiol* **33**, 883–893.
77. The Royal Society (1972) Metric units, conversion factors and nomenclature in nutritional and food sciences. *J Sci Food Agric* **23**, 1383–1391.
78. EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies) (2015) Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for vitamin A. *EFSA J* **13**, 4028.
79. Kristensen NB, Madsen ML, Hansen TH *et al.* (2015) Intake of macro- and micronutrients in Danish vegans. *Nutr J* **14**, 115.
80. Orlich MJ, Singh PN, Sabaté J *et al.* (2013) Vegetarian dietary patterns and mortality in adventist health study 2. *JAMA Intern Med* **173**, 1230–1238.
81. Bedford JL & Barr SI (2005) Diets and selected lifestyle practices of self-defined adult vegetarians from a population-based sample suggest they are more ‘health conscious’. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **2**, 4.
82. De Backer CJS & Hudders L (2014) From meatless Mondays to meatless Sundays: motivations for meat reduction among vegetarians and semi-vegetarians who mildly or significantly reduce their meat intake. *Ecol Food Nutr* **53**, 639–657.
83. Mariotti F & Gardner CD (2019) Dietary protein and amino acids in vegetarian diets-A review. *Nutrients* **11**, 2661.
84. Rippin HL, Hutchinson J, Jewell J *et al.* (2017) Adult nutrient intakes from current national dietary surveys of European populations. *Nutrients* **9**, 1288.
85. Lane K, Derbyshire E, Li W *et al.* (2014) Bioavailability and potential uses of vegetarian sources of omega-3 fatty acids: a review of the literature. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **54**, 572–579.
86. Saunders AV, Davis BC & Garg ML (2012) Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vegetarian diets. *Med J Aust* **1**, 22–26.
87. Walton J, Bell H, Re R *et al.* (2021) Current perspectives on global sugars consumption: definitions, recommendations, population intakes, challenges and future direction. *Nutr Res Rev*, 1–62. doi:10.1017/S095442242100024X.
88. Cashman KD & Kiely M (2014) Recommended dietary intakes for vitamin D: where do they come from, what do they achieve and how can we meet them? *J Hum Nutr Diet* **27**, 434–442.
89. Kiely M & Black LJ (2012) Dietary strategies to maintain adequacy of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest Suppl* **243**, 14–23.
90. Amrein K, Scherkl M, Hoffmann M *et al.* (2020) Vitamin D deficiency 2.0: an update on the current status worldwide. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **74**, 1498–1513.
91. Cashman KD (2020) Vitamin D deficiency: defining, prevalence, causes, and strategies of addressing. *Calcif Tissue Int* **106**, 14–29.
92. Buttriss JL & Lanham-New SA (2020) Is a vitamin D fortification strategy needed? *Nutr Bull* **45**, 115–122.
93. Institute of Medicine (US) Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes and its Panel on Folate Other B Vitamins and Choline (1998) *Dietary Reference Intakes: Thiamin, Riboflavin, Niacin, Vitamin B6, Folate, Vitamin B12, Pantothenic Acid, Biotin, and Choline*. Washington, DC: Food and Nutrition Board.
94. Rizzo G, Laganà AS, Rapisarda AMC *et al.* (2016) Vitamin B12 among vegetarians: status, assessment and supplementation. *Nutrients* **8**, 767.
95. Trumbo P, Yates AA, Schlicker S *et al.* (2001) Dietary reference intakes: vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. *J Am Diet Assoc* **101**, 294–301.
96. Brown IJ, Tzoulaki I, Candeias V *et al.* (2009) Salt intakes around the world: implications for public health. *Int J Epidemiol* **38**, 791–813.